



Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.

7750 El Camino Real, Ste. 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009

Phone 760.634.0437

Web www.lab-data.com

Fax 760.634.0439

Tronox, LLC
P.O. Box 55
Henderson NV 89009
ATTN: Ms. Susan Crowley

March 18, 2011

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling, Data Validation

Dear Ms. Crowley,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on March 7, 2011. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 25082:

SDG #

Fraction

340274, 340282, 340444

Chromium, Wet Chemistry

The data validation was performed under Stage 2A guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004
- Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; Update IV, February 2007

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Collection Date: August 6, 2010
LDC Report Date: March 16, 2011
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Chromium
Validation Level: Stage 2A
Laboratory: MWH Laboratories, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 340274

Sample Identification

M-11
M-31A
M-50
M-70
M-71
M-72
M-22A
M-89
M-87
M-10
M-48A
H-28A

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6010 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) and the EPA Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP guidance (May 2006).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

III. Calibration

Calibration data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chromium was found in the preparation blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP Interference check sample analysis data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

XII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340274**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340274**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340274**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 25082A4

SDG #: 340274

Laboratory: MWH Laboratories

Stage 2A

Date: 3/11/11

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Chromium (EPA Method 6010)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/6/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	N	
III.	Calibration	N	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	N	
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS/D
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	M-11	11	M-48A	21		31	
2	M-31A	12	H-28A	22		32	
3	M-50	13		23		33	
4	M-70	14		24		34	
5	M-71	15		25		35	
6	M-72	16		26		36	
7	M-22A	17		27		37	
8	M-89	18		28		38	
9	M-87	19		29		39	
10	M-10	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Collection Date: August 5, 2010
LDC Report Date: March 17, 2011
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Chromium
Validation Level: Stage 2A
Laboratory: MWH Laboratories, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 340282

Sample Identification

M-86A
M-38
M-85A
M-83
M-100
M-84
M-36
M-12A
M-95
M-44
M-37
EB080510V
1VD080510
2VD080510
M-5A
M-38MS
M-38MSD
M-100MS
MS-100MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 19 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6010 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) and the EPA Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP guidance (May 2006).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

III. Calibration

Calibration data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chromium was found in the preparation blanks.

Sample EB080510V was identified as an equipment blank. No metal contaminants were found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP Interference check sample analysis data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

XII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples M-44 and 1VD080510 and samples M-37 and 2VD080510 were identified as field duplicates. No chromium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (mg/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	M-41	1VD080510				
Chromium	0.66	0.68	3 (≤ 30)	-	-	-

Analyte	Concentration (mg/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	M-37	2VD080510				
Chromium	0.030	0.029	-	0.001 (≤ 0.02)	-	-

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340282**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340282**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340282**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 25082B4

SDG #: 340282

Laboratory: MWH Laboratories

Stage 2A

Date: 3/11/11

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Chromium (EPA Method 6010)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/5/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	N	
III.	Calibration	N	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/P
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS/P
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(10, 13), (11, 14)
XV.	Field Blanks	ND	EB=12

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	M-86A	11	M-37	21	MS-100MSD	31	
2	M-38	12	EB080510V	22		32	
3	M-85A	13	1VD080510	23		33	
4	M-83	14	2VD080510	24		34	
5	M-100	15	M-5A	25		35	
6	M-84	16	ZVD080510 PAS	26		36	
7	M-36	17	M-38 DUP PAS	27		37	
8	M12A	18	M-38 MS	28		38	
9	M-95	19	↓ MSD	29		39	
10	M-44	20	M-100MS	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC#: 25082B4

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6020/7000)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/L)		(<30) RPD	Difference	Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	10	13				
Chromium	0.66	0.68	3			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\25082B4.wpd

Compound	Concentration (mg/L)		(<30) RPD	Difference	Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	11	14				
Chromium	0.030	0.029		0.001	(<0.02)	

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Collection Date: December 3, 2010
LDC Report Date: March 16, 2011
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Chromium
Validation Level: Stage 2A
Laboratory: MWH Laboratories, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 340444

Sample Identification

M-72
M-73
M-71

Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6010 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) and the EPA Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP guidance (May 2006).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

III. Calibration

Calibration data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chromium was found in the preparation blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP Interference check sample analysis data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

XII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340444**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340444**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Chromium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340444**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 25082C4

SDG #: 340444

Laboratory: MWH Laboratories

Stage 2A

Date: 3/11/11

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Chromium (EPA Method 6010)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 12/3/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	N	
III.	Calibration	N	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	N	Client specified
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	↓
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS/P
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	M-72	11		21		31	
2	M-73	12		22		32	
3	M-71	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Collection Date: August 6, 2010
LDC Report Date: March 16, 2011
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Wet Chemistry
Validation Level: Stage 2A
Laboratory: MWH Laboratories, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 340274

Sample Identification

- M-11
- M-31A
- M-50
- M-70
- M-71
- M-72
- M-22A
- M-89
- M-87
- M-10
- M-48A
- H-28A
- M-70DUP
- M-10MS
- M-10MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 15 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate, EPA Method 160.1 and Standard Method 2540C for Total Dissolved Solids, and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) and the EPA Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP guidance (May 2006).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Total Time From Sample Collection Until Analysis	Required Holding Time From Sample Collection Until Analysis	Flag	A or P
M-11 M-10 M-10MS M-10MSD	Hexavalent chromium	5 days	24 hours	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the preparation blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340274**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
340274	M-11 M-10	Hexavalent chromium	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P	Technical holding times

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340274**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340274**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 25082A6

SDG #: 340274

Laboratory: MWH Laboratories

Stage 2A

Date: 3/11/11

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0), Total Dissolved Solids (EPA Method 160.1/SM2540C) Hexavalent Chromium (Method 7196), pH (EPA Method 9040)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: 8/6/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D
V	Duplicates	A	DUP
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/P
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks		

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	M-11	11	M-48A	21		31
2	M-31A	12	H-28A	22		32
3	M-50	13	M-70 DUP	23		33
4	M-70	14	M-10 MS	24		34
5	M-71	15	↓ MSD	25		35
6	M-72	16		26		36
7	M-22A	17		27		37
8	M-89	18		28		38
9	M-87	19		29		39
10	M-10	20		30		40

Notes: _____

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Collection Date: August 5, 2010
LDC Report Date: March 17, 2011
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Wet Chemistry
Validation Level: Stage 2A
Laboratory: MWH Laboratories, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 340282

Sample Identification

M-86A
M-38
M-85A
M-83
M-100
M-84
M-36
M-12A
M-95
M-44
M-37
EB080510V
1VD080510
2VD080510
M-5A
2VD080510MS
M-38DUP
EB080510VMS
EB080510VMDS

Introduction

This data review covers 19 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate, EPA Method 160.1 and Standard Method 2540C for Total Dissolved Solids, and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) and the EPA Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP guidance (May 2006).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Total Time From Sample Collection Until Analysis	Required Holding Time From Sample Collection Until Analysis	Flag	A or P
M-100	Hexavalent chromium	27 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
M-84	Hexavalent chromium	26.5 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
M-36	Hexavalent chromium	27.5 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
M-12A	Hexavalent chromium	25.75 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
M-95	Hexavalent chromium	25.25 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
M-44	Hexavalent chromium	24.75 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
M-37 2VD080510	Hexavalent chromium	24.25 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
EB080510V	Hexavalent chromium	28.75 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
1VD080510	Hexavalent chromium	25 hours	24 hours	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the preparation blanks.

Sample EB080510V was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB080510V	8/5/10	Perchlorate	39 ug/L	M-86A M-38 M-85A M-83 M-100 M-84 M-36 M-12A M-95 M-44 M-37 1VD080510 2VD080510 M-5A
EB080510V	8/5/10	Total dissolved solids	7 ug/L	M-86A M-38 M-85A M-83 M-100 M-84 M-36 M-12A M-95 M-44 M-37 1VD080510 2VD080510

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
2VD080510MS (M-100 M-84 M-36 M-12A M-95 M-44 M-37 EB080510V 1VD080510 2VD080510)	Hexavalent chromium	0 (75-125)	-	-	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	A

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
LCS/D (M-5A)	Perchlorate	79 (85-115)	-	26 (≤ 15)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples M-44 and 1VD080510 and samples M-37 and 2VD080510 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	M-44	1VD080510				
Perchlorate	590000 ug/L	590000 ug/L	0 (≤30)	-	-	-
Total dissolved solids	8100 mg/L	7600 mg/L	6 (≤30)	-	-	-
Hexavalent chromium	0.69 mg/L	0.68 mg/L	1 (≤30)	-	-	-

Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	M-37	2VD080510				
Perchlorate	1700000 ug/L	1700000 ug/L	0 (≤30)	-	-	-
Total dissolved solids	4600 mg/L	4400 mg/L	4 (≤30)	-	-	-
Hexavalent chromium	0.0050 mg/L	0.0060 mg/L	-	0.001 (≤0.005)	-	-

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340282**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
340282	M-100 M-84 M-36 M-12A M-95 M-44 M-37 2VD080510 EB080510V 1VD080510	Hexavalent chromium	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Technical holding times
340282	M-100 M-84 M-36 M-12A M-95 M-44 M-37 EB080510V 1VD080510 2VD080510	Hexavalent chromium	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	A	Matrix spike (%R)
340282	M-5A	Perchlorate	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Laboratory control samples (%R)(RPD)

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340282**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340282**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 25082B6

SDG #: 340282

Laboratory: MWH Laboratories

Stage 2A

Date: 3/11/11

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CR

2nd Reviewer: AM

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0), Total Dissolved Solids (EPA Method 160.1/SM2540C) Hexavalent Chromium (Method 7196), pH (EPA Method 9040)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: <u>8/5/10</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	<u>MS/D</u>
V.	Duplicates	A	<u>D/P</u>
VI.	Laboratory control samples	SW	<u>LES/D</u>
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>(10,13), (11,14)</u>
X.	Field blanks	SW	<u>EB=12</u>

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinstate
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	M-86A	11	M-37	21		31	
2	M-38	12	EB080510V	22		32	
3	M-85A	13	1VD080510	23		33	
4	M-83	14	2VD080510	24		34	
5	M-100	15	M-5A	25		35	
6	M-84	16	<u>2VD080510MS</u>	26		36	
7	M-36	17	<u>M-38 DUP</u>	27		37	
8	M12A	18	<u>EB080510VMS</u>	28		38	
9	M-95	19	<u>MSD</u>	29		39	
10	M-44	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 25082B4

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Technical Holding Times

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding time.
 N/A Were all samples preserved as applicable to each method?
 N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

Method:		7196						
Parameters:		Cot						
Technical holding time:		24 hrs						
Sample ID	Sampling date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Qualifier	
5	8/5/10 10:05	8/6/10 13:03	(27 hrs)				J-105/P(h)	
6	10:30	13:06	(26.5 hrs)					
7	109:43	13:07	(27.5 hrs)					
8	11:20	13:11	(25.75 hrs)					
9	11:55	13:04	(25.25 hrs)					
10	12:25	13:05	(24.75 hrs)					
11	12:53	13:12	(24.25 hrs)					
12	08:20	13:10	(28.75 hrs)					
13	—	13:18	(25 hrs)					
14	—	13:08	(24.25 hrs)					

LDC#: 25082B6

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Inorganics, Method: See Cover

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (mg/L)		RPD (≤ 30)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	10	13				
Perchlorate (ug/L)	590000	590000	0			
TDS	8100	7600	6			
Hexavalent Chromium	0.69	0.68	1			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\25082B6.wpd

Analyte	Concentration (mg/L)		RPD (≤ 30)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	11	14				
Perchlorate (ug/L)	1700000	1700000	0			
TDS	4600	4400	4			
Hexavalent Chromium	0.0050	0.0060		0.001	(≤ 0.005)	

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: 2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Collection Date: December 3, 2010
LDC Report Date: March 16, 2011
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Wet Chemistry
Validation Level: Stage 2A
Laboratory: MWH Laboratories, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 340444

Sample Identification

M-72
FB120310N
Filter120310N
M-73
M-71

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA Method 160.1 and Standard Method 2540C for Total Dissolved Solids.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) and the EPA Region 9 Superfund Data Evaluation/Validation Guidance, NDEP guidance (May 2006).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification data were not reviewed for Stage 2A.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the preparation blanks.

Samples FB120310N and Filter120310N were identified as filter blanks. No contaminant concentrations were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Filter Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB120310N	12/3/10	Perchlorate	71 ug/L	M-72 M-73 M-71

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340444**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340444**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**2010 Annual Remedial Performance Sampling
Wet Chemistry - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 340444**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2A

LDC #: 25082C6

SDG #: 340444

Laboratory: MWH Laboratories

Date: 3/11/10

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0), Total Dissolved Solids (EPA Method 160.1/SM2540C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 12/3/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	Client specified
V.	Duplicates	N	↓
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	SW	Filter 2 Blk = 2, 3

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	M-72	11		21		31	
2	FB120310N	12		22		32	
3	Filter120310N	13		23		33	
4	M-73	14		24		34	
5	M-71	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

