LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.
1100 Quail Street Ste. 102
Newport Beach, CA 92660
ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

June 25, 2010

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada,
Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on June 15, 2010. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 23367:

SDG #	Fraction
G0E030473, G0E170470, G0E200430	Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B/4 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Diobenzofurans Data Review, September 2005

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

E Rauto

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

Attachment 1

Stage 2B/4	EDD	LDC #23367 (Tronox LLC-Northgate, Henderson NV / Tronox PCS)																																			
LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	Dioxins (8290)																																	
Matrix:	Water/Soil			W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
A	G0E030473	06/15/10	07/07/10	3	0																																
B	G0E170470	06/15/10	07/07/10	0	1																																
B	G0E170470	06/15/10	07/07/10	0	2																																
C	G0E200430	06/15/10	07/07/10	1	0																																
Total	T/LR			4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Shaded cells indicate Stage 4 validation (all other cells are Stage 2B validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

LDC #: 23367
SDG #: G0E030473, G0E170470, G0E200430

Reviewer: JE
2nd Reviewer: BC

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Completeness				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
II. EDD Qualifier Population				
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
III. EDD Lab Anomalies				
Were EDD anomalies identified?		X		
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?			X	See EDD_discrepancy_ form_LDC23367_062410.doc
IV. EDD Delivery				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23367

Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 22, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): G0E030473

Sample Identification

EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM
EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM
EB-04302010-RZD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8290 for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans Data Review (September 2005).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. HRGC/HRMS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at the required daily frequency.

Retention time windows were established for all homologues. The chromatographic resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDD and peaks representing any other unlabeled TCDD isomer was less than or equal to 25% .

III. Initial Calibration

A five point initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

IV. Routine Calibration (Continuing)

Routine calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the routine calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the routine calibration RRF were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
0127403MB	5/7/10	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD	0.63 pg/L 2.0 pg/L	All samples in SDG G0E030473

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	2.9 pg/L	2.9U pg/L
EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD	1.2 pg/L 5.7 pg/L	1.2U pg/L 5.7U pg/L
EB-04302010-RZD	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD	2.8 pg/L 7.2 pg/L	2.8U pg/L 7.2U pg/L

Samples EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM, EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM, and EB-04302010-RZD were identified as equipment blanks. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM	4/30/10	1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	0.58 pg/L 2.9 pg/L 15 pg/L 5.5 pg/L 3.5 pg/L 7.1 pg/L 7.0 pg/L 2.0 pg/L 0.81 pg/L 20 pg/L 6.1 pg/L 40 pg/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM	4/30/10	1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	0.67 pg/L 0.46 pg/L 1.2 pg/L 5.7 pg/L 0.91 pg/L 0.78 pg/L 0.44 pg/L 0.85 pg/L 0.74 pg/L 0.68 pg/L 2.0 pg/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04302010-RZD	4/30/10	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	1.7 pg/L 1.4 pg/L 1.5 pg/L 2.8 pg/L 7.2 pg/L 1.5 pg/L 2.2 pg/L 1.2 pg/L 1.9 pg/L 1.6 pg/L 2.4 pg/L 1.4 pg/L 5.0 pg/L	No associated samples in this SDG

VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard recoveries were within QC limits.

X. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E030473	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

All compounds reported as EMPC were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E030473	All compounds reported as estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).	JK (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E030473

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
G0E030473	EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM EB-04302010-RZD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)
G0E030473	EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM EB-04302010-RZD	All compounds reported as EMPC	JK (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (k)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E030473

SDG	Sample	Compound	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
G0E030473	EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	2.9U pg/L	A	bl
G0E030473	EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD	1.2U pg/L 5.7U pg/L	A	bl
G0E030473	EB-04302010-RZD	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD	2.8U pg/L 7.2U pg/L	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E030473

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23367A21
SDG #: G0E030473
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/4/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
II.	HRGC/HRMS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Routine calibration/ICV	A	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client specified
VII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VIII.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
IX.	Internal standards	A	
X.	Target compound identifications	N	
XI.	Compound quantitation and CRQLs	SW N	All 2MPe results - J(K)
XII.	System performance	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	SW	EB=1, 2, 3

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
All HPOs

1	EB-04302010-RZB 01:18PM	11	0I2T23MB	21		31	
2	EB-04302010-RZB 08:10AM	12		22		32	
3	EB-04302010-RZD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

A. 2,3,7,8-TCDD	F. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	K. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	P. 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	U. Total HpCDD
B. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	G. OCDD	L. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	Q. OCDF	V. Total TCDF
C. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	H. 2,3,7,8-TCDF	M. 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	R. Total TCDD	W. Total PeCDF
D. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	I. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	N. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	S. Total PeCDD	X. Total HxCDF
E. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	J. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	O. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	T. Total HxCDD	Y. Total HpCDF

Notes:

LDC #: 33678A1
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all samples associated with a method blank?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/7/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/17/10
Conc. units: pg/L Associated Samples: all (6)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	0127403MB	1	2	3						
F	0.63	2.9/U	1.2/U	2.8/U						
4	2.0	-	5.7/U	7.2/U						

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #:23367A21
SDG #:See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Blank units: pg/L **Associated sample units:** pg/g
Sampling date: 4/30/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: None

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	EB-04302010-RZB (1:18PM)	5X								
D	0.58	0.003								
F	2.9	0.0145								
G	15	0.075								
I	5.5	0.0275								
J	3.5	0.0175								
K	7.1	0.0355								
L	7.0	0.035								
M	2.0	0.01								
N	0.81	0.004								
O	20	0.1								
P	6.1	0.0305								
Q	40	0.2								
CRQL										

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Samples with compound concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #:23367A21
SDG #:See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

(Y) N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Blank units: pg/L **Associated sample units:** pg/g
Sampling date: 4/30/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: None

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	EB-04302010-RZB (8:10)	5X								
D	0.67	0.00335								
E	0.46	0.0023								
F	1.2	0.006								
G	5.7	0.0285								
K	0.91	0.00455								
L	0.78	0.0039								
M	0.44	0.0022								
N	0.85	0.00425								
O	0.74	0.0037								
P	0.68	0.0034								
Q	2.0	0.01								
CRQL										

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Samples with compound concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #:23367A21
SDG #:See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Blank units: pg/L **Associated sample units:** pg/g
Sampling date: 4/30/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: None

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	EB-04302010-RZD	5X								
C	1.7	0.0085								
D	1.4	0.007								
E	1.5	0.0075								
F	2.8	0.014								
G	7.2	0.036								
I	1.5	0.0075								
K	2.2	0.011								
L	1.2	0.006								
M	1.9	0.0095								
N	1.6	0.008								
O	2.4	0.012								
P	1.4	0.007								
Q	5.0	0.025								
CRQL										

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Samples with compound concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: May 14, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 22, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): G0E170470

Sample Identification

SSAK5-04-1BPC
SSAK5-03-1BPC**
SSAK4-02-1BPC
SSAK5-04-1BPCMS
SSAK5-04-1BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8290 for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans Data Review (September 2005).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. HRGC/HRMS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at the required daily frequency.

Retention time windows were established for all homologues. The chromatographic resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDD and peaks representing any other unlabeled TCDD isomer was less than or equal to 25% .

The exact mass of 380.9760 of PFK was verified. The static resolving power was at least 10,000 (10% valley definition) for samples on which Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

III. Initial Calibration

A five point initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

The minimum S/N ratio for each target compound was greater than or equal to 2.5 and greater than or equal to 10 for each recovery and internal standard compound for samples on which Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

IV. Routine Calibration (Continuing)

Routine calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the routine calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the routine calibration RRF were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
0140389MB	5/20/10	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF OCDF	0.092 pg/g 0.37 pg/g 0.098 pg/g 0.065 pg/g 0.045 pg/g 0.042 pg/g 0.037 pg/g 0.092 pg/g 0.14 pg/g	All samples in SDG G0E170470

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG G0D090441) was identified as a field blank. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	0.89 pg/L 1.5 pg/L 2.2 pg/L 8.3 pg/L 1.4 pg/L 1.6 pg/L 1.5 pg/L 1.6 pg/L 1.3 pg/L 1.4 pg/L 4.1 pg/L	All samples in SDG G0E170470

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits for several compounds, the MS or LCS percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard recoveries were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Internal Standards	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
SSAK5-04-1BPC	^{13}C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF ^{13}C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD ^{13}C-OCDD	31 (40-135) 29 (40-135) 19 (40-135)	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
SSAK5-03-1BPC**	^{13}C-OCDD	37 (40-135)	OCDD OCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
SSAK4-02-1BPC	^{13}C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF ^{13}C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD ^{13}C-OCDD	37 (40-135) 36 (40-135) 15 (40-135)	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

X. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
SSAK4-02-1BPC	2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E170470	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

All compounds reported as EMPC were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E170470	All compounds reported as estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).	JK (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XII. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E170470

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
G0E170470	SSAK5-04-1BPC SSAK4-02-1BPC	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Internal standards (%R) (i)
G0E170470	SSAK5-03-1BPC**	OCDD OCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Internal standards (%R) (i)
G0E170470	SSAK4-02-1BPC	2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (e)
G0E170470	SSAK5-04-1BPC SSAK5-03-1BPC** SSAK4-02-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)
G0E170470	SSAK5-04-1BPC SSAK5-03-1BPC** SSAK4-02-1BPC	All compounds reported as EMPC	JK (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (k)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E170470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E170470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B~~A~~

LDC #: 23367B21
SDG #: G0E170470
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/21/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/4/10
II.	HRGC/HRMS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Routine calibration/~~ICV~~	A	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
VIII.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
IX.	Internal standards	SW	
X.	Target compound identifications	A	
XI.	Compound quantitation and CRQLs	A/N	
XII.	System performance	A	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	SW	FB-040720 10-R2D (F0D0904A1)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	SSAK5-04-1BPC S	11	0140389MB	21		31	
2	SSAK5-03-1BPC **	12		22		32	
3	SSAK4-02-1BPC	13		23		33	
4	SSAK5-04-1BPCMS	14		24		34	
5	SSAK5-04-1BPCMSD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

A. 2,3,7,8-TCDD	F. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	K. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	P. 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	U. Total HpCDD
B. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	G. OCDD	L. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	Q. OCDF	V. Total TCDF
C. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	H. 2,3,7,8-TCDF	M. 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	R. Total TCDD	W. Total PeCDF
D. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	I. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	N. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	S. Total PeCDD	X. Total HxCDF
E. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	J. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	O. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	T. Total HxCDD	Y. Total HpCDF

Notes:

LDC #: 23367B21
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

Method: Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Was PFK exact mass 380.9760 verified?	✓			
Were the retention time windows established for all homologues?	✓			
Was the chromatographic resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDD and peaks representing any other unlabeled TCDD isomers ≤ 25% ?	✓			
Is the static resolving power at least 10,000 (10% valley definition)?	✓			
Was the mass resolution adequately check with PFK?	✓			
Was the presence of 1,2,8,9-TCDD and 1,3,4,6,8-PeCDF verified?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Was the initial calibration performed at 5 concentration levels?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20% for unlabeled standards and ≤ 30% for labeled standards?	✓			
Did all calibration standards meet the Ion Abundance Ratio criteria?	✓			
Was the signal to noise ratio for each target compound ≥ 2.5 and for each recovery and internal standard ≥ 10?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a routine calibration performed at the beginning and end of each 12 hour period?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 20% for unlabeled standards and ≤ 30% for labeled standards?	✓			
Did all routine calibration standards meet the Ion Abundance Ratio criteria?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank performed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet?	✓			
VI. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?		✓		
VII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			

LDC #: 23367B21
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
IX. Internal standards				
Were internal standard recoveries within the 40-135% criteria?		✓		
Was the minimum S/N ratio of all internal standard peaks ≥ 10?	✓			
X. Target compound identification				
For 2,3,7,8 substituted congeners with associated labeled standards, were the retention times of the two quantitation peaks within -1 to 3 sec. of the RT of the labeled standard?	✓			
For 2,3,7,8 substituted congeners without associated labeled standards, were the relative retention times of the two quantitation peaks within 0.005 time units of the RRT measured in the routine calibration?	✓			
For non-2,3,7,8 substituted congeners, were the retention times of the two quantitation peaks within RT established in the performance check solution?	✓			
Did compound spectra contain all characteristic ions listed in the table attached?	✓			
Was the Ion Abundance Ratio for the two quantitation ions within criteria?	✓			
Was the signal to noise ratio for each target compound and labeled standard ≥ 2.5?	✓			
Does the maximum intensity of each specified characteristic ion coincide within ± 2 seconds (includes labeled standards)?	✓			
For PCDF identification, was any signal (S/N ≥ 2.5, at ± seconds RT) detected in the corresponding PCDPE channel?			✓	
Was an acceptable lock mass recorded and monitored?	✓			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	✓			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	✓			

LDC #: 23367B21
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins (EPA Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all samples associated with a method blank?
Y N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction was performed?
Y N N/A Was the method blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/20/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/25/10
Conc. units: pg/g **Associated samples:** All (> 5X)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
	0140389MB	5X									
F	0.092	0.46									
G	0.37	1.85									
H	0.098	0.49									
K	0.065	0.325									
L	0.045	0.225									
M	0.042	0.21									
N	0.037	0.185									
O	0.092	0.46									
Q	0.14	0.7									

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 23367B21
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Blank units: pg/L **Associated sample units:** pg/g
Sampling date: 4/7/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All (>5X)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-04072010-RZD	5X								
C	0.89	0.00445								
E	1.5	0.0075								
F	2.2	0.011								
G	8.3	0.0415								
K	1.4	0.007								
L	1.6	0.008								
M	1.5	0.0075								
N	1.6	0.008								
O	1.3	0.0065								
P	1.4	0.007								
Q	4.1	0.0205								
CRQL										

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Samples with compound concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: [illegible]
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Y N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Y N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		4/5	H	( )	( )	40 (≤30)	1	No Cmpd
			K	( )	149 (72-140)	( )		
			M	( )	162 (72-152)	( )		
			N	( )	172 (72-152)	( )		
			O	( )	172 (81-137)	41 (≤33)		
			P	153 (79-139)	216 (79-139)	49 (≤35)		
			Q	( )	241 (75-141)	53 (≤45)		
				( )	( )	( )		(MS or LCS in)
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		

LDC #: 23367B4
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Internal Standards

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: Q
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)
Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
(Y) N N/A Are all internal standard recoveries were within the 40-135% criteria?
(Y) N N/A Was the S/N ratio all internal standard peaks ≥ 10?

#	Date	Lab ID/Reference	Internal Standard	% Recovery (Limit: 40-135%)		Qualifications (I)
		1	G	31	(40-135)	J/UJ/A (F-G, 0-Q)
			H	29	( )	
			I	19	( )	
					( )	
		2	J	37	( )	(G, Q)
					( )	
		3	G	37	( )	
			H	36	( )	
			I	15	( )	
					( )	
		4 (MS)	G	30	( )	No Qual
			H	36	( )	
			I	26	( )	
					( )	
		5 (MSD)	G	29	( )	
			H	31	( )	
			I	15	( )	
			J	15	( )	
			K	8.9	( )	
					( )	

	Internal Standards	Check Standard Used		Internal Standards	Check Standard Used
A.	^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF		I.	^{13}C-OCDD	
B.	^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD		K.	^{13}C-1,2,3,4-TCDD	
C.	^{13}C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF		L.	^{13}C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	
D.	^{13}C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD		M		
E.	^{13}C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF		N.		
F.	^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD		O.		
G.	^{13}C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF		P.		
H.	^{13}C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD				

LDC #: 33678b1
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: A
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ions and relative response factors (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?
Y N N/A Compound quantitation and CRQLs were adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors (if necessary).

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		3	H.K.O.P.Q	3	vd+tz/P(e)
		all	ZMPC results	all	vK(K)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 8

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

RRF = $(A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of compound,
C_x = Concentration of compound,
S = Standard deviation of the RRFs,

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported Average RRF (initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (initial)	Reported RRF (CS3 std)	Recalculated RRF (CS3 std)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL (405)	3/18/10	2,3,7,8-TCDF (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF)	1.004	1.004	1.06	1.06	8.10	8.24
			2,3,7,8-TCDD (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD)	1.049	1.049	1.06	1.06	5.12	5.00
			1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD (^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD)	1.163	1.163	1.20	1.20	8.25	8.13
			1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (^{13}C-1,2,4,6,7,8,-HpCDD)	1.073	1.073	1.11	1.11	7.66	7.86
			OCDF (^{13}C-OCDD)	1.523	1.523	1.58	1.58	8.42	8.35
2	ICAL	4/21/10	2,3,7,8-TCDF (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF)	1.088	1.088	1.10	1.10	1.29	1.20
			2,3,7,8-TCDD (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD)						
			1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD (^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD)						
			1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (^{13}C-1,2,4,6,7,8,-HpCDD)						
			OCDF (^{13}C-OCDD)						
3			2,3,7,8-TCDF (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF)						
			2,3,7,8-TCDD (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD)						
			1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD (^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD)						
			1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (^{13}C-1,2,4,6,7,8,-HpCDD)						
			OCDF (^{13}C-OCDD)						

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23367B1
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Routine Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported RRF (CC)	Recalculated RRF (CC)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	23HX1040S	5/25/10	2,3,7,8-TCDF (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF)	1.004	1.08	1.08	7.7	7.7
			2,3,7,8-TCDD (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD)	1.049	1.06	1.06	0.9	0.9
			1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD (^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD)	1.163	1.24	1.24	6.5	6.5
			1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (^{13}C-1,2,4,6,7,8,-HpCDD)	1.073	1.13	1.13	4.9	5.0
			OCDF (^{13}C-OCDD)	1.523	1.70	1.71	11.6	11.5
2	23HX1040S	5/25/10	2,3,7,8-TCDF (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF)	1.004	1.09	1.09	8.3	8.3
			2,3,7,8-TCDD (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD)	1.049	1.05	1.05	0.4	0.4
			1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD (^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD)	1.163	1.23	1.23	5.9	5.9
			1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (^{13}C-1,2,4,6,7,8,-HpCDD)	1.073	1.16	1.16	7.7	7.7
			OCDF (^{13}C-OCDD)	1.523	1.70	1.70	11.6	11.5
3	26HX10BS	5/26/10	2,3,7,8-TCDF (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDF)	1.088	0.99	0.99	8.8	8.8
			2,3,7,8-TCDD (^{13}C-2,3,7,8-TCDD)					
			1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD (^{13}C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD)					
			1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (^{13}C-1,2,4,6,7,8,-HpCDD)					
			OCDF (^{13}C-OCDD)					

Comments: Refer to Routine Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23367B21
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 8

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SSR - SR)/SA Where: SSR = Spiked sample result, SR = Sample result
SA = Spike added

RPD = | MSR - MSDR | * 2/(MSR + MSDR) MSR = Matrix spike percent recovery MSDR = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 4/5

Compound	Spike Added (PS/g)		Sample Concentration (PS/g)	Spiked Sample Concentration (PS/g)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		Reported RPD	Recalculated RPD
	MS	MSD	-------	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	----	----
2,3,7,8-TCDD	20.5	21.2	0.084	22.2	25.3	108	108	119	119	13	13
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	103	106	ND	115	122	112	112	116	116	5.8	2.6 5.9
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	↓	↓	0.11	116	102	113	113	96	96	13	13
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	↓	↓	2.6	159	263	153	152	246	246	49	49
OCDF	205	212	17	305	526	140	140	241	240	53	53

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23367B2/
SDG #: 2e1 C0401

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: GC/MS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratoy control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * SSC/SA Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = I LCS - LCSD I * 2/(LCS + LCSD) LCS = Laboraotry control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS ID: 0140389

Compound	Spike Added (PS/g)		Spiked Sample Concentration (PS/g)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
2,3,7,8-TCDD	20.0	NA	22.1	NA	110	110				
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	100		112		112	112				
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	↓		109		109	109				
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	↓		112		112	112				
OCDF	200	↓	233	↓	117	117				

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

Ions Monitored for HRGC/HRMS Analysis of PCDDs/PCDFs

Descriptor	Accurate mass[a]	Ion ID	Elemental Composition	Analyte	Descriptor	Accurate Mass[a]	Ion ID	Elemental Composition	Analyte
1	303.9016	M	$C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_4O$	TCDF	4	407.7818	M+2	$C_{12}H^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}ClO$	HpCDF
	305.8987	M+2	$C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}C10$	TCDF		409.7788	M+4	$C_{12}H^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}Cl_2O$	HpCDF
	315.9419	M	$^{13}C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_4O$	TCDF (S)		417.8250	M	$^{13}C_{12}H^{35}Cl_7O$	HpCDF (S)
	317.9389	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}ClO$	TCDF (S)		419.8220	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}ClO$	HpCDF
	319.8965	M	$C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_4O_2$	TCDD		423.7767	M+2	$C_{12}H^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}ClO_2$	HpCDD
	321.8936	M+2	$C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}C10_2$	TCDD		425.7737	M+4	$C_{12}H^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	HpCDD
	331.9368	M	$^{13}C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_4O_2$	TCDD (S)		435.8169	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}ClO_2$	HpCDD (S)
	333.9338	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}ClO_2$	TCDD (S)		437.8140	M+4	$^{13}C_{12}H^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	HpCDD (S)
	375.8364	M+2	$C_{12}H_4{}^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}ClO$	HxCDPE		479.7165	M+4	$C_{12}H^{35}Cl_7{}^{37}Cl_2O$	NCDPE
	[354.9792]	LOCK	C_9F_{13}	PFK		[430.9728]	LOCK	C_9F_{17}	PFK
2	339.8597	M+2	$C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}ClO$	PeCDF	5	441.7428	M+2	$C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_7{}^{37}ClO$	OCDF
	341.8567	M+4	$C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}Cl_2O$	PeCDF		443.7399	M+4	$C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}Cl_2O$	OCDF
	351.9000	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}C10$	PeCDF (S)		457.7377	M+2	$C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_7{}^{37}ClO_2$	OCDD
	353.8970	M+4	$^{13}C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}Cl_2O$	PeCDF (S)		459.7348	M+4	$C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	OCDD
	355.8546	M+2	$C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}ClO_2$	PeCDD		469.7780	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_7{}^{37}ClO_2$	OCDD (S)
	357.8516	M+4	$C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	PeCDD		471.7750	M+4	$^{13}C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	OCDD (S)
	367.8949	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}ClO_2$	PeCDD (S)		513.6775	M+4	$C_{12}{}^{35}Cl_8{}^{37}Cl_2O$	DCDPE
	369.8919	M+4	$^{13}C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_3{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	PeCDD (S)		[422.9278]	LOCK	$C_{10}F_{17}$	PFK
	409.7974	M+2	$C_{12}H_3{}^{35}Cl_6{}^{37}ClO$	HpCDPE					
	[354.9792]	LOCK	C_9F_{13}	PFK					
3	373.8208	M+2	$C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}ClO$	HxCDF					
	375.8178	M+4	$C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}Cl_2O$	HxCDF					
	383.8639	M	$^{13}C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_6O$	HxCDF (S)					
	385.8610	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}ClO$	HxCDF (S)					
	389.8156	M+2	$C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}ClO_2$	HxCDD					
	391.8127	M+4	$C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	HxCDD					
	401.8559	M+2	$^{13}C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_5{}^{37}ClO_2$	HxCDD (S)					
	403.8529	M+4	$^{13}C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_4{}^{37}Cl_2O_2$	HxCDD (S)					
	445.7555	M+4	$C_{12}H_2{}^{35}Cl_8{}^{37}Cl_2O$	OCDPE					
	[430.9728]	LOCK	C_9F_{17}	PFK					

(a) The following nuclidic masses were used:

H = 1.007825	O = 15.994915
C = 12.000000	^{35}Cl = 34.968853
^{13}C = 13.003355	^{37}Cl = 36.965903
F = 18.9984	

S = internal/recovery standard

LDC #: 33678B21
SDG #: Level IV

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd reviewer: 9

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(DF)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(\%S)}$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured

A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard

I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).

RRF = Relative Response Factor (average) from the initial calibration

Df = Dilution Factor.

%S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.

Example:

Sample I.D. 2 , A :

Conc. = $\frac{(1465+58)(2000)(\quad)}{2637800(1.049)(10.4)(0.89)}$

= 1.32 pg/g

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: May 18, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 22, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): G0E200430

Sample Identification

EB-05182010-RZC

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8290 for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans Data Review (September 2005).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. HRGC/HRMS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at the required daily frequency.

Retention time windows were established for all homologues. The chromatographic resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDD and peaks representing any other unlabeled TCDD isomer was less than or equal to 25% .

III. Initial Calibration

A five point initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

IV. Routine Calibration (Continuing)

Routine calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the routine calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the routine calibration RRF were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
0140358MB	5/20/10	1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF OCDF	0.59 pg/L 0.56 pg/L 0.91 pg/L 2.8 pg/L 0.93 pg/L 0.68 pg/L 0.82 pg/L 0.67 pg/L 1.2 pg/L 2.1 pg/L	All samples in SDG G0E200430

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-05182010-RZC	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	2.7 pg/L	2.7U pg/L

Sample EB-05182010-RZC was identified as an equipment blank. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-05182010-RZC	5/18/10	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF	1.8 pg/L 3.0 pg/L 2.7 pg/L 22 pg/L 120 pg/L 9.0 pg/L 9.8 pg/L 6.5 pg/L 28 pg/L 19 pg/L 6.5 pg/L 3.9 pg/L 61 pg/L 25 pg/L 150 pg/L	No associated samples in this SDG

VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard recoveries were within QC limits.

X. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E200430	2,3,7,8-TCDF	2nd column confirmation was not performed for this compound.	This compound must be confirmed on the 2nd column per the method.	None	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E200430	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

All compounds reported as EMPC were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG G0E200430	All compounds reported as estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).	JK (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E200430

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
G0E200430	EB-05182010-RZC	2,3,7,8-TCDF	None	P	Project Quantitation Limit (o)
G0E200430	EB-05182010-RZC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)
G0E200430	EB-05182010-RZC	All compounds reported as EMPC	JK (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (k)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E200430

SDG	Sample	Compound	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
G0E200430	EB-05182010-RZC	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	2.7U pg/L	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG G0E200430

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23367C21
SDG #: G0E200430
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/21/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/18/10
II.	HRGC/HRMS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Routine calibration/ICV	A	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client specified
VII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VIII.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
IX.	Internal standards	A	
X.	Target compound identifications	N	
XI.	Compound quantitation and CRQLs	SW	All 2MPC - Vb (CE)
XII.	System performance	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 1

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	EB-05182010-RZC W	11	0140358MB	21		31	
2		12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

A. 2,3,7,8-TCDD	F. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	K. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	P. 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	U. Total HpCDD
B. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	G. OCDD	L. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	Q. OCDF	V. Total TCDF
C. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	H. 2,3,7,8-TCDF	M. 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	R. Total TCDD	W. Total PeCDF
D. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	I. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	N. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	S. Total PeCDD	X. Total HxCDF
E. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	J. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	O. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	T. Total HxCDD	Y. Total HpCDF

Notes:

LDC #: 23367C21
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins (EPA Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all samples associated with a method blank?
Y N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction was performed?
Y N N/A Was the method blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/20/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/23/10
Conc. units: pg/L **Associated samples:** All (bl)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
	0140358MB	5X	1								
D	0.59	2.95	-		others > 5X						
E	0.56	2.8	2.7/U								
F	0.91	4.55	-								
G	2.8	14	-								
H	0.93	4.65	-								
K	0.68	3.4	-								
L	0.82	4.1	-								
M	0.67	3.35	-								
O	1.2	6	-								
Q	2.1	10.5	-								

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #:23367C21
SDG #:See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Blank units: pg/L **Associated sample units:** pg/g
Sampling date: 5/18/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: None

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	EB-05182010-RZC	5X								
C	1.8	0.009								
D	3.0	0.015								
E	2.7	0.0135								
F	22	0.11								
G	120	0.6								
H	9.0	0.045								
I	9.8	0.049								
J	6.5	0.0325								
K	28	0.14								
L	19	0.095								
M	6.5	0.0325								
N	3.9	0.0195								
O	61	0.305								
P	25	0.125								
Q	150	0.75								
CRQL										

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Samples with compound concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 23367c4
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N (N/A) Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ions and relative response factors (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?
Y N (N/A) Compound quantitation and CRQLs were adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors (if necessary).

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		All	No 2,3,7,8-TCDF confirmation		None / P
		All	2LPC results	All	VK(E)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations