

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.
1100 Quail Street Ste. 102
Newport Beach, CA 92660
ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

June 4, 2010

**SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada,
Data Validation**

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on May 5, 2010. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 23162:

<u>SDG #</u>	<u>Fraction</u>
280-2352-5, 280-2400-1, 280-2400-4 280-2400-6, 280-2448-1, 280-2448-2 280-2448-4, 280-2448-6, 280-2448-7 280-2448-8, 280-2448-9, 280-2448-10 280-2500-1, 280-2500-4, 280-2500-5 280-2500-6, 280-2541-1, 280-2541-4 280-2541-6, 280-2541-8, 280-2699-1 280-2771-3, 280-2216-8	Semivolatiles, Chlorinated Pesticides, Metals, Perchlorate

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B/4 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

LDC #23162 (Tronox LLC-Northgate, Henderson NV / Tronox PCS)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	SVOA (8270C)		Pest. (8081A)		As (6020)		Co (6020)		Pb (6020)		Mn (6020)		Mg (6020)		ClO ₄ (314.0)		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S		
				W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W
Matrix: Water/Soil																														
A	280-2352-5	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B	280-2400-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	0	12	0	18	0	5	-	-	0	5	0	2	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B	280-2400-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C	280-2400-4	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D	280-2400-6	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	0	-	-	0	4	0	2	-	-	0	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D	280-2400-6	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	0	2	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E	280-2448-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	0	12	0	5	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	1	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E	280-2448-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	0	4	0	12	-	-	-	-	0	2	0	6	0	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	280-2448-2	05/05/10	05/26/10	2	0	-	-	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
G	280-2448-4	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H	280-2448-6	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I	280-2448-7	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J	280-2448-8	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
K	280-2448-9	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	-	-	0	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
L	280-2448-10	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M	280-2500-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	0	4	0	9	-	-	-	-	0	2	-	-	0	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M	280-2500-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	0	2	0	1	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
N	280-2500-4	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
O	280-2500-5	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P	280-2500-6	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q	280-2541-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	-	-	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
R	280-2541-4	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S	280-2541-6	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T	280-2541-8	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U	280-2699-1	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
V	280-2771-3	05/05/10	05/26/10	0	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W	280-2216-8	05/05/10	05/26/10	-	-	-	-	0	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	T/LR			2	42	0	34	2	61	2	7	2	0	2	13	2	9	2	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Shaded cells indicate Stage 4 validation (all other cells are Stage 2B validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

EDD CHECKLIST

LDC #: 23162
 SDG #: 280-2352-5, 280-2400-1, 280-2400-4, 280-2400-6, 280-2448-1
280-2448-2, 280-2448-4, 280-2448-6, 280-2448-7, 280-2448-8
280-2448-9, 280-2448-10, 280-2500-1, 280-2500-4, 280-2500-5
280-2500-6, 280-2541-1, 280-2541-4, 280-2541-6, 280-2541-8
280-2699-1, 280-2771-3, 280-2216-8

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Completeness				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
II. EDD Qualifier Population				
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
III. EDD Lab Anomalies				
Were EDD anomalies identified?		X		
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?			X	
IV. EDD Delivery				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23162

Semivolatiles

LD C

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 12, 2010

LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2352-5

Sample Identification

SSAN6-02-3BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB-04122010-RIG2-RZC (from SDG 280-2352-2) was identified as an equipment blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2352-5	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2352-5**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2352-5	SSAN6-02-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2352-5**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2352-5**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2352-5**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162A2a
 SDG #: 280-2352-5
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JL
 2nd Reviewer: w

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>4/12/10</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>2 RSD r²</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	<u>CCV/ICV ≤ 25%</u>
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<u>SSA I3-02-1BPC</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>ICS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	<u>FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-2250-2)</u> <u>EB = EB-04122010-RIG2-RZC (280-2352-2)</u>

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAN6-02-3BPC	11		21		31	
2	<u>MB 280-11289/A-A</u>	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 13, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2400-4

Sample Identification

SSAK3-01-1BPC
SSAJ3-03-1BPC
SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD
SSAM3-01-2BPC
SSAI3-02-1BPC
SSAI3-03-1BPC
SSAI3-03-1BPC_FD
SSAI2-01-1BPC
SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD
SSAI3-02-1BPCMS
SSAI3-02-1BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 11 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB-04132010-RIG3-RZD (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as an equipment blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04132010-RIG3-RZD	4/13/10	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6 ug/L	SSAK3-01-1BPC SSAJ3-03-1BPC SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD SSAI3-02-1BPC SSAI3-03-1BPC SSAI3-03-1BPC_FD SSAI2-01-1BPC SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) and FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) were identified as field blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	SSAK3-01-1BPC SSAJ3-03-1BPC SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD SSAI3-02-1BPC SSAI3-03-1BPC SSAI3-03-1BPC_FD SSAI2-01-1BPC SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD
FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE	4/13/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	1.1 ug/L 1.6 ug/L	SSAM3-01-2BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2400-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAJ3-03-1BPC and SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD, samples SSAI3-03-1BPC and SSAI3-03-1BPC_FD, and samples SSAI2-01-1BPC and SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-4**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2400-4	SSAK3-01-1BPC SSAJ3-03-1BPC SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD SSAM3-01-2BPC SSAI3-02-1BPC SSAI3-03-1BPC SSAI3-03-1BPC_FD SSAI2-01-1BPC SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162C2a
 SDG #: 280-2400-4
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: SVG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/13/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	? RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	COV / W ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	ND	$D_1 = 2, 3$ $D_2 = 6, 7$ $D_3 = 8, 9$
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (280-2400-2) FB = FB-04072010-RZD (from 280-2216-2) EB = EB-04132010-RIG3-RZD (280-2252-2) EB = EB-04132010-RIG3-RZD (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK3-01-1BPC	11	SSAI3-02-1BPCMSD	21	MB 280-11289/1-A	31
2	SSAJ3-03-1BPC D_1	12		22		32
3	SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD D_1	13		23		33
4	SSAM3-01-2BPC	14		24		34
5	SSAI3-02-1BPC	15		25		35
6	SSAI3-03-1BPC D_2	16		26		36
7	SSAI3-03-1BPC_FD D_2	17		27		37
8	SSAI2-01-1BPC D_3	18		28		38
9	SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD D_3	19		29		39
10	SSAI3-02-1BPCMS	20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23162 C24
 SDG #: Sec Conn

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: Me
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y/N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Y/N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L Associated sample units: ug/kg

Sampling date: 4/13/10 + 4/13/10

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other:

Associated Samples: All

except 4
(ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
	FB-04072010-RZD	EDB-DAMPEN-RIGZ-RZD
FEE	2.2	ND
FFF		1.6
EDB-DAMPEN-RIGZ-RZD		phthalate
CRQL		

Blank units: ug/L Associated sample units: ug/kg

Sampling date: 4/13/10

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other:

Associated Samples: 4

(ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
	FB-04132010-RIGZ-RZE	
FEE	1.1	
FFF	1.6	
CRQL		

5x Phthalates
 2x All others

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 13, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 26, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2400-6

Sample Identification

SA86-3BPC
SA86-4BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/28/10	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	25.7	All samples in SDG 280-2400-6	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12617/15-A	4/26/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	119 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2400-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SA86-3BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	190 ug/Kg	190U ug/Kg
SA86-4BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	160 ug/Kg	160U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE	4/13/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	1.1 ug/L 1.6 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2400-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2400-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2400-6	SA86-3BPC SA86-4BPC	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2400-6	SA86-3BPC SA86-4BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2400-6	SA86-3BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	190U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2400-6	SA86-4BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	160U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162D2a
 SDG #: 280-2400-6
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B 4

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JV6
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/13/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 KSD r
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	*A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	*A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	*A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA86-3BPC	11		21		31	
2	SA86-4BPC	12		22		32	
3	MB 280-12617/15-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	/			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	/			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	/			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	/			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) > 0.05?	/			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05?		/		
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	/			
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	/			
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.		/		
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?		/		
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			/	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			

LDC #: 23162 D2a
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: JV
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/	/	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?				
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	/			
Were retention times within + 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	/			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within + 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	/			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	/			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	/			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	/			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			/	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within + 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?			/	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		/		
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	J.J.J. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23162 D2A
SDG #: Sa Gray

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVL
2nd Reviewer: _____

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)
Y/N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y/N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?
Blank units: ug/L Associated sample units: ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/13/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____

Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
	FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE	
EFE	1.1	(ND or > 5x FB)
FFF	1.6	
CRQL		

Blank units: _____ Associated sample units: _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____

Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
CRQL		

5x Phthalates
2x All others

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$$

$$\text{average RRF} = \text{sum of the RRFs} / \text{number of standards}$$

$$\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$$

A_x = Area of Compound
 A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound
 C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs,
 X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (50 std)	RRF (50 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	ICAL	4/22/2010	1,4-Dioxane (IS1)	0.6130	0.6130	0.6040	0.6040	2.1	2.1	2.14	2.14
	MSS B		Naphthalene (IS2)	1.0911	1.0911	1.0540	1.0540	6.7	6.7	6.69	6.69
			Fluorene (IS3)	1.3019	1.3019	1.2492	1.2492	4.5	4.5	4.52	4.52
			Hexachlorobenzene (IS4)	0.2538	0.2538	0.2511	0.2511	3.6	3.6	3.61	3.61
			Chrysene (IS5)	1.1097	1.1097	1.0545	1.0545	8.2	8.2	8.19	8.19
			Benzo(a)pyrene (IS6)	1.0764	1.0764	0.9937	0.9937	14.5	14.5	14.47	14.47

Inj IS/Cpd	Area cpd	Area IS
40/50	235401	307221
40/50	1665444	1221162
40/50	1149242	706188
40/50	369322	1164007
40/50	1798192	1296367
40/50	1639645	1218617

Conc	1,4-Dioxane	Naphthalene	Fluorene	Hexachlorob	Chrysene	Benzo(a)py
4.00	0.6245	1.1466	1.2580	0.2683	1.1503	0.7055
10.00	0.5990	1.1042	1.2891	0.2534	1.1412	0.8430
20.00	0.6128	1.1120	1.3214	0.2528	1.1033	0.9921
50.00	0.6130	1.0911	1.3019	0.2538	1.1097	1.0764
80.00	0.5845	1.0366	1.2517	0.2500	1.0481	1.0885
120.00	0.5923	1.0166	1.2246	0.2513	0.9945	1.0867
160.00	0.5982	0.9772	1.1893	0.2400	0.9767	1.0794
200.00	0.6075	0.9476	1.1574	0.2393	0.9118	1.0776
X =	0.6040	1.0540	1.2492	0.2511	1.0545	0.9937
S =	0.0129	0.0705	0.0564	0.0091	0.0863	0.1437

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where:
 $\% \text{ Difference} = 100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$
 $\text{RRF} = (\text{Ax}) / (\text{Cx}) / (\text{Ais}) / (\text{Cx})$
 ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 Ax = Area of compound
 Cx = Concentration of compound
 Ais = Area of associated internal standard
 Cis = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference IS)	Average RRF (Initial RRF)	Reported (CC RRF)	Recalculated (CC RRF)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	B6999	04/28/10	1,4-Dioxane (IS1)	0.6040	0.5650	0.5650	6.5	6.5
			Naphthalene (IS2)	1.0540	1.0377	1.0377	1.5	1.5
			Fluorene (IS3)	1.2492	1.2409	1.2409	0.7	0.7
			Hexachlorobenzene (IS4)	0.2511	0.2503	0.2503	0.3	0.3
			Chrysene (IS5)	1.0545	1.0636	1.0636	0.9	0.9
			Benzo(a)pyrene (IS6)	0.9937	1.0956	1.0956	10.3	10.3

Compound (Reference IS)	Concentration (IS/Cpd)	Area Cpd	Area IS
1,4-Dioxane (IS1)	40/80	334458	295989
Naphthalene (IS2)	40/80	2395908	1154469
Fluorene (IS3)	40/80	1716932	691784
Hexachlorobenzene (IS4)	40/80	522947	1044645
Chrysene (IS5)	40/80	2349414	1104427
Benzo(a)pyrene (IS6)	40/80	2348183	1071675

LDC #: Y3147D2a
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JV
 2nd reviewer: h

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	100	81.137	81	81	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	84.73	85	85	↓
Terphenyl-d14	↓	91.99	92	92	
Phenol-d5	150	128.031	85	85	
2-Fluorophenol	↓	122.076	81	81	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol		147.607	98	98	
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $|LCSC - LCSDC| * 2 / (LCSC + LCSDC)$ LCSC = Laboratory control sample concentration LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCS/LCSD samples: US 280 - 12617 / 16 - A

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spike Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
	Phenol											
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine												
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol												
Acenaphthene	2560	NA	2150	NA	84	84						
Pentachlorophenol	2560	NA	2180	NA	85	85						
Pyrene												

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23167 bva
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JLG
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y/N N/A
Y/M N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_1 = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. # 1, SS

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(52005)(40)(1\text{ ml})(1000)}{(1120833)(0.2511)(31.9\text{ g})(0.913)}$$

= 283.06
≈ 280 ug/kg

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-2

Sample Identification

EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-11305/1-A	4/16/10	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.65 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6 ug/L	1.6U ug/L

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC were identified as equipment blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.1 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-2	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2448-2	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6U ug/L	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162F2a
 SDG #: 280-2448-2
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	7 RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CV/AV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS 10
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 1, 2

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	11		21		31	
2	EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	12		22		32	
3 ⁺	MB 280-11305/1-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-4

Sample Identification

SSAK4-01-1BPC
RSAK4-3BPC
SSAL2-01-1BPC
SSAL2-01-2BPC
SSAL4-01-1BPC
SSAK5-01-1BPC
SSAP3-01-1BPC
SSAO4-01-1BPC
SSAO6-01-1BPC
SA106-3BPC
SSAP3-01-1BPCMS
SSAP3-01-1BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 12 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6 ug/L	SSAP3-01-1BPC SSAO4-01-1BPC SSAO6-01-1BPC SA106-3BPC
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.1 ug/L	SSAP3-01-1BPC SSAO4-01-1BPC SSAO6-01-1BPC SA106-3BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) were identified as field blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	SSAK4-01-1BPC RSAK4-3BPC SSAL2-01-1BPC SSAL2-01-2BPC SSAL4-01-1BPC SSAK5-01-1BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MSD percent recovery (%R) was not within QC limits for one compound, the MS percent recovery (%R) was within QC limits and no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-4**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-4	SSAK4-01-1BPC RSAK4-3BPC SSAL2-01-1BPC SSAL2-01-2BPC SSAL4-01-1BPC SSAK5-01-1BPC SSAP3-01-1BPC SSAO4-01-1BPC SSAO6-01-1BPC SA106-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162G2a
 SDG #: 280-2448-4
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: SVL
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2% RSD r ²
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	low / low $\leq 25\%$
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-2280-2) EB = EB-04142010-RIG2-R2 ↓ = FB- ↓ - RZD (280-2216-2) ↓ = EB- ↓ - RIG2-RZ (both 280-2448)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soi)

1	SSAK4-01-1BPC	11	SSAP3-01-1BPCMS	21	MB 280-11504 / 1-A	31
2	RSK4-3BPC	12	SSAP3-01-1BPCMSD	22		32
3	SSAL2-01-1BPC	13		23		33
4	SSAL2-01-2BPC	14		24		34
5	SSAL4-01-1BPC	15		25		35
6	SSAK5-01-1BPC	16		26		36
7	SSAP3-01-1BPC	17		27		37
8	SSAO4-01-1BPC	18		28		38
9	SSAO6-01-1BPC	19		29		39
10	SA106-3BPC	20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-6

Sample Identification

SA182-5BPC
SA182-5BPCMS
SA182-5BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-11616/1-A	4/20/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Dimethylphthalate	93.1 ug/Kg 29.0 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2448-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SA182-5BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	96 ug/Kg	96U ug/Kg

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-6
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.1 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-6	SA182-5BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2448-6	SA182-5BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	96U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

LDC #: 23162H2a
SDG #: 280-2448-6
Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: NG
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>4/1/10</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>2% RSD</u> ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	<u>COV/ICV ≤ 25%</u>
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LES</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	<u>*FB = FB-04072010 R2C (280-2280-2)</u>

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
* ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{EB} = \text{EB-04142010-RIG1-R2C} \\
 & \text{EB} = \text{EB-04142010-RIG2-R2C} \} (280-2448-2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA182-5BPC	11		21		31	
2	SA182-5BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SA182-5BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	<u>MB 280-11616/1-A</u>	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23147 Hm
SDG #: See Cont

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JL
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 4/20/10 Blank analysis date: 4/22/10 Associated Samples: All (b1)
Conc. units: ug/kg

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
[Shaded]	<u>MB 280-11616 A-A</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>EEE</u>	<u>93.1</u>	<u>96/u</u>
<u>CC</u>	<u>29.0</u>	

Blank extraction date: _____ Blank analysis date: _____ Associated Samples: _____
Conc. units: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
[Shaded]		

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-7

Sample Identification

RSAL2-7BPC
SSAN6-01-3BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Di-n-octylphthalate	1.6 ug/L	SSAN6-01-3BPC
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.1 ug/L	SSAN6-01-3BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) were identified as field blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	RSAL2-7BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-7	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-7**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-7	RSAL2-7BPC SSAN6-01-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-7**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-7**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-7**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 2316212a
 SDG #: 280-2448-7
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVL
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 ASD r2
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	COV/ICV = 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	SA 175-5BPC (No ass'd sample, No final)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	UCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-R2C (380-2280-2) EB = EB-04142010-RIG1-R2C ✓ = FB- ↓ - R2D (280-2216-2) ↓ = EB- ↓ - RIG2-RPC (both 280-2448-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

501)

1	RSAL2-7BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAN6-01-3BPC	12		22		32	
3	MB 280-11857/A-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-8

Sample Identification

SSAL2-01-3BPC
SSAL2-01-3BPCMS
SSAL2-01-3BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-8

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-8	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-8**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-8	SSAL2-01-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-8**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-8**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 23162J2a
 SDG #: 280-2448-8
 Laboratory: Test America

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	0.00 / 0.00 ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	EB = EB-04142010-R1G1-R2C EB = EB-04142010-R1G2-R2C (both from 280-2448-2)

FB = FB-04072010-R2D
 (from 280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinstate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil

1	SSAL2-01-3BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAL2-01-3BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SSAL2-01-3BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	MB 280-12261/-A	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(e)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-10

Sample Identification

RSAL2-8BPC
RSAL2-9BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/28/10	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	25.7	All samples in SDG 280-2448-10	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12617/15-A	4/26/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	119 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2448-10

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
RSAL2-8BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	160 ug/Kg	160U ug/Kg
RSAL2-9BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140 ug/Kg	140U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-10

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-10	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-10**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-10	RSAL2-8BPC RSAL2-9BPC	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2448-10	RSAL2-8BPC RSAL2-9BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-10**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2448-10	RSAL2-8BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	160U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2448-10	RSAL2-9BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-10**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 23162L2a
 SDG #: 280-2448-10
 Laboratory: Test America

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVL
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>4/14/10</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>? RSD r²</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	<u>COV/ICV = 25%</u>
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	<u>Client spec</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>UCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	<u>FB = FB-04072010-RZD (from 280-2216-2)</u> <u>FB = FB-04142010-RZC, FB-04142010-R101-R21</u> <u>(both from 280-2448-2)</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	RSAL2-8BPC	11		21		31	
2	RSAL2-9BPC	12		22		32	
3	<u>MB 280-12617/S-A</u>	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 15 through April 16, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-4

Sample Identification

SSAK8-02-1BPC
SA129-3BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-11616/1-A	4/20/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Dimethylphthalate	93.1 ug/kg 29.0 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2500-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAK8-02-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	110 ug/Kg	110U ug/Kg
SA129-3BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Dimethylphthalate	110 ug/Kg 40 ug/Kg	110U ug/Kg 40U ug/Kg

Samples FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) and FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	SSAK8-02-1BPC
FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE	4/13/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	1.1 ug/L 1.6 ug/L	SA129-3BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-4**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-4	SSAK8-02-1BPC SA129-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-4**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2500-4	SSAK8-02-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	110U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2500-4	SA129-3BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Dimethylphthalate	110U ug/Kg 40U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 23162N2a
 SDG #: 280-2500-4
 Laboratory: Test America

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15-16/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD v2
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCW / CV ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	SA 182 - 5BPC
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-R2D (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

FB = FB-04132010-R2G2-RZE (280-2400-2)

Validated Samples:

Soi)

1	SSAK8-02-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	SA129-3BPC	12		22		32	
3 ⁺	MB 280-11616 / 1-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23162 N2A
 SDG #: See copy

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ONE
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 4/20/10 Blank analysis date: 4/22/10 Associated Samples: AV (bl)

Conc. units: ug/kg

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification			
	MB 280-1/616/1-A	1	2		
EEE	93.1	110/u	110/u		
CC	29.0		40/u		

Blank extraction date: _____ Blank analysis date: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification			

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 15, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-5

Sample Identification

SA175-5BPC
SA175-5BPCMS
SA175-5BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE	4/13/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	1.1 ug/L 1.6 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2500-5

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MS/MSD percent recovery (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits for several compounds, the MS, MSD, or LCS percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-5	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-5**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-5	SA175-5BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-5**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-5**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 23162O2a
 SDG #: 280-2500-5
 Laboratory: Test America

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: SVL
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	? RSD ??
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CV / W ≤ 25 ?
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (280-2410-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA175-5BPC	11		21		31	
2	SA175-5BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SA175-5BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	MB 280-11854/A	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(e)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 15, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 28, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-6

Sample Identification

SA175-6BPC
SA175-7BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/28/10	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	25.7	All samples in SDG 280-2500-6	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12617/15-A	4/26/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	119 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2500-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SA175-7BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	220 ug/Kg	220U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE	4/13/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	1.1 ug/L 1.6 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2500-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-6	SA175-6BPC SA175-7BPC	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2500-6	SA175-6BPC SA175-7BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2500-6	SA175-7BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	220U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162P2a
 SDG #: 280-2500-6
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/26/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: SVG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	0% RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	CCW/ICV < 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04132010_RIG2-RZE (280-2910-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinstate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA175-6BPC	11		21		31	
2	SA175-7BPC	12		22		32	
3	MB280-12617/15-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 16, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2541-4

Sample Identification

SSAK5-02-1BPC
SSAK6-01-1BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2541-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2541-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-4**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2541-4	SSAK5-02-1BPC SSAK6-01-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-4**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162R2a
 SDG #: 280-2541-4
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/16/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD r ²
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	SA175-SBPC (No asstd sample, No qual)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = 04072010-R2D (from 280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK5-02-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAK6-01-1BPC	12		22		32	
3	MB 280-11854/1-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 16, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2541-6

Sample Identification

SA127-6BPC
SSAI3-01-3BPC
SSAI3-01-3BPCMS
SSAI3-01-3BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2541-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2541-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-6**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2541-6	SA127-6BPC SSA13-01-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162S2a
 SDG #: 280-2541-6
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVL
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/16/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	20 RSD r ²
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-R2D (from 280-22162)

Note: A = Acceptable
 ND = No compounds detected
 D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable
 R = Rinsate
 TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet
 FB = Field blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

soi |

1	SA127-6BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAI3-01-3BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAI3-01-3BPCMS	13		23		33	
4	SSAI3-01-3BPCMSD	14		24		34	
5	MB 280-12001/6-A	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(e)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 16, 2010

LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2541-8

Sample Identification

RSAK5-9BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/28/10	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	25.7	All samples in SDG 280-2541-8	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12617/15-A	4/26/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	119 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2500-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
RSAK5-9BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140 ug/Kg	140U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2541-8

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2541-8	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-8**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2541-8	RSAK5-9BPC	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2541-8	RSAK5-9BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-8**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2541-8	RSAK5-9BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-8**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162T2a
 SDG #: 280-2541-8
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JV
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>4/16/10</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>2 RSD</u> <u>rr</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	<u>CCW/ICV</u> <u>≤ 25%</u>
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	<u>client spec</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	<u>FB = FB-04072010-R2D (from 280-2216-3)</u>

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: SOI)

1	RSK5-9BPC	11		21		31	
2	<u>NIB 280-12617/15A</u>	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(e)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 21, 2010

LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2699-1

Sample Identification

SSAK7-03-1BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2699-1

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2699-1	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-1**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2699-1	SSAK7-03-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162U2a
 SDG #: 280-2699-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVG
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/21/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CV/AV = 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	SSAL 2-01-3BPC
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04672010-R2D (from 280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinstate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK7-03-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-12261/A-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 22, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Semivolatiles
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2771-3

Sample Identification

SSAN7-03-1BPC
SSAO7-02-1BPC
SSAL3-03-1BPC
SSAN7-03-1BPCMS
SSAN7-03-1BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/28/10	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	25.7	All samples in SDG 280-2771-3	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12640/1-A	4/27/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	121 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2771-3

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAN7-03-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	150 ug/Kg	150U ug/Kg
SSAO7-02-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140 ug/Kg	140U ug/Kg
SSAL3-03-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140 ug/Kg	140U ug/Kg

Samples FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) and FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) were identified as field blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	SSAL3-03-1BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MSD percent recovery (%R) was not within QC limits for one compound, the MS percent recovery (%R) was within QC limits and no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2771-3	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2771-3**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2771-3	SSAN7-03-1BPC SSAO7-02-1BPC SSAL3-03-1BPC	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2771-3	SSAN7-03-1BPC SSAO7-02-1BPC SSAL3-03-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2771-3**

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2771-3	SSAN7-03-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	150U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2771-3	SSAO7-02-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2771-3	SSAL3-03-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	140U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2771-3**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162V2a
 SDG #: 280-2771-3
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5/20/10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JVB
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/22/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	? RSD r✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	CV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (from 280-2216-2) *FB = FB-04072010-RZC (from 280-2280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet

*ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soi

1	SSAN7-03-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAO7-02-1BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAL3-03-1BPC	13		23		33	
4	SSAN7-03-1BPCMS	14		24		34	
5	SSAN7-03-1BPCMSD	15		25		35	
6 ⁺	MB 280-12640/1-A	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23162**

Chlorinated Pesticides

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 13, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 20, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Chlorinated Pesticides
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2400-1

Sample Identification

SSAM3-01-1BPC
SSAM3-01-3BPC
SSAM3-01-5BPC
SSAM3-01-7BPC
SSAM3-01-9BPC
SSAM3-01-7FD
SSAI2-01-1BPC
SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD
SSAI2-01-3BPC
SSAI2-01-5BPC
SSAI2-01-7BPC
SSAI2-01-9BPC
SSAM3-01-1BPCMS
SSAM3-01-1BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 14 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single compounds were performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
280-11136-BLK	4/15/10	4,4'-DDE	1.03 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2400-1

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAI2-01-1BPC	4,4'-DDE	1.5 ug/Kg	1.5U ug/Kg
SSAI2-01-3BPC (50X)	4,4'-DDE	100 ug/Kg	100U ug/Kg

Sample EB-04132010-RIG3-RZD (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as an equipment blank. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

Samples FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) and FB-04132010-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in these blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Column	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
SSAI2-01-9BPC	A	Decachlorobiphenyl	143 (63-124)	All TCL compounds	J+ (all detects)	P
	B	Decachlorobiphenyl	139 (63-124)			

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within the QC limits. Since the samples were diluted out, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2400-1	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAM3-01-7BPC and SSAM3-01-7FD and samples SSAI2-01-1BPC and SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAM3-01-7BPC	SSAM3-01-7FD				
4,4'-DDE	6000	5800	3 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
4,4'-DDT	840	810	-	30 (≤ 390)	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	330	330	-	0 (≤ 390)	-	-

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAI2-01-1BPC	SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD				
4,4'-DDE	1.5	1.8U	-	0.3 (≤ 1.8)	-	-
4,4'-DDT	0.66	1.8U	-	1.14 (≤ 1.8)	-	-

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAI2-01-1BPC	SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD				
beta-BHC	8.0	5.8	-	2.2 (≤ 1.8)	J (all detects)	A
Hexachlorobenzene	4.8	4.0	-	0.8 (≤ 1.8)	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2400-1	SSAI2-01-9BPC	All TCL compounds	J+ (all detects)	P	Surrogate recovery (%R) (s)
280-2400-1	SSAM3-01-1BPC SSAM3-01-3BPC SSAM3-01-5BPC SSAM3-01-7BPC SSAM3-01-9BPC SSAM3-01-7FD SSAI2-01-1BPC SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD SSAI2-01-3BPC SSAI2-01-5BPC SSAI2-01-7BPC SSAI2-01-9BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)
280-2400-1	SSAI2-01-1BPC SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD	beta-BHC	J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (Difference) (fd)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2400-1	SSAI2-01-1BPC	4,4'-DDE	1.5U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2400-1	SSAI2-01-3BPC (50X)	4,4'-DDE	100U ug/Kg	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162B3a
 SDG #: 280-2400-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5-18-10
 Page: (of 1)
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/13/10
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	r ² , %RSD
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SWA	ICV / CCV ≤ 20%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	FD = 4H6, 7+8
XV.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-R2D (from SDG # 280-2214-2) 3 FB-04132010-R2E (from SDG # 280-2400-2) ID = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank EB = EB-04132010-RIG3-R2D (SDG # 280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank

ID = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

ALL Sci

1	SSAM3-01-1BPC	11	SSAI2-01-7BPC	21		31	280-11136-14K
2	SSAM3-01-3BPC	12	SSAI2-01-9BPC	22		32	
3	SSAM3-01-5BPC	13	SSAM3-01-1BPCMS	23		33	
4	SSAM3-01-7BPC	14	SSAM3-01-1BPCMSD	24		34	
5	SSAM3-01-9BPC	15		25		35	
6	SSAM3-01-7FD	16		26		36	
7	SSAI2-01-1BPC	17		27		37	
8	SSAI2-01-1BPC_FD	18		28		38	
9	SSAI2-01-3BPC	19		29		39	
10	SSAI2-01-5BPC	20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG. Chlordane
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH. Chlordane (Technical)
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. 2,4'-DDD	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. 2,4'-DDE	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE. 2,4'-DDT	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF. Hexachlorobenzene	NN.

Notes:

LDC #: 23162BB9
SDG #: Zel Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit 50	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	4	6		
J	6000	5800	3	
O	840	810	30 (5390) Diff	
FF	330	330	0 (5390) Diff	

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD D.A. Limit 51.8	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	7	8		
J	1.5	1.80	0.3	
O	0.66	1.80	1.14	
B	8.0	5.8	2.2	J outs/A (fd)
FF	4.8	4.0	0.8	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: June 1, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Chlorinated Pesticides
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-1

Sample Identification

SSAL3-01-9BPC**
SSAL3-02-1BPC**
SSAL3-02-3BPC**
SSAL3-02-5BPC**
SSAL3-02-7BPC
SSAL3-02-9PBC
RSAL3-1BPC
RSAL3-3BPC
RSAL3-5BPC
RSAL3-7BPC
RSAL3-9BPC
SSAL3-01-1BPC
SSAL3-01-1BPC_FD
SSAL3-01-3BPC
SSAL3-01-5BPC
SSAL3-01-7BPC
RSAL3-1BPCMS
RSAL3-1BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 18 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single compounds were performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Retention time windows were evaluated and considered technically acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples on which a Stage 2B review was performed.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/24/10	049F4901.D	A	4,4'-DDD	25.7	RSAL3-3BPC RSAL3-5BPC RSAL3-7BPC RSAL3-9BPC RSAL3-1BPCMS RSAL3-1BPCMSD	J+ (all detects)	A
4/24/10	049F4901.D	B	4,4'-DDD	22.9	RSAL3-3BPC RSAL3-5BPC RSAL3-7BPC RSAL3-9BPC RSAL3-1BPCMS RSAL3-1BPCMSD	J+ (all detects)	A

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/24/10	063F6301.D	B	4,4'-DDD	20.4	RSAL3-1BPC	J+ (all detects)	A

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Retention times (RT) of all compounds in the calibration standards were within QC limits for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples on which a Stage 2B review was performed.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Column	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
SSAL3-02-7BPC	A	Decachlorobiphenyl	327 (63-124)	All TCL compounds	J+ (all detects)	P
	B	Decachlorobiphenyl	335 (63-124)			
SSAL3-02-9PBC	A	Decachlorobiphenyl	256 (63-124)	All TCL compounds	J+ (all detects)	P
	B	Decachlorobiphenyl	251 (63-124)			

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within the QC limits. Since the samples were diluted out, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which an Stage 4 review was performed.

The sample results for detected compounds from the two columns were within 40% relative percent difference (RPD) with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	RPD	Flag	A or P
SSAL3-01-9BPC**	Methoxychlor	50	J (all detects)	A

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-1	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAL3-01-1BPC and SSAL3-01-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAL3-01-1BPC	SSAL3-01-1BPC_FD				
4,4'-DDE	7.1	5.4	-	1.7 (≤ 1.8)	-	-
beta-BHC	34	21	47 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
Dieldrin	0.27	0.22U	-	0.05 (≤ 1.8)	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	1.8	1.4	-	0.4 (≤ 1.8)	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-1	RSAL3-1BPC RSAL3-3BPC RSAL3-5BPC RSAL3-7BPC RSAL3-9BPC	4,4'-DDD	J+ (all detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2448-1	SSAL3-02-7BPC SSAL3-02-9PBC	All TCL compounds	J+ (all detects)	P	Surrogate recovery (%R) (s)
280-2448-1	SSAL3-01-9BPC**	Methoxychlor	J (all detects)	A	Compound quantitation and CRQLs (RPD) (dc)
280-2448-1	SSAL3-01-9BPC** SSAL3-02-1BPC** SSAL3-02-3BPC** SSAL3-02-5BPC** SSAL3-02-7BPC SSAL3-02-9PBC RSAL3-1BPC RSAL3-3BPC RSAL3-5BPC RSAL3-7BPC RSAL3-9BPC SSAL3-01-1BPC SSAL3-01-1BPC_FD SSAL3-01-3BPC SSAL3-01-5BPC SSAL3-01-7BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162E3a

SDG #: 280-2448-1

Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B / 4 combo

Date: 5-18-10

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: *AT*

2nd Reviewer: *AT*

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	r ² , %RSD
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	ICV/CCV 520%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N A	not reviewed for stage 2B
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N SW	↓
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	FD = 12+13
XV.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-R2D (SDG# 280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

ALL soil

1	SSAL3-01-9BPC <i>xx</i>	11	RSAL3-9BPC	21		31	280-11334-BLK
2	SSAL3-02-1BPC <i>xx</i>	12	SSAL3-01-1BPC	22		32	
3	SSAL3-02-3BPC <i>xx</i>	13	SSAL3-01-1BPC_FD	23		33	
4	SSAL3-02-5BPC <i>xx</i>	14	SSAL3-01-3BPC	24		34	
5	SSAL3-02-7BPC	15	SSAL3-01-5BPC	25		35	
6	SSAL3-02-9BPC	16	SSAL3-01-7BPC	26		36	
7	RSAL3-1BPC	17	RSAL3-1BPCMS	27		37	
8	RSAL3-3BPC	18	RSAL3-1BPCMSD	28		38	
9	RSAL3-5BPC	19		29		39	
10	RSAL3-7BPC	20		30		40	

DC #: 23162E3 9
 SDG #: See Cert

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: RA
 2nd Reviewer: V

Method: Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/ECD Instrument performance check				
Was the instrument performance found to be acceptable?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	✓			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	✓			
Were the RT windows properly established?	✓			
Were the required standard concentrations analyzed in the initial calibration?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? <u>1</u> %D or ___ %R	✓			
Were Evaluation mix standards analyzed prior to the initial calibration and sample analysis?	✓			
Were endrin and 4,4'-DDT breakdowns < 15% for individual breakdown in the Evaluation mix standards?	✓			
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20% or percent recoveries 80-120%?		✓		
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Were extract cleanup blanks analyzed with every batch requiring clean-up?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks or clean-up blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	✓			
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?		✓		
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?		✓		
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				

LDC #: 23162E39
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	✓			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?		✓		
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	✓			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions, dry weight factors, and clean-up activities applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG. Chlordane
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH. Chlordane (Technical)
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. 2,4'-DDD	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. 2,4'-DDE	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE. 2,4'-DDT	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF. Hexachlorobenzene	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Surrogate Recovery

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCB's (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?
 Y N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Date	Sample ID	Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		2 (10x)	A	B	341 (63-124)	no peak, dil out
			B	B	345 (63-124)	
		3 (10x)	A	B	1160 (63-124)	
			B	B	1160 (63-124)	
		4 (5x)	A	B	1220 (63-124)	
			B	B	1150 (63-124)	
		5	A	B	327 (63-124)	JT outsp/P (S)
			B	B	335 (63-124)	
		6	A	B	256 (63-124)	JT outsp/P (S)
			B	B	251 (63-124)	
		7 (100x)	A	A	0 ()	no peak, dil out
			B	B	0 (59-115)	
			A	A	0 (63-124)	
			B	B	0 (59-115)	
		8 (10x)	A	B	292 (63-124)	
			B	B	293 (59-115)	

Designation	Surrogate Compound	Recovery QC Limits (Soil)	Recovery QC Limits (Water)	Comments
A	Tetrachloro-m-xylene			
B	Decachlorobiphenyl			

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 25104521

SDG #: See cover

METHOD: GC HPLC

N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Field Duplicates

Compound	Concentration ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)		%RPD Limit <u>50</u>	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>		
J	<u>7.1</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>1.7</u> (<u>± 1.8</u>) <u>PA</u>	
B	<u>34</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>47</u>	
I	<u>0.27</u>	<u>0.22</u>	<u>0.05</u> (<u>± 1.8</u>) <u>PA</u>	
FF	<u>1.8</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>0.4</u> (<u>± 1.8</u>) <u>PA</u>	

Compound	Concentration ()		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

LDC # 23162E39
 SDG# see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

Parameter: O

Order of regression: Linear

Date	Channel	Compound	Points	x area	y conc
23-Apr-10	A	O	Point 1	20243	4.00
			Point 2	46429	10
			Point 3	115151	25
			Point 4	247006	50
			Point 5	3.63E+05	75
			Point 6	5.07E+05	100

	Regression Output:	Regression Output:
Constant	-5273.87917	Reported c =
R Squared	0.99882	r ² = 0.99900
X Coefficient(s)	5037.90634	b(X) a(X ²)

LDC # 23162E39
 SDG# see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: AF
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

Parameter: D

Order of regression: Linear

Date	Channel	Compound	Points	x area	y conc
22-Dec-09 4/23/10	A	D	Point 1	31286	4.00
			Point 2	70148	10
			Point 3	180446	25
			Point 4	387388	50
			Point 5	5.78E+05	75
			Point 6	7.46E+05	100

Regression Output: Regression Output:		Reported
Constant	-1261.55724	c =
R Squared	0.99900	r ² =
X Coefficient(s)	7578.69448	b(X)
		a(X ²)

LDC #
SDG#

23/62E39
see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

Parameter: D

Order of regression: Linear

Date	Channel	Compound	Points	x area	y conc
23-Apr-10	B	D	Point 1	46811	4.00
			Point 2	103279	10
			Point 3	254141	25
			Point 4	517493	50
			Point 5	7.56E+05	75
			Point 6	9.48E+05	100

Regression Output: Regression Output:		Reported
Constant	16289.33687	c =
R Squared	0.99732	r ² =
X Coefficient(s)	9578.29159	b(X)
		a(X ²)

LDC #: 23/62539
 SDG #: See Cover

Validatin Findings Worksheet
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: PT
 2nd Reviewer: L

Method: EPA SW 846 Method 8081

Compound: O

Date	Column	(Y) Response	(X) Conc.	(X ²) Conc.
4/23/2010	B	25763	4	16
		61728	10	100
		155985	25	625
		317055	50	2500
		460355	75	5625
		629538	100	10000

Regression Output

Constant	c	1515.6283
Std Err of Y Est		
R Squared		0.9996262
Degrees of Freedom		
	b	a
X Coefficient(s)	6.13E+03	1.21E+00
Std Err of Coef.		
Correlation Coefficient		0.999813
Coefficient of Determination (r ²)		0.999626

LDC #: 23162E39
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: AK
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Percent difference (%D) = $100 * (N - C) / N$ Where: N = Initial Calibration Factor or Nominal Amount (ng)
 C = Calibration Factor from Continuing Calibration Standard or Calculated Amount (ng)

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date/Time	Compound	Average CF/CCV	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc CCV	%D	CF/Conc CCV	%D	CF/Conc CCV	%D		
1	038F380.D	4/23/10	D (Ch.A) O ✓	50.0	51.4	2.7	51.4	2.7				
					47.7	4.5	47.7	4.5				
					53.0	5.9	53.0	5.9				
					48.1	3.9	48.1	3.9				
2	063F630.D	7/21/10	D (Ch.A) O ✓ D (Ch.B)	50.0	53.8	7.6	53.8	7.6				
					47.1	5.8	47.1	5.8				
					54.6	9.1	54.6	9.1				
					45.9	8.2	45.9	8.2				
3			O ✓	50.0								
4				50.0								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

DC #: 23162E3 A
 IDG #: See Colney

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: NA
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	A	20.0	18.2876	713	91	0
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	B	20.0	17.6024	88	88	0
Decachlorobiphenyl	A	20.0	22.6791	713	113	0
Decachlorobiphenyl	B	20.0	21.1570	106	106	0

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23162E3A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: 1 of 1

SDG #: See above Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

Reviewer: AF

2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 \times (SSC-SC)/SA$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
SA = Spike added

SC = Concentration

RPD = $100 \times (LCS - LCSD) / (LCS + LCSD)$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: 280-11334-LCS

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
gamma-BHC	16.2	n/a	13.5	n/a	83	83				
4,4'-DDT	16.2	n/a	13.6	n/a	84	84				
Aroclor 1260										

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 15, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 28, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Chlorinated Pesticides
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-1

Sample Identification

SA131-1BPC**
SA131-1BPC_FD
SA131-3BPC**
SA131-5BPC
SA131-7BPC
SA131-9BPC
SA131-1BPC_FDMS
SA131-1BPC_FDMSD
SA131-3BPCMS
SA131-3BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 10 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single compounds were performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Retention time windows were evaluated and considered technically acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples on which a Stage 2B review was performed.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/25/10	005F0501.D	A	alpha-BHC	25.1	SA131-1BPC**	J+ (all detects)	A
			gamma-BHC	26.6	SA131-1BPC_FD	J+ (all detects)	
			beta-BHC	26.6	SA131-3BPC**	J+ (all detects)	
			delta-BHC	25.0	SA131-1BPC_FDMS	J+ (all detects)	
			Heptachlor	27.6	SA131-1BPC_FDMSD	J+ (all detects)	
			4,4'-DDD	24.4	SA131-3BPCMS	J+ (all detects)	
					SA131-3BPCMSD		
					280-11441-BLK		

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
4/25/10	005F0501.D	B	alpha-BHC gamma-BHC beta-BHC delta-BHC Heptachlor	21.1 25.7 20.4 20.1 22.8	SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-3BPC** SA131-1BPC_FDMS SA131-1BPC_FDMSD SA131-3BPCMS SA131-3BPCMSD 280-11441-BLK	J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects)	A
4/25/10	018F1801.D	A	alpha-BHC gamma-BHC beta-BHC delta-BHC Heptachlor 4,4'-DDD	23.5 23.3 23.1 21.9 25.4 21.1	SA131-5BPC SA131-7BPC SA131-9BPC	J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects)	A
4/25/10	018F1801.D	B	gamma-BHC beta-BHC	22.9 20.4	SA131-5BPC SA131-7BPC SA131-9BPC	J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects)	A

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Retention times (RT) of all compounds in the calibration standards were within QC limits for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples on which a Stage 2B review was performed.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04132010-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as a field blank. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. Surrogate recoveries (%R) were not within QC limits for several samples. Since the samples were diluted out, no data were qualified.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within the QC limits. Since the samples were diluted out, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-1	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SA131-1BPC** and SA131-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA131-1BPC**	SA131-1BPC_FD				
4,4'-DDE	11000	14000	24 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
4,4'-DDT	7200	31000	-	23800 (≤ 1800)	J (all detects)	A

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-1	SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-3BPC** SA131-5BPC SA131-7BPC SA131-9BPC	alpha-BHC gamma-BHC beta-BHC delta-BHC Heptachlor 4,4'-DDD	J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
280-2500-1	SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-3BPC** SA131-5BPC SA131-7BPC SA131-9BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)
280-2500-1	SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD	4,4'-DDT	J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (Difference) (fd)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 23162M3a
 SDG #: 280-2500-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Stage 2B *Combo 4*

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: AT
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments	
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>4/15/10</u>
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>r² = 0.98</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A SW	<u>ICV/CCV ≤ 20%</u>
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	NA	<u>not reviewed for stage 2B</u>
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	NA	↓
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>FD = 100%</u>
XV.	Field blanks	ND	<u>FB = FB-04132010-R1G2-R2E</u> (SDG # 280-2500-1)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ALL SOIL

1	SA131-1BPC	11	21	31	<u>280-1141-BLK</u>
2	SA131-1BPC_FD	12	22	32	
3	SA131-3BPC	13	23	33	
4	SA131-5BPC	14	24	34	
5	SA131-7BPC	15	25	35	
6	SA131-9BPC	16	26	36	
7	SA131-1BPC_FDMS	17	27	37	
8	SA131-1BPC_FDMSD	18	28	38	
9	SA131-3BPCMS	19	29	39	
10	SA131-3BPCMSD	20	30	40	

LDC #: 23162M39
 SDG #: See Cert

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/ECD instrument performance check				
Was the instrument performance found to be acceptable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the required standard concentrations analyzed in the initial calibration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? <u>✓</u> %D or <u> </u> %R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were Evaluation mix standards analyzed prior to the initial calibration and sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were endrin and 4,4'-DDT breakdowns < 15% for individual breakdown in the Evaluation mix standards?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20% or percent recoveries 80-120%?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were extract cleanup blanks analyzed with every batch requiring clean-up?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks or clean-up blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				

LDC #: 23162MBA
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions, dry weight factors, and clean-up activities applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG. Chlordane
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH. Chlordane (Technical)
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. 2,4'-DDD	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. 2,4'-DDE	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE. 2,4'-DDT	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF. Hexachlorobenzene	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration

LDC #: 23162m3a
SDG #: See cover

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: AB
2nd Reviewer: LE

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N. N/A Were Evaluation mix standards run before initial calibration and before samples?

N. N/A Were Endrin & 4,4'-DDT breakdowns acceptable in the Evaluation Mix standard ($\leq 15.0\%$ for individual breakdowns)?

N. N/A Was at least one standard run daily to verify the working curve?

N. N/A Did the continuing calibration standards meet the percent difference (%D) of $\leq 20.0\%$?

Level (Y/D) Only

Y N (N/A) Were the retention times for all calibrated compounds within their respective acceptance windows?

#	Date	Standard ID	Column	Compound	%D (Limit ≤ 20.0)	RT (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	4/23/10	014F101.D (180)	A B	N N	37.4 34.32	() ()	ALL + BLK	J-1417/A (C) ↓
	4/25/10	005F0501.D	A	A	25.1	()	1-3, 7-10	J+ duts / A (C)
				D	26.6	()	+ BLK	
				B	26.6	()		
				C	25.0	()		
				E	27.0	()		
				M	24.4	()		
				A	21.1	()		
				D	25.7	()		
				B	20.4	()		
				C	20.1	()		
				E	22.8	()		
	4/25/10	018F1801.D	A	A	23.5	()	4-6	J+ duts / A (C)
				D	23.3	()		
				B	23.1	()		
				C	21.9	()		
				E	25.4	()		
				M	21.1	()		
				D	22.9	()		
				B	20.4	()		

A. alpha-BHC
B. beta-BHC
C. delta-BHC
E. Heptachlor
F. Aldrin
G. Heptachlor epoxide
I. Dieldrin
J. 4,4'-DDE
K. Endrin
M. 4,4'-DDD
N. Endosulfan sulfate
O. 4,4'-DDT
Q. Endrin ketone
R. Endrin aldehyde
S. alpha-Chlordane
U. Toxaphene
V. Aroclor-1016
W. Aroclor-1221
Y. Aroclor-1242
Z. Aroclor-1248
AA. Aroclor-1254
CC. 2,4'-DDD
DD. 2,4'-DDE
EE. 2,4'-DDT
GG. Chlordane
HH. Chlordane (Technical)

LDC #: 2516LMDA
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit 50	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	1	2		
J	11000	14000	24	
O	7200	31000	23,800 (±1800)DMA	J & O/A (Fd)

Compound	Concentration ()		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

LDC #
SDG#

23162M3A
see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

Parameter: D

Order of regression: Linear

Date	Channel	Compound	Points	x area	y conc
22-Dec-09 4/23/10	A	D	Point 1	31286	4.00
			Point 2	70148	10
			Point 3	180446	25
			Point 4	387388	50
			Point 5	5.78E+05	75
			Point 6	7.46E+05	100

Regression Output:	Reported
Constant	-1261.55724
R Squared	0.99900
X Coefficient(s)	7578.69448
	c =
	r ² =
	b(X)
	a(X ²)

LDC # 23162139
 SDG# see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

Parameter: D

Order of regression: Linear

Date	Channel	Compound	Points	x area	y conc
23-Apr-10	B	D	Point 1	46811	4.00
			Point 2	103279	10
			Point 3	254141	25
			Point 4	517493	50
			Point 5	7.56E+05	75
			Point 6	9.48E+05	100

Regression Output: Regression Output:		Reported
Constant	16289.33687	c =
R Squared	0.99732	r ² =
X Coefficient(s)	9578.29159	b(X)
		a(X ²)

LDC #: 231622232
 SDG #: See Cover

Validation Findings Worksheet
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: EPA SW 846 Method 8081

Compound: O

Date	Column	(Y) Response	(X) Conc.	(X ²) Conc.
4/23/2010	B	25763	4	16
		61728	10	100
		155985	25	625
		317055	50	2500
		460355	75	5625
		629538	100	10000

Regression Output

Constant	c	1515.6283
Std Err of Y Est		
R Squared		0.9996262
Degrees of Freedom		
	b	a
X Coefficient(s)	6.13E+03	1.21E+00
Std Err of Coef.		
Correlation Coefficient		0.999813
Coefficient of Determination (r ²)		0.999626

LDC #
SDG#

23162M34
see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: AF
2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

Parameter: O

Order of regression: Linear

Date	Channel	Compound	Points	x area	y conc
23-Apr-10	A	O	Point 1	20243	4.00
			Point 2	46429	10
			Point 3	115151	25
			Point 4	247006	50
			Point 5	3.63E+05	75
			Point 6	5.07E+05	100

Regression Output: Regression Output:	Reported
Constant	-5273.87917 c =
R Squared	0.99882 r ² =
X Coefficient(s)	5037.90634 b(X) a(X ²)

LDC #: 23162m3a
 SDG #: SEL cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Percent difference (%D) = $100 * (N - C) / N$ Where: N = Initial Calibration Factor or Nominal Amount (ng)
 C = Calibration Factor from Continuing Calibration Standard or Calculated Amount (ng)

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date/Time	Compound	Average CF/ CCV $\frac{CF}{CCV}$	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/ $\frac{CF}{CCV}$	CCV	CF/ $\frac{CF}{CCV}$	CCV	%D	%D		
1	005F0501D	4/5/10	D (Ch.A) O ✓	50.0	63.3	63.3	63.3	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	
			D (Ch.B) O ✓	50.0	56.7	56.7	56.7	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	
			D (Ch.B) O ✓	50.0	62.8	62.8	62.8	25.7	25.7	25.7	25.7	
			O ✓	50.0	53.6	53.6	53.6	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	
2												
3												
4												

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

DC #: 23162M3A
 IDG #: See Calc

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1 ~~1~~ Diluted out

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	A	0.02	0	0	0	0
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl	A	0.02	0	0	0	0
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23162M39
 SDG #: DeCarly

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: At
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 \cdot (SSC-SC)/SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 \cdot |LCS - LCSD| / (LCS + LCSD)$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: 280-1141-LCS

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS		LCSD		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
gamma-BHC	15.8	n/a	15.8	n/a	100	100								
4,4'-DDT	15.8	n/a	14.8	n/a	94	94								
Aroclor 1260														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23162**

Metals

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 13, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 28, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Metals
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2400-1

Sample Identification

SA207-12BPC	SA128-5BPC_FD
SA207-12BPC_FD	SSAO3-01-1BPCMS
SSAO3-01-1BPC	SSAO3-01-1BPCMSD
SSAO3-01-5BPC	SA139-1BPCMS
SA04-2BPC	SA139-1BPCMSD
SA04-4BPC	
SA04-6BPC	
SA04-8BPC	
SA09-3BPC	
SA09-5BPC	
SA09-5BPC_FD	
SA48-3BPC	
SA48-5BPC	
SA139-1BPC	
SA139-5BPC	
SSAO8-01-1BPC	
SSAO8-01-1BPC-FD	
SSAO8-01-5BPC	
SA128-3BPC	
SA128-5BPC**	

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 25 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic, Cobalt, Magnesium, and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Magnesium	1.49 mg/Kg	SA207-12BPC SA207-12BPC_FD
ICB/CCB	Magnesium	8.76 ug/L	SA207-12BPC SA207-12BPC_FD
PB (prep blank)	Manganese	0.704 mg/Kg	SA139-1BPC SA139-5BPC SSAO8-01-1BPC SSAO8-01-1BPC-FD SSAO8-01-5BPC
ICB/CCB	Cobalt	0.0611 ug/L	SA139-1BPC SA139-5BPC SSAO8-01-1BPC SSAO8-01-1BPC-FD SSAO8-01-5BPC
ICB/CCB	Manganese	0.350 ug/L	SA139-5BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2), FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-1), and FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2400-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SA207-12BPC and SA207-12BPC_FD, samples SA09-5BPC and SA09-5BPC_FD, samples SSAO8-01-1BPC and SSAO8-01-1BPC-FD, and samples SA128-5BPC** and SA128-5BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No metal contaminants were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA207-12BPC	SA207-12BPC_FD				
Magnesium	17000	27000	45 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA09-5BPC	SA09-5BPC_FD				
Arsenic	3.2	3.3	3 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAO8-01-1BPC	SSAO8-01-1BPC-FD				
Arsenic	93	93	0 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
Manganese	120000	110000	9 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
Cobalt	2800	2800	0 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA128-5BPC**	SA128-5BPC_FD				
Arsenic	11	11	0 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2400-1	SA207-12BPC SA207-12BPC_FD SSAO3-01-1BPC SSAO3-01-5BPC SA04-2BPC SA04-4BPC SA04-6BPC SA04-8BPC SA09-3BPC SA09-5BPC SA09-5BPC_FD SA48-3BPC SA48-5BPC SA139-1BPC SA139-5BPC SSAO8-01-1BPC SSAO8-01-1BPC-FD SSAO8-01-5BPC SA128-3BPC SA128-5BPC** SA128-5BPC_FD	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162B4
 SDG #: 280-2400-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B / 4

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/13/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for 2B
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(1,2), (10,11), (16,17), (20,21)
XV.	Field Blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-RZC, FB-04062010-RZB, FB-04152010-RZE (280-2280-2) (280-2131-1) (280-2102)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

* see below

Validated Samples: Soil **Level 14

1	SA207-12BPC	11	SA09-5BPC_FD	21	SA128-5BPC_FD	31	PBS (1-13)
2	SA207-12BPC_FD	12	SA48-3BPC	22	SSA03-01-1BPCMS	32	PBS (14-21)
3	SSA03-01-1BPC	13	SA48-5BPC	23	SSA03-01-1BPCMSD	33	
4	SSA03-01-5BPC	14	SA139-1BPC	24	SA139-1BPCMS	34	
5	SA04-2BPC	15	SA139-5BPC	25	SA139-1BPCMSD	35	
6	SA04-4BPC	16	SSA08-01-1BPC	26		36	
7	SA04-6BPC	17	SSA08-01-1BPC-FD	27		37	
8	SA04-8BPC	18	SSA08-01-5BPC	28		38	
9	SA09-3BPC	19	SA128-3BPC	29		39	
10	SA09-5BPC	20	SA128-5BPC **	30		40	

Notes: * FB = FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (280-2400-2)

IC #: 23162B4
 DG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 4
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the proper number of standards used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

DC #: 2516201
 DG #: seccar

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 6 of 5
 Reviewer: ER
 2nd Reviewer: ✓

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			✓	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?	✓			
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		✓		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 23162B4

SDG #: See Cover

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW 864 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/Kg


VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET


PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: 100x

Associated Samples: 1, 2

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: 

2nd Reviewer: 

Reason Code: bl

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers
Mg	1.49		8.76	14.9	

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/Kg Associated Samples: 14-18

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers
Co			0.0611		
Mn	0.704			7.04	

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/Kg Associated Samples: 15

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers
Mn			0.350		

Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC#: 23162B4

SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: ca
2nd Reviewer: ln

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6020/7000)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?
 V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23162B4.wpd

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	1	2	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Magnesium	17000	27000	45			

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	10	11	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	3.2	3.3	3			

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	16	17	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	93	93	0			
Manganese	120000	110000	9			
Cobalt	2800	2800	0			

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	20	21	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	11	11	0			

LDC #: 23/6203
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: GR
 2nd Reviewer: BA

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R		%R		
	ICP (Initial calibration)								
	GFAA (Initial calibration)								
	CVAA (Initial calibration)								
	ICP (Continuing calibration)								
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)								
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)								
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	As	40.7	40.0	102		102		Y
CCV	ICP/MS (Continuing calibration)	↓	51.1	50.0	102		102		Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 2316284
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CS
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} - \text{True}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D	
ICSPB	ICP interference check	As	99.4	100	99	99	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample		19.9	20	100	99	Y
24	Matrix spike		24.4 (SSR-SR)	20.8	117	115	Y
24/25	Duplicate		47.4	50.3	6	6	Y
14	ICP serial dilution		23	24.8	8*	6	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.
 * 210% but both within limits = no qualification

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 13, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Metals
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2400-6

Sample Identification

SSA03-3BPC
SA139-3BPC
SSA08-01-7BPC
SSA08-01-9BPC
SA128-7BPC**
SA128-9BPC**

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 6 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic, Cobalt, and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Cobalt	0.0354 ug/L	SSA08-01-7BPC SSA08-01-9BPC
PB (prep blank)	Manganese	0.125 mg/Kg	SA139-3BPC SSA08-01-7BPC SSA08-01-9BPC
ICB/CCB	Manganese	1.21 ug/L	SA139-3BPC SSA08-01-7BPC SSA08-01-9BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZC	4/8/10	Cobalt	0.016 ug/L	SSAO8-01-7BPC SSAO8-01-9BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2400-6	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-6**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2400-6	SSAO3-3BPC SA139-3BPC SSAO8-01-7BPC SSAO8-01-9BPC SA128-7BPC** SA128-9BPC**	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-6**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B 14

Date: 5-19-10

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: OSR

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 23162D4
 SDG #: 280-2400-6
 Laboratory: Test America

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/13/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D (SDGM 280-2131-9)
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	(280-2131-9)
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for 2B
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	SW	FB=FB-04072010-RZC, FB-04132010-RIGZ RZ# [Signature] (280-2280-2) (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil **Level 4

1	SSAO3-3BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SA139-3BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAO8-01-7BPC	13		23		33	
4	SSAO8-01-9BPC	14		24		34	
5	SA128-7BPC **	15		25		35	
6	SA128-9BPC **	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #:
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer:

Method:Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	/			
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	/			
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	/			
Were the proper number of standards used?	/			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	/			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	/			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	/			
IV. ICP Interference/Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	/			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	/			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	/			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL (+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.	/			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	/			

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption (F-AAS)				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			/	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			/	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			/	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			/	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?	/			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?	/			
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		/		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW-846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	/			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.	/			

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: 100x
 Associated Samples: 3, 4
 Reason Code: bl

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	NO Quals					
Co			0.0354							

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/Kg Associated Samples: 2-4

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers					
Mn	0.125		1.21	0.121						

Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC #: 2316204
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: GR
 2nd Reviewer: LA

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	%R	%R	%R	
	ICP (Initial calibration)								
	GFAA (Initial calibration)								
	CVAA (Initial calibration)								
	ICP (Continuing calibration)								
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)								
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)								
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	As	41.5	40	104		104		Y
CCV _(01:10)	ICP/MS (Continuing calibration)	↓	49.0	500	98		98		Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 2316204
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CS
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units) mg/Ls	True / D / SDR (units) mg/Ls	Recalculated		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D	
TSAB	ICP interference check	As	101 mg/L	100 mg/L	101	101	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample		19.6	20	98	98	Y
N	Matrix spike		(SSR-SR)				
N	Duplicate						
N	ICP serial dilution						

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Metals
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-1

Sample Identification

RSAN6-3BPC**	SSAP3-01-1BPCMSD
RSAN6-5BPC**	SSAO6-01-5BPCMS
RSAN6-5BPC_FD	SSAO6-01-5BPCMSD
SSAP3-01-1BPC**	
SSAP3-01-5BPC**	
SA182-3BPC**	
SA182-3BPC_FD	
SA182-5BPC**	
SSAO4-01-1BPC**	
SSAO4-01-5BPC**	
SA17-1BPC	
SA17-5BPC	
SA43-1BPC**	
SA43-5BPC**	
SSAO6-01-1BPC**	
SSAO6-01-5BPC**	
SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD	
SA106-3BPC**	
SA106-5BPC**	
SSAP3-01-1BPCMS	

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 23 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic, Magnesium, and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Magnesium	6.82 ug/L	SSAP3-01-1BPC** SSAP3-01-5BPC**
ICB/CCB	Magnesium	4.12 ug/L	SSAO6-01-1BPC** SSAO6-01-5BPC** SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD SA106-3BPC** SA106-5BPC**

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Manganese	1.6 ug/L	SA43-1BPC** SA43-5BPC** SSAO6-01-1BPC**

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Magnesium	15 ug/L	SSAP3-01-1BPC** SSAP3-01-5BPC** SSA06-01-5BPC** SSA06-01-1BPC_FD SA106-3BPC** SA106-5BPC**
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Manganese	18 ug/L	SA43-1BPC** SA43-5BPC** SSA06-01-1BPC**
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Magnesium	62 ug/L	SSAP3-01-1BPC** SSAP3-01-5BPC** SSA06-01-5BPC** SSA06-01-1BPC_FD SA106-3BPC** SA106-5BPC**

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples RSAN6-5BPC** and RSAN6-5BPC_FD, samples SA182-3BPC** and SA182-3BPC_FD, and samples SSAO6-01-1BPC** and SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No metal contaminants were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	RSAN6-5BPC**	RSAN6-5BPC_FD				
Arsenic	3.8	4.1	8 (≤50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA182-3BPC**	SA182-3BPC_FD				
Arsenic	4.3	4.6	7 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAO6-01-1BPC**	SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD				
Arsenic	5.6	5.4	4 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
Magnesium	13000	11000	17 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-1	RSAN6-3BPC** RSAN6-5BPC** RSAN6-5BPC_FD SSAP3-01-1BPC** SSAP3-01-5BPC** SA182-3BPC** SA182-3BPC_FD SA182-5BPC** SSAO4-01-1BPC** SSAO4-01-5BPC** SA17-1BPC SA17-5BPC SA43-1BPC** SA43-5BPC** SSAO6-01-1BPC** SSAO6-01-5BPC** SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD SA106-3BPC** SA106-5BPC**	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162E4
 SDG #: 280-2448-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B / 4

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	AA	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not Utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for 2B
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(2,3), (6,7), (15,17)
XV.	Field Blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZC, FB-04132010-RIG1-RZ! (280-2280-2) (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank *see below

Validated Samples: Soil

**Level 4

1	RSAN6-3BPC **	11	SA17-1BPC	21	SSAP3-01-1BPCMSD	31	PBS
2	RSAN6-5BPC **	12	SA17-5BPC	22	SSA06-01-5BPCMS	32	
3	RSAN6-5BPC_FD **	13	SA43-1BPC **	23	SSA06-01-5BPCMSD	33	
4	SSAP3-01-1BPC **	14	SA43-5BPC **	24	SSA06-01-5BPCDUP	34	
5	SSAP3-01-5BPC **	15	SSA06-01-1BPC **	25		35	
6	SA182-3BPC **	16	SSA06-01-5BPC **	26		36	
7	SA182-3BPC_FD **	17	SSA06-01-1BPC_FD **	27		37	
8	SA182-5BPC **	18	SA106-3BPC **	28		38	
9	SSA04-01-1BPC **	19	SA106-5BPC **	29		39	
10	SSA04-01-5BPC **	20	SSAP3-01-1BPCMS	30		40	

Notes: * EB = EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC (280-2448-2)
 EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC

Method:Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the proper number of standards used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			/	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			/	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			/	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			/	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?	/			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?	/			
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		/		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	/			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		/		

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers
Mg			6.82		

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/Kg Associated Samples: 15-19

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers
Mg			4.12		

Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC#: 23162E4
 SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6020/7000)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?
 V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23162E4.wpd

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	2	3	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	3.8	4.1	8			

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	6	7	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	4.3	4.6	7			

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	15	17	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	5.6	5.4	4			
Magnesium	13000	11000	17			

LDC #: 23/62E4
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: GR
 2nd Reviewer: LA

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	%R	%R	%R	
	ICP (Initial calibration)								
	GFAA (Initial calibration)								
	CVAA (Initial calibration)								
	ICP (Continuing calibration)								
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)								
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)								
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	Mn	40.423	40	106		106		Y
CCV	ICP/MS (Continuing calibration)	As	50.5	50	101		101		Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 236229
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: GR
 2nd Reviewer: GR

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} - \text{True}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units) (units)	True / D / SDR (units) (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D	
ICSAB	ICP interference check	Mn	105 ug/L	100 ug/L	105	105	105	105	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample	Mn	20.1	20.0	101	101	100	100	Y
22	Matrix spike	As	(SSR-SR) 19.2	20.9	92	92	92	92	Y
20/21	Duplicate	Mg	21300	21800	2	2	2	2	Y
4	ICP serial dilution	Mg	19000	191500	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.2	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Metals
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-2

Sample Identification

EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMS
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 4 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic, Cobalt, Lead, Magnesium, and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Cobalt Manganese Magnesium	0.0348 ug/L 0.550 ug/L 8.34 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-2
ICB/CCB	Cobalt	0.0191 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	Cobalt Magnesium	0.015 ug/L 15 ug/L	1.0U ug/L 20U ug/L
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	Cobalt	0.20 ug/L	1.0U ug/L

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC were identified as equipment blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	4/14/10	Cobalt Manganese Magnesium	0.015 ug/L 1.6 ug/L 15 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Cobalt Manganese Magnesium Lead	0.20 ug/L 18 ug/L 62 ug/L 0.28 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-2	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2448-2	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	Cobalt Magnesium	1.0U ug/L 20U ug/L	A	bl
280-2448-2	EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	Cobalt	1.0U ug/L	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 23162F4

SDG #: 280-2448-2

Laboratory: Test America

Date: 5-11-10

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CR

2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	NO+utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	SW	EB=1,2 (no associated samples)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	11	QSW	21		31	
2	EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	12		22		32	
3	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMS	13		23		33	
4	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMSD	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23162F4

SDG #: See Cover

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW 864 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: NA

Associated Samples: All

Reason Code: bl

Page: 1 of 2

Reviewer: CR

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	1	2							
Co		0.0348	0.0191		0.015 / 1.0	0.20 / 1.0							
Mn		0.550											
Mg		8.34			15 / 20								

Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Arsenic
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-9

Sample Identification

SA17-6BPC
SA17-8BPC
SA43-3BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No arsenic was found in these blanks.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No arsenic was found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-9	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-9	SA17-6BPC SA17-8BPC SA43-3BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162K4
 SDG #: 280-2448-9
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D (506x 280-2131-9)
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	(280-2131-9)
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	ND	FB=FB-04072010-RZC, FB-043200-RIGZ-RZS (280-2280-2) (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

* See below

Validated Samples: soil

1	SA17-6BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SA17-8BPC	12		22		32	
3	SA43-3BPC	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: EB = EB-04142010-RIGZ-RZC (280-2448-2)
 EB-04142010-RIGZ-RZC ↓

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 15, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Arsenic & Manganese
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-1

Sample Identification

SA165-1BPC
SA165-5BPC
SA165-5BPC_FD
SSAN6-03-1BPC
SSAN6-03-5BPC
SSAO6-03-1BPC
SSAO6-03-5BPC
SA131-1BPC**
SA131-1BPC_FD
SA131-5BPC
SA165-1BPCMS
SA165-1BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 12 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic or manganese was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Manganese	0.0750 mg/Kg	SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-5BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB-04022010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No arsenic or manganese was found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
SA165-1BPCMS/MSD (SA165-1BPC SA165-5BPC SA165-5BPC_FD SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-5BPC)	Arsenic	133 (75-125)	-	-	J+ (all detects)	A

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SA165-5BPC and SA165-5BPC_FD and samples SA131-1BPC** and SA131-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No arsenic or manganese was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA165-5BPC	SA165-5BPC_FD				
Arsenic	4.5	4.5	0 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA131-1BPC**	SA131-1BPC_FD				
Arsenic	6.0	5.9	2 (≤ 50)	-	-	-
Manganese	3800	4700	21 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic & Manganese - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-1	SA165-1BPC SA165-5BPC SA165-5BPC_FD SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-5BPC	Arsenic	J+ (all detects)	A	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates (%R) (m)
280-2500-1	SA165-1BPC SA165-5BPC SA165-5BPC_FD SSAN6-03-1BPC SSAN6-03-5BPC SSAO6-03-1BPC SSAO6-03-5BPC SA131-1BPC** SA131-1BPC_FD SA131-5BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic & Manganese - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic & Manganese - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162M4
 SDG #: 280-2500-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B / 4

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: As & Mn (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for ZB
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(2,3), (8,9)
XV.	Field Blanks	ND	FB=FB-01072010-RZC, FB-01072010-RZD (280-2280-2) (280-2216-2) FB=FB04132010-RIGZ-RZ1 (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil **Level 4

1	SA165-1BPC	11	SA165-1BPCMS	21	PBS	31
2	SA165-5BPC	12	SA165-1BPCMSD	22		32
3	SA165-5BPC_FD	13		23		33
4	SSAN6-03-1BPC	14		24		34
5	SSAN6-03-5BPC	15		25		35
6	SSAO6-03-1BPC	16		26		36
7	SSAO6-03-5BPC	17		27		37
8	SA131-1BPC **	18		28		38
9	SA131-1BPC_FD	19		29		39
10	SA131-5BPC	20		30		40

Notes: _____

Method:Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the proper number of standards used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption (FAC)				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			✓	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?	✓			
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		✓		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 23162M4

SDG #: See Cover

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW 864 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/Kg

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: 100x

Associated Samples: 8-10

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CR

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Reason Code: bl

Analyte	Maximum PB ^a (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB ^a (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (ug/L)	Action Limit	No Qualifiers					
Mn	0.0750									

Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC#: 23162M4

SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6020/7000)

Y N NA
Y N NA

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23162M4.wpd

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	2	3	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	4.5	4.5	0			

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	8	9	RPD	Difference	Limits	
Arsenic	6.0	5.9	2			
Manganese	3800	4700	21			

LDC #: 23/6214
 SDG #: Seecover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 92
 Reviewer: JA
 2nd Reviewer: JA

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R		%R		
	ICP (Initial calibration)								
	GFAA (Initial calibration)								
	CVAA (Initial calibration)								
	ICP (Continuing calibration)								
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)								
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)								
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	AS	41.6	40.0	104		104		Y
CCV(214)	ICP/MS (Continuing calibration)	Mn	51.8	50.0	104		104		Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of recalculated results.

LDC #: 2362MY
 SDG #: See cover

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} - \text{SSR}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (unit)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D	
ISAB	ICP interference check	As	98.6 ug/L	100 ug/L	99	99	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample	As	18.8	20.0	94	94	Y
11	Matrix spike	As (SSR-SR)	36.8	27.9	132	133	Y
11/12	Duplicate	Mn	2940	3060	4	4	Y
1	ICP serial dilution	Mn	2800	2650	5.4*	3.7	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.
 * >10% but both within limits = 7.00 ug/L

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 16, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Arsenic
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2541-1

Sample Identification

SA107-2BPC
SA107-5BPC
SA107-2BPCMS
SA107-2BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as a field blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2541-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2541-1	SA107-2BPC SA107-5BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2541-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 23162M4
 SDG #: 280-2541-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Stage 2B

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>4-16-10</u>
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	<u>MS/D</u>
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	<u>Not utilized</u>
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	NO	<u>FB = FB-01132010-RIGZ-RZE</u>

(SDGA 280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
all soil

1	SA107-2BPC	11	<u>QBS</u>	21		31	
2	SA107-5BPC	12		22		32	
3	SA107-2BPCMS	13		23		33	
4	SA107-2BPCMSD	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 7, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Arsenic
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2216-8

Sample Identification

SA137-7BPC
SA130-9BPC
SA84-7BPC
SA84-9BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-1) were identified as field blanks. No arsenic was found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2216-8	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2216-8**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2216-8	SA137-7BPC SA130-9BPC SA84-7BPC SA84-9BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2216-8**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2216-8**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162W4
 SDG #: 280-2216-8
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/7/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D (SDG # 280-2131-9)
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not Utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	(SDG # 280-2131-9)
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV.	Field Blanks	ND	PB=FB-04072010-RZC, FB04062010-RZB (280-2280-2) (280-2131-1)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA137-7BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SA130-9BPC	12		22		32	
3	SA84-7BPC	13		23		33	
4	SA84-9BPC	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23162

Perchlorate

LDC

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 13, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Perchlorate
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2400-1

Sample Identification

SSAJ3-03-1BPC**
SSAJ3-03-5BPC**
SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD
SSAJ3-03-1BPCMS
SSAJ3-03-1BPCMSD
SSAJ3-03-1BPCDUP

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 6 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) and FB-04132010-RIG3-RZD (from SDG 280-2400-2) were identified as field blanks. No perchlorate was found in these blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2400-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAJ3-03-1BPC** and SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No perchlorate was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAJ3-03-1BPC**	SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD				
Perchlorate	0.076	0.065	16 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2400-1	SSAJ3-03-1BPC** SSAJ3-03-5BPC** SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2400-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162B6
 SDG #: 280-2400-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B 14

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ca
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/13/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D
V.	Duplicates	A	Dup
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(1,3)
X.	Field blanks	NO	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2) EB = EB-04132010-RIG3-RZD (280-2400-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil **Level 4

1	SSAJ3-03-1BPC **	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SSAJ3-03-5BPC **	12		22		32	
3	SSAJ3-03-1BPC_FD	13		23		33	
4	SSAJ3-03-1BPCMS	14		24		34	
5	SSAJ3-03-1BPCMSD	15		25		35	
6	SSAJ3-03-1BPCDUP	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23162B6
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method see cover)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IV. Technical Holding Times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
V. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	/			
Were the proper number of standards used?	/			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	/			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	/			
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			/	
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			/	
VI. Blank				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		/		
VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/Spike Duplicate				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	/			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL (≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were < 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the CRDL.	/			
VIII. Laboratory Control Sample				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?		/		

LDC #: 2316286
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
Were detection limits < RL?	/			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.	/			

LDC#: 23162B6
SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Inorganics, Method: See Cover

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
- Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	1	3				
Perchlorate	0.076	0.065	16			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23162B6.wpd

LDC #: 23/6208
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$
 Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{S-D}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$
 Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD	%R / RPD	%R / RPD	%R / RPD	
LCS	Laboratory control sample	ClO ₄	0.0950	0.0996	95	95	95	95	Y
4	Matrix spike sample	↓	(SSR-SR) 0.102	0.109	94	94	94	94	Y
6	Duplicate sample	↓	0.076	0.075	2	2	2	2	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Perchlorate
Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-1

Sample Identification

SSAN6-01-1BPC**
SSAN6-01-5BPC**
SSAN6-01-5BPC_FD
SSAO6-01-1BPC**
SSAO6-01-5BPC**
SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD
SSAO6-01-5BPCMS
SSAO6-01-5BPCMSD
SSAO6-01-5BPCDUP

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 9 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No perchlorate was found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Perchlorate	2.3 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-1

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAN6-01-5BPC** and SSAN6-01-5BPC_FD and samples SSAO6-01-1BPC** and SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No perchlorate was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAN6-01-5BPC**	SSAN6-01-5BPC_FD				
Perchlorate	38	33	14 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAO6-01-1BPC**	SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD				
Perchlorate	67	71	6 (≤ 50)	-	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-1	SSAN6-01-1BPC** SSAN6-01-5BPC** SSAN6-01-5BPC_FD SSAO6-01-1BPC** SSAO6-01-5BPC** SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162E6
 SDG #: 280-2448-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B / 4

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	D	
IIb.	Calibration verification	D	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	ms/D
V.	Duplicates	A	DUP
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for stage 2B
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(2,3), (4,6)
X.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-2280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

*see below

Validated Samples: soil * Level 4

1	SSAN6-01-1BPC **	11	QBS	21		31	
2	SSAN6-01-5BPC **	12		22		32	
3	SSAN6-01-5BPC_FD	13		23		33	
4	SSAO6-01-1BPC **	14		24		34	
5	SSAO6-01-5BPC **	15		25		35	
6	SSAO6-01-1BPC_FD	16		26		36	
7	SSAO6-01-5BPCMS	17		27		37	
8	SSAO6-01-5BPCMSD	18		28		38	
9	SSAO6-01-5BPCDUP	19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: * EB = EB-042010-RIG1-RZC (280-2448-2)
 EB-042010-RIG2-RZC
 04

LDC #: 2316286
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See cover)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical Holding Times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the proper number of standards used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Matrix Spike, Duplicate, and Duplicate				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\leq 2X$ CRDL for soil was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $< 5X$ the CRDL.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Laboratory Control Sample				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 23162EG
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
Were detection limits < RL?	/			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.	/			

LDC#: 23162E6

SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CR

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Inorganics, Method: See Cover

- N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
- N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	2	3				
Perchlorate	38	33	14			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23162E6.wpd

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	4	6				
Perchlorate	67	71	6			

LDC #: 2316266
 SDG #: See cover

Validatin Findings Worksheet
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: AR
 2nd Reviewer: _____

Method: Inorganics, Method 314.0
 The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of ClO₂ was recalculated. Calibration date: 4/21/10

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of analysis	Analyte	Standard	Conc. (ug/l)	Area	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					r	r ²	r	r ²	
Initial calibration	ClO ₂	s1	1	0.00245	0.998504	0.998762			Y
		s2	2	0.00841					
		s3	5	0.01661					
		s4	10	0.03291					
		s5	20	0.06345					
		s6	40	0.14097					
Calibration verification		ICV	20	18.889	94	—			
Calibration verification		CCV	30	30.394	101	—			
Calibration verification									

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 236228
 SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ERS
 2nd Reviewer: RS

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100 \quad \text{Where, Found} = \text{concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found} = \text{SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).}$$

$$\text{True} = \text{concentration of each analyte in the source.}$$

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100 \quad \text{Where, S} = \text{Original sample concentration}$$

$$D = \text{Duplicate sample concentration}$$

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units) <u>ug/L</u>	True / D (units) <u>ug/L</u>	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD	%R / RPD	%R / RPD	%R / RPD	
LCS	Laboratory control sample	ClO ₄	0.0939	0.0999	94	94	94	94	Y
7	Matrix spike sample		(SSR-SR) 12.4	10.6	117	113	113	113	Y
9	Duplicate sample		36	35.7	1	2	2	2	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 14, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil/Water
Parameters: Perchlorate
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-2

Sample Identification

SA106-4BPC
SA106-6BPC
SA106-8BPC
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMS
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMSD
EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCDUP

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples and 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC were identified as equipment blanks. No perchlorate was found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Perchlorate	2.3 ug/L	All soil samples in SDG 280-2448-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-2	SA106-4BPC SA106-6BPC SA106-8BPC EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-2**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23162F6
 SDG #: 280-2448-2
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 5-
 Page: 10
 Reviewer: α
 2nd Reviewer: v

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D (SDG# 280-2448-1)
V.	Duplicates	A	DUP ↓
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 4, 5, FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-2280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil/water

1	SA106-4BPC	S	11	21	PBS	31
2	SA106-6BPC	↓	12	22	PBV	32
3	SA106-8BPC	↓	13	23		33
4	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC	W	14	24		34
5	EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	↓	15	25		35
6	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMS	↓	16	26		36
7	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCMSD	↓	17	27		37
8	EB-04142010-RIG1-RZCDUP	↓	18	28		38
9			19	29		39
10			20	30		40

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

LDC #: 23162F6
 SDG #: See Cover

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CS
 2nd Reviewer: LA

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See Cover

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L. Associated sample units: mg/Kg

Sampling date: 4/14/10 Soil factor applied 10x

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: EB

Reason Code: be

Associated Samples: All Soils

Analyte	Blank ID	Action Limit	Sample Identification																	
	5																			
C104	2.3	0.23																		

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 14, 2010

LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Perchlorate

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2448-9

Sample Identification

SSAN6-01-3BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples EB-04142010-RIG1-RZC and EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC (both from SDG 280-2448-2) were identified as equipment blanks. No perchlorate was found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04142010-RIG2-RZC	4/14/10	Perchlorate	2.3 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2448-9

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2448-9	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2448-9	SSAN6-01-3BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2448-9**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 23162K6
 SDG #: 280-2448-9
 Laboratory: Test America

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Stage 2B

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CR
 2nd Reviewer: ✓

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D (SDG# 280-2448-1)
V.	Duplicates	A	Dup ↓
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	SW ⊕	FB = FB-04072010-RZC (SDG# 280-2280-2) see below

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil

1	SSAN6-01-3BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2		12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: * EB = EB-0142010-RIG1-RZC (280-2448-2)
EB = 0142010-RIG2-RZC ↓

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Collection Date: April 15, 2010
LDC Report Date: May 24, 2010
Matrix: Soil
Parameters: Perchlorate
Validation Level: Stage 2B
Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-1

Sample Identification

SA72-4BPC
SA72-6BPC
SA72-8BPC
SSAM5-01-2BPC
SSAM5-01-4BPC
SSAM5-01-6BPC
SSAM5-01-8BPC
SSAM5-01-10BPC
SSAO6-03-1BPC
SSAO6-03-5BPC
SA72-4BPCMS
SA72-4BPCMSD
SA72-4BPCDUP

Introduction

This data review covers 13 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) were identified as field blanks. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-1	SA72-4BPC SA72-6BPC SA72-8BPC SSAM5-01-2BPC SSAM5-01-4BPC SSAM5-01-6BPC SSAM5-01-8BPC SSAM5-01-10BPC SSAO6-03-1BPC SSAO6-03-5BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
 Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-1**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 23162M6
 SDG #: 280-2500-1
 Laboratory: Test America

Date: 5-19-10
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D
V.	Duplicates	A	D/P
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-R2C (280-2280-2) = FB-04072010-R2D (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA72-4BPC	11	SA72-4BPCMS	21	905	31
2	SA72-6BPC	12	SA72-4BPCMSD	22		32
3	SA72-8BPC	13	SA72-4BPCDUP	23		33
4	SSAM5-01-2BPC	14		24		34
5	SSAM5-01-4BPC	15		25		35
6	SSAM5-01-6BPC	16		26		36
7	SSAM5-01-8BPC	17		27		37
8	SSAM5-01-10BPC	18		28		38
9	SSAO6-03-1BPC	19		29		39
10	SSAO6-03-5BPC	20		30		40

Notes: _____

