

environmental management, inc.

From: Deni Chambers Renee Kalmes Greg Brorby

Date: Revised April 22, 2010

- **To:** Shannon Harbour, P.E. Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP)
- **RE:** Revised Technical Memorandum Regarding General Comment #1 of NDEP's March 17, 2010 Comments on Pre-Confirmation Sampling Work Plan

COMMENTS:

As indicated in Tronox's March 19, 2010 Response to Comments, this technical memorandum presents Tronox's proposed approach for identifying data to be included in the post-remediation risk assessment. This revised memorandum addresses NDEP's March 29th comments on Tronox's initial March 22, 2010 memorandum on the subject matter. Specifically, this memorandum addresses the fact that soil will be excavated from some areas of the site and pre-confirmation samples will be analyzed for a limited number of analytes. The proposed approach takes into account the following:

- The post-remediation risk assessment will address potential direct exposure to the upper 10 feet of soil.
- Existing soil data relevant to the upper 10 feet soil depth interval are limited to samples collected between 0 and 2 feet below ground surface (bgs) and samples collected between 10 and 11.5 feet bgs, with some samples collected at 8 to 10 feet bgs.
- The majority of the excavations are expected to be limited to the upper few feet of soil: therefore, data for the near-surface samples (0 to 2 feet bgs samples) will primarily be affected. Limited exceptions, where deeper excavations will be necessary, exist in areas such as the vicinity of the landfill, located in the northwest portion of the site and adjacent to the trade effluent ponds. In these localized areas, excavations may occur to 10 feet bgs or deeper.
- Excavations may or may not be filled with clean soil. Regardless, for purposes of this approach, it is assumed that soil at the bottom of the excavation, prior to fill, will represent surface soil.



Based on these considerations, the following general approach is proposed:

- For chemicals driving the excavation in a specific area/polygon, existing data for soil that will be excavated will be replaced with data from pre-confirmation samples. Depending on the polygon area, this may include replacing data for dioxins (Method 8290), the full suite of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs; Method 8081A), the full suite of semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs; Method 8270C), and/or select metals.
- In instances where there are multiple-pre confirmation samples within a soil depth interval, Tronox recognizes that this situation will likely require a point by point decision. However, the following general approach will be applied:
 - Where soil will be excavated, the only data that would be used in the risk assessment for the chemical being remediated would be the pre-confirmation sample data obtained below the cut line. As such, the pre-confirmation sample will replace any and all samples collected at shallower depths at this location.
 - When both integrated (i.e., 0.5 to 2 feet bgs) and discrete sample depths (i.e., 1-1.5 ft bgs and 1.5 to 2.0 ft bgs) exist, and the cut-line has been established based on the discrete sample depth, an integrated sample concentrations from the remaining soil depths of interest will be calculated to represent the soil concentration for that depth. For example:

SA 88 dioxin concentrations:	5812 ppt (0.5 to 2 feet bgs)
	710 ppt (1-1.5 feet bgs)
	420 ppt (1.5-2 feet bgs)

The cut line is established at 1 foot. An integrated concentration of 565 ppt (average of 710 and 420 ppt) will be used to represent the soil concentration within the 0-2 foot bgs depth interval.

 When both integrated (i.e., 0.5 to 2 feet bgs) and discrete sample depths (i.e., 1-1.5 ft bgs and 1.5 to 2.0 ft bgs) exist, and no remediation is conducted, the sample representing the integrated depth will be used. For example:

SA 167 dioxin concentrations:	2027 ppt (0.5 to 2 feet bgs)
	60 ppt (1-1.5 feet bgs)
	5.6 ppt (1.5-2 feet bgs)

The integrated soil concentration of 2027 ppt will be used to represent the soil concentration within the 0-2 foot bgs depth interval (assuming that the site-specific remedial goal for dioxin is greater than 2027 ppt).

 In instances where chemicals are not risk drivers (but excavation is occurring due to other chemicals that are risk drivers), no additional pre-confirmation data will be collected for the non-risk driver chemicals. Rather, existing chemical data will be used in the postremediation risk assessment. As the majority of the contamination appears to be surficial,

April 22, 2010

retaining all other chemical concentrations in the surface samples is likely a conservative assumption.

There will be exceptions to these general rules depending on the depth of the excavation and the analytes included in the pre-confirmation sampling. The following examples are intended to illustrate how this approach will be implemented given a variety of circumstances. It is worthwhile to note that it is expected there will be relatively few chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) identified for any one of the proposed remediation zones (RZs) after excavation has been completed, as remediation will be conducted to meet the cumulative incremental risk and hazard index goals, chemical-specific goals, and background concentrations for arsenic, as outlined in the HRA Work Plan.

Example #1 - Excavation to approximately 3 feet bgs for dioxin only

In this case, a pre-confirmation sample will have been collected at 3 feet bgs (or deeper until cut line has been identified) and analyzed for dioxin. The data from this sample will be substituted for the dioxin data collected in shallower samples from this location. For the remaining chemicals, the existing soil data will be used and assumed to be representative of the shallow soil that remains.

Example #2 – Excavation to approximately 3 feet bgs for dioxin and hexachlorobenzene (HCB)

In this case, a pre-confirmation sample will have been collected at 3 feet bgs (or deeper until cut line has been identified) and analyzed for dioxin and the full suite of SVOCs, which includes HCB. The data from this sample will be substituted for the dioxin and SVOC data collected in shallower samples from this location. For the remaining chemicals, the existing soil data will be used and assumed to be representative of the shallow soil that remains.

Example #3 - Excavation to 8 feet bgs for HCB

In this case, a pre-confirmation sample will have been collected at 8 feet bgs and analyzed for the full suite of SVOCs. The data from this sample will be substituted for the SVOC data collected in shallower samples from this location. However, unlike the previous two examples, in this case, the existing soil data collected in shallower samples for the remaining chemicals will be eliminated from consideration, and the existing data from samples collected 10 feet bgs will be used to represent the concentration in what will be near-surface soil (i.e., the upper 2 feet of soil) after the excavation is complete. Further, data from samples collected down to 18-to 20 feet bgs will be used to estimate the concentration in what will now be the 0 to 10-foot interval. If no data were collected at 20 feet bgs, the existing data from samples collected 10 feet bgs will be used to represent the entire 0 to 10-foot bgs interval.

Example #4 - Excavation to approximately 3 feet bgs for arsenic only

In this case, a pre-confirmation sample will have been collected at 3 feet bgs and analyzed for arsenic. The data from this sample will be substituted for the arsenic data collected in shallower samples from this location. For the remaining chemicals, including all other metals, the existing soil data will be used and assumed to be representative of the shallow soil that remains.