



**LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.**

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.  
1100 Quail Street Ste. 102  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

December 1, 2009

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson,  
Nevada, Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on November 5, 2009. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

**LDC Project # 21937:**

<b><u>SDG #</u></b>	<b><u>Fraction</u></b>
8304631, 8304632 8304633, 8304634 8304635, 8304636 8304637	Arsenic & Selenium, Organophosphorus Pesticides

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto  
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist



EDD CHECKLIST

LDC #: 21937

SDG #: 8304631, 8304632, 8304633, 8304634  
8304635, 8304636, 8304637

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Completeness</b>				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
<b>II. EDD Qualifier Population</b>				
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
<b>III. EDD Lab Anomalies</b>				
Were EDD anomalies identified?	X			
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?	X			See EDD_discrepancy_form_LDC21937_113009.doc
<b>IV. EDD Delivery</b>				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada**  
**Data Validation Reports**  
**LDC #21937**

Arsenic & Selenium

*LDC*

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 24 through September 25, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Arsenic & Selenium

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304632

**Sample Identification**

M-89B  
FILTB092509-A2  
M-2AB  
M-2009AB  
M-89BMS  
M-89BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 6 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic and Selenium.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

## III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

## IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Selenium	4.101 ug/L	All samples in SDG 8304632

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
M-89B (5X)	Selenium	7.9 ug/L	25U ug/L
FILTB092509-A2	Selenium	2.0 ug/L	5.0U ug/L

Sample FILTB092509-A2 was identified as a filter blank. No metal contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:



Filter Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FILTB092509-A2	9/25/09	Selenium	2.0 ug/L	M-2AB M-2009AB

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the filter blank as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

#### V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

#### VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
M-89BMS/MSD (All samples in SDG 8304632)	Selenium	-	132 (75-125)	-	J+ (all detects)	A

#### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Internal Standards

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

## XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

## XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304632	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples M-2AB and M-2009AB were identified as field duplicates. No metals were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	M-2AB	M-2009AB				
Arsenic	80	80	-	0 ( $\leq 25$ )	-	-
Selenium	25	19	-	6 ( $\leq 25$ )	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304632**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304632	M-89B FILTB092509-A2 M-2AB M-2009AB	Selenium	J+ (all detects)	A	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates (%R) (m)
8304632	M-89B FILTB092509-A2 M-2AB M-2009AB	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304632**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
8304632	M-89B (5X)	Selenium	25U ug/L	A	bl
8304632	FILTB092509-A2	Selenium	5.0U ug/L	A	bl

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Filter Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304632**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox Northgate Henderson  
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

LDC #: 21937B4  
SDG #: 8304632  
Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11-16-09  
Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: CR  
2nd Reviewer: W

**METHOD:** As & Se (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments	
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/24/09 - 9/25/09
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(3,4)
XV.	Field Blanks	SW	Filter Blank = 2

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	M-89B	11	PBW	21	31
2	FILTB092509-A2	12		22	32
3	M-2AB	13		23	33
4	M-2009AB	14		24	34
5	M-89BMS	15		25	35
6	M-89BMSD	16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



Reason: b1

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES**

Soil preparation factor applied: NA  
 Associated Samples: All

LDC #: 21937B4  
 SDG #: See Cover  
 METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)  
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L

Analyte	Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	Action Limit	1 (5x)	2					
Se			4.101		7.9 / 25	2.0 / 5.0					

Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.







LDC 21937B4  
SDG#: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Field Duplicates**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: CP  
2nd Reviewer: L

**METHOD:** Metals (EPA Method 6020/6010/7000)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD	Difference	Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	3	4				
Arsenic	80	80		0	(≤25)	
Selenium	25	19		6	(≤25)	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD\_inorganic\21937B4.wpd

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** October 2, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Arsenic & Selenium

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304634

**Sample Identification**

PB100209  
M-76B  
M-76009B  
PB100209MS  
PB100209MSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic and Selenium.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

## III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

## IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FILTB092509-A2 (from SDG 8304632) was identified as a filter blank. No metal contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Filter Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FILTB092509-A2	9/25/09	Selenium	2.0 ug/L	All samples in SDG 8304634

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the filter blank as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
M-76B	Selenium	4.5 ug/L	5.0U ug/L
M-76009B	Selenium	3.9 ug/L	5.0U ug/L

Sample PB100209 was identified as a pump blank. No metal contaminants were found in this blank.

## V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

## VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## IX. Internal Standards

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

## XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

## XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304634	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples M-76B and M-76009B were identified as field duplicates. No metals were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	M-76B	M-76009B				
Arsenic	110	110	0 ( $\leq 30$ )	-	-	-
Selenium	4.5	3.9	-	0.6 ( $\leq 5$ )	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304634**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304634	PB100209 M-76B M-76009B	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304634**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Filter Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304634**

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
8304634	M-76B	Selenium	5.0U ug/L	A	br
8304634	M-76009B	Selenium	5.0U ug/L	A	br

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Arsenic & Selenium - Pump Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304634**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



**Tronox Northgate Henderson  
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

LDC #: 21937D4  
SDG #: 8304634  
Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11-16-09  
Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: CR  
2nd Reviewer: ✓

**METHOD:** As & Se (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 10/2/09
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(2,3)
XV.	Field Blanks	SW	Filter Blank = FILTB0925091 -A2 (S06# 8304632) Pump Blank = 1

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	PB100209	11	PBW	21		31	
2	M-76B	12		22		32	
3	M-76009B	13		23		33	
4	PB100209MS	14		24		34	
5	PB100209MSD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Blanks

LDC #: 21937D4  
SDG #: See Cover

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW846 6010B/7000)  
 Were field blanks identified in this SDG?  
 Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?  
Blank units: ug/L Associated sample units: ug/L  
Sampling date: 9/25/09 Soil factor applied: NA  
Field blank type: (circle one)  Filter Blank  Field Blank  Rinsate / Other: All  
Reason Code: br

Analyte	Blank ID	Action Level	2	3	Sample Identification
Se	FILTB092509-A2 (SDG#: 8304632)	2.0	4.5 / 5.0	3.9 / 5.0	

LDC\_21937D4  
SDG#: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Field Duplicates**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: CA  
2nd Reviewer: W

**METHOD:** Metals (EPA Method 6020/6010/7000)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		( $\leq 30$ )	Difference	Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
	2	3	RPD			
Arsenic	110	110	0			
Selenium	4.5	3.9		0.6	( $\leq 5$ )	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD\_inorganic\21937D4.wpd

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Data Validation Reports  
LDC #21937**

Organophosphorus Pesticides

*LDC*

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 23, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304631

**Sample Identification**

SA148-10BSPLP  
SA148-35BSPLP  
SA148-10BSPLPMS  
SA148-10BSPLPMSD  
SA148-10BSPLPDI  
SA148-35BSPLPDI  
SA148-35BSPLPDIMS  
SA148-35BSPLPDIMSD

Samples in this SDG underwent SPLP extraction

## Introduction

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.



## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304631	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304631	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

##### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MS percent recovery (%R) and MS/MSD relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits for some compounds, the MS or MSD percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

##### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG 8304631	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304631**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304631	SA148-10BSPLP SA148-35BSPLP SA148-10BSPLPDI SA148-35BSPLPDI	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304631	SA148-10BSPLP SA148-35BSPLP SA148-10BSPLPDI SA148-35BSPLPDI	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
8304631**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
8304631**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21937A17  
 SDG #: 8304631  
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11/17/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: SV6  
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/23/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ≤ 20% r/r
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	COV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet  
 ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinstate  
 FB = Field blank  
 D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil

1	SA148-10BSPLP	11	1	9273443 - BIK	21		31
2	SA148-35BSPLP	12	✓	9273461 - BIK	22		32
3	SA148-10BSPLPMS	13			23		33
4	SA148-10BSPLPMSD	14			24		34
5	SA 148 - 10 B SPLP DI	15			25		35
6	SA 148 - 35 B SPLP DI	16			26		36
7	SA 148 - 35 B SPLP DI MS	17			27		37
8	MSD	18			28		38
9		19			29		39
10		20			30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Cont)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetra	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thionazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion	KK. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	LL. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbofenothion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbofenothion-methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:





**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 24, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304632

**Sample Identification**

M-89B  
M-89BMS  
M-89BMSD



## Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304632	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304632	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB080409-GW (from SDG 8304622) was identified as a field blank. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

#### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

##### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

##### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304632	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

#### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304632**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304632	M-89B	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304632	M-89B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
8304632**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
8304632**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 21937B17

SDG #: 8304632

Laboratory: Test America

Date: 11/17/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: *SYG*

2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/24/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ≤ 20% r2
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	CCV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB080809-GW (from 8304632)

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Water*

1	M-89B	11	9273433-B/k	21		31	
2	M-89BMS	12		22		32	
3	M-89BMSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: / GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141 (Cont)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetryl	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thionazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion	KK. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	LL. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbo phenothion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbo phenothion - methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:

LDC #: 21937 B17  
SDG #: See Comm

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Continuing Calibration

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: JK  
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not-applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed?  %D or \_\_\_%R
- Were continuing calibration standards analyzed at the required frequencies? Y N N/A
- Did the continuing calibration standards meet the %D / %R validation criteria of <math>\leq 20.0\% / 80-120\%</math>? Y N N/A
- Were the retention times for all calibrated compounds within their respective acceptance windows? Y N N/A

#	Date	Standard ID	Detector/ Column	Compound	%D (Limit $\leq 20.0$ )	RT (limit)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>9/29/09</u>	<u>D10 F1001</u> <u>(10u)</u>	<u>CH. 1</u>	<u>B (-)</u>	<u>30.8</u>	( )	<u>All + B/k</u>	<u>J-N/A (C)</u>
			<u>CH. 2</u>	<u>B (-)</u>	<u>21.7</u>	( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 28 through October 2, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304633

**Sample Identification**

SA209-0.5B  
SA209-10B  
SA209009-10B  
SA209-35B  
RSAR3-0.5B  
RSAR3-35B  
SA211-0.5B  
SA211-11B  
SA211-43B  
RSAR3-0.5BMS  
RSAR3-0.5BMSD  
SA211-0.5BMS  
SA211-0.5BMSD

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 13 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
10/13/09	028F2801	1	Naled	38.1	RSAR3-0.5B RSAR3-35B RSAR3-0.5BMS RSAR3-0.5BMSD 9278427-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/13/09	028F2801	2	Naled	38.4	RSAR3-0.5B RSAR3-35B RSAR3-0.5BMS RSAR3-0.5BMSD 9278427-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/13/09	035F3501	1	Naled	35.6	SA211-0.5B SA211-11B SA211-43B SA211-0.5BMS SA211-0.5BMSD 9281219-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
10/13/09	035F3501	2	Naled	41.3	SA211-0.5B SA211-11B SA211-43B SA211-0.5BMS SA211-0.5BMSD 9281219-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304633	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304633	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB080309-SO (from SDG 83041623) was identified as a field blank. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

#### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MS percent recovery (%R) and MS/MSD relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits for some compounds, the MS or MSD percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

#### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304633	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SA209-10B and SA209009-10B were identified as field duplicates. No organophosphorus pesticides were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304633**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304633	RSAR3-0.5B RSAR3-35B SA211-0.5B SA211-11B SA211-43B	Naled	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
8304633	SA209-0.5B SA209-10B SA209009-10B SA209-35B RSAR3-0.5B RSAR3-35B SA211-0.5B SA211-11B SA211-43B	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304633	SA209-0.5B SA209-10B SA209009-10B SA209-35B RSAR3-0.5B RSAR3-35B SA211-0.5B SA211-11B SA211-43B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304633**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304633**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21937C17  
 SDG #: 8304633  
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11/17/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVC  
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/28 - 10/02/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	% RSD ≤ 20% r2
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	CCV/1CV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS / D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 2, 3
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB 08 0309-50 (from 8304633)

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA209-0.5B	11	RSAR3-0.5BMSD	21	<del>9274556-B1k</del>	31
2	SA209-10B D	12	SA211-0.5BMS	22	9278427-B1k	32
3	SA209009-10B D	13	SA211-0.5BMSD	23	9281219-B1k	33
4	SA209-35B	14		24		34
5	RSAR3-0.5B	15		25		35
6	RSAR3-35B	16		26		36
7	SA211-0.5B	17		27		37
8	SA211-11B	18		28		38
9	SA211-43B	19		29		39
10	RSAR3-0.5BMS	20		30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Con't)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetra	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thionazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fentthion	KK. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	LL. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbo phenothion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbo phenothion - methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:





**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 30, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304634

**Sample Identification**

TR-4B

TR-2B

## Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304634	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304634	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB060309 (from SDG 8304603) was identified as a field blank. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

#### **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

##### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

##### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG 8304634	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.



**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304634**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304634	TR-4B TR-2B	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304634	TR-4B TR-2B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
8304634**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
8304634**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21937D17

SDG #: 8304634

Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11/17/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: SVL

2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments	
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/30/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ≤ 20% r <sub>v</sub>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	CON/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client spec (insufficient vol.)
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB060309 (from 8304603)

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	TR-4B	11		21		31	
2	TR-2B	12		22		32	
3	9274555 - Blk	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Cont'd)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetryl	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Goumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thionazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion	kk. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	LL. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbo phenothion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbo phenothion - methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** October 1, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304635

**Sample Identification**

RSAR3-0.5BSPLP  
RSAR3-35BSPLP  
RSAR3-0.5BSPLPMS  
RSAR3-0.5BSPLPMSD  
RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDI  
RSAR3-35BSPLPDI  
RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDIMS  
RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDIMSD

Samples in this SDG underwent SPLP extraction

## Introduction

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
10/12/09	015F1501	1	Naled Ronnel	58.1 20.8	All samples in SDG 8304635	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/12/09	015F1501	2	Naled	57.3	All samples in SDG 8304635	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304635	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A



Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304635	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

#### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304635	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304635**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304635	RSAR3-0.5BSPLP RSAR3-35BSPLP RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDI RSAR3-35BSPLPDI	Naled  Ronnel	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
8304635	RSAR3-0.5BSPLP RSAR3-35BSPLP RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDI RSAR3-35BSPLPDI	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304635	RSAR3-0.5BSPLP RSAR3-35BSPLP RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDI RSAR3-35BSPLPDI	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304635**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304635**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

### Tronox Northgate Henderson

## VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21937E17

SDG #: 8304635

Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11/07/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JV

2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

**METHOD:** GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 10/61/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	% RSD < 20% ✓
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	CV/AV < 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil

1	RSAR3-0.5BSPLP	11	9280500 - Blt	21		31
2	RSAR3-35BSPLP	12	9280497 - Blt	22		32
3	RSAR3-0.5BSPLPMS	13		23		33
4	RSAR3-0.5BSPLPMSD	14		24		34
5	RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDI	15		25		35
6	RSAR3-35BSPLPDI	16		26		36
7	RSAR3-0.5BSPLPDI MS	17		27		37
8	↓ MSD	18		28		38
9		19		29		39
10		20		30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Con't)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetryl	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thionazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion	KK. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	LL. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbo phenethion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbo phenethion - methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Continuing Calibration

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  
 What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed?  %D or  %R  
 N  N/A  
 Y  N  N/A  
 Were continuing calibration standards analyzed at the required frequencies?  
 Y  N  N/A  
 Did the continuing calibration standards meet the %D / %R validation criteria of ≤20.0% / 80-120%?  
 Level IV Only  
 Y  N  N/A  
 Were the retention times for all calibrated compounds within their respective acceptance windows?

#	Date	Standard ID	Detector/ Column	Compound	%D (Limit ≤ 20.0)	RT (limit)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	9/29/09	010 F1001 (100)	Col. 1	B (-)	30.8	( )	All + B115	J-MJ/A (C)
			Col. 2	B (-)	21.7	( )		
						( )		
						( )		
	10/12/09	015 F1501 (60)	Col. 1	F (-)	58.0	( )		
			Col. 2	M (-)	20.8	( )		
				F (-)	57.3	( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** October 5 through October 7, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304636

**Sample Identification**

RSAQ4-10B  
RSAQ4-32B  
SA192-0.5B  
SA192-10B  
SA192-39B  
SA192-10BMS  
SA192-10BMDS

## Introduction

This data review covers 7 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.



The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
10/13/09	035F3501	1	Naled	35.6	RSAQ4-10B 9281219-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/13/09	035F3501	2	Naled	41.3	RSAQ4-10B 9281219-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/19/09	020F2001	1	Naled	20.6	RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B 9288187-BLK 9285484-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/19/09	020F2001	2	Ethoprop	20.1	RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B 9288187-BLK 9285484-BLK	J+ (all detects)	A

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
10/19/09	020F2001	2	Naled	21.4	RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B 9288187-BLK 9285484-BLK	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304636	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304636	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

#### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304636	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304636**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304636	RSAQ4-10B RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B	Naled	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
8304636	RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B	Ethoprop	J+ (all detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
8304636	RSAQ4-10B RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304636	RSAQ4-10B RSAQ4-32B SA192-0.5B SA192-10B SA192-39B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304636**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304636**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

LDC #: 21937F17  
 SDG #: 8304636  
 Laboratory: Test America

Stage 2B

Date: 11/17/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: SV6  
 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

**METHOD:** GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 10/05-07/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ≤ 20% ✓
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	CCV/AW ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	D9J030136-001
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	1	RSAQ4-10B	11	1	9281219-Blk	21		31	
2	2	RSAQ4-32B	12	2	9288187-Blk	22		32	
3	3	SA192-0.5B	13	3	9285484-Blk	23		33	
4	3	SA192-10B	14			24		34	
5	3	SA192-39B	15			25		35	
6		SA192-10BMS	16			26		36	
7		SA192-10BMSD	17			27		37	
8			18			28		38	
9			19			29		39	
10			20			30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141 (Cont)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetyl	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thionazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenitlon	kk. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	LL. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbo phenothion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbo phenothion - methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

## Continuing Calibration

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  
 What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? %D or %R  
 %D  %R  
 Were continuing calibration standards analyzed at the required frequencies?  
 N  N/A  
 Y  N/A  
 Did the continuing calibration standards meet the %D / %R validation criteria of ≤20.0% / 80-120%?  
 Level IV Only  
 Y  N  N/A  
 Were the retention times for all calibrated compounds within their respective acceptance windows?

#	Date	Standard ID	Detector/ Column	Compound	%D (Limit ≤ 20.0)	RT (limit)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	9/29/09	010 F1001 (CV)	Col. 1	B (-)	30.8	( )	A11 + B1K	J-MS/A ↓
			Col. 2	B (-)	21.7	( )		
	10/13/09	035 F3501 (CV)	Col. 1	F (-)	25.0	( )	1, 9281219-B1K	J-MS/A ↓
			Col. 2	F (-)	41.3	( )		
	10/19/09	020 F2001 (CV)	Col. 1	F (-)	20.6	( )	25, 9288187-B1K +	J-MS/A
			Col. 2	E (+)	20.1	( )	9285794-B1K	J+dets/A
			↓	F (-)	21.4	( )		J-MS/A
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		
						( )		



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** October 5, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** November 21, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organophosphorus Pesticides

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 8304637

**Sample Identification**

RSAQ4-10BSPLP  
RSAQ4-32BSPLP  
RSAQ4-10BSPLPMS  
RSAQ4-10BSPLPMSD  
RSAQ4-10BSPLPDI  
RSAQ4-32BSPLPDI  
RSAQ4-32BSPLPDIMS  
RSAQ4-32BSPLPDIMSD

Samples in this SDG underwent SPLP extraction

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8141A for Organophosphorus Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
10/13/09	047F4701	1	Naled Ronnel	39.3 20.9	All samples in SDG 8304637	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
10/13/09	047F4701	2	Naled	28.6	All samples in SDG 8304637	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	1	Mevinphos	30.8	All samples in SDG 8304637	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Date	Standard	Column	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
9/29/09	010F1001	2	Mevinphos	21.7	All samples in SDG 8304637	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organophosphorus pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

#### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. The percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 8304637	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304637**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
8304637	RSAQ4-10BSPLP RSAQ4-32BSPLP RSAQ4-10BSPLPDI RSAQ4-32BSPLPDI	Naled  Ronnel	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D) (c)
8304637	RSAQ4-10BSPLP RSAQ4-32BSPLP RSAQ4-10BSPLPDI RSAQ4-32BSPLPDI	Mevinphos	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D) (c)
8304637	RSAQ4-10BSPLP RSAQ4-32BSPLP RSAQ4-10BSPLPDI RSAQ4-32BSPLPDI	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304637**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 8304637**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 21937G17  
 SDG #: 8304637  
 Laboratory: Test America

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**  
**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 11/17/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: SVG  
 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

**METHOD:** GC Organophosphorus Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8141A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 10/05/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD < 20 % r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	COV/ICV ≤ 20 %
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil

1	1	RSAQ4-10BSPLP	11	9282413 - BK	21	31
2	1	RSAQ4-32BSPLP	12	9282411 - BK	22	32
3	1	RSAQ4-10BSPLPMS	13		23	33
4	1	RSAQ4-10BSPLPMSD	14		24	34
5	2	RSAQ4-10BSPLP DI	15		25	35
6	2	RSAQ4-32BSPLP DI	16		26	36
7	2	RSAQ4-32BSPLP DI MS	17		27	37
8	2	msd	18		28	38
9			19		29	39
10			20		30	40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Con't)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetryl	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfolep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos	JJ. Thiomazin	
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion	kk. Phosmet	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	ll. O,O,O-Triethylphosphorothioate	
R.			R. Trichloronate	MM. Famphur	
S.			S. Merphos	NN. Carbo phenothion	
			T. Stirofos	OO. Carbo phenothion - methyl	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:

