



**LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.**

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.  
1100 Quail Street Ste. 102  
New Port beach, CA 92660  
ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

September 14, 2009

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on August 20, 2009. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

**LDC Project # 21423:**

**SDG #**

**Fraction**

TRX09052940, TRX09060140, TRX09060141, TRX09072852, TRX09060256, TRX09060456, TRX09060457, TRX09060566, TRX09060567, TRX09060840, TRX09061850, TRX09061951, TRX09070755, TRX09071051, TRX09071450, TRX09072041, TRX09072352, TRX09072741, TRX09073051, TRX09080450	Organic Acids
--	---------------

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; Update IV, February 2007

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto  
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist



EDD CHECKLIST

LDC #: 21423

SDG #: TRX09052940, TRX09060140, TRX09060141, TRX09072852, TRX09060256  
TRX09060456, TRX09060457, TRX09060566, TRX09060567, TRX09060840,  
TRX09061850, TRX09061951, TRX09070755, TRX09071051, TRX09071450  
TRX09072041, TRX09072352, TRX09072741, TRX09073051, TRX09080450

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Completeness</b>				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
<b>II. EDD Qualified Population</b>				
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
<b>III. EDD Lab Anomalies</b>				
Were EDD anomalies identified?	X			
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?	X			See EDD_discrepancy_ form LDC21423_091409.doc
<b>IV. EDD Delivery</b>				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Data Validation Reports  
LDC# 21423**

**Organic Acids**

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.**  
**Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** May 27, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09052940

**Sample Identification**

EB052709  
EB052709MS  
EB052709MSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB052709 was identified as an equipment blank. No organic acid contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB052709	5/27/09	Benzenesulfonic acid Diethyl phosphorodithioic acid 4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid	3.8 mg/L 17 mg/L 4.1 mg/L	No associated samples in this SDG

## IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were not required by the method.



**b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were not within QC limits. Since the sample concentration was greater than the spiked concentration, no data were qualified.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09052940	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09052940**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09052940	EB052709	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09052940**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09052940**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**

**LDC #: 21423A47      VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: TRX09052940      Stage 2B

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 8/31/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JLG

2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/27/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20%      ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 1

Note:    A = Acceptable                      ND = No compounds detected                      D = Duplicate  
           N = Not provided/applicable                      R = Rinsate    TB = Trip blank  
           SW = See worksheet                      FB = Field blank    EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	EB052709	11		21		31	
2	EB052709MS	12		22		32	
3	EB052709MSD	13		23		33	
4	MBLK - 22129	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: \_\_\_\_\_ GC  HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141 (Cont'd)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(e)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(e)pyrene	E. Tetryl	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfolep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos		
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion		
Q.	Q.		Q. Parathion-ethyl		
R.			R. Trichloronate		
S.			S. Merphos		
			T. Stirofos		
			U. Tokuthion		
				A. Dimethyl phosphorodithioic acid	
				B. Benzene Sulfonic acid	
				C. Phthalic acid	
				D. Diethyl phosphorodithioic acid	
				E. 4-Chlorobenzene Sulfonic acid	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
Field Blanks

LDC #: 21423 A47  
SDG #: See Conv

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: JVC  
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC / HPLC

Y/N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Y/N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: mg/L Associated sample units: N/A

Sampling date: 5/27/09

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Trip Blank / Atmospheric Blank / Ambient Blank

Rinsate / Equipment Rinsate / Equipment Blank / Source Blank / Other:

Associated Samples: None

Compound	Blank ID	Blank ID	Sample Identification
	1		
B	3.8		
D	17		
E	4.1		
CRQL			

Blank units: Associated sample units:

Sampling date: Field Blank / Trip Blank / Atmospheric Blank / Ambient Blank

Rinsate / Equipment Rinsate / Equipment Blank / Source Blank / Other:

Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Blank ID	Sample Identification
CRQL			

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:  
Samples with compound concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 21423 A47  
SDG #: Su Con

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: JK  
2nd Reviewer:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?  
Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?  
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

N N/A  
Y N/A  
Y N/A

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	2/3	B	21 (60-140)	23 (60-140)	( ) ( )		No qual
		D	-12 ( ) ( )	-13 ( ) ( )	( ) ( )	↓	(Parent conc. > 4x Spike and.
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		
			( ) ( )	( ) ( )	( ) ( )		

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** May 28, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060140

**Sample Identification**

M-127B

## Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.



The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09060140	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060140**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060140	M-127B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060140**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060140**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21423B47 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 SDG #: TRX09060140 Stage 2B  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 8/31/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: SVG  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/28/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r r
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	09052940-01 (No associated sample, No grade)
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	M-127B	11	21	31
2	<del>M-127BMS</del>	12	22	32
3	<del>M-127BMSD</del>	13	23	33
4	MBIK - 22124	14	24	34
5		15	25	35
6		16	26	36
7		17	27	37
8		18	28	38
9		19	29	39
10		20	30	40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** May 29, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060141

**Sample Identification**

MC-45B

## Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.



## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG TRX09060141	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060141**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060141	MC-45B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060141**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060141**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21423C47 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 SDG #: TRX09060141 Stage 2B  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 8/31/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: *[Signature]*  
 2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/29/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	09052940-01 (No associated sample, No qual)
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Water*

1	MC-45B	11		21		31	
2	<i>MBLK - 22124</i>	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 21 through July 22, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 10, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 4

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09072852

**Sample Identification**

SA166-10BSSPLP  
SA166-10BSSPLPpH(SPLP)  
SA166-10BSSPLP(DI SPLP)  
SA182-10BSPLP  
SA182-10BSPLPpH(SPLP)  
SA182-10BSPLP(DI SPLP)

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 6 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.



### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **V. Target Compound Identification**

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All project quantitation limits were within validation criteria.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG TRX09072852	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

### **VII. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable.

### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072852**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09072852	SA166-10BSSPLP SA166-10BSSPLPpH(SPLP) SA166-10BSSPLP(DI SPLP) SA182-10BSPLP SA182-10BSPLPpH(SPLP) SA182-10BSPLP(DI SPLP)	All compounds reported below the PQL	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072852**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072852**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 21423D47  
 SDG #: TRX09072852  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**  
**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 4

Date: 9/10/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVG  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 7/21 - 22/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r✓
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20%    ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	09073050-01 ; 09073051-02
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	A	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	A	
VII.	System Performance	A	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA166-10BSSPLP	11	MBik - 22436	21	31
2	SA166-10BSSPLP(SPLP)	12	MBik - 22444	22	32
3	SA166-10BSSPLP(DISPLP)	13		23	33
4	SA182-10BSPLP	14		24	34
5	SA182-10BSPLP(SPLP)	15		25	35
6	SA182-10BSPLP(DISPLP)	16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 21 223 D47  
 SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 1 of 2  
 Reviewer: JV  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC / HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Technical holding times</b>				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
<b>II. Initial calibration</b>				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?		/		
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	/			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	/			
Were the RT windows properly established?	/			
<b>III. Continuing calibration</b>				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < <del>20</del> 10% or percent recoveries <del>86-115</del> %?	/			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	/			
<b>IV. Blanks</b>				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	/			
<b>V. Surrogate spikes</b>				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?			/	
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			/	
<b>VI. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	/			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
<b>VII. Laboratory control samples</b>				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
<b>VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control</b>				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	

LDC #: 21423 D47  
 SDG #: See cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 2 of 2  
 Reviewer: JV6  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>X. Target compound identification</b>				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
<b>XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs</b>				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
<b>XII. System performance</b>				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIII. Overall assessment of data</b>				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIV. Field duplicates</b>				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
<b>XV. Field blanks</b>				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

LDC # 21423047  
 SDG# See below

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
 Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JY/G  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: HPLC

Parameter: 4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid

Date	Detector	Compound	X Conc (ppm)	Y Area	Y <sup>2</sup>
6/02 to 6/03/09	UV	4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid	0.025	105332	
	HPLC 3		0.050	201649	
			0.100	464100	
			0.250	1152183	
			0.500	2262016	
			1.000	4485504	
			1.500	6696299	
			2.000	8851547	

RF 4213280  
 4032980  
 4641000  
 4608732  
 4524032  
 4485504  
 4464199  
 4425774  
 Ave 4424438

Regression Output:

	Reported
Constant	-4.19374E-003
Std Err of Y Est	0.00735
R Squared	0.999917
No. of Observations	8.00000
Degrees of Freedom	6.00000
X Coefficient(s)	2.254E-007
	b =
	2.254E-007
	c =
	-0.004194
	r 2
	0.999917

LDC #: 21423047

SDG #: Sec Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVC

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC \_\_\_\_\_ HPLC ✓

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 \cdot (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$  Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
CF = continuing calibration CF  
A = Area of compound  
C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF (cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%R	CF/Conc. CCV	%R
1	B478300.101	7/30/09	P-CBSA	0.500	0.502	100.3	100.3	100.3
2	B479300.101	7/30/09		1.000	<del>0.979</del> 0.979	97.9	97.9	97.9
3	B480500.101	7/31/09		0.500	0.508	101.6	101.6	101.6
4	B481900.101	8/01/09		1.000	1.008	100.8	100.8	100.8

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification**

METHOD: GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery =  $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$       Where      SSC = Spiked sample concentration      SC = Sample concentration  
 SA = Spike added  
 RPD =  $\frac{((SSCMS - SSCMSD) * 2) / ((SSCMS + SSCMSD)) * 100}{MS / MSD}$       MS = Matrix spike      MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

MS/MSD samples: 09072050-01 MS/MSD

Compound	Spike Added (mg/L)		Sample Conc. (mg/L)	Spike Sample Concentration (mg/L)		Matrix spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
pCDSA (HPLC)	1.00	1.00	0	1.008	1.026	101	101	103	103	2.2	2.2

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.



LDC #: 21423 b47

SDG #: See over

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: JVC  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery =  $100 \cdot (SSC-SC)/SA$   
RPD =  $100 \cdot |LCS - LCSD| \cdot 2 / (LCS + LCSD)$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration  
SA = Spike added  
LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

SC = Concentration

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 22449

Compound	Spike Added (mg/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (mg/kg)		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalc.	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)										
Diesel (8015)										
Benzene (8021B)										
Methane (RSK-175)										
2,4-D (8151)										
Dinoseb (8151)										
Naphthalene (8310)										
Anthracene (8310)										
HMX (8330)										
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)										
PCBSA (HPLC)	2.0	NA	1.89	NA	95	95				

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
Sample Calculation Verification

LDC #: 21423 D47  
 SDG #: See Cover

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JNG  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC  HPLC

Y N N/A  
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?  
 Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Concentration =  $\frac{A)(F_v)(D_f)}{(RF)(V_s \text{ or } W_s)(\%S/100)}$

A = Area or height of the compound to be measured  
 F<sub>v</sub> = Final Volume of extract  
 D<sub>f</sub> = Dilution Factor

RF = Average response factor of the compound  
 in the initial calibration

V<sub>s</sub> = Initial volume of the sample  
 W<sub>s</sub> = Initial weight of the sample  
 %S = Percent Solid

Example:

Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Compound Name ND

Concentration = \_\_\_\_\_

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations ( )	Recalculated Results Concentrations ( )	Qualifications

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 1, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060256

**Sample Identification**

PC-40B  
PC-4009B

## Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09060256	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples PC-40B and PC-4009B were identified as field duplicates. No organic acids were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (mg/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	PC-40B	PC-4009B				
Benzenesulfonic acid	0.053	0.053	-	0 ( $\leq 0.050$ )	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060256**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09060256	PC-40B PC-4009B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060256**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060256**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21423E47

SDG #: TRX09060256

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Stage 2B

Date: 8/21/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: *SVB*

2nd Reviewer: *g*

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/01/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	09052940-01 (No associated sample - No goal)
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 1, 2
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

*Water*

1	PC-40B	11		21		31	
2	PC-4009B	12		22		32	
3	<i>MBLk - 22124</i>	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Con't)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(e)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(e)pyrene	E. Tetralin	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dieldrin	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos		
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenitrothion		
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl		
R.			R. Trichloronate		
S.			S. Merphos		
			T. Stirofos		
			U. Tokuthion		

*Organic Acids*

*A. Dimethyl phosphorodithioic acid*

*B. Benzene sulfonic acid*

*C. Phthalic acid*

*D. Diethyl phosphorodithioic acid*

*E. 4-Chlorobenzene sulfonic acid*

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
Field Duplicates

METHOD: GC  HPLC  
 N/A  Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  
  N/A  Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration ( <u>mg/L</u> )		%RPD Limit <u>±30%</u>	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	1	2		
<u>B</u>	<u>0.053</u>	<u>0.053</u>	<u>0 (± 0.050 Diff)</u>	

Compound	Concentration ( )		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 3, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060456

**Sample Identification**

M-7BB  
M-7BBMS  
M-7BBMSD

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09060456	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.



**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060456**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060456	M-7BB	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060456**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060456**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**

LDC #: 21423F47

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: TRX09060456

Stage 2B

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 8/31/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: AVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>6/03/09</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	<u>r<sup>2</sup></u>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	<u>COV ≤ 20%</u> <u>ICV ≤ 30%</u>
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	<u>Not req'd.</u>
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	M-7BB	11		21		31	
2	M-7BBMS	12		22		32	
3	M-7BBMSD	13		23		33	
4	<u>MBlk-22184</u>	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 3, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060457

**Sample Identification**

RSAM3-0.5B  
RSAM2-0.5B

## Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09060457	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060457**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060457	RSAM3-0.5B RSAM2-0.5B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060457**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060457**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21423G47 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 SDG #: TRX09060457 Stage 2B  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 6/21/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVC  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/03/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	COV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	09060566-01 (No associated sample, No grade)
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	RSAM3-0.5B	11		21		31	
2	RSAM2-0.5B	12		22		32	
3	MBLs - 22155	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 4, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060566

**Sample Identification**

RSAJ3-0.5B  
RSAJ3-0.5BMS  
RSAJ3-0.5BMSD

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB060409 (from SDG TRX09060567) was identified as a field blank. No organic acid contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) were not within QC limits for one compound, the LCS percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09060566	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060566**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060566	RSAJ3-0.5B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060566**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060566**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21423H47  
 SDG #: TRX09060566  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: 8/31/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVG  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>6/04/09</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	<u>r<sup>2</sup></u>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	<u>COV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%</u>
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	<u>Not req'd.</u>
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	<u>FB = FB060409 from TRX09060567</u>

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil

1	RSAJ3-0.5B	11		21		31	
2	RSAJ3-0.5BMS	12		22		32	
3	RSAJ3-0.5BMSD	13		23		33	
4	<u>MBLK - 22155</u>	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC  HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141 (Cont'd)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(a)pyrene	E. Tetra	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dlcamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L. 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos		
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion		
Q.	Q.		Q. Parathion-ethyl		
R.			R. Trichloronate		
S.			S. Merphos		
			T. Stirofos		
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 4, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060567

### Sample Identification

M-5AB  
FB060409

## Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB060409 was identified as a field blank. No organic acid contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG TRX09060567	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060567**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060567	M-5AB FB060409	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060567**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060567**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



LDC #: 21423147  
 SDG #: TRX09060567  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**  
**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 8/31/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JL  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>6/04/09</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	<u>r<sup>2</sup></u>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	<u>CCV ≤ 20 % ICV ≤ 30 %</u>
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	<u>Not req'd.</u>
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<u>09060456-01</u>
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	<u>FB = 2</u>

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

+	1	M-5AB	11	21	31
-	2	FB060409	12	22	32
	3	<u>MBLK-22184</u>	13	23	33
	4		14	24	34
	5		15	25	35
	6		16	26	36
	7		17	27	37
	8		18	28	38
	9		19	29	39
	10		20	30	40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 5, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09060840

**Sample Identification**

M-23B  
M-23009B

## Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09060840	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples M-23B and M-23009B were identified as field duplicates. No organic acids were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060840**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
TRX09060840	M-23B M-23009B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060840**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09060840**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21423J47

SDG #: TRX09060840

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Stage 2B

Date: 9/6/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVB

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/05/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sub>r</sub>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV ≤ 20% W ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	09060456-01
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	NB	D = 1, r
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	M-23B	11		21		31	
2	M-23009B	12		22		32	
3	MBLK - 22184	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 9 through June 17, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09061850

**Sample Identification**

SA35-0.5B  
SA176-0.5B  
SA166-0.5B  
SA182-0.5B  
SA85-0.5B  
SA92-0.5B  
SA85-0.5BMS  
SA85-0.5BMSD

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09061850	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09061850**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09061850	SA35-0.5B SA176-0.5B SA166-0.5B SA182-0.5B SA85-0.5B SA92-0.5B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09061850**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09061850**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21423K47

SDG #: TRX09061850

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Stage 2B

Date: 8/31/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: *[Signature]*

2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/09 - 17/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	ry
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil

1	SA35-0.5B	11	MOBK - 22247	21		31	
2	SA176-0.5B	12		22		32	
3	SA166-0.5B	13		23		33	
4	SA182-0.5B	14		24		34	
5	SA85-0.5B	15		25		35	
6	SA92-0.5B	16		26		36	
7	SA85-0.5BMS	17		27		37	
8	SA85-0.5BMSD	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 8 through June 17, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09061951

### Sample Identification

M-44B  
M-6AB  
M-142B  
M-39B  
M-123B  
M-123009B  
M-44BMS  
M-44BMSD



## Introduction

This data review covers 8 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09061951	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples M-123B and M-123009B were identified as field duplicates. No organic acids were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09061951**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09061951	M-44B M-6AB M-142B M-39B M-123B M-123009B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09061951**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09061951**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21423L47  
 SDG #: TRX09061951  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: 8/31/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: *SV*  
 2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/08-17/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 5, 6
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

*WATER*

1	M-44B	11	NDLK - 22256	21		31	
2	M-6AB	12		22		32	
3	M-142B	13		23		33	
4	M-39B	14		24		34	
5	M-123B	15		25		35	
6	M-123009B	16		26		36	
7	M-44BMS	17		27		37	
8	M-44BMDS	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 18 through July 1, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09070755

**Sample Identification**

SA86-0.5B  
SA129-0.5B  
SA106-0.5B  
SA82-0.5B  
SA82-10B  
SA82-29B  
SA82-0.5BMS  
SA82-0.5BMSD

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.



The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the MS/MSD relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits for one compound, the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09070755	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09070755**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09070755	SA86-0.5B SA129-0.5B SA106-0.5B SA82-0.5B SA82-10B SA82-29B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09070755**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09070755**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 21423M47

SDG #: TRX09070755

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 9/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: SVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/18 - 7/01/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	rr
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV ≤ 20% IW ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA86-0.5B	11	ANBLK - 22316	21		31	
2	SA129-0.5B	12		22		32	
3	SA106-0.5B	13		23		33	
4	SA82-0.5B	14		24		34	
5	SA82-10B	15		25		35	
6	SA82-29B	16		26		36	
7	SA82-0.5BMS	17		27		37	
8	SA82-0.5BMSD	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC

8310	8330	8151	8141	8141(Con't)	8021B
A. Acenaphthene	A. HMX	A. 2,4-D	A. Dichlorvos	V. Fensulfothion	V. Benzene
B. Acenaphthylene	B. RDX	B. 2,4-DB	B. Mevinphos	W. Bolstar	CC. Toluene
C. Anthracene	C. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	C. 2,4,5-T	C. Demeton-O	X. EPN	EE. Ethyl Benzene
D. Benzo(a)anthracene	D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	D. 2,4,5-TP	D. Demeton-S	Y. Azinphos-methyl	SSS. O-Xylene
E. Benzo(e)pyrene	E. Tetra	E. Dinoseb	E. Ethoprop	Z. Coumaphos	RRR. MP-Xylene
F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	F. Nitrobenzene	F. Dichlorprop	F. Naled	AA. Parathion	GG. Total Xylene
G. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	G. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	G. Dicamba	G. Sulfotep	BB. Trichloronate	
H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	H. Dalapon	H. Phorate	CC. Trichlorinate	
I. Chrysene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	I. MCPP	I. Dimethoate	DD. Trifluralin	
J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	J. MCPA	J. Diazinon	EE. Def	
K. Fluoranthene	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	K. Pentachlorophenol	K. Disulfoton	FF. Prowl	
L. Fluorene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	L., 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	L. Parathion-methyl	GG. Ethion	
M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	M. Silvex	M. Ronnel	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	
N. Naphthalene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene		N. Malathion	II. Sulprofos	
O. Phenanthrene	O.		O. Chlorpyrifos		organic Acids
P. Pyrene	P.		P. Fenthion	A. Dimethyl phosphorodithioic acid	
Q.	Q		Q. Parathion-ethyl	B. Benzene sulfonic acid	
R.			R. Trichloronate	C. Phthalic acid	
S.			S. Merphos	D. Diethyl phosphorodithioic acid	
			T. Stirofos	E. 4-chlorobenzene sulfonic acid	
			U. Tokuthion		

Notes:

LDC #: 21423 M47  
SDG #: See Cond

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: JVG  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC / HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A"  
Y Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?  
N Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?  
N Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>7/8</u>	<u>D</u>	( )	( )	<u>21.7 ( 20 )</u>	<u>4</u>	No qual (MS/MSD in)
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** June 19 through June 29, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 4

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09071051

### Sample Identification

M-34B  
M-125B  
EB062609-SO  
M-111AB  
EB062909-GW  
M-125BMS  
M-125BMSD



## **Introduction**

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Retention time windows were evaluated and considered technically acceptable.

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

Retention times (RT) of all compounds in the calibration standards were within QC limits.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB062609-SO and EB062909-GW were identified as equipment blanks. No organic acid contaminants were found in these blanks.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

**b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All project quantitation limits were within validation criteria.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09071051	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

**VII. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09071051**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09071051	M-34B M-125B EB062609-SO M-111AB EB062909-GW	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09071051**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09071051**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21423N47

SDG #: TRX09071051

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Stage 2B-f

Date: 9/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: SVL

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/19 - 29 /09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	rr
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 3, 5

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	M-34B	11	MBLK - 22333	21		31	
2	M-125B	12		22		32	
3	EB062609-SO	13		23		33	
4	M-111AB	14		24		34	
5	EB062909-GW	15		25		35	
6	M-125BMS	16		26		36	
7	M-125BMSD	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 91423 N47  
 SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 1 of 2  
 Reviewer: JVG  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC / HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Technical holding times</b>				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>II. Initial calibration</b>				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>III. Continuing calibration</b>				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>IV. Blanks</b>				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>V. Surrogate spikes</b>				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VI. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VII. Laboratory control samples</b>				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control</b>				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 21423 N 47  
 SDG #: See cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 2 of 2  
 Reviewer: JV  
 2nd Reviewer: J

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>X. Target compound identification</b>				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
<b>XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs</b>				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
<b>XII. System performance</b>				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIII. Overall assessment of data</b>				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIV. Field duplicates</b>				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.			/	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.				/
<b>XV. Field blanks</b>				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	



LDC # 21423 N7  
 SDG# Su Guo

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVL  
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: HPLC

Parameter: 4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid

Date	Detector	Compound	X Conc (ppm)	Y Area	Y <sup>2</sup>
6/02 to 6/03/09	UV	4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid	0.025	105332	
	HPLC 3		0.050	201649	
			0.100	464100	
			0.250	1152183	
			0.500	2262016	
			1.000	4485504	
			1.500	6696299	
			2.000	8851547	

RF 4213280  
 4032980  
 4641000  
 4608732  
 4524032  
 4485504  
 4464199  
 4425774  
 Ave 4424438

Regression Output:

	Reported
Constant	c = -4.19374E-003
Std Err of Y Est	0.00735
R Squared	r <sup>2</sup> = 0.999917
No. of Observations	8.00000
Degrees of Freedom	6.00000
X Coefficient(s)	b = 2.254E-007
	2.254E-007
	-9.41E-015
	2.254E-007



METHOD: GC / HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery =  $100 * ((SC - SA) / SA)$  Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration, SA = Spike added, SC = Sample concentration  
 RPD =  $(((SCMS - SSCMSD) * 2) / ((SCMS + SSCMSD))) * 100$  MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

MS/MSD samples: 6/7

Compound	Spike Added (mg/L)		Sample Conc. (mg/L)	Spike Sample Concentration (mg/L)		Matrix spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
<del>Gasoline</del> p-CBSA (8015)	1.0	1.0	0.224	1.226	1.234	100	100	101	109	27	0.7
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification**

METHOD: GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = 100 \cdot (\text{SSC-SC}) / \text{SA}$$

$$\text{RPD} = | \text{LCS} - \text{LCSD} | \cdot 2 / (\text{LCS} + \text{LCSD})$$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration  
 SA = Spike added  
 LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery  
 LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery  
 SC = Concentration

LCS/LCSD samples: \_\_\_\_\_

Compound	Spike Added (ng/L)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ng/L)		LCS		LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
<del>Gasoline (8015)</del> - p-CBSA	0.5	NA	0.504	NA	101	101		
Diesel (8015)								
Benzene (8021B)								
Methane (RSK-175)								
2,4-D (8151)								
Dinoseb (8151)								
Naphthalene (8310)								
Anthracene (8310)								
HMX (8330)								
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)								

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 9 through July 13, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 11, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09071450

**Sample Identification**

SA85-33B  
RSAM2-10B  
RSAM2-35B  
SA35-10B  
SA35-32B  
SA35009-32B  
RSAM3-30B  
SA176-10B  
SA176009-37B  
SA176-37B  
SA176-37BMS  
SA176-37BMSD

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 12 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.



## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09071450	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples SA35-32B and SA35009-32B and samples SA176009-37B and SA176-37B were identified as field duplicates. No organic acids were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09071450**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09071450	SA85-33B RSAM2-10B RSAM2-35B SA35-10B SA35-32B SA35009-32B RSAM3-30B SA176-10B SA176009-37B SA176-37B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09071450**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09071450**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21423047  
 SDG #: TRX09071450  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Stage 2B

Date: 8/31/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JG  
 2nd Reviewer: J

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A Sampling dates: 7/09 - 13/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A r✓
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N
VII.	System Performance	N
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A
IX.	Field duplicates	ND D <sub>1</sub> = 5.6 D <sub>2</sub> = 9.10
X.	Field blanks	ND EB = EB071009 - SO from TRX0907204P

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

soil

1	SA85-33B	11	SA176-37BMS	21	MBLK - 22342	31
2	RSAM2-10B	12	SA176-37BMSD	22		32
3	RSAM2-35B	13		23		33
4	SA35-10B	14		24		34
5	SA35-32B D <sub>1</sub>	15		25		35
6	SA3509-32B D <sub>1</sub>	16		26		36
7	RSAM3-30B	17		27		37
8	SA176-10B	18		28		38
9	SA176009-37B D <sub>1</sub>	19		29		39
10	SA176-37B D <sub>1</sub>	20		30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 10 through July 17, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 2, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09072041

### Sample Identification

EB071009-SO  
TR-8B  
M-97B  
TR-6B  
EB-071709-GW  
EB071009-SOMS  
EB071009-SOMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB-071709-GW and EB071009-SO were identified as equipment blanks. No organic acid contaminants were found in these blanks.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.



**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09072041	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072041**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09072041	EB071009-SO TR-8B M-97B TR-6B EB-071709-GW	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072041**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072041**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21423P47  
 SDG #: TRX09072041  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Stage 2B

Date: 9/10/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JLG  
 2nd Reviewer: J

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 7/10 - 17/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sup>2</sup>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 5, 1

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	EB071009-SO	11	MBLK - 22368	21		31	
2	TR-8B	12		22		32	
3	M-97B	13		23		33	
4	TR-6B	14		24		34	
5	EB-071709-GW	15		25		35	
6	EB071009-SOMS	16		26		36	
7	EB071009-SOMSD	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 21 through July 22, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 8, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 4

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09072352

### Sample Identification

EB072109-SO  
FB072109-SO  
SA166-10B  
SA166-31B  
SA182-10B  
SA182-38B  
EB072209-SO  
EB072109-SOMS  
EB072109-SOMSD  
SA166-10BMS  
SA166-10BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 6 soil samples and 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Retention time windows were evaluated and considered technically acceptable.

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

Retention times (RT) of all compounds in the calibration standards were within QC limits.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB072109-SO and EB072209-SO were identified as equipment blanks. No organic acid contaminants were found in these blanks.

Sample FB072109-SO was identified as a field blank. No organic acid contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

**b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All project quantitation limits were within validation criteria.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09072352	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

**VII. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.



**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072352**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09072352	EB072109-SO FB072109-SO SA166-10B SA166-31B SA182-10B SA182-38B EB072209-SO	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072352**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072352**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**

LDC #: 21423Q47

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 9/01/09

SDG #: TRX09072352

Stage 2B  $\neq$

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Reviewer: JVL

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>7/21-22/09</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	<u>rr</u>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	<u>CCV <math>\leq</math> 20% ICV <math>\leq</math> 30%</u>
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	<u>Not req'd.</u>
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	<u>EB = 1, 7</u> <u>FB = 2</u>

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water + Soil

1	EB072109-SO	W	11	SA166-10BMSD	S	21	MBLK-22404	31	
2	FB072109-SO	↓	12			22	MBLK-22400	32	
3	SA166-10B	S	13			23		33	
4	SA166-31B	↓	14			24		34	
5	SA182-10B	↓	15			25		35	
6	SA182-38B	↓	16			26		36	
7	EB072209-SO	W	17			27		37	
8	EB072109-SOMS	↓	18			28		38	
9	EB072109-SOMSD	↓	19			29		39	
10	SA166-10BMS	S	20			30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 21423 Q 47  
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2  
 Reviewer: JV6  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Technical holding times</b>				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
<b>II. Initial calibration</b>				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?			/	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	/			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	/			
Were the RT windows properly established?	/			
<b>III. Continuing calibration</b>				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < <del>25</del> 15% or percent recoveries <del>80-115</del> 80-115%?	/			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	/			
<b>IV. Blanks</b>				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		/		
<b>V. Surrogate spikes</b>				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?			/	
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			/	
<b>VI. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	/			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
<b>VII. Laboratory control samples</b>				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
<b>IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control</b>				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	

LDC #: 2/423 Q 47  
 SDG #: See cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 2 of 2  
 Reviewer: JVL  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>XI. Target compound identification</b>				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
<b>XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs</b>				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
<b>XIII. System performance</b>				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIV. Overall assessment of data</b>				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XV. Field duplicates</b>				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	-	/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
<b>XVI. Field blanks</b>				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.		/		

LDC # 21423 & 47  
 SDG# See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JL  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: HPLC

Parameter: 4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid

Date	Detector	Compound	X Conc (ppm)	Y Area	Y <sup>2</sup>
6/02 to 6/03/09	UV	4-Chlorobenzenesulfonic acid	0.025	105332	
	HPLC 3		0.050	201649	
			0.100	464100	
			0.250	1152183	
			0.500	2262016	
			1.000	4485504	
			1.500	6696299	
			2.000	8851547	

RF 4213280  
 4032980  
 4641000  
 4608732  
 4524032  
 4485504  
 4464199  
 4425774  
 Ave 4424438

Regression Output:

	Reported
Constant	-4.19374E-003
Std Err of Y Est	0.00735
R Squared	0.999917
No. of Observations	8.00000
Degrees of Freedom	6.00000
X Coefficient(s)	2.254E-007
	b =
	2.254E-007
	c =
	-0.004194
	r 2
	0.999917

LDC #: 21423 & 47  
 SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVC  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC \_\_\_\_\_ HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 \cdot (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$  Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
 CF = continuing calibration CF  
 A = Area of compound  
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%R	CF/Conc. CCV	%R
1	B4701001.D1	7/24/09 10:43	p-CASA	0.5	0.506	101.1	101.1	
2	B4710001.D10	7/24/09 20:59		1.0	1.016	101.0	101.0	
3	B4719001.D9	7/25/09 5:33		0.50	0.505	101.0	101.0	
4	B4752001.D37	7/25/09 19:09		1.0	1.021	102.1	102.1	

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 21423 & 47  
 SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVC  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery =  $100 \cdot ((SSC \cdot SC) / SA)$  Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration, SA = Spike added, SC = Sample concentration

RPD =  $(((SSCMS \cdot SSCMSD) \cdot 2) / ((SSCMS + SSCMSD))) \cdot 100$  MS = Matrix spike, MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

MS/MSD samples: 6/11

Compound	Spike Added (mg/kg)		Sample Conc. (mg/kg)	Spike Sample Concentration (mg/kg)		Matrix spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
4-CBSA (org. Acids by HPLC)	2.0	2.0	0	1.91	1.77	95	95	99	99	3.3	3.1

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 21423 & 47

SDG #: See Cover

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

### Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVC

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC / HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = 100 \cdot (\text{SSC} - \text{SC}) / \text{SA}$$

$$\text{RPD} = | \text{LCS} - \text{LCSD} | \cdot 2 / (\text{LCS} + \text{LCSD})$$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration  
 SA = Spike added  
 LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

SC = Concentration  
 LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 224 db

Compound	Spike Added (mg/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (mg/kg)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)												
Diesel (8015)												
Benzene (8021B)												
Methane (RSK-175)												
2,4-D (8151)												
Dinoseb (8151)												
Naphthalene (8310)												
Anthracene (8310)												
HMX (8330)												
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)												
4-CBSA (org. Acids by HPLC)	2.0	NA	1.98	NA	97	99						

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.





## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 23 through July 24, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09072741

### Sample Identification

EB072309-SO  
SA131-0.5B  
SA131009-0.5B  
SA131-10B  
SA131-27B  
EB072409-SO  
RSAH3-0.5B  
RSAH3009-0.5B  
RSAH3-32B  
EB072309-SOMS  
EB072309-SOMSD  
RSAH3009-0.5BMS  
RSAH3009-0.5BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 9 soil samples and 4 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB072309-SO and EB072409-SO were identified as equipment blanks. No organic acid contaminants were found in these blanks.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09072741	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples SA131-0.5B and SA131009-0.5B and samples RSAH3-0.5B and RSAH3009-0.5B were identified as field duplicates. No organic acids were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072741**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09072741	EB072309-SO SA131-0.5B SA131009-0.5B SA131-10B SA131-27B EB072409-SO RSAH3-0.5B RSAH3009-0.5B RSAH3-32B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072741**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09072741**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 21423R47

SDG #: TRX09072741

Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

Date: 9/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: *NG*

2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 7/23 - 24/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r <sub>r</sub>
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not rec'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D <sub>1</sub> = 2, 3 D <sub>2</sub> = 7, 8
X.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 1, 6, 18

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *water + Soil*

1	EB072309-SO	W	11	EB072309-SOMSD	W	21	MBIK-22410	31
2	SA131-0.5B	D, S	12	RSAH3009-0.5BMS	S	22	MBIK-22409	32
3	SA131009-0.5B	D, S	13	RSAH3009-0.5BMSD	S	23		33
4	SA131-10B		14			24		34
5	SA131-27B		15			25		35
6	EB072409-SO	W	16			26		36
7	RSAH3-0.5B	D, S	17			27		37
8	RSAH3009-0.5B	D, S	18			28		38
9	RSAH3-32B		19			29		39
10	EB072309-SOMS	W	20			30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 29, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 10, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09073051

**Sample Identification**

FB072909-SO  
SA73-0.5B  
SA73-30B  
RSAU4-20  
RSAU4-50  
SA73-0.5BMS  
SA73-0.5BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 6 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB072909-SO was identified as a field blank. No organic acid contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09073051	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09073051**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09073051	FB072909-SO SA73-0.5B SA73-30B RSAU4-20 RSAU4-50	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09073051**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09073051**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 21423S47  
 SDG #: TRX09073051  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**  
**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 9/10/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVC  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 7/29/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	r2
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = 1

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water + Soil

1	FB072909-SO	W	11	MBLK-22436	21	31
2	SA73-0.5B	S	12	MBLK-22444	22	32
3	SA73-30B		13		23	33
4	RSAU4-20		14		24	34
5	RSAU4-50		15		25	35
6	SA73-0.5BMS		16		26	36
7	SA73-0.5BMSD	✓	17		27	37
8			18		28	38
9			19		29	39
10			20		30	40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** July 31 through August 3, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** September 11, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Organic Acids

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** TRX09080450

### Sample Identification

RSAU4-20BSPLP  
RSAU4-20BSPLPpH(SPLP)  
RSAU4-20BSPLP(DI SPLP)  
RSAU4-50BSPLP  
RSAU4-50BSPLPpH(SPLP)  
RSAU4-50BSPLP(DI SPLP)  
RSAJ3-10BSPLP  
RSAJ3-10BSPLPpH(SPLP)  
RSAJ3-10BSPLP(DI SPLP)  
RSAJ3-29BSPLP  
RSAJ3-29BSPLPpH(SPLP)  
RSAJ3-29BSPLP(DI SPLP)  
FB080309-SO  
RSAJ3-29BSPLPMS  
RSAJ3-29BSPLPMSD  
FB080309-SOMS  
FB080309-SOMSD



## Introduction

This data review covers 14 soil samples and 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per HPLC Method for Organic Acids.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No organic acids were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB080309-SO was identified as a field blank. No organic acid contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were not required by the method.

### **b. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG TRX09080450	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09080450**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRX09080450	RSAU4-20BSPLP RSAU4-20BSPLPpH(SPLP) RSAU4-20BSPLP(DI SPLP) RSAU4-50BSPLP RSAU4-50BSPLPpH(SPLP) RSAU4-50BSPLP(DI SPLP) RSAJ3-10BSPLP RSAJ3-10BSPLPpH(SPLP) RSAJ3-10BSPLP(DI SPLP) RSAJ3-29BSPLP RSAJ3-29BSPLPpH(SPLP) RSAJ3-29BSPLP(DI SPLP) FB080309-SO	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (PQL) (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09080450**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Organic Acids - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRX09080450**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 21423T47  
 SDG #: TRX09080450  
 Laboratory: Alpha Analytical, Inc.

**Tronox Northgate Henderson**  
**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 9/10/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: SVL  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HPLC Organic Acids (HPLC Method)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 7/31 - 8/03/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	✓✓
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	COV ≤ 20% ICV ≤ 30%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	N	Not req'd.
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = 13

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil + water

1	RSAU4-20BSPLP <sup>PH</sup>	S	11	RSAJ3-29BSPLP(SPLP)	S	21	MBLK-22472	31
2	RSAU4-20BSPLP(SPLP)		12	RSAJ3-29BSPLP(DI SPLP)	↓	22	MBLK-22472D	32
3	RSAU4-20BSPLP(DI SPLP)		13	RB080309-SO	W	23	MBLK-22473	33
4	RSAU4-50BSPLP <sup>PH</sup>		14	RSAJ3-29BSPLPMS	S	24		34
5	RSAU4-50BSPLP(SPLP)		15	RSAJ3-29BSPLPMSD	↓	25		35
6	RSAU4-50BSPLP(DI SPLP)		16	RB080309-SOMS	N	26		36
7	RSAJ3-10BSPLP <sup>PH</sup>		17	RB080309-SOMS	↓	27		37
8	RSAJ3-10BSPLP(SPLP)		18			28		38
9	RSAJ3-10BSPLP(DI SPLP)		19			29		39
10	RSAJ3-29BSPLP	↓	20			30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_