

Department of Conservation & Natural Resources

Joe Lombardo, Governor James A. Settelmeyer, Director Jennifer Carr, Administrator

June 17, 2024

Jay A. Steinberg Nevada Environmental Response Trust 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 690 Chicago, IL 60601

Re: Tronox LLC (TRX) Facility

Nevada Environmental Response Trust (Trust) Property

NDEP Facility ID #H-000539

Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Response to: Screening-Level Health Risk Assessment for 8th Street DVSR and EDD – September 2022, Revision 1

Dated: January 9, 2024

Dear Mr. Steinberg,

The NDEP has reviewed the above-identified Deliverable and provides comments in Attachment A. A revised Deliverable should be submitted by **August 17, 2024** based on the comments found in Attachment A.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions at alan.pineda@ndep.nv.gov or 702-668-3925.

Sincerely,

Alan Pineda, P.E.

Bureau of Industrial Site Cleanup

NDEP-Las Vegas City Office

EC:

Rick Perdomo, Deputy Administrator, NDEP, Carson City

James Dotchin, NDEP, BISC, Las Vegas

Esther Franco, NDEP BISC Las Vegas

Aaron Welch, Central Arizona Project

Adam Schwartz, Central Arizona Project

Andrew Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust

Anna Springsteen, Neptune & Company Inc.

Ashley Green, Vice President, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA

Betty Kuo Brinton, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Brian Waggle, Hargis + Associates

Candace Jantzen-Marson, WSP

Carol Nagai, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Chris Ritchie, Ramboll

Christine Klimek, City of Henderson

Christine Nelson, Central Arizona Project

Chuck Elmendorf, Stauffer Management Company

Chuck Frey, GHD

Dana Grady, Tetra Tech

Dan Petersen, Ramboll

Dane Grimshaw, Olin Corporation

Daniel Chan, Southern Nevada Water Authority

Danielle E. Greene, Colorado River Commission of Nevada

Darren Croteau, Terraphase Engineering

Dave Share, Olin Corporation

Dave Johnson, Las Vegas Valley Water District

David Bohmann, Tetra Tech

Dean Charles, de maximis, inc.

Deena Hannoun, Southern Nevada Water Authority

Ed Modiano, de maximis, inc.

Elliot Min, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Eric Fordham, GeoPentech

Gary Carter, Endeavour LLC

James M. Wright, Wyman Gordon

Jay Johnson, Central Arizona Project

Jeff Gibson, Endeavour LLC

Jill Roberts, GEI Consultants

Jill Teraoka, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Joe Leedy, Clean Water Team

John Edgcomb, Edgcomb Law Group

John-Paul Rossi, Stauffer Management Company LLC

John Solvie, Clean Water Team

Karen Gastineau, Broadbent & Associates

Kathrine Callaway, Central Arizona Project

Kelly Richardson, Latham & Watkins LLP

Kim Kuwabara, Ramboll

Kirk Stowers, Broadbent & Associates

Kirsten Lockhart, Neptune & Company Inc.

Kurt Fehling, The Fehling Group

Lee Farris, Basic Remediation Company

Lisa Funderburg, Olin Corporation

Marcia Scully, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Maria Lopez, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Mark Duffy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Matt Trawick, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Mauricio Santos, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Melanie Hanks, Olin Corporation

Michael J. Bogle, Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP

Michael Long, Hargis + Associates

Mike Hockley, President, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA

Nicholas Pogoncheff, NV5

Nicole Bradley, de maximis, inc.

Nicole Palazzolo, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Orestes Morfin, Central Arizona Project

Paul Black, Neptune & Company, Inc.

Peter Jacobson, Syngenta

Ranajit Sahu, Basic Remediation Company

Rebecca Sugerman, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Rick Kellogg, Basic Remediation Company

Roy Thun, Broadbent & Associates

Ryan Ramsey, Timet

Ruth Beyer, Precision Castparts Corp.

R9LandSubmit@EPA.gov

Spencer Lapiers, de maximis inc.

Steve Armann, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Steve Clough, Nevada Environmental Response Trust

Steven Anderson, Las Vegas Valley Water District

Tanya O'Neill, Foley & Lardner LLP

Todd Tietjen, Southern Nevada Water Authority

Walter Nelson, WSP

Warren Turkett, Colorado River Commission of Nevada

Weiquan Dong, Southern Nevada Water Authority

William Carson, Terraphase Engineering

William Frier, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

Zeitel Senitz, de maximis inc.

Attachment A

DVSR Review:

File "NERT 2303 DVSR Rev 1.pdf"

 NDEP Comment: Attachment A, Section IV - Continuing Calibration, Benzoic acid and Hexachlorocyclopentadiene %D are greater than 20%. There is no indication of low or high recovery of the continuing calibration relative to the spike level. Non-detect results for Benzoic acid are qualified as estimated, UJ, whereas non-detect results for Hexachlorocyclopentadiene are not qualified as estimated, UJ. Please explain the discrepancy.

NERT Response: The continuing calibration verification (CCV) recovery was low for benzoic acid and non-detect results were qualified "UJ" as estimated non-detect. The recovery for hexachlorocyclopentadiene was high for the CCV and the analyte was not detected in any of the associated samples; therefore, non-detect results were not qualified for a potential bias. Therefore, no changes to the DVSR are required.

NDEP Response: The NERT response does not address the comment. In section 3.1.1, second paragraph, there is no direction of bias mentioned to confirm the reason why no qualifiers are applied. Please include the direction of bias (low or high). In section 3.1.1, third paragraph, there is no direction of bias mentioned to confirm the reason to qualify benzoic acid results as UJ and no qualification for hexachlorocyclopentadiene. Please include the direction of bias (low or high) as the reason for qualifying, or not qualifying results.

Similarly, no bias is indicated in Appendix B, Section IV. Continuing Calibration. Please include the bias for confirmation.

2. NDEP Comment: Attachment H, Section II – Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification – There is no indication of low or high recovery of the ICV. Example, Demeton-O recovery is 103.6% and Demeton-S recovery is 87.8%, both are greater than 20%. However, Demeton-O receives no flagging while Demeton-S receives a qualifier UJ for affected results. Both ICV recoveries appear to be positive or high recovery. Please explain why some results are qualified and others are not qualified. Methyl parathion ICV percent difference is >20%. The appearance is the ICV was recovered high. Should the results for methyl parathion be qualified as UJ when the recovered amount in the ICV is higher than the spike level?

NERT response: The recoveries for Demeton-O were high and the recoveries for Demeton-S were low; therefore all non-detect results for total Demeton (Demeton-O + Demeton-S) were qualified "UJ" as estimated non-detect. The recovery for methyl parathion was low for the ICV; therefore the associated non-detect results were qualified "UJ". Therefore, no changes to the DVSR are required.

NDEP Response: The NERT response does not address the comment. Similar to the discussion above Please include the direction of bias. The percent difference does not indicate if the recovery was low or high. Please include discussion regarding the nature of the outlier, whether the percent difference is a result of a low or high recovery of the analyte in the ICV.

EDD Review

File "NERT 2303 EDD Rev 1.accdb"

This EDD is acceptable.