

APPENDIX A

COMPREHENSIVE GROUND-WATER MONITORING EVALUATION WORKSHEET

The following worksheets have been designed to assist the enforcement officer/technical reviewer in evaluating the ground-water monitoring system an owner/operator uses to collect and analyze samples of ground water. The focus of the worksheets is technical adequacy as it relates to obtaining and analyzing representative samples of ground water. The basis of the worksheets is the final RCRA Ground Water Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document which describes in detail the aspects of ground-water monitoring which EPA deems essential to meet the goals of RCRA.

Appendix A is not a regulatory checklist. Specific technical deficiencies in the monitoring system can, however, be related to the regulations as illustrated in Figure 4.3 taken from the RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Compliance Order Guide (COG) (included at the end of the appendix). The enforcement officer, in developing an enforcement order, should relate the technical assessment from the worksheets to the regulations using figure 4.3 from the COG as a guide.

I. Office Evaluation - Technical Evaluation of the Design of the Ground-water Monitoring System

A. Review of relevant documents:

1. What documents were obtained prior to conducting the inspection:

- a. RCRA Part A permit application? (Y/N) Yes
- b. RCRA Part B permit application? (Y/N) Yes
- c. Correspondence between the owner/operator and appropriate agencies or citizen's groups? (Y/N) Yes
- d. Previously conducted facility inspection reports? (Y/N) Yes
- e. Facility's contractor reports? (Y/N) Yes
- f. Regional hydrogeologic, geologic, or soil reports? (Y/N) Yes
- g. The facility's Sampling and Analysis Plan? (Y/N) Yes
- h. Ground-water Assessment Program Outline (or Plan, if the facility is in assessment monitoring)? (Y/N) NA
- i. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

B. Evaluation of the Owner/Operator's Hydrogeologic Assessment:

1. Did the owner/operator use the following direct techniques in the hydrogeologic assessment:

- a. Logs of the soil borings/rock corings (documented by a professional geologist, soil scientist, or geotechnical engineer)? (Y/N) Yes
- b. Materials tests (e.g., grain size analyses, standard penetration tests, etc.)? (Y/N) Yes
- c. Piezometer installation for water level measurements at different depths? (Y/N) NA
- d. Slug tests? (Y/N) Yes

- e. Pump tests? (Y/N) Yes
  - f. Geochemical analyses of soil samples? (Y/N) yes
  - g. Other (specify) (e.g., hydrochemical diagrams and wash analysis) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_
2. Did the owner/operator use the following indirect techniques to supplement direct techniques data:
- a. Geophysical well logs? (Y/N) Yes
  - b. Tracer studies? (Y/N) No
  - c. Resistivity and/or electromagnetic conductance? (Y/N) No
  - d. Seismic Survey? (Y/N) No
  - e. Hydraulic conductivity measurements of cores? (Y/N) No
  - f. Aerial photography? (Y/N) Yes
  - g. Ground penetrating radar? (Y/N) No
  - h. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_
3. Did the owner/operator document and present the raw data from the site hydrogeologic assessment? (Y/N) Yes
4. Did the owner/operator document methods (criteria) used to correlate and analyze the information? (Y/N) yes
5. Did the owner/operator prepare the following:
- a. Narrative description of geology? (Y/N) Yes
  - b. Geologic cross sections? (Y/N) Yes
  - c. Geologic and soil maps? (Y/N) yes
  - d. Boring/coring logs? (Y/N) yes (Not H-28)
  - e. Structure contour maps of the differing water bearing zones and confining layer? (Y/N) yes
  - f. Narrative description and calculation of ground-water flows? (Y/N) yes
  - g. Water table/potentiometric map? (Y/N) yes
  - h. Hydrologic cross sections? (Y/N) yes
6. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional map of the area and delineate the facility? (Y/N) yes
- If yes, does this map illustrate:
- a. Surficial geology features? (Y/N) yes
  - b. Streams, rivers, lakes, or wetlands near the facility? (Y/N) yes
  - c. Discharging or recharging wells near the facility? (Y/N) yes

7. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional hydro-geologic map? (Y/N) yes

If yes, does this hydrogeologic map indicate:

- a. Major areas of recharge/discharge? (Y/N) yes  
 b. Regional ground-water flow direction? (Y/N) yes  
 c. Potentiometric contours which are consistent with observed water level elevations? (Y/N) yes

8. Did the owner/operator prepare a facility site map? (Y/N) yes

If yes, does the site map show:

- a. Regulated units of the facility (e.g., landfill areas, impoundments)? (Y/N) yes  
 b. Any seeps, springs, streams, ponds, or wetlands? (Y/N) NA  
 c. Location of monitoring wells, soil borings, or test pits? (Y/N) yes  
 d. How many regulated units does the facility have? one  
 If more than one regulated unit then,  
 o Does the waste management area encompass all regulated units? (Y/N)     
 Or  
 o Is a waste management area delineated for each regulated unit? (Y/N)

### C. Characterization of Subsurface Geology of Site

#### 1. Soil boring/test pit program:

- a. Were the soil borings/test pits performed under the supervision of a qualified professional? (Y/N) yes  
 b. Did the owner/operator provide documentation for selecting the spacing for borings? (Y/N) No  
 c. Were the borings drilled to the depth of the first confining unit below the uppermost zone of saturation or ten feet into bedrock? (Y/N) yes  
 d. Indicate the method(s) of drilling:  
 o Auger (hollow or solid stem) \_\_\_\_\_  
 o Mud rotary \_\_\_\_\_ X \_\_\_\_\_  
 o Reverse rotary \_\_\_\_\_  
 o Cable tool \_\_\_\_\_  
 o Jetting \_\_\_\_\_  
 o Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
 e. Were continuous sample corings taken? (Y/N) No

- f. How were the samples obtained (checked method[s])
- o Split spoon \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Shelby tube, or similar \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Rock coring \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Ditch sampling \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Other (explain) \_\_\_\_\_

Examination of cuttings

---



---

- g. Were the continuous sample corings logged by a qualified professional in geology? (Y/N) NA
- h. Does the field boring log include the following information:
- o Hole name/number? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Date started and finished? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Driller's name? (Y/N) No
  - o Hole location (i.e., map and elevation)? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Drill rig type and bit/auger size? (Y/N) No
  - o Gross petrography (e.g., rock type) of each geologic unit? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Gross mineralogy of each geologic unit? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Gross structural interpretation of each geologic unit and structural features (e.g., fractures, gouge material, solution channels, buried streams or valleys, identification of depositional material)? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Development of soil zones and vertical extent and description of soil type? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Depth of water bearing unit(s) and vertical extent of each? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Depth and reason for termination of borehole? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Depth and location of any contaminant encountered in borehole? (Y/N) NA
  - o Sample location/number? (Y/N) No
  - o Percent sample recovery? (Y/N) No
  - o Narrative descriptions of:
    - Geologic observations? (Y/N) Yes
    - Drilling observations? (Y/N) No
- i. Were the following analytical tests performed on the core samples:
- o Mineralogy (e.g., microscopic tests and x-ray diffraction)? (Y/N) No
  - o Petrographic analysis:
    - degree of crystallinity and cementation of matrix? (Y/N) No
    - degree of sorting, size fraction (i.e., sieving), textural variations? (Y/N) No

- rock type(s)? (Y/N) Yes
- soil type? (Y/N) Yes
- approximate bulk geochemistry? (Y/N) No
- existence of microstructures that may effect or indicate fluid flow? (Y/N) Yes
- o Falling head tests? (Y/N) No
- o Static head tests? (Y/N) No
- o Settling measurements? (Y/N) No
- o Centrifuge tests? (Y/N) No
- o Column drawings? (Y/N) No

D. Verification of subsurface geological data

1. Has the owner/operator used indirect geophysical methods to supplement geological conditions between borehole locations? (Y/N) Yes
2. Do the number of borings and analytical data indicate that the confining layer displays a low enough permeability to impede the migration of contaminants to any stratigraphically lower water-bearing units? (Y/N) Yes
3. Is the confining layer laterally continuous across the entire site? (Y/N) Yes
4. Did the owner/operator consider the chemical compatibility of the site-specific waste types and the geologic materials of the confining layer? (Y/N) Yes
5. Did the geologic assessment address or provide means for resolution of any information gaps of geologic data? (Y/N) NA
6. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for petrography? (Y/N) NA
7. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for mineralogy and subsurface geochemistry? (Y/N) NA

E. Presentation of geologic data

1. Did the owner/operator present geologic cross sections of the site? (Y/N) Yes
2. Do cross sections:
  - a. identify the types and characteristics of the geologic materials present? (Y/N) Yes
  - b. define the contact zones between different geologic materials? Yes
  - c. note the zones of high permeability or fracture? (Y/N) Yes
  - d. give detailed borehole information including:
    - o location of borehole? (Y/N) Yes
    - o depth of termination? (Y/N) Yes
    - o location of screen (if applicable)? (Y/N) Yes (not H-2B)
    - o depth of zone(s) of saturation? (Y/N) Yes
    - o backfill procedure?

- 3. Did the owner/operator provide a topographic map which was constructed by a licensed surveyor? (Y/N) Yes
  - 4. Does the topographic map provide:
    - a. contours at a maximum interval of two-feet? (Y/N) Yes
    - b. locations and illustrations of man-made features (e.g., parking lots, factory buildings, drainage ditches, storm drains, pipelines, etc.)? (Y/N) Yes
    - c. descriptions of nearby water bodies? (Y/N) Yes
    - d. descriptions of off-site wells? (Y/N) Yes
    - e. site boundaries? (Y/N) Yes
    - f. individual RCRA units? (Y/N) Yes
    - g. delineation of the waste management area(s)? (Y/N) Yes
    - h. well and boring locations? (Y/N) Yes
  - 5. Did the owner/operator provide an aerial photograph depicting the site and adjacent off-site features? (Y/N) Yes (Topo map from aerial photo)
  - 6. Does the photograph clearly show surface water bodies, adjacent municipalities, and residences and are these clearly labelled? (Y/N) Yes
- F. Identification of Ground-Water Flowpaths
- 1. Ground-water flow direction
    - a. Was the well casing height measured by a licensed surveyor to the nearest 0.01 feet? (Y/N) Yes
    - b. Were the well water level measurements taken within a 24 hour period? (Y/N) Yes
    - c. Were the well water level measurements taken to the nearest 0.01 feet? (Y/N) Yes
    - d. Were the well water levels allowed to stabilize after construction and development for a minimum of 24 hours prior to measurements? (Y/N) Yes
    - e. Was the water level information obtained from (check appropriate one):
      - multiple piezometers placed in single borehole? \_\_\_\_\_
      - vertically nested piezometers in closely spaced separate boreholes? \_\_\_\_\_
      - monitoring wells \_\_\_\_\_

- f. Did the owner/operator provide construction details for the piezometers? (Y/N) NA
- g. How were the static water levels measured (check method(s)).
- Electric water sounder
  - Wetted tape
  - Air line
  - Other (explain) \_\_\_\_\_
- h. Was the well water level measured in wells with equivalent screened intervals at an equivalent depth below the saturated zone? (Y/N) yes
- i. Has the owner/operator provided a site water table (potentiometric) contour map? If yes,
- Do the potentiometric contours appear logical and accurate based on topography and presented data? (Consult water level data) (Y/N) yes
  - Are ground-water flow-lines indicated? (Y/N) yes
  - Are static water levels shown? (Y/N) yes
  - Can hydraulic gradients be estimated? (Y/N) yes
- j. Did the owner/operator develop hydrologic cross sections of the vertical flow component across the site using measurements from all wells? (Y/N) yes
- k. Do the owner/operator's flow nets include:
- piezometer locations? (Y/N) No
  - depth of screening? (Y/N) No
  - width of screening? (Y/N) No
  - measurements of water levels from all wells and piezometers? (Y/N) yes
2. Seasonal and temporal fluctuations in ground-water level
- a. Do fluctuations in static water levels occur? (Y/N) yes
- If yes, are the fluctuations caused by any of the following:
  - Off-site well pumping (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - Tidal processes or other intermittent natural variations (e.g., river stage, etc.) (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - On-site well pumping (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - Off-site, on-site construction or changing land use patterns (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - Deep well injection (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - Seasonal variations (Y/N) yes
  - Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

- b. Has the owner/operator documented sources and patterns that contribute to or affect the ground-water patterns below the waste management? (Y/N) yes
- c. Do water level fluctuations alter the general ground-water gradients and flow directions? (Y/N) No
- d. Based on water level data, do any head differentials occur that may indicate a vertical flow component in the saturated zone? (Y/N) No
- e. Did the owner/operator implement means for gauging long term effects on water movement that may result from on-site or off-site construction or changes in land-use patterns? (Y/N) NA

### 3. Hydraulic conductivity

- a. How were hydraulic conductivities of the subsurface materials determined?
- o Single-well tests (slug tests)? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Multiple-well tests (pump tests) (Y/N) Yes
  - o Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- b. If single-well tests were conducted, was it done by:
- o Adding or removing a known volume of water, or (Y/N) Yes
  - o Pressurizing well casing (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- c. If single well tests were conducted in a highly permeable formation, were pressure transducers and high-speed recording equipment used to record the rapidly changing water levels? (Y/N) No
- d. Since single well tests only measure hydraulic conductivity in a limited area, were enough tests run to ensure a representative measure of conductivity in each hydrogeologic unit? (Y/N) Yes
- e. Is the owner/operator's slug test data (if applicable) consistent with existing geologic information (e.g., boring logs)? (Y/N) Yes
- f. Were other hydraulic conductivity properties determined? (Y/N) Yes
- g. If yes, provide any of the following data, if available:
- o Transmissivity ✓
  - o Storage coefficient ✓
  - o Leakage \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Permeability ✓
  - o Porosity ✓
  - o Specific capacity ✓
  - o Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_



4. Identification of the uppermost aquifer

- a. Has the extent of the uppermost saturated zone (aquifer) in the facility area been defined? If yes, (Y/N) Yes
  - o Are soil boring/test pit logs included? (Y/N) Yes
  - o Are geologic cross-sections included? (Y/N) Yes
- b. Is there evidence of confining (competent, unfractured, continuous, and low permeability) layers beneath the site? (Y/N) Yes
  - o If yes, how was continuity demonstrated? Regional drilling/sampling

- c. What is hydraulic conductivity of the confining unit (if present)? 1.4x10<sup>-3</sup> CM/Sec  
How was it determined? Stauffer
- d. Does potential for other hydraulic communication exist (e.g., lateral incontinuity between geologic units, facies changes, fracture zones, cross cutting structures, or chemical corrosion/alteration of geologic units by leachage)? (Y/N) Yes  
If yes or no what is the rationale? Recharge from lower water bearing zone.

G. Office Evaluation of the Facility's Ground-Water Monitoring System

Monitoring Well Design and Construction:

These questions should be answered for each different well design present at the facility.

1. Drilling Methods

- a. What drilling method was used for the well?
  - o Hollow-stem auger \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Solid-stem auger \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Mud rotary ✓
  - o Air rotary \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Reverse rotary \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Cable tool \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Jetting \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Air drill with casing hammer \_\_\_\_\_
  - o Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Were any cutting fluids (including water) or additives used during drilling? (Y/N) Yes  
If yes, specify  
Type of drilling fluid Mud  
Source of water used \_\_\_\_\_  
Foam \_\_\_\_\_  
Polymers \_\_\_\_\_  
Other \_\_\_\_\_

- c. Was the cutting fluid, or additive, identified? (mud) (Y/N) Yes
- d. Was the drilling equipment steam-cleaned prior to drilling the well? (Y/N) No info  
 Other methods \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Was compressed air used during drilling? (Y/N) No  
 o If yes, was the air filtered to remove oil? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Did the owner/operator document procedure for establishing the potentiometric surface? (Y/N) No  
 o If yes, how was the location established?  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Formation samples
- o Were formation samples collected initially during drilling? (Y/N) Yes
- o Were any cores taken continuous? (Y/N) No  
 If not, at what interval were samples taken? \_\_\_\_\_
- o How were the samples obtained? \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Split spoon \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Shelby tube \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Core drill \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Other (specify) Cuttings \_\_\_\_\_
- o Identify if any physical and/or chemical tests were performed on the formation samples (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. Monitoring Well Construction Materials

- a. Identify construction materials (by number) and diameters (ID/OD)

	<u>Material</u>	<u>Diameter (ID/OD)</u>
o Primary Casing	<u>Steel</u>	<u>5", 6"</u>
o Secondary or outside casing (double construction)	_____	_____
o Screen	<u>steel</u>	<u>5 inch - m-5, 6, 7</u> <u>6 inch - H-28</u>

- b. How are the sections of casing and screen connected?
- o Pipe sections threaded \_\_\_\_\_
- o Couplings (friction) with adhesive or solvent ✓
- o Couplings (friction) with retainer screws \_\_\_\_\_
- o Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

- c. Were the materials steam-cleaned prior to installation? (Y/N) No info.  
 If no, how were the materials cleaned? \_\_\_\_\_

3. Well Intake Design and Well Development

- a. Was a well intake screen installed? (Y/N) Yes  
 o What is the length of the screen for the well?  
10 feet
- o Is the screen manufactured? (Y/N) Yes
- b. Was a filter pack installed? (Y/N) Yes  
 o What kind of filter pack was employed? Pea Gravel  
 o Is the filter pack compatible with formation materials? (Y/N) Yes  
 o How was the filter pack installed? No info.  
 o What are the dimensions of the filter pack? 9 3/8" - 5"  
 o Has a turbidity measurement of the well water ever been made? (Y/N) No info.  
 o Have the filter pack and screen been designed for the in situ materials? (Y/N) Not specifically
- c. Well development  
 Was the well developed? (Y/N) Yes  
 o What technique was used for well development?  
 - Surge block \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Bailer \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Air surging \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Water pumping  \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

4. Annular Space Seals

- a. What is the annular space in the saturated zone directly above the filter pack filled with?  
 - Sodium bentonite (specify type and grit)  
Bentonite pellets  
 - Cement (specify neat or concrete) \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- o Was the seal installed by?  
 - Dropping material down the hole and tamping No info.  
 - Dropping material down the inside of hollow-stem auger \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Tremie pipe method \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Was a different seal used in the unsaturated zone? (Y/N) Yes  
 If yes,  
 o Was this seal made with?  
 - Sodium bentonite (specify type and grit) \_\_\_\_\_  
 - Cement (specify neat or concrete) Grout  
 - Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

- o Was this seal installed by?
  - Dropping material down the hole and tamping No info.
  - Dropping material down the inside of hollow stem auger \_\_\_\_\_
  - Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- c. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with a concrete cap to prevent infiltration from the surface? (Y/N) Yes
- d. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protective device and bumper guards? (Y/N) Yes
- e. Has the protective cover been installed with locks to prevent tampering (Y/N) Yes

H. Evaluation of the Facility's Detection Monitoring Program

1. Placement of Downgradient Detection Monitoring Wells

- a. Are the ground-water monitoring wells or clusters located immediately adjacent to the waste management area? (Y/N) Yes
- b. How far apart are the detection monitoring wells?  
~ 120 feet.

---



---



---

- c. Does the owner/operator provide a rationale for the location of each monitoring well or cluster? (Y/N) Yes
- d. Has the owner/operator identified the well screen lengths of each monitoring well or clusters? (Y/N) Yes
- e. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the well screen lengths of each monitoring well or cluster? (Y/N) Yes
- f. Do the actual locations of monitoring wells or clusters correspond to those identified by the owner/operator? (Y/N) Yes

2. Placement of Upgradient Monitoring Wells

- a. Has the owner/operator documented the location of each upgradient monitoring well or cluster? (Y/N) Yes
- b. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the location(s) of the upgradient monitoring wells? (Y/N) Yes
- c. What length screen has the owner/operator employed in the background monitoring well(s)?  
10 feet.

---



---



---

- d. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the screen length(s) chosen? (Y/N) Yes
- e. Does the actual location of each background monitoring well or cluster correspond to that identified by the owner/operator? (Y/N) Yes

I. Office Evaluation of the Facility's Assessment Monitoring Program

N/A

- 1. Does the assessment plan specify:
  - a. The number, location, and depth of wells? (Y/N) —
  - b. The rationale for their placement and identify the basis that will be used to select subsequent sampling locations and depths in later assessment phases? (Y/N) —
- 2. Does the list of monitoring parameters include all hazardous waste constituents from the facility? (Y/N) —
  - a. Does the water quality parameter list include other important indicators not classified as hazardous waste constituents? (Y/N) —
  - b. Does the owner/operator provide documentation for the listed wastes which are not included? (Y/N) —
- 3. Does the owner/operator's assessment plan specify the procedures to be used to determine the rate of constituent migration in the ground-water? (Y/N) —
- 4. Has the owner/operator specified a schedule of implementation in the assessment plan? (Y/N) —
- 5. Have the assessment monitoring objectives been clearly defined in the assessment plan? (Y/N) —
  - a. Does the plan include analysis and/or re-evaluation to determine if significant contamination has occurred in any of the detection monitoring wells? (Y/N) —
  - b. Does the plan provide for a comprehensive program of investigation to fully characterize the rate and extent of contaminant migration from the facility? (Y/N) —
  - c. Does the plan call for determining the concentrations of hazardous wastes and hazardous waste constituents in the ground water? (Y/N) —
  - d. Does the plan employ a quarterly monitoring program? (Y/N) —
- 6. Does the assessment plan identify the investigatory methods that will be used in the assessment phase? (Y/N) —
  - a. Is the role of each method in the evaluation fully described? (Y/N) —
  - b. Does the plan provide sufficient descriptions of the direct methods to be used? (Y/N) —
  - c. Does the plan provide sufficient descriptions of the indirect methods to be used? (Y/N) —
  - d. Will the method contribute to the further characterization of the contaminant movement? (Y/N) —
- 7. Are the investigatory techniques utilized in the assessment program based on direct methods? (Y/N) —
  - a. Does the assessment approach incorporate indirect methods to further support direct methods? (Y/N) —
  - b. Will the planned methods called for in the assessment approach ultimately meet performance standards for assessment monitoring? (Y/N) —



N/A

- c. Are the procedures well defined? (Y/N) —
- d. Does the approach provide for monitoring wells similar in design and construction as the detection monitoring wells? (Y/N) —
- e. Does the approach employ taking samples during drilling or collecting core samples for further analysis? (Y/N) —
8. Are the indirect methods to be used based on reliable and accepted geophysical techniques? (Y/N) —
- a. Are they capable of detecting subsurface changes resulting from contaminant migration at the site? (Y/N) —
- b. Is the measurement at an appropriate level of sensitivity to detect ground-water quality changes at the site? (Y/N) —
- d. Is the method appropriate considering the nature of the subsurface materials? (Y/N) —
- e. Does the approach consider the limitations of these methods? (Y/N) —
- f. Will the extent of contamination and constituent concentration be based on direct methods and sound engineering judgment? (Using indirect methods to further substantiate the findings) (Y/N) —
9. Does the assessment approach incorporate any mathematical modeling to predict contaminant movement? (Y/N) —
- a. Will site specific measurements be utilized to accurately portray the subsurface? (Y/N) —
- b. Will the derived data be reliable? (Y/N) —
- c. Have the assumptions been identified? (Y/N) —
- d. Have the physical and chemical properties of the site-specific wastes and hazardous waste constituents been identified? (Y/N) —

## J. Conclusions

## 1. Subsurface geology

- a. Has sufficient data been collected to adequately define petrography and petrographic variation? (Y/N) yes
- b. Has the subsurface geochemistry been adequately defined? (Y/N) yes
- c. Was the boring/coring program adequate to define subsurface geologic variation? (Y/N) yes
- d. Was the owner/operator's narrative description complete and accurate in its interpretation of the data? (Y/N) yes
- e. Does the geologic assessment address or provide means to resolve any information gaps? (Y/N) yes

2. Ground-water flowpaths
- a. Did the owner/operator adequately establish the horizontal and vertical components of ground-water flow? (Y/N) yes
  - b. Were appropriate methods used to establish ground-water flowpaths? (Y/N) yes
  - c. Did the owner/operator provide accurate documentation? (Y/N) yes
  - d. Are the potentiometric surface measurements valid? (Y/N) yes
  - e. Did the owner/operator adequately consider the seasonal and temporal effects on the ground-water? (Y/N) yes
  - f. Were sufficient hydraulic conductivity tests performed to document lateral and vertical variation in hydraulic conductivity in the entire hydrogeologic subsurface below the site? (Y/N) yes
3. Uppermost aquifer
- a. Did the owner/operator adequately define the uppermost aquifer? (Y/N) yes
4. Monitoring Well Construction and Design
- a. Do the design and construction of the owner/operator's ground-water monitoring wells permit depth discrete ground-water samples to be taken? (Y/N) yes
  - b. Are the samples representative of ground-water quality? (Y/N) yes
  - c. Are the ground-water monitoring wells structurally stable? (Y/N) yes
  - d. Does the ground-water monitoring well's design and construction permit an accurate assessment of aquifer characteristics? (Y/N) yes
5. Detection Monitoring
- a. Downgradient Wells  
Do the location, and screen lengths of the ground-water monitoring wells or clusters in the detection monitoring system allow the immediate detection of a release of hazardous waste or constituents from the hazardous waste management area to the uppermost aquifer? (Y/N) yes
  - b. Upgradient Wells  
Do the location and screen lengths of the upgradient (background) ground-water monitoring wells ensure the capability of collecting ground-water samples representative of upgradient (background) ground-water quality including any ambient heterogenous chemical characteristics? (Y/N) yes

6. Assessment Monitoring

N/A

N/A

- a. Has the owner/operator adequately characterized site hydrogeology to determine contaminant migration? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Is the detection monitoring system adequately designed and constructed to immediately detect any contaminant release? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Are the procedures used to make a first determination of contamination adequate? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Is the assessment plan adequate to detect, characterize, and track contaminant migration? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Will the assessment monitoring wells, given site hydrogeologic conditions, define the extent and concentration of contamination in the horizontal and vertical planes? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Are the assessment monitoring wells adequately designed and constructed? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Are the sampling and analysis procedures adequate to provide true measures of contamination? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- h. Do the procedures used for evaluation of assessment monitoring data result in determinations of the rate of migration, extent of migration, and hazardous constituent composition of the contaminant plume? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- i. Are the data collected at sufficient frequency and duration to adequately determine the rate of migration? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- j. Is the schedule of implementation adequate? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- k. Is the owner/operator's assessment monitoring plan adequate? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - o If the owner/operator had to implement his assessment monitoring plan, was it implemented satisfactorily? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_

II. Field Evaluation

No field evaluation conducted.

- A. Ground-water monitoring system:  
Are the numbers, depths, and locations of monitoring wells in agreement with those reported in the facility's monitoring plan? (See Section 3.2.3 ) (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_

- B. Monitoring well construction:
  - 1. Identify construction material

	<u>Material</u>	<u>Diameter</u>
a. Primary Casing	_____	_____
b. Secondary or outside casing	_____	_____



Field Review  
Not Conducted

- 2. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with concrete to prevent infiltration from the surface? (Y/N)
- 3. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protective device? (Y/N)
- 4. Is the protective cover fitted with locks to prevent tampering? (Y/N)

If a facility utilizes more than a single well design, answer the above questions for each well design.

III. Review of Sample Collection Procedures *Not conducted in field.*

- A. Measurement of well depths elevation:
  - 1. Are measurements of both depth to standing water and depth to the bottom of the well made? (Y/N)
  - 2. Are measurements taken to the 0.01 feet? (Y/N)
  - 3. What device is used? \_\_\_\_\_
  - 4. Is there a reference point established by a licensed surveyor? (Y/N)
  - 5. Is the measuring equipment properly cleaned between well locations to prevent cross contamination? (Y/N)
- B. Detection of immiscible layers:
  - 1. Are procedures used which will detect light phase immiscible layers? (Y/N)
  - 2. Are procedures used which will detect heavy phase immiscible layers? (Y/N)
- C. Sampling of immiscible layers:
  - 1. Are the immiscible layers sampled separately prior to well evacuation? (Y/N)
  - 2. Do the procedures used minimize mixing with water soluble phases? (Y/N)
- D. Well evacuation:
  - 1. Are low yielding wells evacuated to dryness? (Y/N)
  - 2. Are high yielding wells evacuated so that at least three casing volumes are removed? (Y/N)

Review  
Not  
Conducted  
in field

3. What device is used to evacuate the wells?  
\_\_\_\_\_
4. If any problems are encountered (e.g., equipment malfunction) are they noted in a field logbook? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- E. Sample withdrawal:
1. For low yielding wells, are samples for volatiles, pH, and oxidation/reduction potential drawn first after the well recovers? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  2. Are samples withdrawn with either fluoro-carbon/resins or stainless steel (316, 304 or 2205) sampling devices? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  3. Are sampling devices either bottom valve bailers or positive gas displacement bladder pumps? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  4. If bailers are used, is fluorocarbon/resin coated wire, single strand stainless steel wire, or monofilament used to raise and lower the bailer? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  5. If bladder pumps are used, are they operated in a continuous manner to prevent aeration of the sample? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  6. If bailers are used, are they lowered slowly to prevent degassing of the water? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  7. If bailers are used, are the contents transferred to the sample container in a way that minimizes agitation and aeration? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  8. Is care taken to avoid placing clean sampling equipment on the ground or other contaminated surfaces prior to insertion into the well? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  9. If dedicated sampling equipment is not used, is equipment disassembled and thoroughly cleaned between samples? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  10. If samples are for inorganic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps:  
a. Dilute acid rinse ( $\text{HNO}_3$  or  $\text{HCl}$ )? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  11. If samples are for organic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps:  
a. Nonphosphate detergent wash? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_

Review  
Not conducted  
in field

- c. Distilled/deionized water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- d. Acetone rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- 12. Is sampling equipment thoroughly dry before use? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- 13. Are equipment blanks taken to ensure that sample cross-contamination has not occurred? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- 14. If volatile samples are taken with a positive gas displacement bladder pump, are pumping rates below 100 ml/min? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- F. In-situ or field analyses:
  - 1. Are the following labile (chemically unstable) parameters determined in the field:
    - a. pH? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - b. Temperature? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - c. Specific conductivity? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - d. Redox potential? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - e. Chlorine? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - f. Dissolved oxygen? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - g. Turbidity? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - h. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_ (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 2. For in-situ determinations, are they made after well evacuation and sample removal? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 3. If sample is withdrawn from the well, is parameter measured from a split portion? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 4. Is monitoring equipment calibrated according to manufacturers' specifications and consistent with SW-846? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 5. Is the date, procedure, and maintenance for equipment calibration documented in the field logbook? (Y/N) \_\_\_

IV. Review of Sample Preservation and Handling Procedures

Review  
Not  
conducted in field.

- A. Sample containers:
  - 1. Are samples transferred from the sampling device directly to their compatible containers? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 2. Are sample containers for metals (inorganics) analyses polyethylene with polypropylene caps? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 3. Are sample containers for organics analysis glass bottles with fluorocarbonresin-lined caps? (Y/N) \_\_\_

Review  
Not conducted  
in field

- 4. If glass bottles are used for metals samples are the caps fluorocarbonresin-lined? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- 5. Are the sample containers for metal analyses cleaned using these sequential steps?
  - a. Nonphosphate detergent wash? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - b. 1:1 nitric acid rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - c. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - d. 1:1 hydrochloric acid rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - e. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - f. Distilled/deionized water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- 6. Are the sample containers for organic analyses cleaned using these sequential steps?
  - a. Nonphosphate detergent/hot water wash? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - b. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - c. Distilled/deionized water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - d. Acetone rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- 7. Are trip blanks used for each sample container type to verify cleanliness? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- B. Sample preservation procedures:
  - 1. Are samples for the following analyses cooled to 4°C:
    - a. TOC? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - b. TOX? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - c. Chloride? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - d. Phenols? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - e. Sulfate? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - f. Nitrate? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - g. Coliform bacteria? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - h. Cyanide? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - i. Oil and grease? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - j. Hazardous constituents (§261, Appendix VIII)? (Y/N) \_\_\_
  - 2. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HNO<sub>3</sub>:
    - a. Iron? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - b. Manganese? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - c. Sodium? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - d. Total metals? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - e. Dissolved metals? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - f. Fluoride? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - g. Endrin? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - h. Lindane? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - i. Methoxychlor? (Y/N) \_\_\_
    - j. Toxaphene? (Y/N) \_\_\_

Review  
Not Conducted  
in field

- k. 2,4, D? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- l. 2,4,5, TP Silvex? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- m. Radium? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- n. Gross alpha? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- o. Gross beta? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- 3. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:
  - a. Phenols? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. Oil and grease? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- 4. Is the sample for TOC analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HCl? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- 5. Is the sample for TOX analysis preserved with 1 ml of 1.1 M sodium sulfite? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- 6. Is the sample for cyanide analysis preserved with NaOH to pH >12? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- C. Special handling considerations:
  - 1. Are organic samples handled without filtering? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
  - 2. Are samples for volatile organics transferred to the appropriate vials to eliminate headspace over the sample? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
  - 3. Are samples for metal analysis split into two portions? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
  - 4. Is the sample for dissolved metals filtered through a 0.45 micron filter? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
  - 5. Is the second portion not filtered and analyzed for total metals? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
  - 6. Is one equipment blank prepared each day of ground-water sampling? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
- V. Review of Chain-of-Custody Procedures
  - A. Sample labels
    - 1. Are sample labels used? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  
    - 2. Do they provide the following information:
      - a. Sample identification number? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
      - b. Name of collector? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
      - c. Date and time of collection? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
      - d. Place of collection? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
      - e. Parameter(s) requested and preservatives used? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_

Review not  
Conducted in  
field

E. Sample analysis request sheet:

1. Does a sample analysis request sheet accompany each sample?
2. Does the request sheet document the following:
  - a. Name of person receiving the sample?
  - b. Date of sample receipt?
  - c. Laboratory sample number (if different than field number)?
  - d. Analyses to be performed?

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     



VI. Review of Quality Assurance/Quality Control

A. Is the validity and reliability of the laboratory and field generated data ensured by a QA/QC program?

(Y/N) y

B. Does the QA/QC program include:

1. Documentation of any deviations from approved procedures?

(Y/N) y

2. Documentation of analytical results for:

- a. Blanks?
- b. Standards?
- c. Duplicates?
- d. Spiked samples?
- e. Detectable limits for each parameter being analyzed?

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

C. Are approved statistical methods used?

(Y/N) y

D. Are QC samples used to correct data?

(Y/N) y

E. Are all data critically examined to ensure it has been properly calculated and reported?

(Y/N) y

VII. Surficial Well Inspection and Field Observation

Not conducted in field

A. Are the wells adequately maintained?

(Y/N)     

B. Are the monitoring wells protected and secure?

(Y/N)     

C. Do the wells have surveyed casing elevations?

(Y/N)     

D. Are the ground-water samples turbid?

(Y/N)     

E. Have all physical characteristics of the site been noted in the inspector's field notes (i.e., surface waters, topography, surface features)?

(Y/N)     



- F. Has a site sketch been prepared by the field inspector with a scale, north arrow, location(s) of buildings, location(s) of regulated units, location of monitoring wells, and a rough depiction of the site drainage pattern?

(Y/N) No info.

VIII. Conclusions

- A. Is the facility currently operating under the correct monitoring program according to the statistical analyses performed by the current operator?
- B. Does the ground-water monitoring system, as designed and operated, allow for detection or assessment of any possible ground-water contamination caused by the facility?
- C. Does the sampling and analysis procedures permit the owner/operator to detect and, where possible, assess the nature and extent of a release of hazardous constituents to ground water from the monitored hazardous waste management facility?

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

## 6. Assessment Monitoring

- a. Has the owner/operator adequately characterized site hydrogeology to determine contaminant migration? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- b. Is the detection monitoring system adequately designed and constructed to immediately detect any contaminant release? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- c. Are the procedures used to make a first determination of contamination adequate? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- d. Is the assessment plan adequate to detect, characterize, and track contaminant migration? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- e. Will the assessment monitoring wells, given site hydrogeologic conditions, define the extent and concentration of contamination in the horizontal and vertical planes? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- f. Are the assessment monitoring wells adequately designed and constructed? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- g. Are the sampling and analysis procedures adequate to provide true measures of contamination? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- h. Do the procedures used for evaluation of assessment monitoring data result in determinations of the rate of migration, extent of migration, and hazardous constituent composition of the contaminant plume? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- i. Are the data collected at sufficient frequency and duration to adequately determine the rate of migration? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- j. Is the schedule of implementation adequate? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- k. Is the owner/operator's assessment monitoring plan adequate? (Y/N) \_\_\_
- o If the owner/operator had to implement his assessment monitoring plan, was it implemented satisfactorily? (Y/N) \_\_\_

II. Field Evaluation

## A. Ground-water monitoring system:

Are the numbers, depths, and locations of monitoring wells in agreement with those reported in the facility's monitoring plan? (See Section 3.2.3 )

(Y/N) Y

## B. Monitoring well construction:

## 1. Identify construction material

	<u>Material</u>	<u>Diameter</u>
a. Primary Casing	<u>Gal/PVC</u>	<u>6" on 2 , 2" on 2</u>
b. Secondary or outside casing	<u>Steel</u>	<u>6"</u>



- 2. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with concrete to prevent infiltration from the surface?
- 3. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protective device?
- 4. Is the protective cover fitted with locks to prevent tampering?

(Y/N) Y

(Y/N) Y

(Y/N) N - inside secure perimeter

If a facility utilizes more than a single well design, answer the above questions for each well design.

III. Review of Sample Collection Procedures

A. Measurement of well depths elevation:

- 1. Are measurements of both depth to standing water and depth to the bottom of the well made?

(Y/N) Y

- 2. Are measurements taken to the 0.01 feet?

(Y/N) Y

- 3. What device is used?

Electronic Sounding Device

- 4. Is there a reference point established by a licensed surveyor?

(Y/N)     

- 5. Is the measuring equipment properly cleaned between well locations to prevent cross contamination?

(Y/N)     

B. Detection of immiscible layers:

- 1. Are procedures used which will detect light phase immiscible layers?

(Y/N) N/A

- 2. Are procedures used which will detect heavy phase immiscible layers?

(Y/N) N/A

C. Sampling of immiscible layers:

- 1. Are the immiscible layers sampled separately prior to well evacuation?

(Y/N) N/A

- 2. Do the procedures used minimize mixing with water soluble phases?

(Y/N) N/A

D. Well evacuation:

- 1. Are low yielding wells evacuated to dryness?

(Y/N) N - no need

- 2. Are high yielding wells evacuated so that at least three casing volumes are removed?

(Y/N) Y

3. What device is used to evacuate the wells?  
Well Wizard, Teflon Bailer
4. If any problems are encountered (e.g., equipment malfunction) are they noted in a field logbook? (Y/N) y
- E. Sample withdrawal:
1. For low yielding wells, are samples for volatiles, pH, and oxidation/reduction potential drawn first after the well recovers? (Y/N) y
2. Are samples withdrawn with either fluoro-carbon/resins or stainless steel (316, 304 or 2205) sampling devices? (Y/N) y
3. Are sampling devices either bottom valve bailers or positive gas displacement bladder pumps? (Y/N) y
4. If bailers are used, is fluorocarbon/resin coated wire, single strand stainless steel wire, or monofilament used to raise and lower the bailer? (Y/N) y
5. If bladder pumps are used, are they operated in a continuous manner to prevent aeration of the sample? (Y/N) y
6. If bailers are used, are they lowered slowly to prevent degassing of the water? (Y/N) y
7. If bailers are used, are the contents transferred to the sample container in a way that minimizes agitation and aeration? (Y/N) y
8. Is care taken to avoid placing clean sampling equipment on the ground or other contaminated surfaces prior to insertion into the well? (Y/N) y
9. If dedicated sampling equipment is not used, is equipment disassembled and thoroughly cleaned between samples? (Y/N) y
10. If samples are for inorganic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps:  
 a. Dilute acid rinse ( $\text{HNO}_3$  or  $\text{HCl}$ )? (Y/N) N - distilled water used in bailer
11. If samples are for organic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps:  
 a. Nonphosphate detergent wash? (Y/N) N/A  
 b. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) N/A

- c. Distilled/deionized water rinse? (Y/N) N/A  
 d. Acetone rinse? (Y/N) N/A  
 e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse? (Y/N) N/A
12. Is sampling equipment thoroughly dry before use? (Y/N) Barlow - yes
13. Are equipment blanks taken to ensure that sample cross-contamination has not occurred? (Y/N) Dedicated equipment
14. If volatile samples are taken with a positive gas displacement bladder pump, are pumping rates below 100 ml/min? (Y/N) N/A
- F. In-situ or field analyses:
1. Are the following labile (chemically unstable) parameters determined in the field:
- a. pH? (Y/N) y  
 b. Temperature? (Y/N) N  
 c. Specific conductivity? (Y/N) y  
 d. Redox potential? (Y/N) N  
 e. Chlorine? (Y/N) N  
 f. Dissolved oxygen? (Y/N) N  
 g. Turbidity? (Y/N) N  
 h. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_ (Y/N) N
2. For in-situ determinations, are they made after well evacuation and sample removal? (Y/N) y
3. If sample is withdrawn from the well, is parameter measured from a split portion? (Y/N) y
4. Is monitoring equipment calibrated according to manufacturers' specifications and consistent with SW-846? (Y/N) y
5. Is the date, procedure, and maintenance for equipment calibration documented in the field logbook? (Y/N) y
- IV. Review of Sample Preservation and Handling Procedures
- A. Sample containers:
1. Are samples transferred from the sampling device directly to their compatible containers? (Y/N) y
2. Are sample containers for metals (inorganics) analyses polyethylene with polypropylene caps? (Y/N) y
3. Are sample containers for organics analysis glass bottles with fluorocarbonresin-lined caps? (Y/N) N/A

4. If glass bottles are used for metals samples are the caps fluorocarbonresin-lined? (Y/N) N/A
5. Are the sample containers for metal analyses cleaned using these sequential steps?
- a. Nonphosphate detergent wash? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. 1:1 nitric acid rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - d. 1:1 hydrochloric acid rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - e. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - f. Distilled/deionized water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- } Unknown - Bottles received directly from contract lab w/ preservative
6. Are the sample containers for organic analyses cleaned using these sequential steps?
- a. Nonphosphate detergent/hot water wash? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. Tap water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. Distilled/deionized water rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - d. Acetone rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
  - e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_
- N/A
7. Are trip blanks used for each sample container type to verify cleanliness? (Y/N) None used
- B. Sample preservation procedures:
1. Are samples for the following analyses cooled to 4°C:
- a. TOC? (Y/N) y
  - b. TOX? (Y/N) y
  - c. Chloride? (Y/N) y
  - d. Phenols? (Y/N) y
  - e. Sulfate? (Y/N) y
  - f. Nitrate? (Y/N) y
  - g. Coliform bacteria? (Y/N) N
  - h. Cyanide? (Y/N) N
  - i. Oil and grease? (Y/N) N
  - j. Hazardous constituents (§261, Appendix VIII)? (Y/N) y
- No preservatives - y's  
Preservatives - No
2. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HNO<sub>3</sub>:
- a. Iron? (Y/N) y
  - b. Manganese? (Y/N) y
  - c. Sodium? (Y/N) y
  - d. Total metals? (Y/N) y
  - e. Dissolved metals? (Y/N) y
  - f. Fluoride? (Y/N) N/A
  - g. Endrin? (Y/N) N/A
  - h. Lindane? (Y/N) N/A
  - i. Methoxychlor? (Y/N) N/A
  - j. Toxaphene? (Y/N) N/A

- k. 2,4, D? (Y/N) N/A  
 l. 2,4,5, TP Silvex? (Y/N) N/A  
 m. Radium? (Y/N) N/A  
 n. Gross alpha? (Y/N) N/A  
 o. Gross beta? (Y/N) N/A
3. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:  
 a. Phenols? (Y/N) y  
 b. Oil and grease? (Y/N) N/A
4. Is the sample for TOC analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HCl? (Y/N) y
5. Is the sample for TOX analysis preserved with 1 ml of 1.1 M sodium sulfite? (Y/N) HNO<sub>3</sub>
6. Is the sample for cyanide analysis preserved with NaOH to pH >12? (Y/N) N/A
- C. Special handling considerations:
1. Are organic samples handled without filtering? (Y/N) N/A
2. Are samples for volatile organics transferred to the appropriate vials to eliminate headspace over the sample? (Y/N) N/A
3. Are samples for metal analysis split into two portions? (Y/N) N *lab docs.*
4. Is the sample for dissolved metals filtered through a 0.45 micron filter? (Y/N) y - *lab*
5. Is the second portion not filtered and analyzed for total metals? (Y/N) y - *lab*
6. Is one equipment blank prepared each day of ground-water sampling? (Y/N) dedicated equipment
- V. Review of Chain-of-Custody Prodecures
- A. Sample labels
1. Are sample labels used? (Y/N) y
2. Do they provide the following information:
- a. Sample identification number? (Y/N) y  
 b. Name of collector? (Y/N) No  
 c. Date and time of collection? (Y/N) y  
 d. Place of collection? (Y/N) y  
 e. Parameter(s) requested and preservatives used? (Y/N) y

3. Do they remain legible even if wet? (Y/N) y
- B. Sample seals:
1. Are sample seals placed on those containers to ensure the samples are not altered? (Y/N) y
- C. Field logbook:
1. Is a field logbook maintained? (Y/N) y
2. Does it document the following:
- a. Purpose of sampling (e.g., detection or assessment)? (Y/N) y
- b. Location of well(s)? (Y/N) y
- c. Total depth of each well? (Y/N) y
- d. Static water level depth and measurement technique? (Y/N) y
- e. Presence of immiscible layers and detection method? (Y/N) y
- f. Collection method for immiscible layers and sample identification numbers? (Y/N) Visual / odor
- g. Well evacuation procedures? (Y/N) y
- h. Sample withdrawal procedure? (Y/N) y
- i. Date and time of collection? (Y/N) y
- j. Well sampling sequence? (Y/N) y
- k. Types of sample containers and sample identification number(s)? (Y/N) ISO # only
- l. Preservative(s) used? (Y/N) y
- m. Parameters requested? (Y/N) y *COC*
- n. Field analysis data and method(s)? (Y/N) y
- o. Sample distribution and transporter? (Y/N) y
- p. Field observations?
- o Unusual well recharge rates? (Y/N) y
- o Equipment malfunction(s)? (Y/N) y
- o Possible sample contamination? (Y/N) y
- o Sampling rate? (Y/N) y
- D. Chain-of-custody record:
1. Is a chain-of-custody record included with each sample? (Y/N) y
2. Does it document the following:
- a. Sample number? (Y/N) y
- b. Signature of collector? (Y/N) y
- c. Date and time of collection? (Y/N) y
- d. Sample type? (Y/N) y
- e. Station location? (Y/N) y
- f. Number of containers? (Y/N) y
- g. Parameters requested? (Y/N) y
- h. Signatures of persons involved in the chain-of-possession? (Y/N) y
- i. Inclusive dates of possession? (Y/N) y

E. Sample analysis request sheet:

1. Does a sample analysis request sheet accompany each sample?
2. Does the request sheet document the following:
  - a. Name of person receiving the sample?
  - b. Date of sample receipt?
  - c. Laboratory sample number (if different than field number)?
  - d. Analyses to be performed?

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y (COC)

(Y/N) y (COC)

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y

VI. Review of Quality Assurance/Quality Control

*3rd Party  
Data Chem  
SLC, Utah*

A. Is the validity and reliability of the laboratory and field generated data ensured by a QA/QC program?

(Y/N)     

B. Does the QA/QC program include:

1. Documentation of any deviations from approved procedures?

(Y/N)     

2. Documentation of analytical results for:

- a. Blanks?
- b. Standards?
- c. Duplicates?
- d. Spiked samples?
- e. Detectable limits for each parameter being analyzed?

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

(Y/N)     

C. Are approved statistical methods used?

(Y/N) y - *Student T-Test*

D. Are QC samples used to correct data?

(Y/N)     

E. Are all data critically examined to ensure it has been properly calculated and reported?

(Y/N)     

VII. Surficial Well Inspection and Field Observation

A. Are the wells adequately maintained?

(Y/N) y

B. Are the monitoring wells protected and secure?

(Y/N) y

C. Do the wells have surveyed casing elevations?

(Y/N) y

D. Are the ground-water samples turbid?

(Y/N) Slightly - from steel pipe.

E. Have all physical characteristics of the site been noted in the inspector's field notes (i.e., surface waters, topography, surface features)?

(Y/N) y

- F. Has a site sketch been prepared by the field inspector with a scale, north arrow, location(s) of buildings, location(s) of regulated units, location of monitoring wells, and a rough depiction of the site drainage pattern?

(Y/N) y

VIII. Conclusions

- A. Is the facility currently operating under the correct monitoring program according to the statistical analyses performed by the current operator?
- B. Does the ground-water monitoring system, as designed and operated, allow for detection or assessment of any possible ground-water contamination caused by the facility?
- C. Does the sampling and analysis procedures permit the owner/operator to detect and, where possible, assess the nature and extent of a release of hazardous constituents to ground water from the monitored hazardous waste management facility?

(Y/N) y

(Y/N) y (Cr)

(Y/N) y



6/2/88

KMCC - CME

Completed CME Checklist - OK.

→ Send Plan info on Johnson-Keck Pump. ←

H-28 evacuated and sampled during visit

11.2 gal / hr

~ 36 gal total to be evacuated.

## APPENDIX A

## COMPREHENSIVE GROUND-WATER MONITORING EVALUATION WORKSHEET

The following worksheets have been designed to assist the enforcement officer/technical reviewer in evaluating the ground-water monitoring system an owner/operator uses to collect and analyze samples of ground water. The focus of the worksheets is technical adequacy as it relates to obtaining and analyzing representative samples of ground water. The basis of the worksheets is the final RCRA Ground Water Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document which describes in detail the aspects of ground-water monitoring which EPA deems essential to meet the goals of RCRA. Appendix A is not a regulatory checklist. Specific technical deficiencies in the monitoring system can, however, be related to the regulations as illustrated in Figure 4.3 taken from the RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Compliance Order Guide (COG) (included at the end of the appendix). The enforcement officer, in developing an enforcement order, should relate the technical assessment from the worksheets to the regulations using Figure 4.3 from the COG as a guide.

Comprehensive Ground-Water Monitoring Evaluation	Y/N
<b>I. Office Evaluation Technical Evaluation of the Design of the Ground-Water Monitoring System</b>	
<b>A. Review of Relevant Documents</b>	
1. What documents were obtained prior to conducting the inspection:	
a. RCRA Part A permit application?	
b. RCRA Part B permit application?	
c. Correspondence between the owner/operator and appropriate agencies or citizen's groups?	
d. Previously conducted facility inspection reports?	
e. Facility's contractor reports?	
f. Regional hydrogeologic, geologic, or soil reports?	
g. The facility's Sampling and Analysis Plan?	
h. Ground-water Assessment Program Outline (or Plan, if the facility is in assessment monitoring)?	
i. Other (specify) _____	

	Y/N
<b>B. Evaluation of the Owner/Operator's Hydrogeologic Assessment</b>	
1. Did the owner/operator use the following direct techniques in the hydrogeologic assessment:	
a. Logs of the soil borings/rock corings (documented by a professional geologist, soil scientist, or geotechnical engineer)?	
b. Materials tests (e.g., grain size analyses, standard penetration tests, etc.)?	
c. Piezometer installation for water level measurements at different depths?	
d. Slug tests?	
e. Pump tests?	
f. Geochemical analyses of soil samples?	
g. Other (specify) (e.g., hydrochemical diagrams and wash analysis)	
2. Did the owner/operator use the following indirect technique to supplement direct techniques data:	
a. Geophysical well logs?	
b. Tracer studies?	
c. Resistivity and/or electromagnetic conductance?	
d. Seismic Survey?	
e. Hydraulic conductivity measurements of cores?	
f. Aerial photography?	
g. Ground penetrating radar?	
h. Other (specify)	
3. Did the owner/operator document and present the raw data from the site hydrogeologic assessment?	
4. Did the owner/operator document methods (criteria) used to correlate and analyze the information?	
5. The owner/operator prepare the following:	
a. Narrative description of geology?	
b. Geologic cross sections?	
c. Geologic and soil maps?	
d. Boring/coring logs?	
e. Structure contour maps of the differing water bearing zones and confining layer?	
f. Narrative description and calculation of ground-water flows?	

	Y/N
g. Water table/potentiometric map?	
h. Hydrologic cross sections?	
6. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional map of the area and delineate the facility?	
If yes, does this map illustrate:	
a. Surficial geology features?	
b. Streams, rivers, lakes, or wetlands near the facility?	
c. Discharging or recharging wells near the facility?	
7. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional hydrogeologic map?	
If yes, does this hydrogeologic map indicate:	
a. Major areas of recharge/discharge?	
b. Regional ground-water flow direction?	
c. Potentiometric contours which are consistent with observed water level elevations?	
8. Did the owner/operator prepare a facility site map?	
If yes, does the site map show:	
a. Regulated units of the facility (e.g., landfill areas, impoundments)?	
b. Any seeps, springs, streams, ponds, or wetlands?	
c. Location of monitoring wells, soil borings, or test pits?	
d. How many regulated units does the facility have? _____	
If more than one regulated unit then,	
• Does the waste management area encompass all regulated units?	
• Is a waste management area delineated for each regulated unit?	
<b>C. Characterization of Subsurface Geology of Site</b>	
1. Soil boring/test pit program:	
a. Were the soil borings/test pits performed under the supervision of a qualified professional?	
b. Did the owner/operator provide documentation for selecting the spacing for borings?	
c. Were the borings drilled to the depth of the first confining unit below the uppermost zone of saturation or ten feet into bedrock?	
d. Indicate the method(s) of drilling:	

	Y/N
Auger (hollow or solid stem) _____	
Mud rotary _____	
Reverse rotary _____	
Cable tool _____	
Jetting _____	
Other (specify) _____	
e. Were continuous sample corings taken?	
f. How were the samples obtained (checked method[s])	
• Split spoon _____	
• Shelby tube, or similar _____	
• Rock coring _____	
• Ditch sampling _____	
• Other (explain) _____	
g. Were the continuous sample corings logged by a qualified professional in geology?	
h. Does the field boring log include the following information:	
• Hole name/number?	
• Date started and finished?	
• Driller's name?	
• Hole location (i.e., map and elevation)?	
• Drill rig type and bit/auger size?	
• Gross petrography (e.g., rock type) of each geologic unit?	
• Gross mineralogy of each geologic unit?	
• Gross structural interpretation of each geologic unit and structural features (e.g., fractures, gouge material, solution channels, buried streams or valleys, identification of depositional material)?	
• Development of soil zones and vertical extent and description of soil type?	
• Depth of water bearing unit(s) and vertical extent of each?	
• Depth and reason for termination of borehole?	
• Depth and location of any contaminant encountered in borehole?	
• Sample location/number?	
• Percent sample recovery?	
• Narrative descriptions of:	
—Geologic observations?	
—Drilling observations?	
i. Were the following analytical tests performed on the core samples:	
• Mineralogy (e.g., microscopic tests and x-ray diffraction)?	
• Petrographic analysis:	
—degree of crystallinity and cementation of matrix?	
—degree of sorting, size fraction (i.e., sieving), textural variations?	
—rock type(s)?	

	Y/N
—soil type?	
—approximate bulk geochemistry?	
—existence of microstructures that may effect or indicate fluid flow?	
• Falling head tests?	
• Static head tests?	
• Settling measurements?	
• Centrifuge tests?	
• Column drawings?	
<b>D. Verification of Subsurface Geological Data</b>	
1. Has the owner/operator used indirect geophysical methods to supplement geological conditions between borehole locations?	
2. Do the number of borings and analytical data indicate that the confining layer displays a low enough permeability to impede the migration of contaminants to any stratigraphically low water-bearing units?	
3. Is the confining layer laterally continuous across the entire site?	
4. Did the owner/operator consider the chemical compatibility of the site-specific waste types and the geologic materials of the confining layer?	
5. Did the geologic assessment address or provide means for resolution of any information gaps of geologic data?	
6. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for petrography?	
7. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for mineralogy and subsurface geochemistry?	
<b>E. Presentation of Geologic Data</b>	
1. Did the owner/operator present geologic cross sections of the site?	
2. Do cross sections:	
a. identify the types and characteristics of the geologic materials present?	
b. define the contact zones between different geologic materials?	
c. note the zones of high permeability or fracture?	
d. give detailed borehole information including:	

	Y/N
• location of borehole?	
• depth of termination?	
• location of screen (if applicable)?	
• depth of zone(s) of saturation?	
• backfill procedure?	
3. Did the owner/operator provide a topographic map which was constructed by a licensed surveyor?	
4. Does the topographic map provide:	
a. contours at a maximum interval of two-feet?	
b. locations and illustrations of man-made features (e.g., parking lots, factory buildings, drainage ditches, storm drain, pipelines, etc.)?	
c. descriptions of nearby water bodies?	
d. descriptions of off-site wells?	
e. site boundaries?	
f. individual RCRA units?	
g. delineation of the waste management area(s)?	
h. well and boring locations?	
5. Did the owner/operator provide an aerial photograph depicting the site and adjacent off-site features?	
6. Does the photograph clearly show surface water bodies, adjacent municipalities, and residences and are these clearly labelled?	
<b>F. Identification of Ground-Water Flowpaths</b>	
1. Ground-water flow direction	
a. Was the well casing height measured by a licensed surveyor to the nearest 0.01 feet?	
b. Were the well water level measurements taken within a 24 hour period?	
c. Were the well water level measurements taken to the nearest 0.01 feet?	
d. Were the well water levels allowed to stabilize after construction and development for a minimum of 24 hours prior to measurements?	
e. Was the water level information obtained from (check appropriate one):	
• multiple piezometers placed in single borehole? _____	
• vertically nested piezometers in closely spaced separate _____	
• boreholes? _____	
• monitoring wells? _____	

	Y/N
f. Did the owner/operator provide construction details for the piezometers?	
g. How were the static water levels measured (check method[s]).	
• Electric water sounder _____	
• Wetted tape _____	
• Air line _____	
• Other (explain) _____	
h. Was the well water level measured in wells with equivalent screened intervals at an equivalent depth below the saturated zone?	
i. Has the owner/operator provided a site water table (potentiometric) contour map?	
If yes,	
• Do the potentiometric contours appear logical and accurate based on topography and presented data? (Consult water level data)	
• Are ground-water flow-lines indicated?	
• Are static water levels shown?	
• Can hydraulic gradients be estimated?	
j. Did the owner/operator develop hydrologic cross sections of the vertical flow component across the site using measurements from all wells?	
k. Do the owner/operator's flow nets include:	
• piezometer locations?	
• depth of screening?	
• width of screening?	
• measurements of water levels from all wells and piezometers?	
2. Seasonal and temporal fluctuations in ground-water	
a. Do fluctuations in static water levels occur? If yes, are the fluctuations caused by any of the following:	
—Off-site well pumping	
—Tidal processes or other intermittent natural variations (e.g., river stage, etc.)	
—On-site well pumping	
—Off-site, on-site construction or changing land use patterns	
—Deep well injection	
—Seasonal variations	
—Other (specify) _____	
b. Has the owner/operator documented sources and patterns that contribute to or affect the ground-water patterns below the waste management?	
c. Do water level fluctuations alter the general ground-water gradients and flow directions?	
d. Based on water level data, do any head differentials occur that may indicate a vertical flow component in the saturated zone?	



	Y/N
e. Did the owner/operator implement means for gauging long term effects on water movement that may result from on-site or off-site construction or changes in land-use patterns?	
<b>3. Hydraulic conductivity</b>	
a. How were hydraulic conductivities of the subsurface materials determined?	
• Single-well tests (slug tests)?	
• Multiple-well tests (pump tests)	
• Other (specify) _____	
b. If single-well tests were conducted, was it done by:	
• Adding or removing a known volume of water?	
• Pressurizing well casing?	
c. If single well tests were conducted in a highly permeable formation, were pressure transducers and high-speed recording equipment used to record the rapidly changing water levels?	
d. Since single well tests only measure hydraulic conductivity in a limited area, were enough tests run to ensure a representative measure of conductivity in each hydrogeologic unit?	
e. Is the owner/operator's slug test data (if applicable) consistent with existing geologic information (e.g., boring logs)?	
f. Were other hydraulic conductivity properties determined?	
g. If yes, provide any of the following data, if available:	
• Transmissivity _____	
• Storage coefficient _____	
• Leakage _____	
• Permeability _____	
• Porosity _____	
• Specific capacity _____	
• Other (specify) _____	
<b>4. Identification of the uppermost aquifer</b>	
a. Has the extent of the uppermost saturated zone (aquifer) in the facility area been defined? If yes,	
• Are soil boring/test pit logs included?	
• Are geologic cross-sections included?	
b. Is there evidence of confining (competent, unfractured, continuous, and low permeability) layers beneath the site? If yes,	
• how was continuity demonstrated? _____	
c. What is hydraulic conductivity of the confining unit (if present)? CM/Sec How was it determined?	

	Y/N
<p>d. Does potential for other hydraulic communication exist (e.g., lateral discontinuity between geologic units, facies changes, fracture zones, cross cutting structures, or chemical corrosion/alteration of geologic units by leachage? If yes or no, what is the rationale?</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>	
<p><b>G. Office Evaluation of the Facility's Ground-Water Monitoring System— Monitoring Well Design and Construction:</b></p> <p>These questions should be answered for each different well design present at the facility.</p> <p><b>1. Drilling Methods</b></p> <p>a. What drilling method was used for the well?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hollow-stem auger <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Solid-stem auger <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Mud rotary <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Air rotary <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Reverse rotary <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Cable tool <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Jetting <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Air drill w/ casing hammer <input type="checkbox"/></li> <li>• Other (specify) _____</li> </ul>	
<p>b. Were any cutting fluids (including water) or additives used during drilling? If yes, specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Type of drilling fluid _____</li> <li>• Source of water used _____</li> <li>• Foam _____</li> <li>• Polymers _____</li> <li>• Other _____</li> </ul>	
<p>c. Was the cutting fluid, or additive, identified?</p>	
<p>d. Was the drilling equipment steam-cleaned prior to drilling the well?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other methods _____</li> </ul>	
<p>e. Was compressed air used during drilling? If yes,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• was the air filtered to remove oil?</li> </ul>	
<p>f. Did the owner/operator document procedure for establishing the potentiometric surface? If yes,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• how was the location established?</li> </ul>	
<p>g. Formation samples</p>	

	<b>Y/N</b>
• Were formation samples collected initially during drilling?	
• Were any cores taken continuous?	
• If not, at what interval were samples taken?	
• How were the samples obtained? —Split spoon —Shelby tube —Core drill —Other (specify)	
• Identify if any physical and/or chemical tests were performed on the formation samples (specify) _____ _____ _____	
<b>2. Monitoring Well Construction Materials</b>	
a. Identify construction materials (by number) and diameters (ID/OD)	
	<u>Material</u> <u>Diameter</u>
• Primary Casing	_____
• Secondary or outside casing (doubleconstruction)	_____
• Screen	_____
b. How are the sections of casing and screen connected?	
• Pipe sections threaded	
• Couplings (friction) with adhesive or solvent	
• Couplings (friction) with retainer screws	
• Other (specify)	
c. Were the materials steam-cleaned prior to installation?	
• If no, how were the materials cleaned? _____	
<b>3. Well Intake Design and Well Development</b>	
a. Was a well intake screen installed?	
• What is the length of the screen for the well? _____	
• Is the screen manufactured?	
b. Was a filter pack installed?	
• What kind of filter pack was employed? _____	
• Is the filter pack compatible with formation materials?	
• How was the filter pack installed? _____	

	Y/N
• What are the dimensions of the filter pack? _____	
• Has a turbidity measurement of the well water ever been made?	
• Have the filter pack and screen been designed for the insitu materials? _____	
c. Well development	
• Was the well developed?	
• What technique was used for well development? —Surge block —Bailer —Air surging —Water pumping —Other (specify) _____	
4. Annular Space Seals	
a. What is the annular space in the saturated zone directly above the filter pack filled with: —Sodium bentonite (specify type and grit) —Cement (specify neat or concrete) —Other (specify)	
b. Was the seal installed by: —Dropping material down the hole and tamping —Dropping material down the inside of hollow-stem auger —Tremie pipe method —Other (specify)	
c. Was a different seal used in the unsaturated zone? If yes,	
• Was this seal made with? —Sodium bentonite (specify type and grit) —Cement (specify neat or concrete)- Other (specify)	
• Was this seal installed by? —Dropping material down the hole and tamping —Dropping material down the inside of hollow stem auger —Other (specify)	
d. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with a concrete cap to prevent infiltration from the surface?	
e. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protective device and bumper guards?	
f. Has the protective cover been installed with locks to prevent tampering?	

	Y/N
<b>H. Evaluation of the Facility's Detection Monitoring Program</b>	
<b>1. Placement of Downgradient Detection Monitoring Wells</b>	
a. Are the ground-water monitoring wells or clusters located immediately adjacent to the waste management area?	
b. How far apart are the detection monitoring wells?	
c. Does the owner/operator provide a rationale for the location of each monitoring well or cluster?	
d. Does the owner/operator identified the well screen lengths of each monitoring well or clusters?	
e. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the well screen lengths of each monitoring well or cluster?	
f. Do the actual locations of monitoring wells or clusters correspond to those identified by the owner/operator?	
<b>2. Placement of Upgradient Monitoring Wells</b>	
a. Has the owner/operator documented the location of each upgradient monitoring well or cluster?	
b. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the location(s) of the upgradient monitoring wells?	
c. What length screen has the owner/operator employed in the background monitoring well(s)?	
d. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the screen length(s) chosen?	
e. Does the actual location of each background monitoring well or cluster correspond to that identified by the owner/operator?	
<b>I. Office Evaluation of the Facility's Assessment Monitoring Program</b>	
<b>1. Does the assessment plan specify:</b>	
a. The number, location, and depth of wells?	
b. The rationale for their placement and identify the basis that will be used to select subsequent sampling locations and depths in later assessment phases?	
<b>2. Does the list of monitoring parameters include all hazardous waste constituents from the facility?</b>	

	Y/N
a. Does the water quality parameter list include other important indicators not classified as hazardous waste constituents?	
b. Does the owner/operator provide documentation for he listed wastes which are not included?	
3. Does the owner/operator's assessment plan specify the procedures to be used to determine the rate of constituent migration in the ground-water?	
4. Has the owner/operator specified a schedule of implementation in the assessment plan?	
5. Have the assessment monitoring objectives been clearly defined in the assessment plan?	
a. Does the plan include analysis and/or re-evaluation to determine if significant contamination has occurred in any of the detection monitoring wells?	
b. Does the plan provide for a comprehensive program of investigation to fully characterize the rate and extent of contaminant migration from the facility?	
c. Does the plan call for determining the concentrations of hazardous wastes and hazardous waste constituents in the ground water?	
d. Does the plan employ a quarterly monitoring program?	
6. Does the assessment plan identify the investigatory methods that will be used in the assessment phase?	
a. Is the role of each method in the evaluation fully described?	
b. Does the plan provide sufficient descriptions of the direct methods to be used?	
c. Does the plan provide sufficient descriptions of the indirect methods to be used?	
d. Will the method contribute to the further characterization of the contaminant movement?	
7. Are the investigatory techniques utilized in the assessment program based on direct methods?	
a. Does the assessment approach incorporate indirect methods to further support direct methods?	
b. Will the planned methods called for in the assessment approach ultimately meet performance standards for assessment monitoring?	
c. Are the procedures well defined?	
d. Does the approach provide for monitoring wells similar in design and construction as the detection monitoring wells?	

	Y/N
e. Does the approach employ taking samples during drilling or collecting core samples for further analysis?	
8. Are the indirect methods to be used based on reliable and accepted geophysical techniques?	
a. Are they capable of detecting subsurface changes resulting from contaminant migration at the site?	
b. Is the measurement at an appropriate level of sensitivity to detect ground-water quality changes at the site?	
c. Is the method appropriate considering the nature of the subsurface materials?	
d. Does the approach consider the limitations of these methods?	
e. Will the extent of contamination and constituent concentration be based on direct methods and sound engineering judgment? (Using indirect methods to further substantiate the findings.)	
9. Does the assessment approach incorporate any mathematical modeling to predict contaminant movement?	
a. Will site specific measurements be utilized to accurately portray the subsurface?	
b. Will the derived data be reliable?	
c. Have the assumptions been identified?	
d. Have the physical and chemical properties of the site-specific wastes and hazardous waste constituents been identified?	
<b>J. Conclusions</b>	
1. Subsurface geology	
a. Has sufficient data been collected to adequately define petrography and petrographic variation?	
b. Has the subsurface geochemistry been adequately defined?	
c. Was the boring/coring program adequate to define subsurface geologic variation?	
d. Was the owner/operator's narrative description complete and accurate in its interpretation of the data?	
e. Does the geologic assessment address or provide means to resolve any information gaps?	
2. Ground-water flowpaths	
a. Did the owner/operator adequately establish the horizontal and vertical components of ground-water flow?	

	Y/N
b. Were appropriate methods used to establish ground-water flowpaths?	
c. Did the owner/operator provide accurate documentation?	
d. Are the potentiometric surface measurements valid?	
e. Did the owner/operator adequately consider the seasonal and temporal effects on the ground-water?	
f. Were sufficient hydraulic conductivity tests performed to document lateral and vertical variation in hydraulic conductivity in the entire hydrogeologic subsurface below the site?	
<b>3. Uppermost Aquifer</b>	
a. Did the owner/operator adequately define the upper-most aquifer?	
<b>4. Monitoring Well Construction and Design</b>	
a. Do the design and construction of the owner/operator's ground-water monitoring wells permit depth discrete ground-water samples to be taken?	
b. Are the samples representative of ground-water quality?	
c. Are the ground-water monitoring wells structurally stable?	
d. Does the ground-water monitoring well's design and construction permit an accurate assessment of aquifer characteristics?	
<b>5. Detection Monitoring</b>	
a. Downgradient Wells <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Do the location, and screen lengths of the ground-water monitoring wells or clusters in the detection monitoring system allow the immediate detection of a release of hazardous waste or constituents from the hazardous waste management area to the uppermost aquifer?</li> </ul>	
b. Upgradient Wells <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Do the location and screen lengths of the upgradient (background) ground-water monitoring wells ensure the capability of collecting ground-water samples representative of upgradient (background) ground-water quality including any ambient heterogenous chemical characteristics?</li> </ul>	
<b>6. Assessment Monitoring</b>	
a. Has the owner/operator adequately characterized site hydrogeology to determine contaminant migration?	
b. Is the detection monitoring system adequately designed and constructed to immediately detect any contaminant release?	



	Y/N
c. Are the procedures used to make a first determination of contamination adequate?	
d. Is the assessment plan adequate to detect, characterize, and track contaminant migration?	
e. Will the assessment monitoring wells, given site hydrogeologic conditions, define the extent and concentration of contamination in the horizontal and vertical planes?	
f. Are the assessment monitoring wells adequately designed and constructed?	
g. Are the sampling and analysis procedures adequate to provide true measures of contamination?	
h. Do the procedures used for evaluation of assessment monitoring data result in determinations of the rate of migration, extent of migration, and hazardous constituent composition of the contaminant plume?	
i. Are the data collected at sufficient frequency and duration to adequately determine the rate of migration?	
j. Is the schedule of implementation adequate?	
k. Is the owner/operator's assessment monitoring plan adequate?	
• If the owner/operator had to implement his assessment monitoring plan, was it implemented satisfactorily?	
<p><b>II. Field Evaluation</b> <span style="float: right;">H-20 11. 6</span></p>	
<p><b>A. Ground-Water Monitoring System</b></p> <p>1. Are the numbers, depths, and locations of monitoring wells in agreement with those reported in the facility's monitoring plan? (See Section 3.2.3.)</p>	
<p><b>B. Monitoring Well Construction</b></p> <p>1. Identify construction material material diameter</p> <p>a. Primary Casing <u>H 28 STEEL ; 11" 6" (PVC)</u></p> <p>b. Secondary or outside casing <u>H 28 (NO) ; 11" 6" (STEEL)</u></p>	
<p>2. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with concrete to prevent infiltration from the surface?</p>	
<p>3. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protective device?</p>	
<p>4. Is the protective cover fitted with locks to prevent tampering? If a facility utilizes more than a single well design, answer the above questions for each well design?</p> <p style="text-align: left;">YES</p>	

	Y/N
<b>III. Review of Sample Collection Procedures</b>	
<b>A. Measurement of Well Depths /Elevation</b>	
1. Are measurements of both depth to standing water and depth to the bottom of the well made?	yes
2. Are measurements taken to the 0.01 feet?	yes
3. What device is used?	SAMPLE PRO
4. Is there a reference point established by a licensed surveyor?	
5. Is the measuring equipment properly cleaned between well locations to prevent cross contamination?	
<b>B. Detection of Immiscible Layers</b>	
1. Are procedures used which will detect light phase immiscible layers?	yes
2. Are procedures used which will detect heavy phase immiscible layers?	No
<b>C. Sampling of Immiscible Layers</b>	
1. Are the immiscible layers sampled separately prior to well evacuation?	n/a
2. Do the procedures used minimize mixing with watersoluble phases?	Clear bottom would allow; and procedure is in place - just
<b>D. Well Evacuation</b>	
1. Are low yielding wells evacuated to dryness?	NO
2. Are high yielding wells evacuated so that at least three casing volumes are removed?	yes 3 casing vol. each well
3. What device is used to evacuate the wells?	single check valve boomer
4. If any problems are encountered (e.g., equipment malfunction) are they noted in a field logbook?	No logbook. Data sheet

	Y/N
<b>E. Sample Withdrawal</b>	
1. For low yielding wells, are samples for volatiles, pH, and oxidation/reduction potential drawn first after the well recovers?	
2. Are samples withdrawn with either fluoro-carbon/resins or stainless steel (316, 304 or 2205) sampling devices?	
3. Are sampling devices either bottom valve bailers or positive gas displacement bladder pumps?	
4. If bailers are used, is fluorocarbon/ <sup>TEFLON</sup> resin coated wire, single strand stainless steel wire, or monofilament used to raise and lower the bailer?	
5. If bladder pumps are used, are they operated in a continuous manner to prevent aeration of the sample?	N/A
6. If bailers are used, are they lowered slowly to prevent degassing of the water?	
7. If bailers are used, are the contents transferred to the sample container in a way that minimizes agitation and aeration?	
8. Is care taken to avoid placing clean sampling equipment on the ground or other contaminated surfaces prior to insertion into the well?	
9. If dedicated sampling equipment is not used, is equipment disassembled and thoroughly cleaned between samples?	N/A
10. If samples are for inorganic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps: a. Dilute acid rinse (HNO <sub>3</sub> or HCl)?	
11. If samples are for organic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps:	
a. Nonphosphate detergent wash?	
b. Tap water rinse?	
c. Distilled/deionized water rinse?	
d. Acetone rinse?	
e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse?	

	Y/N
12. Is sampling equipment thoroughly dry before use?	
13. Are equipment blanks taken to ensure that sample cross-contamination has not occurred?	
14. If volatile samples are taken with a positive gas displacement bladder pump, are pumping rates below 100 ml/min?	
<b>F. In-situ or Field Analyses</b>	
1. Are the following labile (chemically unstable) parameters determined in the field:	
a. pH?	
b. Temperature?	
c. Specific conductivity? <i>Omega</i>	
d. Redox potential?	<i>N/A</i>
e. Chlorine?	
f. Dissolved oxygen?	<i>N/A</i>
g. Turbidity?	<i>N/A</i>
h. Other (specify) _____	
2. For in-situ determinations, are they made after well evacuation and sample removal?	<i>Yes</i>
3. If sample is withdrawn from the well, is parameter measured from a split portion?	
4. Is monitoring equipment calibrated according to manufacturers' specifications and consistent with SW-846?	<i>Yes</i>
5. Is the date, procedure, and maintenance for equipment calibration documented in the field logbook?	<i>No</i>
<b>IV. Review of Sample Preservation and Handling Procedures</b>	
<b>A. Sample Containers</b>	
1. Are samples transferred from the sampling device directly to their compatible containers?	
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>TRANSFERRED TO 1 GALLON POLY AND THEN TO CONTAINERS.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>* NOTE: QUESTION ON TOP SAMPLE</i></p>	

	Y/N
2. Are sample containers for metals (inorganics) analyses polyethylene with polypropylene caps?	Yes
3. Are sample containers for organics analysis glass bottles with fluorocarbonresin-lined caps?	Yes
4. If glass bottles are used for metals samples are the caps fluorocarbonresin-lined?	N/A
5. Are the sample containers for metal analyses cleaned using these sequential steps:	N/A
a. Nonphosphate detergent wash?	<i>Sub Sampled</i>
b. 1:1 nitric acid rinse?	
c. Tap water rinse?	
d. 1:1 hydrochloric acid rinse?	
e. Tap water rinse?	
f. Distilled/deionized water rinse?	
6. Are the sample containers for organic analyses cleaned using these sequential steps:	
a. Nonphosphate detergent/hot water wash?	<i>Sub Sampled</i>
b. Tap water rinse?	
c. Distilled/deionized water rinse?	
d. Acetone rinse?	
e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse?	
7. Are trip blanks used for each sample container type to verify cleanliness?	No
<b>B. Sample Preservation Procedures</b>	
1. Are samples for the following analyses cooled to 4°C:	
a. TOC?	YES
b. TOX?	YES
c. Chloride?	YES
d. Phenols?	YES
e. Sulfate?	
f. Nitrate?	
g. Coliform bacteria?	N/A
h. Cyanide?	N/A
i. Oil and grease?	N/A
j. Hazardous constituents (1261, Appendix VIII)?	

	Y/N
2. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HNO <sub>3</sub> :	
a. Iron?	
b. Manganese?	
c. Sodium?	
d. Total metals?	
e. Dissolved metals?	
f. Fluoride?	
g. Endrin?	
h. Lindane?	
i. Methoxychlor?	
j. Toxaphene?	
k. 2,4, D?	
l. 2,4,5 TP Silvex?	
m. Radium?	
n. Gross alpha?	
o. Gross beta?	
3. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> :	
a. Phenols?	Yes
b. Oil and grease?	Yes
4. Is the sample for TOC analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HCl?	No
5. Is the sample for TOX analysis preserved with 1 ml of 1.1 M sodium sulfite?	Yes
6. Is the sample for cyanide analysis preserved with NaOH to pH >12?	
<b>C. Special Handling Considerations</b>	
1. Are organic samples handled without filtering?	Yes
2. Are samples for volatile organics transferred to the appropriate vials to eliminate headspace over the sample?	
3. Are samples for metal analysis split into two portions?	No
4. Is the sample for dissolved metals filtered through a 0.45 micron filter?	N/A
5. Is the second portion not filtered and analyzed for total metals?	
6. Is one equipment blank prepared each day of ground-water sampling?	No

	Y/N
<b>V. Review of Chain-of-Custody Procedures</b>	
<b>A. Sample Labels</b>	
1. Are sample labels used?	Yes
2. Do they provide the following information:	
a. Sample identification number?	///
b. Name of collector?	
c. Date and time of collection?	
d. Place of collection?	
e. Parameter(s) requested and preservatives used?	
3. Do they remain legible even if wet?	Check
<b>B. Sample Seals</b>	
1. Are sample seals placed on those containers to ensure samples are not altered?	Teflon tape
<b>C. Field Logbook</b>	
1. Is a field logbook maintained?	Field DATA SHEETS No
2. Does it document the following:	
a. Purpose of sampling (e.g., detection or assesment)?	
b. Location of well(s)?	
c. Total depth of each well?	YES
d. Static water level depth and measurement technique?	YES
e. Presence of immiscible layers and detection method?	YES
f. Collection method for immiscible layers and sample identification numbers?	
g. Well evacuation procedures?	YES
h. Sample withdrawal procedure?	NO
i. Date and time of collection?	NO
j. Well sampling sequence?	NO
k. Types of sample containers and sample identification number(s)?	
l. Preservative(s) used?	
m. Parameters requested?	
n. Field analysis data and method(s)?	
o. Sample distribution and transporter?	↓
p. Field observations?	

	<b>Y/N</b>
—Unusual well recharge rates?	Yes
—Equipment malfunction(s)?	No
—Possible sample contamination?	No
—Sampling rate?	No
<b>D. Chain-of-Custody Record</b>	
1. Is a chain-of-custody record included with each sample?	Yes
2. Does it document the following:	
a. Sample number?	
b. Signature of collector?	
c. Date and time of collection?	
d. Sample type?	
e. Station location?	
f. Number of containers?	
g. Parameters requested?	
h. Signatures of persons involved in chain-of-custody?	
i. Inclusive dates of custody?	
<b>E. Sample Analysis Request Sheet</b>	
1. Does a sample analysis request sheet accompany each sample?	
2. Does the request sheet document the following:	
a. Name of person receiving the sample?	
b. Date of sample receipt?	
c. Duplicates?	
d. Analysis to be performed?	
<b>IV. Review of Quality Assurance/Quality Control</b>	
A. Is the validity and reliability of the laboratory and field generated data ensured by a QA/QC program?	NO
B. Does the QA/QC program include:	
1. Documentation of any deviation from approved procedures?	

ICE CHEST  
SEALED

Copy



	Y/N
2. Documentation of analytical results for:	
a. Blanks?	No
b. Standards?	
c. Duplicates?	
d. Spiked samples?	
e. Detectable limits for each parameter being analyzed?	
C. Are approved statistical methods used?	T-test
D. Are QC samples used to correct data?	
E. Are all data critically examined to ensure it has been properly calculated and reported?	
<b>VII. Surficial Well Inspection and Field Observation</b>	
A. Are the wells adequately maintained?	Yes
B. Are the monitoring wells protected and secure?	Yes
C. Do the wells have surveyed casing elevations?	
D. Are the ground-water samples turbid?	Had (probably) iron from casing
E. Have all physical characteristics of the site been noted in the inspector's field notes (i.e., surface waters, topography, surface features)?	No
F. Has a site sketch been prepared by the field inspector with scale, north arrow, location(s) of buildings, location(s) of regulated units, locations of monitoring wells, and a rough depiction of the site drainage pattern?	

Y/N

**VIII. Conclusions**

**A. Is the facility currently operating under the correct monitoring program according to the statistical analyses performed by the current operator?**

**B. Does the ground-water monitoring system, as designed and operated, allow for detection or assessment of any possible ground-water contamination caused by the facility?**

**C. Does the sampling and analysis procedures permit the owner/operator to detect and, where possible, assess the nature and extent of a release of hazardous constituents to ground water from the monitored hazardous waste management facility?**

5500.6

## Figure 4.3 Relationship of Technical Inadequacies to Ground-Water Performance Standards

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
<p>1. Uppermost Aquifer must be correctly identified.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure to consider aquifers hydraulically interconnected to the uppermost aquifer.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• incorrect identification of certain formations as confining layers or aquitards.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure to use test drilling and/or soil borings to characterize subsurface hydrogeology.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
<p>2. Ground-water flow directions and rates must be properly determined.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure to use piezometers or wells to determine ground-water flow rates and directions (or failure to use a sufficient number of them).</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure to consider temporal variations in water levels when establishing flow directions (e.g., seasonal variations, short-term fluctuations due to pumping).</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure to assess significance of vertical gradients when evaluating flow rates and directions.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure to use standard/consistent benchmarks when establishing water level elevations.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure of the owner/operator (o/o) to consider the effect of local withdrawal wells on ground-water flow direction.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• failure of the o/o to obtain sufficient water level measurements.</li> </ul>	<p>§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)</p>

H-28  $\Rightarrow$  6" steel well, full 33 gallons = 3 well bore

\* Viable of for organic layer using clear teflon bailer (single ck valve bailer)

only steel casing

\* purged dry - allowed to recharge in 1 hr.

\* first water @ 39'  
sounded @ 44'

sample pro  
model 6000  
W.L.

NOTE: current 100  
Dedicated

TEFLON COATED STEEL  
CABLE

M-6

2" PVC

W.L. = 40.15

T.D. 48.35  $\Rightarrow$  4.18 gal.  $\times$  5 = 15 gallons

during sampling  
well went dry

7.68 7.80

M-7

2" PVC  $\rightarrow$  Clear water / turbid

W.L. = 36.90

T.D. = 42.30 - 5.40' = 2.3 gallons

Check files for updated cond rec logs for  
M-6 - M-7

6" casing - 5' well

M-5

$\Rightarrow$  no PVC (just steel casing)

W.L. = 38

T.D.  $\Rightarrow$  39.30  $\rightarrow$  Purge = 5 gallons

lots of Fe sloughing off in well -

Well went dry after 3 gallons

Left to recharge - very slow rate

\* slight very  
small to water

Purge water dumped away from well -  
No known contaminants to date

<b>B. Evaluation of the Owner/Operator's Hydrogeologic Assessment</b>	
1. Did the owner/operator use the following direct techniques in the hydrogeologic assessment:	
a. Logs of the soil borings/rock corings (documented by a professional geologist, soil scientist, or geotechnical engineer)?	Yes
b. Materials tests (e.g., grain size analyses, standard penetration tests, etc.)?	No
c. Piezometer installation for water level measurements at different depths?d. Slug tests?	Yes
e. Pump tests?	Yes
f. Geochemical analyses of soil samples?	No
g. Other (specify) (e.g., hydrochemical diagrams and wash analysis)	
2. Did the owner/operator use the following indirect technique to supplement direct techniques data:	
a. Geophysical well logs?	
b. Tracer studies?	
c. Resistivity and/or electromagnetic conductance?	
d. Seismic Survey?	
e. Hydraulic conductivity measurements of cores?	
f. Aerial photography?	
g. Ground penetrating radar?	
h. Other (specify)	
3. Did the owner/operator document and present the raw data from the site hydrogeologic assessment?	No
4. Did the owner/operator document methods (criteria) used to correlate and analyze the information?	
5. The owner/operator prepare the following:	
a. Narrative description of geology?	Yes
b. Geologic cross sections?	Yes
c. Geologic and soil maps?	Yes
d. Boring/coring logs?	No
e. Structure contour maps of the differing water bearing zones and confining layer?	Yes
f. Narrative description and calculation of ground-water flows?	Yes

	Y/N
g. Water table/potentiometric map?	Yes
h. Hydrologic cross sections?	No
6. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional map of the area and delineate the facility?	Yes
If yes, does this map illustrate:	Yes
a. Surficial geology features?	Yes
b. Streams, rivers, lakes, or wetlands near the facility?	Yes
c. Discharging or recharging wells near the facility?	No
7. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional hydrogeologic map?	No
If yes, does this hydrogeologic map indicate:	
a. Major areas of recharge/discharge?	
b. Regional ground-water flow direction?	
c. Potentiometric contours which are consistent with observed water level elevations?	
8. Did the owner/operator prepare a facility site map?	Yes
If yes, does the site map show:	Yes
a. Regulated units of the facility (e.g., landfill areas, impoundments)?	Yes
b. Any seeps, springs, streams, ponds, or wetlands?	Yes
c. Location of monitoring wells, soil borings, or test pits?	Yes
d. How many regulated units does the facility have? <u>6</u>	
If more than one regulated unit then,	
• Does the waste management area encompass all regulated units?	
• Is a waste management area delineated for each regulated unit?	
<b>C. Characterization of Subsurface Geology of Site</b>	
1. Soil boring/test pit program:	
a. Were the soil borings/test pits performed under the supervision of a qualified professional?	Yes
b. Did the owner/operator provide documentation for selecting the spacing for borings?	No
c. Were the borings drilled to the depth of the first confining unit below the uppermost zone of saturation or ten feet into bedrock?	Yes
d. Indicate the method(s) of drilling:	N/A

	Y/N
Auger (hollow or solid stem) _____	
Mud rotary _____	
Reverse rotary _____	
Cable tool _____	
Jetting _____	
Other (specify) _____	
e. Were continuous sample corings taken?	N/A
f. How were the samples obtained (checked method[s])	
• Split spoon _____	
• Shelby tube, or similar _____	
• Rock coring _____	
• Ditch sampling _____	
• Other (explain) _____	N/A
g. Were the continuous sample corings logged by a qualified professional in geology?	
h. Does the field boring log include the following information:	No log included
• Hole name/number?	
• Date started and finished?	
• Driller's name?	
• Hole location (i.e., map and elevation)?	
• Drill rig type and bit/auger size?	
• Gross petrography (e.g., rock type) of each geologic unit?	
• Gross mineralogy of each geologic unit?	
• Gross structural interpretation of each geologic unit and structural features (e.g., fractures, gouge material, solution channels, buried streams or valleys, identification of depositional material)?	
• Development of soil zones and vertical extent and description of soil type?	
• Depth of water bearing unit(s) and vertical extent of each?	
• Depth and reason for termination of borehole?	
• Depth and location of any contaminant encountered in borehole?	
• Sample location/number?	
• Percent sample recovery?	
• Narrative descriptions of:	
—Geologic observations?	
—Drilling observations?	
i. Were the following analytical tests performed on the core samples:	
• Mineralogy (e.g., microscopic tests and x-ray diffraction)?	
• Petrographic analysis:	
—degree of crystallinity and cementation of matrix?	
—degree of sorting, size fraction (i.e., sieving), textural variations?	
—rock type(s)?	

	Y/N
—soil type?	
—approximate bulk geochemistry?	
—existence of microstructures that may effect or indicate fluid flow?	
• Falling head tests?	
• Static head tests?	
• Settling measurements?	
• Centrifuge tests?	
• Column drawings?	
<b>D. Verification of Subsurface Geological Data</b>	
1. Has the owner/operator used indirect geophysical methods to supplement geological conditions between borehole locations?	No
2. Do the number of borings and analytical data indicate that the confining layer displays a low enough permeability to impede the migration of contaminants to any stratigraphically low water-bearing units?	Not much discussion about the confining layer.
3. Is the confining layer laterally continuous across the entire site?	
4. Did the owner/operator consider the chemical compatibility of the site-specific waste types and the geologic materials of the confining layer?	
5. Did the geologic assessment address or provide means for resolution of any information gaps of geologic data?	
6. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for petrography?	
7. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for mineralogy and subsurface geochemistry?	
<b>E. Presentation of Geologic Data</b>	
1. Did the owner/operator present geologic cross sections of the site?	yes
2. Do cross sections:	
a. identify the types and characteristics of the geologic materials present?	yes
b. define the contact zones between different geologic materials?	yes
c. note the zones of high permeability or fracture?	No
d. give detailed borehole information including:	



	Y/N
• location of borehole?	yes
• depth of termination?	yes
• location of screen (if applicable)?	yes
• depth of zone(s) of saturation?	No
• backfill procedure?	Yes
3. Did the owner/operator provide a topographic map which was constructed by a licensed surveyor?	yes
4. Does the topographic map provide:	No topo map of area
a. contours at a maximum interval of two-feet?	
b. locations and illustrations of man-made features (e.g., parking lots, factory buildings, drainage ditches, storm drain, pipelines, etc.)?	
c. descriptions of nearby water bodies?	
d. descriptions of off-site wells?	
e. site boundaries?	
f. individual RCRA units?	
g. delineation of the waste management area(s)?	
h. well and boring locations?	
5. Did the owner/operator provide an aerial photograph depicting the site and adjacent off-site features?	No
6. Does the photograph clearly show surface water bodies, adjacent municipalities, and residences and are these clearly labelled?	N/A
<b>F. Identification of Ground-Water Flowpaths</b>	
1. Ground-water flow direction	
a. Was the well casing height measured by a licensed surveyor to the nearest 0.01 feet?	
b. Were the well water level measurements taken within a 24 hour period?	
c. Were the well water level measurements taken to the nearest 0.01 feet?	
d. Were the well water levels allowed to stabilize after construction and development for a minimum of 24 hours prior to measurements?	
e. Was the water level information obtained from (check appropriate one):	
• multiple piezometers placed in single borehole? _____	
• vertically nested piezometers in closely spaced separate _____	
• boreholes? _____	
• monitoring wells? _____	

	Y/N
f. Did the owner/operator provide construction details for the piezometers?	
g. How were the static water levels measured (check method[s]). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Electric water sounder _____</li> <li>• Worted tape _____</li> <li>• Air line _____</li> <li>• Other (explain) _____</li> </ul>	
h. Was the well water level measured in wells with equivalent screened intervals at an equivalent depth below the saturated zone?	
i. Has the owner/operator provided a site water table (potentiometric) contour map?	
If yes, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do the potentiometric contours appear logical and accurate based on topography and presented data? (Consult water level data)</li> <li>• Are ground-water flow-lines indicated?</li> <li>• Are static water levels shown?</li> <li>• Can hydraulic gradients be estimated?</li> </ul>	
j. Did the owner/operator develop hydrologic cross sections of the vertical flow component across the site using measurements from all wells?	
k. Do the owner/operator's flow nets include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• piezometer locations?</li> <li>• depth of screening?</li> <li>• width of screening?</li> <li>• measurements of water levels from all wells and piezometers?</li> </ul>	
2. Seasonal and temporal fluctuations in ground-water	
a. Do fluctuations in static water levels occur? If yes, are the fluctuations caused by any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>—Off-site well pumping</li> <li>—Tidal processes or other intermittent natural variations (e.g., river stage, etc.)</li> <li>—On-site well pumping</li> <li>—Off-site, on-site construction or changing land use patterns</li> <li>—Deep well injection</li> <li>—Seasonal variations</li> <li>—Other (specify) _____</li> </ul>	
b. Has the owner/operator documented sources and patterns that contribute to or affect the ground-water patterns below the waste management?	
c. Do water level fluctuations alter the general ground-water gradients and flow directions?	
d. Based on water level data, do any head differentials occur that may indicate a vertical flow component in the saturated zone?	

	Y/N
e. Did the owner/operator implement means for gauging long term effects on water movement that may result from on-site or off-site construction or changes in land-use patterns?	
3. Hydraulic conductivity	
a. How were hydraulic conductivities of the subsurface materials determined?	
• Single-well tests (slug tests)?	
• Multiple-well tests (pump tests)	
• Other (specify) _____	
b. If single-well tests were conducted, was it done by:	
• Adding or removing a known volume of water?	
• Pressurizing well casing?	
c. If single well tests were conducted in a highly permeable formation, were pressure transducers and high-speed recording equipment used to record the rapidly changing water levels?	
d. Since single well tests only measure hydraulic conductivity in a limited area, were enough tests run to ensure a representative measure of conductivity in each hydrogeologic unit?	
e. Is the owner/operator's slug test data (if applicable) consistent with existing geologic information (e.g., boring logs)?	
f. Were other hydraulic conductivity properties determined?	
g. If yes, provide any of the following data, if available:	
• Transmissivity _____	
• Storage coefficient _____	
• Leakage _____	
• Permeability _____	
• Porosity _____	
• Specific capacity _____	
• Other (specify) _____	
4. Identification of the uppermost aquifer	
a. Has the extent of the uppermost saturated zone (aquifer) in the facility area been defined? If yes,	
• Are soil boring/test pit logs included?	
• Are geologic cross-sections included?	
b. Is there evidence of confining (competent, unfractured, continuous, and low permeability) layers beneath the site? If yes,	
• how was continuity demonstrated? _____	
c. What is hydraulic conductivity of the confining unit (if present)? CM/Sec How was it determined?	

## GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

### Groundwater System

The groundwater monitoring system consists of eight wells. Four wells each are located around the pond (P-1) and land-fill with one well hydraulically upgradient and three wells downgradient of each operational unit. The following sampling and analysis plan is based on the attached corporate outline by T. Bentley, et al, April 1, 1981.

### Sample Collection

Groundwater samples shall be collected of all wells on a quarterly basis for one year and analyzed for parameters listed below. After the first year, wells around active and closed waste management units shall be sampled semi-annually and analyzed for groundwater quality or indicator parameters as is appropriate. When possible, samples shall be collected by pumping. At least one case volume shall be removed if samples are collected by bailing. At the time of sampling, water elevations shall be determined.

### Sample Preservation and Shipment

Samples for pesticides, herbicides, and phenol shall be collected at the well and preserved in glass bottles. Preservation for pesticides and herbicides is cooling to 4°C and for phenols 1 g CuSO<sub>4</sub> and adjusting pH to below 2 with phosphoric acid using methyl red as an indicator and storage at 4°C.

Samples for the remaining parameters listed in 40 CFR 265.92, b-1, -3, shall be taken from a five-gallon sample collected from each well. The following parameter shall be preserved as indicated below:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Sample Container</u>	<u>Preservation</u>
Metals, As <del>Radiological</del>	Plastic (P) or Glass (G)	Acidify to pH <2 with HNO <sub>3</sub>
F, Cl, SO <sub>4</sub> , NO <sub>3</sub> (N), <del>Coliform,</del> Specific Conductance	"	Cool to 4°C
Total Organic Carbon, Total Organic Halogen	"	Acidify to pH <2 with H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> or HCl

Chain of custody shall be indicated by a form specifically to accompany samples and/or some other equivalent documents which indicate that samples arrived at laboratory undisturbed and in good condition, e.g., shipping documents or statements in laboratory report. Samples shall be packed for shipment in suitable containers (e.g., in chests to preserve temperature) and sent to a commercial laboratory for analysis.

### Sample Analysis

The laboratory shall indicate that all analyses were performed using methods in the EPA publication, *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes*, a recognized equivalent, or shall produce such evidence upon request. Analysis of pH and specific conductance shall be done on site using suitable standards to calibrate instruments. For specific conductance, measurement shall be taken at 25°C.

Several parameters listed in 40 CFR 265.92 must be determined in quadruplicate on upgradient well(s). They are specific conductance, pH, total organic carbon, and total organic halogen.

The results of analyses shall be summarized in a report to the Region IX Administrator with a copy sent to the Nevada State Department of Environmental Protection, ~~not later than 15 days~~ after receipt of complete laboratory report.

pH  
Cond  
Na  
Mn  
Cr - Tot.  
Tox  
TOC  
Fe

Joel Menhaca  
Alan Laddy

phenols (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) pre fixed - DATA CHEM.; Glass 100,  
chilled in ice chest.

No real seg. of sample grab - will include  
in plan.

METALS - 500 l  
pH, COND - 500 l

Replicated on TOC