NDEP Comment	Response to Comment
DVSR REVIEW	
1. Section 1, sample counts: The text states that 48 water and 72 soil samples were collected; however, there are 50 water samples and 74 soil samples in the EDD samples table. Please either correct or explain this discrepancy.	The EDD contains 2 water samples and 2 soil samples that were sent for microbial analysis only. The data are not validated. As such, they are not part of the Data Validation Summary Report. They are included in the EDD because of NDEP requirements. The text has been updated to clarify the number.
2. Section 1, laboratories: The laboratory designated "MI" in the EDD is not identified in this section. Please identify this laboratory and the analyses they conducted.	"MI" is Microbial Insights, which analyzes microbial data. Microbial data are not validated and are not part of the Data Validation Summary Report. The text has been updated.
3. Section 3.2.2 table reference: The table listing MS/MSD qualification in Table 9 instead of Table 8, as referenced in the text.	The text was changed to reference Table 9.
4. Section 3.4, comparability: Please include a short discussion regarding the comparability of the chlorate primary and split results analyzed by different methods.	A short discussion was added to the text to discuss the difference between chlorate analyses by methods EPA 300.0 and 300.1B.
EDD REVIEW	
1. In the results table, there are four results with analytical_method="PFLA" and analytical_suite="Microbial" where the detect_flag_fod="U", but the result_reported is not equal to the sample_quantitation_limit. Per the EDD guidance, the result_reported should be set to the sample_quantitation_limit for a non-detected result.	The result_reported field has been set to the sample_quantitation_limit for the four results listed.
2. We have noted that rejected data (records in the results table with final_validation_qualifier="R") are to be excluded from the BMI database.	We concur.

Page **1** of **1** June 23, 2020