Data Validation Summary Report (DVSR ID: TetraTech-M11-2019 rev1) Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study Nevada Environmental Response Trust Site Henderson, Nevada

PREPARED FOR

Nevada Environmental Response Trust 35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 690 Chicago, IL 60601

PRESENTED BY

Tetra Tech, Inc. 150 S. 4th Street, Unit A Henderson, NV 89015

June 25, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 PRECISION AND ACCURACY OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA	2
2.1 Precision	2
2.2 Accuracy	3
2.3 Representativeness	3
2.4 Comparability	4
2.5 Completeness	4
2.6 Sensitivity	5
3.0 VALIDATION RESULTS AND PARCCS	6
3.1 Precision	6
3.1.1 Instrument Calibration	6
3.1.2 MS/MSD and Laboratory Duplicate Samples	6
3.1.3 Field Duplicate Samples	6
3.2 Accuracy	7
3.2.1 Calibration and Continuing Calibration	7
3.2.2 MS/MSD Samples	7
3.2.3 LCS Samples	7
3.2.4 Serial Dilutions	7
3.2.5 Interference Check Samples	8
3.2.6 Surrogates	8
3.2.7 Analyte Quantitation and Target Identification	8
3.3 Representativeness	8
3.3.1 Sample Preservation and Holding Times	8
3.3.2 Sample Containers	9
3.3.3 Blanks	9
3.3.3.1 Method and Calibration Blanks	9
3.3.3.2 Equipment Blanks and Field Blanks	9
3.4 Comparability	9
3.5 Completeness	9
3.6 Sensitivity	10
3.6.1 Internal Standards	10
4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Analytical Methods
Table 2	Sample Cross-Reference
Table 3	Validation Qualifiers and Definitions
Table 4	Validation Checks and Stages
Table 5	Reason Codes
Table 6	Results Qualified During Validation
Table 7	Field Duplicate Exceedances
Table 8	Calibration Exceedances
Table 9	MS/MSD Recovery Exceedances
Table 10	Serial Dilution Exceedances
Table 11	Better Results Reported
Table 12	Sample Preservation Infractions
Table 13	Holding Time Exceedances
Table 14	Laboratory Blank Detections
Table 15	Equipment Blank and Field Blank Detections
Table 16	Completeness Summary

APPENDICES

Appendix L.1 Validation Checklists

Appendix L.2 Laboratory Data Packages

Appendix L.3 DVSR Electronic Data Deliverable

LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

Acronyms/Abbreviations	Definition
BW	blank water
CCB	continuing calibration blank
CCV	continuing calibration verification
DL	detection limit
DMC	deuterated monitoring compound
DQO	data quality objectives
DUP	duplicate
DVSR	data validation summary report
EB	equipment blank
EDD	electronic data delivery
FB	field blank
FD	field duplicate
GC-MS	gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy
ICAL	initial calibration
ICB	initial calibration blank
ICS	interference check samples
ICV	initial calibration verification
LCS	laboratory control sample
MDL	method detection limit
MS/MSD	matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
NORM	normal field sample
NDEP	Nevada Division of Environmental Protection
NERT	Nevada Environmental Response Trust
NFG	National Functional Guidelines
%C	percent completeness
%D	percent difference or drift
%R	percent recovery
%RSD	percent relative standard deviation
PARCCS	precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, sensitivity
PQL	practical quantitation limit
QA	quality assurance
QAPP	quality assurance project plan

Acronyms/Abbreviations	Definition
QC	quality control
RL	reporting limit
RPD	relative percent difference
RRF	relative response factor
SDG	sample delivery group
SQL	sample quantitation limit
Tetra Tech	Tetra Tech, Inc.
Treatability Study	Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
μg/L	micrograms per liter
WG	groundwater
WQ	water quality assurance sample

CERTIFICATION

Data Validation Summary Report (DVSR ID: TetraTech-M11-2019 rev1) Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study

Nevada Environmental Response Trust Site (Former Tronox LLC Site) Henderson, Nevada

Nevada Environmental Response Trust (NERT) Representative Certification

I certify that this document and all attachments submitted to the Division were prepared at the request of, or under the direction or supervision of NERT. Based on my own involvement and/or my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the systems(s) or those directly responsible for gathering the information or preparing the document, or the immediate supervisor of such person(s), the information submitted and provided herein is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete in all material respects.

Office of the Nevada Environmental Response Trust

Le Petomane XXVII, not individually, but solely in its representative capacity as the Nevada Environmental Response Trust Trustee

Signature: A Steinline	Not Individually, but Solely as President of the Trustee , not individually,
but solely in his representative capacity as President of	the Nevada Environmental Response Trust Trustee
Name: Jay A. Steinberg, not individually, but solely in Environmental Response Trust Trustee	his representative capacity as President of the Nevada
Title: Solely as President and not individually	
Company: Le Petomane XXVII, Inc., not individually, Environmental Response Trust Trustee	but solely in its representative capacity as the Nevada
Date: 6/25/19	

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am responsible for the services described in this document and for the preparation of this document. The services described in this document have been prepared in a manner consistent with the current standards of the profession, and to the best of my knowledge, comply with all applicable federal, state, and local statutes, regulations, and ordinances. I hereby certify that all laboratory analytical data was generated by a laboratory certified by the NDEP for each constituent and media presented herein.

Description of Services Provided: Prepared Data Validation Summary Report (DVSR ID: TetraTech-M11-2019 rev1) Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study

Kyle Hansen, CEM

Kyle S. Hansen

Field Operations Manager/Geologist

Tetra Tech, Inc.

June 25, 2019

Date

Nevada CEM Certificate Number: 2167

Nevada CEM Expiration Date: September 18, 2020

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Nevada Environmental Response Trust (NERT), Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) has prepared this Data Validation Summary Report (DVSR) to assess the validity and usability of laboratory analytical data from the samples associated with the Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study (Treatability Study) for the NERT site, located in Clark County, Nevada. Sampling protocol can be found in *Final Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study Work Plan* (Tetra Tech, 2016). Tetra Tech performed the Treatability Study, which included the collection and analyses of samples to assess the effectiveness of the Treatability Study. Tetra Tech collected additional quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) samples to aid in assessing data quality. Tetra Tech collected 798 water samples and 68 soil samples during the investigation, for a total of 866 samples with lab analyses that underwent validation. Additionally, Tetra Tech collected 24 water samples that were used for geotechnical and microbial analyses that were not validated.

TestAmerica, Inc. provided laboratory analytical services. The analyses were performed by the methods shown in Table 1. Table 1 includes methods and sample numbers that underwent data validation.

The laboratory assigns job numbers, also called sample delivery groups (SDGs), to all samples. The samples associated with QA/QC are designed to document the data quality of the samples in each sampling round or within an SDG. Table 2 cross-references each sample with its laboratory analysis, SDG, collection date, client sample number, laboratory sample number, QC type, matrix, and stage of validation. Samples in Table 2 are submitted in the DVSR electronic data deliverable (EDD) along with associated, unvalidated field readings, geotechnical data, and microbial data. Only the validated samples appear in Table 2.

The laboratory analytical data were verified and validated in accordance with procedures described in the *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Revision 2* (Ramboll Environ, 2017), *NDEP Data Verification and Validation Requirements* (NDEP, 2018), the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection (NDEP) December 2018 email (Dong, 2018) concerning multiple results, and the references contained therein. Aqueous samples were validated to Stage 2A. For soil samples, 90 percent of the data were validated to Stage 2B and 10 percent to Stage 4. The review process uses professional judgment and National Functional Guidelines (NFG) guidance to determine the final qualifiers, which are added to the database and presented in the DVSR tables.

The validation checklists are found in Appendix L.1. Laboratory data packages may be found in Appendix L.2. A database of the analytical results is provided in Appendix L.3.

This report summarizes the QA/QC evaluation of the data using precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity (PARCCS) relative to the project data quality objectives (DQOs). This report provides a quantitative and qualitative assessment of the data and identifies potential sources of error, uncertainty, and bias that may affect the overall usability of the data.

2.0 PRECISION AND ACCURACY OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

Environmental data quality depends on sample collection procedures, analytical methods and instrumentation, documentation, and sample matrix properties. Both sampling procedures and laboratory analyses contain potential sources of uncertainty, error, and/or bias, which may affect the overall quality of a measurement. Errors for sample data may result from incomplete equipment decontamination, inappropriate sampling techniques, sample heterogeneity, improper filtering, and improper preservation. The accuracy of analytical results is dependent on selecting appropriate analytical methods, maintaining equipment properly, and complying with QC requirements. The sample matrix also is an important factor in the ability to obtain precise and accurate results within a given medium.

Environmental and laboratory QA/QC samples provide information on the effects of sampling procedures and evaluate laboratory contamination, laboratory performance, and matrix effects. Field QA/QC samples include equipment blanks (EBs), field blanks (FBs), field duplicates (FDs), and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSDs). Laboratory QA/QC samples include method blanks, laboratory control samples (LCSs), laboratory duplicates (DUP), and additional MS/MSDs needed to meet method requirements.

2.1 PRECISION

Precision is a measure of the agreement of analytical results under a given set of conditions. It is a quantity that is not measured directly but is calculated from concentrations. Precision can be expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD) between two measurements:

$$RPD = \frac{(C1 - C2)*100}{(C1 + C2)/2}$$

where:

C1 = reported concentration for the sample

C2 = reported concentration for the duplicate

Precision can be expressed as the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) between three or more measurements:

$$%RSD = (s/\bar{a})*100$$

where:

%RSD = percent relative standard deviation

s = standard deviation

ā = mean of replicate analyses

Precision is assessed by calculating %RSD during an initial calibration (ICAL) and RPD from the percent recoveries of the spiked compounds for each sample in the MS/MSD pair. In the absence of an MS/MSD pair, a laboratory duplicate can be analyzed as an alternative means of assessing precision. An additional measure of sampling precision is obtained by collecting and analyzing FD samples, which are compared using the RPD results as the evaluation criteria.

MS and MSD samples are field samples which have been spiked by the laboratory with target analytes prior to preparation and analysis. These samples measure the appropriateness of the analytical method and effectiveness in recovering target analytes from a specific environmental matrix. The LCS sample is spiked with the same target analytes as the MS/MSD using an interference-free matrix instead of a field sample aliquot. The LCS measures laboratory efficiency in recovering target analytes in the absence of matrix interferences. It is used to verify that the analyses are being performed in control.

The laboratory analyzes laboratory replicates. A field sample is analyzed and an unspiked duplicate of that sample is also analyzed. The data reviewer compares the reported results of the primary analysis and the laboratory duplicate and calculates RPDs to assess laboratory precision.

Calibration precision is determined by calculating %RSD. Laboratory and field sampling precision are evaluated by calculating RPDs for field sample duplicate pairs, if collected. The sampler collects two field samples at the same location and under identical conditions. The laboratory then analyzes the samples under identical conditions.

An RPD outside the allowed limit between MS/MSD samples or DUP samples indicates imprecision. Imprecision is the variance in the consistency with which the laboratory arrives at a reported result. The actual analyte concentration may be higher or lower than the reported result.

Possible causes of poor precision include sample heterogeneity, sample matrix interference, improper sample collection or handling, inconsistent sample preparation, instrument column fouling, and poor instrument stability. In duplicate pairs, results may be reported in either the primary or duplicate samples at levels below the practical quantitation limit (PQL) or non-detected. Since these values are estimated, RPD exceedances from these duplicate pairs do not suggest a significant impact to data quality.

2.2 ACCURACY

Accuracy is a measure of the closeness of agreement between a measured value and the true value of an analytical parameter. It may be used to identify bias in each measurement system. Recoveries outside acceptable QC limits may be caused by factors such as instrumentation, analyst error, or matrix interference. Accuracy is assessed through the analysis of continuing calibrations, MS, MSD, LCS, and surrogates. In some cases, samples from multiple SDGs were within one QC batch and therefore are associated with the same laboratory QC samples. Accuracy is determined using the percent recovery (%R) of MS and LCS analyses.

Percent recovery is calculated using the following equation:

$$%R = (A-B)/C \times 100$$

where:

A = measured concentration in the spiked sample

B = measured native concentration in the unspiked sample

C = concentration of the spike

The percent recovery of each analyte spiked in MS/MSD samples and LCS is evaluated with the acceptance criteria specified by the QAPPs and laboratory limits. Spike recoveries outside the acceptable QC accuracy limits provide an indication of bias, where the reported data may overestimate or underestimate the actual concentration of compounds detected or quantitation limits reported for environmental samples.

2.3 REPRESENTATIVENESS

Representativeness is a qualitative parameter that expresses the degree to which the sample data are characteristic of a population. It is evaluated by reviewing the QC results of blanks, samples, and holding times. Positive detects of compounds in the blank samples identify compounds that may have been introduced into the samples during sample collection, transport, preparation, or analysis. The QA/QC blanks collected and analyzed are method blanks, calibration blanks, EBs, and FBs.

A method blank is a laboratory grade water or solid matrix that contains the method reagents and has undergone the same preparation and analysis as the environmental samples. The method blank provides a measure of the combined contamination derived from the laboratory source water, glassware, instruments, reagents, and sample preparation steps. Method blanks are prepared for each sample of a similar matrix extracted by the same method at a similar concentration level.

Several methods require the use of initial calibration blanks (ICBs) and continuing calibration blanks (CCBs). ICBs and CCBs are laboratory-grade water samples that are analyzed at the beginning, during, and at the end of sample analysis runs. The frequency is dependent on the analytical method. These blanks estimate residual contaminants from the previous sample or standards analysis and measure baseline shifts that commonly occur in emission and absorption spectroscopy.

EBs consist of analyte-free water poured over or through the sample collection equipment. The water is collected in a sample container for laboratory analysis. These blanks are collected after the sampling equipment is decontaminated; they are used to measure effectiveness of the decontamination procedure. Equipment blanks are collected and analyzed for all target analytes.

FBs consist of analyte-free source water stored at the sample collection site. The water is collected from each source water used during each sampling event. Field blanks were collected and analyzed for all target analytes.

Contaminants found in both the environmental sample and the blank sample are assumed to be laboratory artifacts if both values are less than the PQL or if a sample result and blank contaminant value are greater than the PQL and the sample result is less than 10 times the blank contaminant value.

Holding times are evaluated to assure that the sample integrity is intact for accurate sample preparation and analysis. Holding times are specific for each method and matrix analyzed. Holding time exceedance can cause loss of sample constituents due to biodegradation, precipitation, volatilization, and chemical degradation. Sample results for analyses that were performed after the method holding time are qualified according to NDEP requirements using the qualifiers and bias recommendations found in the NFGs.

2.4 COMPARABILITY

Comparability is a qualitative characteristic that defines the extent to which the data for a chemical parameter measurement are consistent with, and may be compared with, data from other sampling events. Comparability is dependent upon the design of the sampling plans and execution of activities consistent with approved plans. Factors affecting comparability include sample collection and handling techniques, matrix type, and analytical method. Comparability is achieved through the use of standard techniques to collect representative samples, consistent application of analytical method protocols, and use of appropriate units in reporting analytical results. Comparability is also dependent upon other PARCCS criteria, because only when precision, accuracy, and representativeness are known can datasets be compared with confidence.

2.5 COMPLETENESS

Completeness is defined as the percentage of acceptable sample results compared to the total number of sample results. Completeness is evaluated to determine if an acceptable amount of usable data were obtained so that a valid scientific site assessment can be completed. Completeness equals the total number of sample results for each fraction minus the total number of rejected sample results divided by the total number of sample results multiplied by 100. As specified in the project DQOs, the goal for completeness for target analytes in each analytical fraction is 90 percent.

Percent completeness is calculated using the following equation:

 $%C = (T - R)/T \times 100$

where:

%C = percent completeness

T = total number of sample results

R = total number of rejected sample results

Completeness is also determined by comparing the planned number of samples per method and matrix as specified in the QAPPs, with the number determined above. In cases where multiple results are reported for a

single analyte due to dilutions or re-analysis using a single method, the most technically sound value will be reported, and the other result will be qualified "R". Data rejected in favor of alternate results are not used in the completion calculation.

2.6 SENSITIVITY

Sensitivity is the ability of an analytical method or instrument to discriminate between measurement responses representing different concentrations. It is generally used to describe the instrument detection limits (DLs) or PQLs established to meet project DQOs. The method detection limit (MDL) represents the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero. Sample quantitation limits (SQLs) are adjusted MDL values that reflect sample-specific actions, such as dilutions or varying aliquot sizes. The laboratory data reports show MDL in place of the SQL. The MDL was adjusted to reflect the sample analysis conditions. The PQL is the minimum concentration that can be reported based on the analysis of a specific matrix. The PQL is often the lowest acceptable calibration point for the analyte.

For this project, the laboratory data reports show reporting limit (RL) in place of the PQL. The laboratory reported detected analytes down to the adjusted MDL/SQL. All results reported between the SQL and PQL were qualified "J" by the laboratory. Sample results are compared to method and field quality blank results to identify possible effects of laboratory background and field procedures on sensitivity.

3.0 VALIDATION RESULTS AND PARCCS

This section discusses the validation results and the associated PARCCS criteria. Before conducting the PARCCS evaluation, the analytical data were validated.

Samples not meeting the acceptance criteria were denoted with a validation qualifier that indicates a deficiency with the data. Table 3 contains validation qualifiers used in data validation.

When more than one validation qualifier is applicable to a data point, the final validation qualifier applied is based on the following hierarchy:

R > J R takes precedence over the J qualifier.

J+ The high bias (J+) qualifier is applied to detected results only.

J > J+ or J- The unbiased (J) qualifier supersedes biased (J+ or J-) qualifiers since it is not

possible to assess the direction of the potential bias.

J = J+ plus J- Adding biased (J+ or J-) qualifiers with opposite signs results in an unbiased

qualifier (J).

UJ = U plus J The UJ qualifier is used when a non-detected (U) flag is added to a (J) flag.

Table 4 identifies the QC elements reviewed for each validation level. The actual elements are method-dependent.

Table 5 lists the reason codes used. Reason codes explain why data were qualified and identify possible limitations of data use. Reason codes are cumulative except when one of the flags is R. In that case, only the reason code associated with the R flag is used.

Table 6 presents the overall qualified results after the validation qualifiers and associated reason codes were applied.

3.1 PRECISION

3.1.1 Instrument Calibration

The objective of the ICAL is to ensure that an instrument can produce acceptable qualitative and quantitative data by determining the ratio of instrument response to analyte concentration. %RSD is used to evaluate ICAL results in RSK-175 and provides a means of evaluating precision within an analytical system. All %RSDs were acceptable. No data were qualified for imprecision in the ICAL.

3.1.2 MS/MSD and Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Most MS/MSD and lab duplicate RPDs were within the acceptance criteria as stated in the QAPP. One sulfate result was qualified "J" for a high MS/MSD RPD of 45 percent. The limit is 20 percent. The result is denoted with reason code "ld" in Table 6.

3.1.3 Field Duplicate Samples

For results > 5X the PQL, the FDs were evaluated for acceptable precision with RPDs. For results < 5X the PQL, samples were evaluated by the difference between the two measurements. Table 7 includes results where RPDs exceeded 30% for water or 50% for soils or the difference between the values was greater than the absolute value of the PQL. Eighteen results were qualified for FD imprecision. Results qualified for FD imprecision are found in Table 6 with reason code "fd". The parent sample and the FD were qualified "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects.

3.2 ACCURACY

3.2.1 Calibration and Continuing Calibration

As stated previously, the objective of initial calibration is to ensure that an instrument is capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data by determining the ratio of instrument response to analyte concentrations. Typically, inorganic methods use regression models for initial calibration. Regression may also be used in organic analyses. The correlation coefficient indicates the linearity of the calibration curve. The coefficient of determination is an overall measure of the accuracy of the regression calibration curve. The objective of continuing calibration is to ensure that the instrument continues to meet the sensitivity and linearity criteria throughout each analytical sequence. Initial and continuing calibration verification (CCV) results provide a means of evaluating accuracy. Percent difference or drift (%D), percent recovery (%R), correlation coefficient, and coefficient of determination are the parameters used to measure the effectiveness of instrument calibration. %R and %D are used to verify the ongoing calibration acceptability of the analytical system.

Most calibration %D and %R criteria were met. Ten volatile fatty acid (VFA) results were qualified "UJ" for high %Rs in the CCVs. VFAs are analyzed by ion chromatography and are considered inorganic for purposes of validation. The CCV %Rs were high and the results were non-detect. Typically, the results would not be qualified, but the validator, using professional judgment, applied qualification because the recoveries were notably high. All results qualified for calibration exceedances are found in Table 6 with reason code "c." The calibration outliers are presented in Table 8.

3.2.2 MS/MSD Samples

Several MS/MSD %Rs were outside of acceptance criteria shown in the QAPPs. MS/MSD %R exceedances can be found in Table 9. Analytes that were present in the parent sample in concentrations greater than 4 times the amount spiked were not qualified and are not shown in the table. In cases where the recoveries were high and the parent sample was non-detect, no qualification was applied. Qualifiers were applied to parent samples only, unless FD samples or samples of known similarity were analyzed in the same SDG. Table 9 contains the spiked parent sample only. Per the inorganic NFG, MS/MSD recoveries < 30 percent resulted in rejection of the nondetected data point. In cases where dilutions caused the low recoveries, the data were not rejected or qualified. The effect of dilution on matrix spike recoveries is determined on a case-by-case-basis using professional iudgment, knowledge of the lab's procedures, and input from the lab. For some analyses, the lab may dilute the sample prior to preparation for analyses and prior to addition of the matrix spike compounds. The lab also approaches this on a case-by-case basis. Sixty-nine results were qualified for MS/MSD %Rs. Two non-detected results were rejected for recoveries less than 30%, per the inorganic NFG. The validator, using professional judgment, did not assign bias to the perchlorate result in SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39. The perchlorate result in the FD of SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39 was detected at a higher concentration even though it was associated with an interference check sample with a low recovery. The direction of bias is not clear. Associated results qualified or rejected for MS/MSD recoveries can be found in Table 6 with reason code "m."

3.2.3 LCS Samples

No data were qualified for LCS %R outliers.

3.2.4 Serial Dilutions

The serial dilution is used to determine whether physical or chemical interferences exist due to matrix. Most serial dilution %Ds were less than 10 percent as required in the inorganic NFG. Ten SW-6010B results were qualified for high %Ds in the serial dilution. Associated results qualified for serial dilution %Ds can be found in Table 6 with reason code "sd." Serial dilution %D exceedances can be found in Table 10.

3.2.5 Interference Check Samples

Interference check samples (ICS) are analyzed in the following methods: EPA 314.0, SW-6010B, and SW-6020A. All 6010B and 6020A interference check %Rs met acceptance criteria of 80 to 120 percent. Seventeen perchlorate results were qualified for low %R in the associated ICS. The results are denoted with reason code "z" in Table 6. The perchlorate samples were all associated with sample INF 440-395408/6, which had a recovery of 79 percent.

3.2.6 Surrogates

Surrogates are added to all samples analyzed by EPA 300.1B to measure the efficiency of the analytical method. One chlorite result in sample SWFTS-MW25-BL02 was qualified "UJ" for a surrogate %R of 79 percent. The acceptance limits are 90 to 115 percent. The result is denoted with reason code "s" in Table 6.

3.2.7 Analyte Quantitation and Target Identification

Raw data were evaluated in Stage 4 validation. All analyte quantitation and target identifications reviewed matched the reported values.

Two soil total organic carbon results exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. They were not reanalyzed by the lab and were qualified "J" in validation. The results are denoted with reason code "e" in Table 6.

Twenty-nine sulfate results exceeded the calibration range of the instrument and were re-analyzed by the lab. The original results were assigned a validation qualifier "R" and are shown with reason code "brr" in Table 6. The most technically sound results were used. Sixty-nine rejected results are shown in Table 11 with a comment describing the logic for using the alternate result. Data rejected in favor of alternate results such as dilution runs are not used in the completion calculation.

3.3 REPRESENTATIVENESS

3.3.1 Sample Preservation and Holding Times

Sample preservation, including temperature, and holding times were evaluated to verify compliance with the analytical methods.

Forty-eight volatile fatty acid results were qualified "UJ" for sample receipt temperatures greater than 6 degrees C. They are designated with reason code "st" in Table 6.

Thirty results were qualified for preservation. Two total Kjeldahl nitrogen samples were not analyzed from preserved aliquots. They were qualified "UJ" and designated with reason code "pH" in Table 6. Twenty-eight TOC results were qualified for improper preservation and designated with reason codes "h, pH" in Table 6. The samples were collected in jars containing HCl, but when checked, the pH was >2. The lab adjusted the pH of the samples to pH < 2 prior to analysis. Since the samples were not analyzed within the 4-hour holding time for unpreserved samples, the holding time was grossly exceeded. The TOC results were qualified "J-".

Fifteen results were qualified for holding time only and are designated with reason code "h" in Table 6.

The preservation and temperature exceedances are shown in Table 12. The holding time exceedances are shown in Table 13.

3.3.2 Sample Containers

Three perchlorate sterile sample bottles arrived empty at the laboratory. The lab analyzed the samples from non-sterile aliquots. Three perchlorate results were qualified "J" and are designated with reason code "o" in Table 6. Bias was not applied because it is not known.

3.3.3 Blanks

Method blanks, ICBs, CCBs, EBs, and FBs were analyzed to evaluate representativeness. The concentration of an analyte in any blank was used for data qualification. If contaminants were detected in a blank, the blank concentration was compared to the sample results. If the analyte was not detected in the sample, no qualification was applied to the sample. If the sample concentration was greater than 10 times the amount in the blank, after dilutions were considered, no qualification was applied.

For concentrations detected in the sample below the PQL, the sample result was qualified "J". Based on hierarchy of validation qualification, the "J" qualifier, in this case applied to detected results below the PQL, supersedes the positive bias associated with blank contamination. For concentrations detected in the sample above the PQL and less than 10 times the amount in the blank, the sample result was qualified "J+".

3.3.3.1 Method and Calibration Blanks

Several inorganic analytes were detected in the method and calibration blanks. Forty-one sample results were qualified because of analytes found in both the samples and the lab blanks. Qualified results are shown in Table 6 with reason codes "bl." Laboratory blank detections that resulted in qualification are shown in Table 14.

3.3.3.2 Equipment Blanks and Field Blanks

There were several detections in the EBs and FBs. Twelve results were qualified because of EB detections. The qualified results are shown in Table 6 with reason code "be." Fifteen results were qualified because of FB detections. Qualified results are shown in Table 6 with reason code "bf."

EB and FB detections that resulted in qualification are shown in Table 15 with the associated samples. EBs and FBs may not appear in the same SDG as their associated samples.

3.4 COMPARABILITY

The laboratory used standard analytical methods for all analyses. In all cases, the SQLs attained were at or below the PQLs. Target compounds detected below the PQLs were flagged "J" by the laboratory and should be considered estimated. All 447 results detected between the SQL and PQL, and used as reported, are shown with reason code "sp" in Table 6. The comparability of the data is acceptable.

3.5 COMPLETENESS

The overall completeness level attained for the field samples, EBs, and FBs is 99.99 percent and meets the project goal of 90 percent. Chlorite in SWFTS-MW14-EM08 and Phosphorus, Total in COH-2B1-EM15 were rejected for MS/MSD recoveries less than 30%, per the inorganic NFG. The percentage was calculated as the total number of accepted (non-rejected) sample results divided by the total number of sample results multiplied by 100. Completeness by method is presented in Table 16. Data rejected in favor of alternate results such as dilution runs are not used in the completion calculation.

3.6 SENSITIVITY

The calibrations were evaluated for instrument sensitivity and were determined to be technically acceptable. Due to high analyte concentrations, many analytical runs were analyzed at dilutions. For diluted analyses, SQLs and PQLs were elevated. Several analyses were run at multiple dilutions because of high concentrations of other target analytes. The most technically sound result was used. Typically, where multiple non-detected results were reported, and quality control criteria were comparable, the result with the lowest PQL was used. Typically, where multiple detected results were reported, and quality control criteria were comparable, the result with the highest concentration was used, unless the lab indicated it should not be used. Unused results were assigned a validation qualifier "R" and are shown with reason code "brr" in Table 6. The unused results are shown in Table 11 with a comment describing the logic for using the alternate result. Data rejected in favor of alternate results such as dilution runs are not used in the completion calculation. It is recommended that these data be excluded from the NDEP database.

3.6.1 Internal Standards

Internal standards were added to samples analyzed by methods SW-6010B and SW-6020A. The internal standards in methods SW-6010B and SW-6020A were used to determine the existence and magnitude of instrument drift and physical interferences. No analytes were qualified for internal standard anomalies.

10

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Data were qualified for issues affecting precision, accuracy, representativeness, and comparability. Two results out of 14472 analyzed, validated, and reported were rejected for low MS/MSD recoveries. Multiple runs were analyzed for 29 samples with high sulfate concentrations that exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. They were assigned a validation qualifier "R" because more technically sound results were used. Data rejected in favor of alternate results such as dilution runs were not used in the completion calculation. It is recommended that they be excluded from the NDEP database.

The analytical data quality assessment for the analytical results generated during the Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study at the NERT site in Henderson, Nevada, established that the overall project requirements and completeness levels were met.

5.0 REFERENCES

Dong, Weiquan to Steve Clough. (2018). "2018 11 26 Facility ID H-000539 NERT." Email. December 7.

Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP). (2018). *NDEP Data Verification and Validation Requirements*.

Ramboll Environ. (2017). Quality Assurance Project Plan, Revision 2, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Site, Henderson, Nevada.

Tetra Tech. (2016). Final Seep Well Field Area Bioremediation Treatability Study Work Plan.

Tables

Table 1 Analytical Methods

Method	Parameters	Number of Water Samples	Number of Soil Samples
Calculated	Total Nitrogen	119	0
EPA 300.0	Chloride	124	0
EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	719	0
EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	119	0
EPA 300.0	Sulfate	667	0
EPA 300.1B	Chlorate	731	0
EPA 300.1B	Chlorite	53	0
EPA 314.0	Perchlorate	764	63
EPA 351.2	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	121	5
EPA 365.3	Phosphorus, Total	197	0
RSK175	Methane	102	0
SM2320B	Alkalinity as CaCO3	384	0
SM2320B	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate [As CaCO3]	384	0
SM2320B	Alkalinity, Hydroxide [As CaCO3]	384	0
SM2320B	Alkalinity, Carbonate [As CaCO3]	384	0
SM2540C	Total Dissolved Solids [TDS]	137	0
SM2540C-soluble	Total Dissolved Solids [TDS]	0	7
SM4500-H+	рН	4	0
SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	668	0
SW-6010B	Dissolved Metals	245	0
SW-6010B	Total Metals	237	5
SW-6020A	Dissolved Metals	245	0
SW-6020A	Total Metals	4	0
SW-7199	Chromium [VI]	22	0
SW-9045C-soluble	рН	0	4
SW-9060	Total Organic Carbon	57	11
VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	89	0

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-26	440-177629-1	WG	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-35	440-177629-2	WG	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-35-FD	440-177629-3	WG	FD	02/21/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-177682-1	SWFTS-BH02-SO-34	440-177682-1	SO	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2B				X				
440-177819-1	SWFTS-BH07-WG-25	440-177819-1	WG	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-177822-1	SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5	440-177822-1	SO	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-177995-1/2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-22	440-177995-2	WG	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-177995-2	SWFTS-BH07-WG-35	440-177995-1	WG	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-177998-1	SWFTS-BH08-SO-14.5	440-177998-1	SO	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178093-1/2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-36	440-178093-1	WG	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-46	440-178093-2	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A				X				
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH10-WG-21	440-178093-4	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH10-WG-36	440-178093-3	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A				X				
440-178098-1	SWFTS-BH08-SO-52.5	440-178098-1	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178098-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-12	440-178098-2	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH01-WG-22	440-178282-3	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH01-WG-36	440-178282-4	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH03-WG-26	440-178282-1	WG	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2A				X				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH03-WG-41	440-178282-2	WG	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2A				X				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH04-WG-21	440-178282-5	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A				X				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH04-WG-36	440-178282-6	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH09-WG-13.5	440-178282-7	WG	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH01-SO-16	440-178303-4	SO	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH03-SO-24	440-178303-3	SO	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH04-SO-14	440-178303-5	SO	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2B				X				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH09-SO-16	440-178303-6	SO	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH09-SO-33	440-178303-7	SO	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-51	440-178303-1	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-51-FD	440-178303-2	SO	FD	02/24/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-6	440-178410-5	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-6-FD	440-178410-6	SO	FD	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-7	440-178410-7	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-1	440-178410-3	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-15	440-178410-2	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-25	440-178410-1	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-5	440-178410-4	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-21	440-178495-1	WG	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2A				Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-32	440-178495-2	WG	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-32-FD	440-178495-3	WG	FD	03/01/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-14	440-178497-1	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-25	440-178497-2	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-14	440-178497-3	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-14-FD	440-178497-4	SO	FD	03/01/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-46	440-178497-5	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178689-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-57	440-178689-1	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-178689-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-58	440-178689-2	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-17	440-179122-1	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-21	440-179122-2	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-30	440-179122-3	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-40.5	440-179122-4	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-12	440-179273-1	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-29.5	440-179273-2	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-36.5	440-179273-3	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179384-1	SWFTS-MW08C-WG-50	440-179384-1	WG	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28	440-179386-1	SO	NORM	03/08/17	Stage 4			ĺ	Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28-FD	440-179386-2	SO	FD	03/08/17	Stage 4			ĺ	Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-43	440-179386-6	SO	NORM	03/08/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-49	440-179386-3	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51	440-179386-4	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-55	440-179386-5	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-60	440-179386-7	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-65	440-179386-8	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-69	440-179386-9	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW05B-SO-26.5	440-179551-1	SO	NORM	03/10/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW05B-SO-36.5	440-179551-2	SO	NORM	03/10/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-15	440-179551-5	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28	440-179551-6	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28-FD	440-179551-7	SO	FD	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45	440-179551-8	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45-FD	440-179551-9	SO	FD	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-5	440-179551-3	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-53	440-179551-10	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-8	440-179551-4	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-14	440-179551-11	SO	NORM	03/12/17	Stage 2B				Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-31.5	440-179551-12	SO	NORM	03/12/17	Stage 2B		000.0	000.12	X	001.2	000.0		
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-51.5	440-179551-13	SO	NORM	03/13/17	Stage 2B				X				
440-179672-1	SWFTS-WG1-EB	440-179672-2	BW	EB	03/14/17	Stage 2A		X		X				
440-179672-1	SWFTS-WG1-FB	440-179672-1	BW	FB	03/14/17	Stage 2A		X		X				
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19	440-179673-1	SO	NORM	03/14/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39	440-179673-2	so	NORM	03/14/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD	440-179673-3	so	FD	03/14/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-EB	440-179673-4	BW	EB	03/14/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-FB	440-179673-5	BW	FB	03/14/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-20.5	440-179802-1	so	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31	440-179802-2	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-FD	440-179802-3	SO	FD	03/15/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-36	440-179802-4	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH06-SO-14	440-179802-7	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-EB	440-179802-5	BW	EB	03/15/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-FB	440-179802-6	BW	FB	03/15/17	Stage 2A				Х				
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	440-180820-6	BW	EB	03/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-FB	440-180820-5	BW	FB	03/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180820-1/2	SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	440-180820-3	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180820-1/2	SWFTS-MW10C-BL01	440-180820-4	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180820-1/3	PC-58-BL01	440-180820-1	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-180820-1/3	PC-94-BL01	440-180820-2	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180937-1	PC-91-BL01	440-180937-1	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	440-180937-2	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW01-BL01	440-180937-6	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW02-BL01	440-180937-7	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09A-BL01	440-180937-3	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	440-180937-4	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01-FD	440-180937-5	WG	FD	03/29/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	440-181045-5	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	440-181045-6	WG	FD	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	440-181045-7	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05B-BL01	440-181045-8	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	440-181045-1	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	440-181045-2	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW07A-BL01	440-181045-3	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW07B-BL01	440-181045-4	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	440-181045-9	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-181122-1	SWFTS-MW04-BL01	440-181122-1	WG	NORM	03/31/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-181122-1	SWFTS-MW10A-BL01	440-181122-2	WG	NORM	03/31/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		
440-186188-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-EB	440-186188-7	BW	EB	06/09/17	Stage 2A					Х			
440-186188-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-FB	440-186188-6	BW	FB	06/09/17	Stage 2A					Х			
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW05-SO-28	440-186188-1	SO	NORM	06/09/17	Stage 4					Х			
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW10-SO-39	440-186188-2	SO	NORM	05/26/17	Stage 4					Х			
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW10-SO-39-FD	440-186188-3	SO	FD	05/26/17	Stage 4					Х			
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW12-SO-31	440-186188-4	SO	NORM	06/08/17	Stage 4					Х			
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW17-SO-33.5	440-186188-5	SO	NORM	05/31/17	Stage 4					Х			
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW01A-BL02	440-188133-3	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW01B-BL02	440-188133-13	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW02A-BL02	440-188133-14	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW02B-BL02	440-188133-2	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW03-BL02	440-188133-4	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Χ	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW03-BL02-FD	440-188133-5	WG	FD	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW04-BL02	440-188133-11	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW04-BL02-FD	440-188133-12	WG	FD	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW05-BL02	440-188133-16	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW06A-BL02	440-188133-10	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW06B-BL02	440-188133-6	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW07-BL02	440-188133-7	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW13A-BL02	440-188133-1	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW13B-BL02	440-188133-15	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW16A-BL02	440-188133-9	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW16B-BL02	440-188133-8	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	440-188133-17	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	PC-91-BL02-FD	440-188244-4	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188244-1	SWFTS-IW09-BL02-FD	440-188244-3	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW13-BL02	440-188244-6	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02	440-188244-8	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	440-188244-9	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW17-BL02	440-188244-1	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW19-BL02	440-188244-2	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW20-BL02	440-188244-5	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	440-188247-5	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-188247-1	PC-92-BL02	440-188247-6	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW08-BL02	440-188247-7	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW09-BL02	440-188247-8	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW10-BL02	440-188247-9	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW11-BL02	440-188247-3	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW12-BL02	440-188247-4	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW14-BL02	440-188247-1	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW15-BL02	440-188247-2	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW20-BL02	440-188247-10	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188324-1	PC-58-BL02	440-188324-3	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW12-BL02	440-188324-6	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	440-188324-5	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	440-188324-1	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	440-188324-2	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	440-188324-4	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	PC-94-BL02	440-188325-1	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	440-188325-8	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	440-188325-9	BW	EB	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	440-188325-10	BW	FB	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW17-BL02	440-188325-6	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			Х	
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW18-BL02	440-188325-4	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW19-BL02	440-188325-5	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	440-188325-3	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW16-BL02	440-188325-2	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW23-BL02	440-188325-7	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			İ	
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	440-189933-1	WG	NORM	08/09/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170920-EB	440-192627-13	BW	EB	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170920-FB	440-192627-14	BW	FB	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM01	440-192627-7	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM01	440-192627-8	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM01	440-192627-9	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM01-FD	440-192627-10	WG	FD	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM01	440-192627-11	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM01	440-192627-2	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM01	440-192627-12	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM01	440-192627-3	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM01-FD	440-192627-4	WG	FD	09/19/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM01	440-192627-1	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM01	440-192627-5	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM01-FD	440-192627-6	WG	FD	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM01	440-192728-12	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM01	440-192728-9	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM01	440-192728-13	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM01	440-192728-4	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM01	440-192728-5	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM01	440-192728-3	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM01	440-192728-1	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM01	440-192728-2	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM01	440-192728-8	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM01	440-192728-10	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM01	440-192728-11	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM01	440-192728-6	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM01	440-192728-7	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM01	440-192818-16	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170922-EB	440-192818-6	BW	EB	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170922-FB	440-192818-11	BW	FB	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM01	440-192818-2	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM01	440-192818-1	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM01	440-192818-15	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM01	440-192818-9	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM01	440-192818-14	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM01	440-192818-10	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM01	440-192818-13	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM01-FD	440-192818-12	WG	FD	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM01	440-192818-4	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM01	440-192818-8	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM01	440-192818-5	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM01	440-192818-7	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM01	440-192818-3	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM02	440-192973-1	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Ì		
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM02	440-192973-2	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM02	440-192973-4	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM02-FD	440-192973-5	WG	FD	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM02	440-192973-3	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM02	440-192973-10	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM02	440-192973-9	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM02	440-192973-7	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM02-FD	440-192973-8	WG	FD	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-192973-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM02	440-192973-6	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193062-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170927-EB	440-193062-7	BW	EB	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170927-FB	440-193062-6	BW	FB	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193062-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM02	440-193062-14	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM02	440-193062-2	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM02	440-193062-11	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM02	440-193062-3	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM02-FD	440-193062-4	WG	FD	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM02	440-193062-9	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM02	440-193062-10	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM02	440-193062-5	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM02	440-193062-15	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM02	440-193062-13	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM02	440-193062-1	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM02	440-193062-12	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM02	440-193062-16	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM02	440-193062-8	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170928-EB	440-193167-8	BW	EB	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM02	440-193167-1	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM02	440-193167-3	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM02	440-193167-4	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM02	440-193167-14	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM02	440-193167-15	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM02	440-193167-2	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM02	440-193167-13	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM02	440-193167-12	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM02	440-193167-11	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	440-193167-7	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM02	440-193167-9	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM02-FD	440-193167-10	WG	FD	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM02	440-193167-5	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193167-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM02	440-193167-6	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM03	440-193472-6	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM03	440-193472-7	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		000.0		
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM03	440-193472-1	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		X	X	X				
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM03	440-193472-8	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM03-FD	440-193472-9	WG	FD	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM03	440-193472-4	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM03	440-193472-5	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM03	440-193472-3	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM03	440-193472-2	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-EM03-20171004-EB	440-193622-7	BW	EB	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM03	440-193622-4	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM03	440-193622-5	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM03	440-193622-2	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM03	440-193622-1	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM03	440-193622-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM03	440-193622-8	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193622-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM03	440-193622-3	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193625-1	SWFTS-EM03-20171004-FB	440-193625-7	BW	FB	10/04/17	Stage 2A								
440-193625-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM03-FD	440-193625-1	WG	FD	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM03	440-193625-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM03	440-193625-5	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW-15-EM03	440-193625-4	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM03	440-193625-2	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM03	440-193625-3	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM03	440-193712-10	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-20171005-EB	440-193712-8	BW	EB	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM03	440-193712-7	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM03	440-193712-16	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM03-FD	440-193712-15	WG	FD	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM03	440-193712-5	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM03	440-193712-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM03	440-193712-14	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM03	440-193712-11	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM03	440-193712-12	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM03	440-193712-9	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM03	440-193712-18	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM03	440-193712-3	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM03	440-193712-1	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM03-FD	440-193712-2	WG	FD	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM03	440-193712-13	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM03	440-193712-4	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM03	440-193712-17	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	440-193989-6	BW	EB	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB	440-193989-5	BW	FB	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM04	440-193989-4	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM04	440-193989-3	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM04	440-193989-2	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-193989-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM04	440-193989-1	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM04	440-194090-1	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM04	440-194090-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6A-EM04	440-194090-4	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6B-EM04	440-194090-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04	440-194090-2	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04-FD	440-194090-3	WG	FD	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194090-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM04	440-194090-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1/2	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	440-194090-6	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM04	440-194094-4	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			İ	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	440-194094-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM04	440-194094-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM04	440-194094-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-PC-58-EM04	440-194094-6	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	440-194094-2	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM04	440-194094-1	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM04	440-194094-3	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			İ	Х
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	440-194202-4	BW	EB	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB	440-194202-3	BW	FB	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194202-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	440-194202-1	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194202-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	440-194202-2	WG	FD	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM04	440-194204-2	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM04	440-194204-8	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM04	440-194204-7	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM04	440-194204-1	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM04	440-194204-3	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04	440-194204-4	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04-FD	440-194204-5	WG	FD	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM04	440-194242-3	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM04	440-194242-4	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM04	440-194242-9	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM04	440-194242-2	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM04	440-194242-1	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04	440-194242-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD	440-194242-6	WG	FD	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM04	440-194242-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM04	440-194242-10	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM04	440-194242-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM05	440-194846-4	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM05	440-194846-5	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM05	440-194846-7	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM05	440-194846-6	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM05	440-194846-1	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM05-FD	440-194846-2	WG	FD	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM05	440-194846-3	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM05	440-194947-6	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM05-FD	440-194947-7	WG	FD	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM05	440-194947-4	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM05	440-194947-3	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM05	440-194947-8	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM05	440-194947-9	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM05	440-194947-10	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM05	440-194947-11	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM05	440-194947-2	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM05	440-194947-1	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM05-FD	440-194947-5	WG	FD	10/24/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM05	440-195026-8	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM05	440-195026-2	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM05	440-195026-1	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM05	440-195026-5	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM05	440-195026-9	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM05	440-195026-4	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM05	440-195026-3	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM05	440-195026-6	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM05-FD	440-195026-7	WG	FD	10/25/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM05	440-195136-4	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171025-EB	440-195136-8	BW	EB	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171025-FB	440-195136-6	BW	FB	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171026-EB	440-195136-7	BW	EB	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171026-FB	440-195136-9	BW	FB	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM05	440-195136-5	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM05	440-195136-10	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM05	440-195136-11	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM05	440-195136-3	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM05	440-195136-2	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-195136-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM05	440-195136-1	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM05	440-195218-2	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM05	440-195218-4	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM05	440-195218-3	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM05	440-195218-5	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM05	440-195218-6	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	440-196558-6	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM06	440-196558-3	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM06	440-196558-1	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM06	440-196558-2	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW12-EM06	440-196558-5	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM06	440-196558-4	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	440-196558-7	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	440-196558-8	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	440-196558-9	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	440-196558-10	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B	440-196558-11	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	440-196558-12	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	440-196558-13	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	440-196558-14	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B	440-196558-15	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	440-196558-16	WG	FD	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	440-196558-17	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW18-EM06B	440-196558-18	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	440-196558-19	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A								
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM06	440-196659-7	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM06	440-196659-8	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM06	440-196659-4	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM06	440-196659-1	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM06	440-196659-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM06-FD	440-196659-6	WG	FD	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196659-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM06	440-196659-2	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196659-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM06	440-196665-3	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM06	440-196665-1	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	440-196665-2	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-196665-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM06	440-196665-4	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196665-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM06	440-196665-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196690-1	PC-88-EM06	440-196690-9	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196690-1	PC-88-EM06-FD	440-196690-10	WG	FD	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB	440-196690-2	BW	EB	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB	440-196690-1	BW	FB	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171115-EB	440-196690-4	BW	EB	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171115-FB	440-196690-3	BW	FB	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06	440-196690-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06	440-196690-6	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06	440-196690-7	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06	440-196690-8	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х				
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM06	440-196690-11	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171116-EB	440-196786-1	BW	EB	11/16/17	Stage 2A								
440-196786-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171116-FB	440-196786-2	BW	FB	11/16/17	Stage 2A							İ	
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM06	440-196786-16	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM06	440-196786-19	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM06	440-196786-20	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM06	440-196786-14	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM06	440-196786-15	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM06	440-196786-3	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM06	440-196786-18	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-58-EM06	440-196786-11	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM06	440-196786-13	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1/2	PC-94-EM06	440-196786-17	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06	440-196786-5	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06-FD	440-196786-6	WG	FD	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM06	440-196786-4	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW-19-EM06	440-196786-12	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM06	440-196786-7	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM06	440-196786-8	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM06	440-196786-9	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM06-FD	440-196786-10	WG	FD	11/16/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198276-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171211-EB	440-198276-15	BW	EB	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171211-FB	440-198276-16	BW	FB	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW03-EM07	440-198276-1	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW04-EM07	440-198276-2	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A							İ	
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW05-EM07	440-198276-3	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A							İ	
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW07-EM07	440-198276-4	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW08-EM07	440-198276-5	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW09-EM07	440-198276-6	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW10-EM07	440-198276-8	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW11-EM07	440-198276-7	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW12-EM07	440-198276-9	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07	440-198276-10	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	440-198276-11	WG	FD	12/11/17	Stage 2A							İ	
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	440-198276-12	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	440-198276-13	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW19-EM07	440-198276-14	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A								
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW10C-EM07	440-198371-9	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM07	440-198371-2	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	PC-94-EM07	440-198371-14	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM07	440-198371-13	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM07	440-198371-6	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM07	440-198371-7	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07	440-198371-10	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD	440-198371-11	WG	FD	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM07	440-198371-12	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM07	440-198371-4	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM07	440-198371-8	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM07	440-198371-3	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM07	440-198371-5	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	440-198371-1	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-198508-1	PC-91-EM07	440-198508-9	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-198508-1	PC-97-EM07-FD	440-198508-12	WG	FD	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB	440-198508-14	BW	EB	12/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-FB	440-198508-15	BW	FB	12/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM07	440-198508-1	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM07	440-198508-2	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM07	440-198508-6	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM07-FD	440-198508-7	WG	FD	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1/2	PC-97-EM07	440-198508-11	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM07	440-198508-8	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM07	440-198508-3	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM07	440-198508-4	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM07	440-198508-13	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х		Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM07	440-198508-5	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198571-1	COH-2B1-EM07	440-198571-5	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	PC-58-EM07	440-198571-9	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	PC-88-EM07	440-198571-11	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	PC-92-EM07-FD	440-198571-8	WG	FD	12/14/17	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171214-EB	440-198571-6	BW	EB	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-EW07-20171214-FB	440-198571-16	BW	FB	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM07	440-198571-12	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM07	440-198571-3	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM07	440-198571-4	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM07	440-198571-1	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW08C-EM07	440-198571-2	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM07	440-198571-13	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM07	440-198571-15	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM07	440-198571-14	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM07	440-198571-17	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-198571-1/2	PC-92-EM07	440-198571-7	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198571-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM07	440-198571-10	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203775-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM08	440-203775-3	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203775-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM08	440-203775-2	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM08	440-203775-1	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM08	440-203775-4	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM08	440-203775-5	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1	PC-92-EM08-FD	440-203841-3	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM08-FD	440-203841-10	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	PC-91-EM08	440-203841-1	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	PC-92-EM08	440-203841-2	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM08	440-203841-12	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM08	440-203841-7	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM08	440-203841-8	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM08	440-203841-14	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM08	440-203841-13	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	440-203841-4	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	440-203841-5	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	440-203841-11	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM08	440-203841-6	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM08	440-203841-9	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	PC-58-EM08	440-203937-13	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	PC-97-EM08	440-203937-4	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-FB	440-203937-9	BW	FB	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM08	440-203937-2	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08	440-203937-10	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08-FD	440-203937-11	WG	FD	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM08	440-203937-1	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM08	440-203937-14	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1/2	PC-94-EM08	440-203937-12	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	440-203937-3	BW	EB	02/21/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	440-203937-5	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	440-203937-6	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM08	440-203937-8	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM08	440-203937-7	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		Х
440-204033-1	C0H-2B1-EM08	440-204033-6	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		X	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	PC-88-EM08	440-204033-10	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-EB	440-204033-3	BW	EB	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB	440-204033-9	BW	FB	02/22/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM08	440-204033-1	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM08	440-204033-5	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Ì		Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM08	440-204033-8	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM08	440-204033-2	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM08	440-204033-7	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM08	440-204033-11	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-204033-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM08	440-204033-4	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1	PC-92-EM09-FD	440-207137-3	WG	FD	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	440-207137-8	WG	FD	03/26/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	440-207137-4	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM09	440-207137-10	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1/2	PC-91-EM09	440-207137-1	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1/2	PC-92-EM09	440-207137-2	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM09	440-207137-5	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM09	440-207137-6	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	440-207137-7	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1	PC-97-EM09	440-207268-4	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	440-207268-2	BW	EB	03/27/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-FB	440-207268-16	BW	FB	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM09	440-207268-5	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM09-FD	440-207268-12	WG	FD	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	PC-94-EM09	440-207268-8	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM09	440-207268-10	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM09	440-207268-1	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM09	440-207268-15	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM09	440-207268-7	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM09	440-207268-6	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM09	440-207268-13	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM09	440-207268-11	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM09	440-207268-3	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM09	440-207268-9	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM09	440-207268-14	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	PC-58-EM09-EM09	440-207497-6	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-EB	440-207497-15	BW	EB	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	440-207497-14	BW	FB	03/28/18	Stage 2A	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM09	440-207497-3	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM09	440-207497-2	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM09	440-207497-4	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM09	440-207497-10	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM09	440-207497-7	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM09-FD	440-207497-8	WG	FD	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM09	440-207497-5	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM09	440-207497-11	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM09	440-207497-1	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM09	440-207497-9	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	440-207497-12	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207497-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM09	440-207497-13	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-207586-1	COH-2B1-EM09	440-207586-2	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207586-1	PC-88-EM09	440-207586-3	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-207586-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM09	440-207586-1	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM10	440-210173-1	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM10	440-210173-3	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM10	440-210173-8	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM10	440-210173-2	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM10	440-210173-11	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM10	440-210173-9	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM10	440-210173-4	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM10-FD	440-210173-5	WG	FD	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM10	440-210173-10	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM10	440-210173-7	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW22-EM10	440-210173-6	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB	440-210284-15	BW	EB	05/01/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	440-210284-2	BW	FB	05/01/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM10	440-210284-5	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10	440-210284-9	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10-FD	440-210284-10	WG	FD	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM10	440-210284-8	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10	440-210284-11	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-210284-1/2	PC-91-EM10	440-210284-3	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-210284-1/2	PC-92-EM10	440-210284-4	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1/2	PC-94-EM10	440-210284-14	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-210284-1/2	PC-97-EM10	440-210284-7	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM10	440-210284-13	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	440-210284-1	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM10	440-210284-6	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		ĺ		Х
440-210367-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD	440-210367-1	WG	FD	05/01/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1	COH-2B1-EM10	440-210430-11	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1	PC58-EM10	440-210430-10	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1	PC88-EM10	440-210430-8	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-210430-1	PC88-EM10-FD	440-210430-9	WG	FD	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180502-EB	440-210430-12	BW	EB	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180502-FB	440-210430-4	BW	FB	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM10	440-210430-2	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM10	440-210430-1	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				Х
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM10	440-210430-5	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	440-210430-6	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM10	440-210430-3	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	440-210430-13	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM10	440-210430-7	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			İ	Х
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM10	440-210534-5	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	Х
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM10	440-210534-4	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM10	440-210534-1	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	440-210534-3	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				Х
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	440-210534-2	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-215437-1	COH-2B1-EM11	440-215437-6	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215437-1	PC-94-EM11	440-215437-1	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-215437-1	PC-97-EM11	440-215437-3	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	İ	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM11	440-215437-4	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	İ	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	440-215437-11	WG	FD	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM11	440-215437-9	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	440-215437-7	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM11	440-215437-12	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM11	440-215437-2	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	İ	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM11	440-215437-8	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM11	440-215437-10	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180710-EB	440-215585-1	BW	EB	07/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180711-FB	440-215585-11	BW	FB	07/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11	440-215585-2	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11-FD	440-215585-3	WG	FD	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM11	440-215585-4	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM11	440-215585-7	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	440-215585-8	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM11	440-215585-13	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1/2	PC-91-EM11	440-215585-6	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1/2	PC-92-EM11	440-215585-5	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	440-215585-14	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	440-215585-10	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	440-215585-9	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM11	440-215585-12	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	PC-58-EM11	440-215717-7	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X		Х		Х
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11	440-215717-11	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11-FD	440-215717-12	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-20180712-EB	440-215717-6	BW	EB	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-20180712-FB	440-215717-4	BW	FB	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM11	440-215717-14	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11	440-215717-17	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11-FD	440-215717-18	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM11	440-215717-16	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM11	440-215717-15	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM11	440-215717-13	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	440-215717-10	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	440-215717-9	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	İ	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM11	440-215717-1	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM11	440-215717-2	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM11	440-215717-8	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	440-215717-3	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM11	440-215717-5	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW101A-EM11	440-215795-1	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM11	440-215795-2	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW107A-EM11	440-215795-7	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM11	440-215795-5	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM11-FD	440-215795-6	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM11	440-215795-3	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM11	440-215795-8	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW112A-EM11	440-215795-4	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM12	440-216784-1	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM12	440-216784-2	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM12	440-216784-3	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM12	440-216784-4	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х			1	
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM12	440-216784-5	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM12	440-216784-6	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM12	440-216784-7	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM12-FD	440-216784-8	WG	FD	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM12	440-216784-9	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-20180727-EB	440-216872-1	BW	EB	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	X				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-20180727-FB	440-216872-2	BW	FB	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM12	440-216872-13	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM12-FD	440-216872-14	WG	FD	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM12	440-216872-11	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM12	440-216872-10	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM12	440-216872-8	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM12	440-216872-7	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM12	440-216872-9	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	X				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM12	440-216872-3	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	X				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW22-EM12	440-216872-4	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM12	440-216872-5	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM12	440-216872-6	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM12	440-216872-16	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM12	440-216872-15	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-94-EM12	440-216872-12	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A			Х	Х				
440-218109-1	SWFTS-20180814-FB	440-218109-7	BW	FB	08/14/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM13	440-218109-4	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM13-FD	440-218109-5	WG	FD	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM13	440-218109-6	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1/2	PC-91-EM-13	440-218109-1	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM13	440-218109-2	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM13	440-218109-3	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM13	440-218109-9	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM13	440-218109-8	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X				
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM13	440-218109-10	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	440-218109-11	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218208-1	PC-58-EM13	440-218208-5	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM13	440-218208-8	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM13	440-218208-6	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM13	440-218208-7	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM13	440-218208-9	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	PC-92-EM13	440-218208-1	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	PC-94-EM13	440-218208-2	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM13	440-218208-3	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM13	440-218208-4	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM13	440-218208-12	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM13	440-218208-14	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM13	440-218208-15	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM13	440-218208-16	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM13-FD	440-218208-17	WG	FD	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM13	440-218208-18	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM13	440-218208-11	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM13	440-218208-10	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-218296-1	COH-2B1-EM13	440-218296-11	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	PC-88-EM13	440-218296-9	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	PC-88-EM13-FD	440-218296-10	WG	FD	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	PC-97-EM13	440-218296-8	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-EB	440-218296-7	BW	EB	08/16/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-EB(2)	440-218296-18	BW	EB	08/16/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-FB	440-218296-5	BW	FB	08/16/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM13	440-218296-6	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM13	440-218296-1	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM13	440-218296-2	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM13	440-218296-3	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM13	440-218296-4	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM13	440-218296-12	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM13-FD	440-218296-13	WG	FD	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM13	440-218296-14	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM13	440-218296-15	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM13	440-218296-19	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM13	440-218296-16	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM13	440-218296-17	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A		Χ	Х	Х				
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-EB	440-219797-5	BW	EB	09/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-FB	440-219797-3	BW	FB	09/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM14	440-219797-1	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM14	440-219797-2	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM14	440-219797-4	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM14	440-219797-6	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219886-1	COH-2B1-EM14	440-219886-10	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14	440-219886-15	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14-FD	440-219886-16	WG	FD	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM14	440-219886-14	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1/2	PC-94-EM14	440-219886-9	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM14	440-219886-11	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X		Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM14	440-219886-7	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM14	440-219886-8	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM14	440-219886-12	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM14	440-219886-13	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM14	440-219886-17	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM14	440-219886-2	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM14	440-219886-1	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM14	440-219886-6	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM14	440-219886-3	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM14-FD	440-219886-4	WG	FD	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW22-EM14	440-219886-5	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220031-1	PC-88-EM14	440-220031-15	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	PC-88-EM14-FD	440-220031-16	WG	FD	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	PC-91-EM14	440-220031-12	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	PC-92-EM14	440-220031-13	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	PC-97-EM14	440-220031-17	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM14	440-220031-14	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM14	440-220031-6	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM14	440-220031-7	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM14	440-220031-10	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM14	440-220031-8	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM14-FD	440-220031-9	WG	FD	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM14	440-220031-11	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM14	440-220031-5	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM14	440-220031-1	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM14	440-220031-4	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM14	440-220031-3	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	440-220031-2	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW104-EM14	440-220125-8	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	440-220125-4	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW109-EM14	440-220125-1	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW111A-EM14	440-220125-2	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-220125-1	PC-58-EM14	440-220125-5	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	440-220125-7	BW	EB	09/13/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	440-220125-3	BW	FB	09/13/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-220125-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM14	440-220125-6	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-221855-1	SWFTS-20181009-EB	440-221855-6	BW	EB	10/09/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	440-221855-5	WG	FD	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM15	440-221855-2	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM15	440-221855-12	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	440-221855-13	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM15	440-221855-14	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM15	440-221855-7	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	İ	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM15	440-221855-8	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	İ	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	440-221855-9	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM15	440-221855-10	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM15	440-221855-11	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM15	440-221855-4	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM15	440-221855-1	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х		Х		Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM15	440-221855-3	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-20181010-FB	440-221975-3	BW	FB	10/10/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	440-221975-8	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	440-221975-10	WG	FD	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	440-221975-9	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM15	440-221975-11	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM15	440-221975-12	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM15	440-221975-13	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM15	440-221975-5	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х			1	
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM15	440-221975-1	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х				
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM15	440-221975-6	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM15	440-221975-2	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW112A-EM15	440-221975-4	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х				
440-221975-1/2	PC-91-EM15	440-221975-16	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	440-221975-15	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	440-221975-14	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM15	440-221975-7	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	440-222092-1	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х	1	Х
440-222092-1	PC-58-EM15	440-222092-19	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	440-222092-15	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15-FD	440-222092-16	WG	FD	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	Calculated	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.1B	EPA 314.0	EPA 351.2	EPA 365.3	RSK175	SM2320B
440-222092-1	PC-92-EM15	440-222092-17	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	PC-94-EM15	440-222092-18	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
440-222092-1	PC-97-EM15	440-222092-13	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-20181011-EB	440-222092-2	BW	EB	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-20181011-FB	440-222092-8	BW	FB	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM15	440-222092-9	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	440-222092-10	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15-FD	440-222092-11	WG	FD	10/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM15	440-222092-12	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM15	440-222092-14	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	X	X		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM15	440-222092-4	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	X		Х		Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM15	440-222092-7	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM15	440-222092-3	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM15	440-222092-5	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM15	440-222092-6	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-26	440-177629-1	WG	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-35	440-177629-2	WG	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-35-FD	440-177629-3	WG	FD	02/21/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-177682-1	SWFTS-BH02-SO-34	440-177682-1	SO	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2B							
440-177819-1	SWFTS-BH07-WG-25	440-177819-1	WG	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2A							
440-177822-1	SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5	440-177822-1	SO	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2B							
440-177995-1/2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-22	440-177995-2	WG	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-177995-2	SWFTS-BH07-WG-35	440-177995-1	WG	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2A							
440-177998-1	SWFTS-BH08-SO-14.5	440-177998-1	SO	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2B		Х					
440-178093-1/2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-36	440-178093-1	WG	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-46	440-178093-2	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A							
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH10-WG-21	440-178093-4	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A							
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH10-WG-36	440-178093-3	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A							
440-178098-1	SWFTS-BH08-SO-52.5	440-178098-1	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B							
440-178098-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-12	440-178098-2	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH01-WG-22	440-178282-3	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH01-WG-36	440-178282-4	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH03-WG-26	440-178282-1	WG	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2A							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH03-WG-41	440-178282-2	WG	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2A							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH04-WG-21	440-178282-5	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH04-WG-36	440-178282-6	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A							
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH09-WG-13.5	440-178282-7	WG	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2A							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH01-SO-16	440-178303-4	SO	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2B							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH03-SO-24	440-178303-3	SO	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2B							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH04-SO-14	440-178303-5	SO	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2B							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH09-SO-16	440-178303-6	SO	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2B							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH09-SO-33	440-178303-7	SO	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2B							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-51	440-178303-1	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B							
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-51-FD	440-178303-2	SO	FD	02/24/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-6	440-178410-5	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-6-FD	440-178410-6	SO	FD	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-7	440-178410-7	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-1	440-178410-3	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-15	440-178410-2	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-25	440-178410-1	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-5	440-178410-4	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-21	440-178495-1	WG	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2A							

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-32	440-178495-2	WG	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2A							
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-32-FD	440-178495-3	WG	FD	03/01/17	Stage 2A							
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-14	440-178497-1	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-25	440-178497-2	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B							
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-14	440-178497-3	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B							
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-14-FD	440-178497-4	SO	FD	03/01/17	Stage 2B							
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-46	440-178497-5	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B							
440-178689-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-57	440-178689-1	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B							
440-178689-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-58	440-178689-2	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B							
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-17	440-179122-1	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-21	440-179122-2	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-30	440-179122-3	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-40.5	440-179122-4	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-12	440-179273-1	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-29.5	440-179273-2	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-36.5	440-179273-3	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B							
440-179384-1	SWFTS-MW08C-WG-50	440-179384-1	WG	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 2A							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28	440-179386-1	SO	NORM	03/08/17	Stage 4		Х					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28-FD	440-179386-2	SO	FD	03/08/17	Stage 4		Х					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-43	440-179386-6	SO	NORM	03/08/17	Stage 4							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-49	440-179386-3	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51	440-179386-4	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-55	440-179386-5	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-60	440-179386-7	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-65	440-179386-8	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4							
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-69	440-179386-9	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW05B-SO-26.5	440-179551-1	SO	NORM	03/10/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW05B-SO-36.5	440-179551-2	SO	NORM	03/10/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-15	440-179551-5	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B		Х					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28	440-179551-6	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28-FD	440-179551-7	SO	FD	03/11/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45	440-179551-8	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B		Х					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45-FD	440-179551-9	SO	FD	03/11/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-5	440-179551-3	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-53	440-179551-10	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-8	440-179551-4	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-14	440-179551-11	SO	NORM	03/12/17	Stage 2B							

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-31.5	440-179551-12	SO	NORM	03/12/17	Stage 2B							
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-51.5	440-179551-13	SO	NORM	03/13/17	Stage 2B		Х					
440-179672-1	SWFTS-WG1-EB	440-179672-2	BW	EB	03/14/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-179672-1	SWFTS-WG1-FB	440-179672-1	BW	FB	03/14/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19	440-179673-1	SO	NORM	03/14/17	Stage 2B		Х					
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39	440-179673-2	SO	NORM	03/14/17	Stage 2B							
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD	440-179673-3	SO	FD	03/14/17	Stage 2B							
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-EB	440-179673-4	BW	EB	03/14/17	Stage 2A	Х		X				
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-FB	440-179673-5	BW	FB	03/14/17	Stage 2A	X		Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-20.5	440-179802-1	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B							
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31	440-179802-2	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B							
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-FD	440-179802-3	SO	FD	03/15/17	Stage 2B							
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-36	440-179802-4	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B							
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH06-SO-14	440-179802-7	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B							
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-EB	440-179802-5	BW	EB	03/15/17	Stage 2A	Х		Х				
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-FB	440-179802-6	BW	FB	03/15/17	Stage 2A	Х		Х				
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	440-180820-6	BW	EB	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	X
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-FB	440-180820-5	BW	FB	03/28/17	Stage 2A	X				Х	Х	Х
440-180820-1/2	SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	440-180820-3	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	X
440-180820-1/2	SWFTS-MW10C-BL01	440-180820-4	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-180820-1/3	PC-58-BL01	440-180820-1	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х
440-180820-1/3	PC-94-BL01	440-180820-2	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-180937-1	PC-91-BL01	440-180937-1	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	440-180937-2	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW01-BL01	440-180937-6	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW02-BL01	440-180937-7	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09A-BL01	440-180937-3	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A	X				Х	Х	Х
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	440-180937-4	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01-FD	440-180937-5	WG	FD	03/29/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	440-181045-5	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	440-181045-6	WG	FD	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	440-181045-7	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05B-BL01	440-181045-8	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	440-181045-1	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	440-181045-2	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW07A-BL01	440-181045-3	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW07B-BL01	440-181045-4	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	440-181045-9	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-181122-1	SWFTS-MW04-BL01	440-181122-1	WG	NORM	03/31/17	Stage 2A					Х		Х
440-181122-1	SWFTS-MW10A-BL01	440-181122-2	WG	NORM	03/31/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-186188-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-EB	440-186188-7	BW	EB	06/09/17	Stage 2A						X	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-FB	440-186188-6	BW	FB	06/09/17	Stage 2A						X	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW05-SO-28	440-186188-1	SO	NORM	06/09/17	Stage 4						Х	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW10-SO-39	440-186188-2	SO	NORM	05/26/17	Stage 4						Х	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW10-SO-39-FD	440-186188-3	SO	FD	05/26/17	Stage 4						Х	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW12-SO-31	440-186188-4	SO	NORM	06/08/17	Stage 4						Х	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW17-SO-33.5	440-186188-5	SO	NORM	05/31/17	Stage 4						X	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW01A-BL02	440-188133-3	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW01B-BL02	440-188133-13	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW02A-BL02	440-188133-14	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW02B-BL02	440-188133-2	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW03-BL02	440-188133-4	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW03-BL02-FD	440-188133-5	WG	FD	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW04-BL02	440-188133-11	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW04-BL02-FD	440-188133-12	WG	FD	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW05-BL02	440-188133-16	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW06A-BL02	440-188133-10	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW06B-BL02	440-188133-6	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW07-BL02	440-188133-7	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW13A-BL02	440-188133-1	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW13B-BL02	440-188133-15	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW16A-BL02	440-188133-9	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW16B-BL02	440-188133-8	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A							
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	440-188133-17	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	PC-91-BL02-FD	440-188244-4	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-IW09-BL02-FD	440-188244-3	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW13-BL02	440-188244-6	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02	440-188244-8	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	440-188244-9	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW17-BL02	440-188244-1	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW19-BL02	440-188244-2	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	X
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW20-BL02	440-188244-5	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	440-188247-5	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-188247-1	PC-92-BL02	440-188247-6	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW08-BL02	440-188247-7	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A							
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW09-BL02	440-188247-8	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х					ĺ	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW10-BL02	440-188247-9	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A						ĺ	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW11-BL02	440-188247-3	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW12-BL02	440-188247-4	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A							
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW14-BL02	440-188247-1	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW15-BL02	440-188247-2	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A							
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW20-BL02	440-188247-10	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188324-1	PC-58-BL02	440-188324-3	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					Х	X	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW12-BL02	440-188324-6	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					Х	X	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	440-188324-5	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	440-188324-1	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	440-188324-2	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	440-188324-4	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	PC-94-BL02	440-188325-1	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	440-188325-8	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					Х	X	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	440-188325-9	BW	EB	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	X	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	440-188325-10	BW	FB	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW17-BL02	440-188325-6	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х						
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW18-BL02	440-188325-4	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A							
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW19-BL02	440-188325-5	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A							
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	440-188325-3	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A	Х				Х	Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW16-BL02	440-188325-2	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					Х	X	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW23-BL02	440-188325-7	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					Х	X	Х
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	440-189933-1	WG	NORM	08/09/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-192627-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170920-EB	440-192627-13	BW	EB	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170920-FB	440-192627-14	BW	FB	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM01	440-192627-7	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM01	440-192627-8	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM01	440-192627-9	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM01-FD	440-192627-10	WG	FD	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM01	440-192627-11	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM01	440-192627-2	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM01	440-192627-12	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM01	440-192627-3	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM01-FD	440-192627-4	WG	FD	09/19/17	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM01	440-192627-1	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM01	440-192627-5	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM01-FD	440-192627-6	WG	FD	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM01	440-192728-12	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM01	440-192728-9	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM01	440-192728-13	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM01	440-192728-4	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM01	440-192728-5	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM01	440-192728-3	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM01	440-192728-1	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM01	440-192728-2	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM01	440-192728-8	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM01	440-192728-10	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM01	440-192728-11	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM01	440-192728-6	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM01	440-192728-7	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM01	440-192818-16	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A			1	Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170922-EB	440-192818-6	BW	EB	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170922-FB	440-192818-11	BW	FB	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM01	440-192818-2	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM01	440-192818-1	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM01	440-192818-15	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM01	440-192818-9	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM01	440-192818-14	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM01	440-192818-10	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM01	440-192818-13	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM01-FD	440-192818-12	WG	FD	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM01	440-192818-4	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM01	440-192818-8	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM01	440-192818-5	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM01	440-192818-7	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM01	440-192818-3	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM02	440-192973-1	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM02	440-192973-2	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM02	440-192973-4	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM02-FD	440-192973-5	WG	FD	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM02	440-192973-3	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM02	440-192973-10	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM02	440-192973-9	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM02	440-192973-7	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM02-FD	440-192973-8	WG	FD	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-192973-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM02	440-192973-6	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170927-EB	440-193062-7	BW	EB	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170927-FB	440-193062-6	BW	FB	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM02	440-193062-14	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM02	440-193062-2	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM02	440-193062-11	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM02	440-193062-3	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM02-FD	440-193062-4	WG	FD	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM02	440-193062-9	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM02	440-193062-10	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM02	440-193062-5	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM02	440-193062-15	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM02	440-193062-13	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM02	440-193062-1	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM02	440-193062-12	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM02	440-193062-16	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM02	440-193062-8	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170928-EB	440-193167-8	BW	EB	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM02	440-193167-1	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM02	440-193167-3	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM02	440-193167-4	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM02	440-193167-14	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM02	440-193167-15	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM02	440-193167-2	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM02	440-193167-13	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM02	440-193167-12	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM02	440-193167-11	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	440-193167-7	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM02	440-193167-9	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM02-FD	440-193167-10	WG	FD	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM02	440-193167-5	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193167-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM02	440-193167-6	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM03	440-193472-6	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM03	440-193472-7	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM03	440-193472-1	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM03	440-193472-8	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM03-FD	440-193472-9	WG	FD	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM03	440-193472-4	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM03	440-193472-5	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM03	440-193472-3	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM03	440-193472-2	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-EM03-20171004-EB	440-193622-7	BW	EB	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM03	440-193622-4	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM03	440-193622-5	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM03	440-193622-2	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM03	440-193622-1	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM03	440-193622-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM03	440-193622-8	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193622-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM03	440-193622-3	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193625-1	SWFTS-EM03-20171004-FB	440-193625-7	BW	FB	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193625-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM03-FD	440-193625-1	WG	FD	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM03	440-193625-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM03	440-193625-5	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW-15-EM03	440-193625-4	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM03	440-193625-2	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM03	440-193625-3	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM03	440-193712-10	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-20171005-EB	440-193712-8	BW	EB	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM03	440-193712-7	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM03	440-193712-16	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM03-FD	440-193712-15	WG	FD	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM03	440-193712-5	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM03	440-193712-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM03	440-193712-14	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM03	440-193712-11	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM03	440-193712-12	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A			ĺ	Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM03	440-193712-9	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A			ĺ	Х			
440-193712-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM03	440-193712-18	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A			i	Х			
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM03	440-193712-3	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM03	440-193712-1	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM03-FD	440-193712-2	WG	FD	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM03	440-193712-13	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM03	440-193712-4	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM03	440-193712-17	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	440-193989-6	BW	EB	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	X	Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB	440-193989-5	BW	FB	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM04	440-193989-4	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM04	440-193989-3	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM04	440-193989-2	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-193989-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM04	440-193989-1	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM04	440-194090-1	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM04	440-194090-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6A-EM04	440-194090-4	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6B-EM04	440-194090-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04	440-194090-2	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04-FD	440-194090-3	WG	FD	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM04	440-194090-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194090-1/2	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	440-194090-6	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM04	440-194094-4	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	440-194094-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM04	440-194094-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM04	440-194094-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-PC-58-EM04	440-194094-6	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	440-194094-2	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM04	440-194094-1	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM04	440-194094-3	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A	Х		1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	440-194202-4	BW	EB	10/12/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB	440-194202-3	BW	FB	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194202-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	440-194202-1	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194202-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	440-194202-2	WG	FD	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM04	440-194204-2	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM04	440-194204-8	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM04	440-194204-7	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM04	440-194204-1	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM04	440-194204-3	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04	440-194204-4	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04-FD	440-194204-5	WG	FD	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM04	440-194242-3	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM04	440-194242-4	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM04	440-194242-9	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM04	440-194242-2	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM04	440-194242-1	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04	440-194242-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD	440-194242-6	WG	FD	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM04	440-194242-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM04	440-194242-10	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM04	440-194242-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	X	Х
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM05	440-194846-4	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM05	440-194846-5	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM05	440-194846-7	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM05	440-194846-6	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM05	440-194846-1	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM05-FD	440-194846-2	WG	FD	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM05	440-194846-3	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM05	440-194947-6	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM05-FD	440-194947-7	WG	FD	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM05	440-194947-4	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM05	440-194947-3	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM05	440-194947-8	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM05	440-194947-9	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM05	440-194947-10	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM05	440-194947-11	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х		ĺ	
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM05	440-194947-2	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM05	440-194947-1	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM05-FD	440-194947-5	WG	FD	10/24/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM05	440-195026-8	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM05	440-195026-2	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM05	440-195026-1	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A			1	Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM05	440-195026-5	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A			1	Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM05	440-195026-9	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM05	440-195026-4	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM05	440-195026-3	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM05	440-195026-6	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM05-FD	440-195026-7	WG	FD	10/25/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM05	440-195136-4	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171025-EB	440-195136-8	BW	EB	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171025-FB	440-195136-6	BW	FB	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171026-EB	440-195136-7	BW	EB	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171026-FB	440-195136-9	BW	FB	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM05	440-195136-5	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM05	440-195136-10	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM05	440-195136-11	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM05	440-195136-3	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM05	440-195136-2	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195136-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM05	440-195136-1	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM05	440-195218-2	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM05	440-195218-4	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM05	440-195218-3	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM05	440-195218-5	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM05	440-195218-6	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	440-196558-6	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM06	440-196558-3	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM06	440-196558-1	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM06	440-196558-2	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW12-EM06	440-196558-5	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM06	440-196558-4	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	440-196558-7	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	440-196558-8	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	440-196558-9	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	440-196558-10	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B	440-196558-11	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	440-196558-12	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	440-196558-13	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	440-196558-14	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B	440-196558-15	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	440-196558-16	WG	FD	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	440-196558-17	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW18-EM06B	440-196558-18	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	440-196558-19	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM06	440-196659-7	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM06	440-196659-8	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM06	440-196659-4	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM06	440-196659-1	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM06	440-196659-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM06-FD	440-196659-6	WG	FD	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196659-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM06	440-196659-2	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196659-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM06	440-196665-3	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM06	440-196665-1	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	440-196665-2	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196665-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM06	440-196665-4	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196665-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM06	440-196665-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196690-1	PC-88-EM06	440-196690-9	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196690-1	PC-88-EM06-FD	440-196690-10	WG	FD	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB	440-196690-2	BW	EB	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB	440-196690-1	BW	FB	11/14/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171115-EB	440-196690-4	BW	EB	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171115-FB	440-196690-3	BW	FB	11/15/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06	440-196690-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06	440-196690-6	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06	440-196690-7	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06	440-196690-8	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM06	440-196690-11	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171116-EB	440-196786-1	BW	EB	11/16/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171116-FB	440-196786-2	BW	FB	11/16/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM06	440-196786-16	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM06	440-196786-19	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM06	440-196786-20	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM06	440-196786-14	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM06	440-196786-15	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM06	440-196786-3	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM06	440-196786-18	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-58-EM06	440-196786-11	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM06	440-196786-13	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1/2	PC-94-EM06	440-196786-17	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06	440-196786-5	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06-FD	440-196786-6	WG	FD	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM06	440-196786-4	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW-19-EM06	440-196786-12	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM06	440-196786-7	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			X			
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM06	440-196786-8	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	X			Х			
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM06	440-196786-9	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM06-FD	440-196786-10	WG	FD	11/16/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171211-EB	440-198276-15	BW	EB	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171211-FB	440-198276-16	BW	FB	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW03-EM07	440-198276-1	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW04-EM07	440-198276-2	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW05-EM07	440-198276-3	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW07-EM07	440-198276-4	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW08-EM07	440-198276-5	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW09-EM07	440-198276-6	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW10-EM07	440-198276-8	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW11-EM07	440-198276-7	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW12-EM07	440-198276-9	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07	440-198276-10	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	440-198276-11	WG	FD	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	440-198276-12	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	440-198276-13	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW19-EM07	440-198276-14	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW10C-EM07	440-198371-9	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM07	440-198371-2	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	PC-94-EM07	440-198371-14	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM07	440-198371-13	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM07	440-198371-6	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM07	440-198371-7	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07	440-198371-10	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD	440-198371-11	WG	FD	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM07	440-198371-12	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM07	440-198371-4	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM07	440-198371-8	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM07	440-198371-3	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM07	440-198371-5	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	440-198371-1	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1	PC-91-EM07	440-198508-9	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-198508-1	PC-97-EM07-FD	440-198508-12	WG	FD	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB	440-198508-14	BW	EB	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-FB	440-198508-15	BW	FB	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM07	440-198508-1	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM07	440-198508-2	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM07	440-198508-6	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM07-FD	440-198508-7	WG	FD	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1/2	PC-97-EM07	440-198508-11	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM07	440-198508-8	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM07	440-198508-3	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM07	440-198508-4	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM07	440-198508-13	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM07	440-198508-5	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	X	Х	Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198571-1	COH-2B1-EM07	440-198571-5	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	PC-58-EM07	440-198571-9	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	PC-88-EM07	440-198571-11	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	PC-92-EM07-FD	440-198571-8	WG	FD	12/14/17	Stage 2A	ĺ			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171214-EB	440-198571-6	BW	EB	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-EW07-20171214-FB	440-198571-16	BW	FB	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM07	440-198571-12	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM07	440-198571-3	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM07	440-198571-4	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM07	440-198571-1	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW08C-EM07	440-198571-2	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM07	440-198571-13	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM07	440-198571-15	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM07	440-198571-14	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM07	440-198571-17	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-198571-1/2	PC-92-EM07	440-198571-7	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-198571-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM07	440-198571-10	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203775-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM08	440-203775-3	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203775-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM08	440-203775-2	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM08	440-203775-1	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM08	440-203775-4	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM08	440-203775-5	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1	PC-92-EM08-FD	440-203841-3	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM08-FD	440-203841-10	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	PC-91-EM08	440-203841-1	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	PC-92-EM08	440-203841-2	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM08	440-203841-12	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM08	440-203841-7	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM08	440-203841-8	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM08	440-203841-14	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM08	440-203841-13	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	440-203841-4	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	440-203841-5	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	440-203841-11	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM08	440-203841-6	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM08	440-203841-9	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	PC-58-EM08	440-203937-13	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	PC-97-EM08	440-203937-4	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-FB	440-203937-9	BW	FB	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM08	440-203937-2	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08	440-203937-10	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08-FD	440-203937-11	WG	FD	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM08	440-203937-1	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM08	440-203937-14	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1/2	PC-94-EM08	440-203937-12	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	440-203937-3	BW	EB	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	440-203937-5	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	440-203937-6	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM08	440-203937-8	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM08	440-203937-7	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-204033-1	C0H-2B1-EM08	440-204033-6	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	PC-88-EM08	440-204033-10	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-EB	440-204033-3	BW	EB	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB	440-204033-9	BW	FB	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM08	440-204033-1	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM08	440-204033-5	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM08	440-204033-8	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM08	440-204033-2	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM08	440-204033-7	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM08	440-204033-11	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-204033-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM08	440-204033-4	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1	PC-92-EM09-FD	440-207137-3	WG	FD	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	440-207137-8	WG	FD	03/26/18	Stage 2A				X	Х	Х	Х
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	440-207137-4	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM09	440-207137-10	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1/2	PC-91-EM09	440-207137-1	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				X			
440-207137-1/2	PC-92-EM09	440-207137-2	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM09	440-207137-5	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM09	440-207137-6	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	440-207137-7	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1	PC-97-EM09	440-207268-4	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	440-207268-2	BW	EB	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-FB	440-207268-16	BW	FB	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM09	440-207268-5	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM09-FD	440-207268-12	WG	FD	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	PC-94-EM09	440-207268-8	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM09	440-207268-10	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM09	440-207268-1	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM09	440-207268-15	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM09	440-207268-7	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM09	440-207268-6	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM09	440-207268-13	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM09	440-207268-11	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM09	440-207268-3	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM09	440-207268-9	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM09	440-207268-14	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	PC-58-EM09-EM09	440-207497-6	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-EB	440-207497-15	BW	EB	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	440-207497-14	BW	FB	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM09	440-207497-3	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM09	440-207497-2	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM09	440-207497-4	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM09	440-207497-10	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM09	440-207497-7	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM09-FD	440-207497-8	WG	FD	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM09	440-207497-5	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM09	440-207497-11	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM09	440-207497-1	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM09	440-207497-9	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	440-207497-12	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207497-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM09	440-207497-13	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-207586-1	COH-2B1-EM09	440-207586-2	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207586-1	PC-88-EM09	440-207586-3	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-207586-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM09	440-207586-1	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM10	440-210173-1	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM10	440-210173-3	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM10	440-210173-8	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM10	440-210173-2	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM10	440-210173-11	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM10	440-210173-9	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM10	440-210173-4	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM10-FD	440-210173-5	WG	FD	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM10	440-210173-10	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM10	440-210173-7	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW22-EM10	440-210173-6	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB	440-210284-15	BW	EB	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	440-210284-2	BW	FB	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM10	440-210284-5	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10	440-210284-9	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10-FD	440-210284-10	WG	FD	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM10	440-210284-8	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10	440-210284-11	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1/2	PC-91-EM10	440-210284-3	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1/2	PC-92-EM10	440-210284-4	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1/2	PC-94-EM10	440-210284-14	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210284-1/2	PC-97-EM10	440-210284-7	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM10	440-210284-13	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	440-210284-1	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM10	440-210284-6	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210367-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD	440-210367-1	WG	FD	05/01/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	COH-2B1-EM10	440-210430-11	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	PC58-EM10	440-210430-10	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	PC88-EM10	440-210430-8	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-210430-1	PC88-EM10-FD	440-210430-9	WG	FD	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180502-EB	440-210430-12	BW	EB	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180502-FB	440-210430-4	BW	FB	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM10	440-210430-2	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM10	440-210430-1	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM10	440-210430-5	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	440-210430-6	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM10	440-210430-3	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	440-210430-13	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM10	440-210430-7	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM10	440-210534-5	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM10	440-210534-4	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM10	440-210534-1	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	440-210534-3	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	440-210534-2	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	COH-2B1-EM11	440-215437-6	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	PC-94-EM11	440-215437-1	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	X
440-215437-1	PC-97-EM11	440-215437-3	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM11	440-215437-4	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	440-215437-11	WG	FD	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM11	440-215437-9	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	440-215437-7	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM11	440-215437-12	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM11	440-215437-2	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM11	440-215437-8	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM11	440-215437-10	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180710-EB	440-215585-1	BW	EB	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180711-FB	440-215585-11	BW	FB	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11	440-215585-2	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11-FD	440-215585-3	WG	FD	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM11	440-215585-4	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM11	440-215585-7	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A			1	Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	440-215585-8	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM11	440-215585-13	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1/2	PC-91-EM11	440-215585-6	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1/2	PC-92-EM11	440-215585-5	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	440-215585-14	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	440-215585-10	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	440-215585-9	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM11	440-215585-12	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	PC-58-EM11	440-215717-7	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11	440-215717-11	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11-FD	440-215717-12	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-20180712-EB	440-215717-6	BW	EB	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-20180712-FB	440-215717-4	BW	FB	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM11	440-215717-14	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11	440-215717-17	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11-FD	440-215717-18	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM11	440-215717-16	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM11	440-215717-15	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM11	440-215717-13	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	440-215717-10	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	440-215717-9	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM11	440-215717-1	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM11	440-215717-2	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM11	440-215717-8	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	440-215717-3	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM11	440-215717-5	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW101A-EM11	440-215795-1	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM11	440-215795-2	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW107A-EM11	440-215795-7	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM11	440-215795-5	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM11-FD	440-215795-6	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Χ			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM11	440-215795-3	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Χ			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM11	440-215795-8	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW112A-EM11	440-215795-4	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM12	440-216784-1	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM12	440-216784-2	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM12	440-216784-3	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM12	440-216784-4	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM12	440-216784-5	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM12	440-216784-6	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM12	440-216784-7	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM12-FD	440-216784-8	WG	FD	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM12	440-216784-9	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-20180727-EB	440-216872-1	BW	EB	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-20180727-FB	440-216872-2	BW	FB	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM12	440-216872-13	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM12-FD	440-216872-14	WG	FD	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM12	440-216872-11	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM12	440-216872-10	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM12	440-216872-8	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM12	440-216872-7	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM12	440-216872-9	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM12	440-216872-3	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW22-EM12	440-216872-4	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM12	440-216872-5	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM12	440-216872-6	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM12	440-216872-16	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM12	440-216872-15	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-94-EM12	440-216872-12	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A							
440-218109-1	SWFTS-20180814-FB	440-218109-7	BW	FB	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM13	440-218109-4	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM13-FD	440-218109-5	WG	FD	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM13	440-218109-6	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1/2	PC-91-EM-13	440-218109-1	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM13	440-218109-2	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM13	440-218109-3	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM13	440-218109-9	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM13	440-218109-8	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM13	440-218109-10	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	440-218109-11	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-218208-1	PC-58-EM13	440-218208-5	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM13	440-218208-8	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM13	440-218208-6	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM13	440-218208-7	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM13	440-218208-9	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	PC-92-EM13	440-218208-1	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	PC-94-EM13	440-218208-2	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM13	440-218208-3	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM13	440-218208-4	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Χ	Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM13	440-218208-12	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM13	440-218208-14	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM13	440-218208-15	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM13	440-218208-16	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM13-FD	440-218208-17	WG	FD	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM13	440-218208-18	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM13	440-218208-11	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM13	440-218208-10	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218296-1	COH-2B1-EM13	440-218296-11	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	PC-88-EM13	440-218296-9	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	PC-88-EM13-FD	440-218296-10	WG	FD	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	PC-97-EM13	440-218296-8	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-EB	440-218296-7	BW	EB	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-EB(2)	440-218296-18	BW	EB	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-FB	440-218296-5	BW	FB	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM13	440-218296-6	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM13	440-218296-1	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM13	440-218296-2	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM13	440-218296-3	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM13	440-218296-4	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM13	440-218296-12	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM13-FD	440-218296-13	WG	FD	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM13	440-218296-14	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM13	440-218296-15	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM13	440-218296-19	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM13	440-218296-16	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM13	440-218296-17	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-EB	440-219797-5	BW	EB	09/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-FB	440-219797-3	BW	FB	09/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM14	440-219797-1	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM14	440-219797-2	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM14	440-219797-4	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM14	440-219797-6	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219886-1	COH-2B1-EM14	440-219886-10	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14	440-219886-15	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14-FD	440-219886-16	WG	FD	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM14	440-219886-14	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	PC-94-EM14	440-219886-9	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM14	440-219886-11	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM14	440-219886-7	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM14	440-219886-8	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM14	440-219886-12	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM14	440-219886-13	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM14	440-219886-17	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM14	440-219886-2	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM14	440-219886-1	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM14	440-219886-6	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM14	440-219886-3	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM14-FD	440-219886-4	WG	FD	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW22-EM14	440-219886-5	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	PC-88-EM14	440-220031-15	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	PC-88-EM14-FD	440-220031-16	WG	FD	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	PC-91-EM14	440-220031-12	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	PC-92-EM14	440-220031-13	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	PC-97-EM14	440-220031-17	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM14	440-220031-14	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM14	440-220031-6	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM14	440-220031-7	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM14	440-220031-10	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM14	440-220031-8	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM14-FD	440-220031-9	WG	FD	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM14	440-220031-11	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM14	440-220031-5	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM14	440-220031-1	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM14	440-220031-4	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM14	440-220031-3	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	440-220031-2	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW104-EM14	440-220125-8	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	440-220125-4	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW109-EM14	440-220125-1	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW111A-EM14	440-220125-2	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-220125-1	PC-58-EM14	440-220125-5	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х			

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SM2540C	SM2540C- soluble	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B Dissolved	SW-6010B Total	SW-6020A Dissolved
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	440-220125-7	BW	EB	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	440-220125-3	BW	FB	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-220125-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM14	440-220125-6	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-221855-1	SWFTS-20181009-EB	440-221855-6	BW	EB	10/09/18	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	440-221855-5	WG	FD	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM15	440-221855-2	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM15	440-221855-12	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	440-221855-13	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM15	440-221855-14	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM15	440-221855-7	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM15	440-221855-8	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	440-221855-9	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM15	440-221855-10	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	X
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM15	440-221855-11	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM15	440-221855-4	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM15	440-221855-1	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM15	440-221855-3	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-20181010-FB	440-221975-3	BW	FB	10/10/18	Stage 2A	Х			Х	Х	Х	X
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	440-221975-8	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	X
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	440-221975-10	WG	FD	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	440-221975-9	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM15	440-221975-11	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM15	440-221975-12	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM15	440-221975-13	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM15	440-221975-5	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM15	440-221975-1	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM15	440-221975-6	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM15	440-221975-2	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW112A-EM15	440-221975-4	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х			
440-221975-1/2	PC-91-EM15	440-221975-16	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	440-221975-15	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	440-221975-14	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM15	440-221975-7	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	440-222092-1	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	PC-58-EM15	440-222092-19	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	440-222092-15	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15-FD	440-222092-16	WG	FD	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample	Validation	SM2540C	SM2540C-	SM4500-H+	SM5310B	SW-6010B	SW-6010B	SW-6020A
				71	Date	Stage		soluble			Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
440-222092-1	PC-92-EM15	440-222092-17	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Χ	X	X	X
440-222092-1	PC-94-EM15	440-222092-18	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Χ	X	X	Х
440-222092-1	PC-97-EM15	440-222092-13	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	X	Х	X
440-222092-1	SWFTS-20181011-EB	440-222092-2	BW	EB	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-20181011-FB	440-222092-8	BW	FB	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM15	440-222092-9	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	440-222092-10	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	X	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15-FD	440-222092-11	WG	FD	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	X
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM15	440-222092-12	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM15	440-222092-14	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	X
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM15	440-222092-4	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	X	Х	X
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM15	440-222092-7	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	X	Х	X
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM15	440-222092-3	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	X	Х	X
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM15	440-222092-5	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM15	440-222092-6	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A				Х	Х	Х	Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-26	440-177629-1	WG	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-35	440-177629-2	WG	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-177629-1/2	SWFTS-BH02-WG-35-FD	440-177629-3	WG	FD	02/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-177682-1	SWFTS-BH02-SO-34	440-177682-1	SO	NORM	02/21/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-177819-1	SWFTS-BH07-WG-25	440-177819-1	WG	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-177822-1	SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5	440-177822-1	SO	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2B					
440-177995-1/2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-22	440-177995-2	WG	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-177995-2	SWFTS-BH07-WG-35	440-177995-1	WG	NORM	02/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-177998-1	SWFTS-BH08-SO-14.5	440-177998-1	SO	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-178093-1/2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-36	440-178093-1	WG	NORM	02/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH08-WG-46	440-178093-2	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH10-WG-21	440-178093-4	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-178093-2	SWFTS-BH10-WG-36	440-178093-3	WG	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-178098-1	SWFTS-BH08-SO-52.5	440-178098-1	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B					
440-178098-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-12	440-178098-2	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH01-WG-22	440-178282-3	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH01-WG-36	440-178282-4	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH03-WG-26	440-178282-1	WG	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH03-WG-41	440-178282-2	WG	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH04-WG-21	440-178282-5	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH04-WG-36	440-178282-6	WG	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-178282-1	SWFTS-BH09-WG-13.5	440-178282-7	WG	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH01-SO-16	440-178303-4	SO	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2B					
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH03-SO-24	440-178303-3	SO	NORM	02/25/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH04-SO-14	440-178303-5	SO	NORM	02/26/17	Stage 2B					
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH09-SO-16	440-178303-6	SO	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH09-SO-33	440-178303-7	SO	NORM	02/27/17	Stage 2B					
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-51	440-178303-1	SO	NORM	02/24/17	Stage 2B					
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH10-SO-51-FD	440-178303-2	SO	FD	02/24/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-6	440-178410-5	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-6-FD	440-178410-6	SO	FD	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-7	440-178410-7	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-1	440-178410-3	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-15	440-178410-2	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-25	440-178410-1	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178410-1	SWFTS-MW04-SO-5	440-178410-4	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-21	440-178495-1	WG	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2A					

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-32	440-178495-2	WG	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2A					
440-178495-1	SWFTS-MW03-WG-32-FD	440-178495-3	WG	FD	03/01/17	Stage 2A					
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-14	440-178497-1	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW02-SO-25	440-178497-2	SO	NORM	02/28/17	Stage 2B					
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-14	440-178497-3	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B					
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-14-FD	440-178497-4	SO	FD	03/01/17	Stage 2B					
440-178497-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-46	440-178497-5	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B					
440-178689-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-57	440-178689-1	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B					
440-178689-1	SWFTS-MW03-SO-58	440-178689-2	SO	NORM	03/01/17	Stage 2B					
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-17	440-179122-1	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-21	440-179122-2	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-30	440-179122-3	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-40.5	440-179122-4	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-12	440-179273-1	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-29.5	440-179273-2	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-36.5	440-179273-3	SO	NORM	03/07/17	Stage 2B					
440-179384-1	SWFTS-MW08C-WG-50	440-179384-1	WG	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 2A					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28	440-179386-1	SO	NORM	03/08/17	Stage 4			Х	Х	
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28-FD	440-179386-2	SO	FD	03/08/17	Stage 4			Х	Х	
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-43	440-179386-6	SO	NORM	03/08/17	Stage 4					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-49	440-179386-3	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51	440-179386-4	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4			Х	Х	
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-55	440-179386-5	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-60	440-179386-7	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-65	440-179386-8	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4					
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-69	440-179386-9	SO	NORM	03/09/17	Stage 4					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW05B-SO-26.5	440-179551-1	SO	NORM	03/10/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW05B-SO-36.5	440-179551-2	SO	NORM	03/10/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-15	440-179551-5	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28	440-179551-6	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28-FD	440-179551-7	SO	FD	03/11/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45	440-179551-8	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45-FD	440-179551-9	so	FD	03/11/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-5	440-179551-3	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-53	440-179551-10	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-8	440-179551-4	SO	NORM	03/11/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-14	440-179551-11	SO	NORM	03/12/17	Stage 2B					

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-31.5	440-179551-12	SO	NORM	03/12/17	Stage 2B					
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-51.5	440-179551-13	SO	NORM	03/13/17	Stage 2B				Х	
440-179672-1	SWFTS-WG1-EB	440-179672-2	BW	EB	03/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-179672-1	SWFTS-WG1-FB	440-179672-1	BW	FB	03/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19	440-179673-1	SO	NORM	03/14/17	Stage 2B			Х	Х	
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39	440-179673-2	SO	NORM	03/14/17	Stage 2B					
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD	440-179673-3	SO	FD	03/14/17	Stage 2B					
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-EB	440-179673-4	BW	EB	03/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-FB	440-179673-5	BW	FB	03/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-20.5	440-179802-1	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31	440-179802-2	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-FD	440-179802-3	SO	FD	03/15/17	Stage 2B					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-36	440-179802-4	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH06-SO-14	440-179802-7	SO	NORM	03/15/17	Stage 2B					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-EB	440-179802-5	BW	EB	03/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-FB	440-179802-6	BW	FB	03/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	440-180820-6	BW	EB	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-FB	440-180820-5	BW	FB	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	
440-180820-1/2	SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	440-180820-3	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180820-1/2	SWFTS-MW10C-BL01	440-180820-4	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180820-1/3	PC-58-BL01	440-180820-1	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	
440-180820-1/3	PC-94-BL01	440-180820-2	WG	NORM	03/28/17	Stage 2A	Х			Х	
440-180937-1	PC-91-BL01	440-180937-1	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	440-180937-2	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW01-BL01	440-180937-6	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW02-BL01	440-180937-7	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09A-BL01	440-180937-3	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	440-180937-4	WG	NORM	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01-FD	440-180937-5	WG	FD	03/29/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	440-181045-5	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	440-181045-6	WG	FD	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	440-181045-7	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05B-BL01	440-181045-8	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	440-181045-1	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	440-181045-2	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW07A-BL01	440-181045-3	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW07B-BL01	440-181045-4	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	440-181045-9	WG	NORM	03/30/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181122-1	SWFTS-MW04-BL01	440-181122-1	WG	NORM	03/31/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-181122-1	SWFTS-MW10A-BL01	440-181122-2	WG	NORM	03/31/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-186188-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-EB	440-186188-7	BW	EB	06/09/17	Stage 2A					
440-186188-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-FB	440-186188-6	BW	FB	06/09/17	Stage 2A					
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW05-SO-28	440-186188-1	SO	NORM	06/09/17	Stage 4					
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW10-SO-39	440-186188-2	SO	NORM	05/26/17	Stage 4					
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW10-SO-39-FD	440-186188-3	SO	FD	05/26/17	Stage 4					
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW12-SO-31	440-186188-4	SO	NORM	06/08/17	Stage 4					
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW17-SO-33.5	440-186188-5	SO	NORM	05/31/17	Stage 4					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW01A-BL02	440-188133-3	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW01B-BL02	440-188133-13	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW02A-BL02	440-188133-14	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW02B-BL02	440-188133-2	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW03-BL02	440-188133-4	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW03-BL02-FD	440-188133-5	WG	FD	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW04-BL02	440-188133-11	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW04-BL02-FD	440-188133-12	WG	FD	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW05-BL02	440-188133-16	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW06A-BL02	440-188133-10	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW06B-BL02	440-188133-6	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW07-BL02	440-188133-7	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW13A-BL02	440-188133-1	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW13B-BL02	440-188133-15	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW16A-BL02	440-188133-9	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW16B-BL02	440-188133-8	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	440-188133-17	WG	NORM	07/11/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188244-1	PC-91-BL02-FD	440-188244-4	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	
440-188244-1	SWFTS-IW09-BL02-FD	440-188244-3	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW13-BL02	440-188244-6	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02	440-188244-8	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	440-188244-9	WG	FD	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW17-BL02	440-188244-1	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW19-BL02	440-188244-2	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW20-BL02	440-188244-5	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	440-188247-5	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-188247-1	PC-92-BL02	440-188247-6	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW08-BL02	440-188247-7	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW09-BL02	440-188247-8	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW10-BL02	440-188247-9	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW11-BL02	440-188247-3	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW12-BL02	440-188247-4	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW14-BL02	440-188247-1	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW15-BL02	440-188247-2	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW20-BL02	440-188247-10	WG	NORM	07/12/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188324-1	PC-58-BL02	440-188324-3	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW12-BL02	440-188324-6	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	440-188324-5	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	440-188324-1	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	440-188324-2	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	440-188324-4	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	Х
440-188325-1	PC-94-BL02	440-188325-1	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	440-188325-8	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	440-188325-9	BW	EB	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	440-188325-10	BW	FB	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW17-BL02	440-188325-6	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW18-BL02	440-188325-4	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-188325-1	SWFTS-IW19-BL02	440-188325-5	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	440-188325-3	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A		Х		Х	Х
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW16-BL02	440-188325-2	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW23-BL02	440-188325-7	WG	NORM	07/13/17	Stage 2A				Х	
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	440-189933-1	WG	NORM	08/09/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170920-EB	440-192627-13	BW	EB	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170920-FB	440-192627-14	BW	FB	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM01	440-192627-7	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM01	440-192627-8	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM01	440-192627-9	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM01-FD	440-192627-10	WG	FD	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM01	440-192627-11	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM01	440-192627-2	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM01	440-192627-12	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM01	440-192627-3	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM01-FD	440-192627-4	WG	FD	09/19/17	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM01	440-192627-1	WG	NORM	09/19/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM01	440-192627-5	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192627-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM01-FD	440-192627-6	WG	FD	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM01	440-192728-12	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM01	440-192728-9	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM01	440-192728-13	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM01	440-192728-4	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM01	440-192728-5	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM01	440-192728-3	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM01	440-192728-1	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM01	440-192728-2	WG	NORM	09/20/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM01	440-192728-8	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM01	440-192728-10	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM01	440-192728-11	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM01	440-192728-6	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192728-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM01	440-192728-7	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM01	440-192818-16	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170922-EB	440-192818-6	BW	EB	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-EM01-20170922-FB	440-192818-11	BW	FB	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM01	440-192818-2	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM01	440-192818-1	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM01	440-192818-15	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM01	440-192818-9	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM01	440-192818-14	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM01	440-192818-10	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM01	440-192818-13	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM01-FD	440-192818-12	WG	FD	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM01	440-192818-4	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM01	440-192818-8	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM01	440-192818-5	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM01	440-192818-7	WG	NORM	09/22/17	Stage 2A					
440-192818-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM01	440-192818-3	WG	NORM	09/21/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM02	440-192973-1	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM02	440-192973-2	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM02	440-192973-4	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM02-FD	440-192973-5	WG	FD	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM02	440-192973-3	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM02	440-192973-10	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM02	440-192973-9	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM02	440-192973-7	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM02-FD	440-192973-8	WG	FD	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-192973-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM02	440-192973-6	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170927-EB	440-193062-7	BW	EB	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170927-FB	440-193062-6	BW	FB	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM02	440-193062-14	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM02	440-193062-2	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM02	440-193062-11	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM02	440-193062-3	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM02-FD	440-193062-4	WG	FD	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM02	440-193062-9	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM02	440-193062-10	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM02	440-193062-5	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM02	440-193062-15	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM02	440-193062-13	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM02	440-193062-1	WG	NORM	09/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM02	440-193062-12	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM02	440-193062-16	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193062-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM02	440-193062-8	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-EM02-20170928-EB	440-193167-8	BW	EB	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM02	440-193167-1	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM02	440-193167-3	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM02	440-193167-4	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM02	440-193167-14	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM02	440-193167-15	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM02	440-193167-2	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM02	440-193167-13	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM02	440-193167-12	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM02	440-193167-11	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	440-193167-7	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM02	440-193167-9	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM02-FD	440-193167-10	WG	FD	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM02	440-193167-5	WG	NORM	09/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-193167-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM02	440-193167-6	WG	NORM	09/28/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM03	440-193472-6	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM03	440-193472-7	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM03	440-193472-1	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM03	440-193472-8	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM03-FD	440-193472-9	WG	FD	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM03	440-193472-4	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM03	440-193472-5	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM03	440-193472-3	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193472-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM03	440-193472-2	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-EM03-20171004-EB	440-193622-7	BW	EB	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM03	440-193622-4	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM03	440-193622-5	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM03	440-193622-2	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM03	440-193622-1	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM03	440-193622-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM03	440-193622-8	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193622-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM03	440-193622-3	WG	NORM	10/03/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1	SWFTS-EM03-20171004-FB	440-193625-7	BW	FB	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM03-FD	440-193625-1	WG	FD	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM03	440-193625-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM03	440-193625-5	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-MW-15-EM03	440-193625-4	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM03	440-193625-2	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193625-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM03	440-193625-3	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM03	440-193712-10	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-20171005-EB	440-193712-8	BW	EB	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM03	440-193712-7	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM03	440-193712-16	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM03-FD	440-193712-15	WG	FD	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM03	440-193712-5	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM03	440-193712-6	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM03	440-193712-14	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM03	440-193712-11	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM03	440-193712-12	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM03	440-193712-9	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM03	440-193712-18	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM03	440-193712-3	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM03	440-193712-1	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM03-FD	440-193712-2	WG	FD	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM03	440-193712-13	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM03	440-193712-4	WG	NORM	10/04/17	Stage 2A					
440-193712-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM03	440-193712-17	WG	NORM	10/05/17	Stage 2A					
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	440-193989-6	BW	EB	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB	440-193989-5	BW	FB	10/10/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM04	440-193989-4	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM04	440-193989-3	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-193989-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM04	440-193989-2	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					
440-193989-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM04	440-193989-1	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM04	440-194090-1	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM04	440-194090-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6A-EM04	440-194090-4	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6B-EM04	440-194090-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04	440-194090-2	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04-FD	440-194090-3	WG	FD	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194090-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM04	440-194090-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194090-1/2	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	440-194090-6	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM04	440-194094-4	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	440-194094-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM04	440-194094-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM04	440-194094-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194094-1	SWFTS-PC-58-EM04	440-194094-6	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	440-194094-2	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM04	440-194094-1	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-194094-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM04	440-194094-3	WG	NORM	10/10/17	Stage 2A					
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	440-194202-4	BW	EB	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB	440-194202-3	BW	FB	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194202-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	440-194202-1	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194202-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	440-194202-2	WG	FD	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194204-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM04	440-194204-2	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM04	440-194204-8	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM04	440-194204-7	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A		Х			Х
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM04	440-194204-1	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM04	440-194204-3	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04	440-194204-4	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A					Х

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-194204-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04-FD	440-194204-5	WG	FD	10/12/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM04	440-194242-3	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM04	440-194242-4	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM04	440-194242-9	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM04	440-194242-2	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM04	440-194242-1	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04	440-194242-5	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD	440-194242-6	WG	FD	10/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM04	440-194242-7	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM04	440-194242-10	WG	NORM	10/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-194242-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM04	440-194242-8	WG	NORM	10/11/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM05	440-194846-4	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM05	440-194846-5	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM05	440-194846-7	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM05	440-194846-6	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM05	440-194846-1	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A	1				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM05-FD	440-194846-2	WG	FD	10/23/17	Stage 2A	İ				
440-194846-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM05	440-194846-3	WG	NORM	10/23/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM05	440-194947-6	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM05-FD	440-194947-7	WG	FD	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM05	440-194947-4	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM05	440-194947-3	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM05	440-194947-8	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM05	440-194947-9	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A	İ				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM05	440-194947-10	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A	İ				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM05	440-194947-11	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A	İ				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM05	440-194947-2	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A	İ				
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM05	440-194947-1	WG	NORM	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-194947-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM05-FD	440-194947-5	WG	FD	10/24/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM05	440-195026-8	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM05	440-195026-2	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM05	440-195026-1	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM05	440-195026-5	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM05	440-195026-9	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM05	440-195026-4	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM05	440-195026-3	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM05	440-195026-6	WG	NORM	10/25/17	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM05-FD	440-195026-7	WG	FD	10/25/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM05	440-195136-4	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171025-EB	440-195136-8	BW	EB	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171025-FB	440-195136-6	BW	FB	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171026-EB	440-195136-7	BW	EB	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-EM05-20171026-FB	440-195136-9	BW	FB	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM05	440-195136-5	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM05	440-195136-10	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM05	440-195136-11	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM05	440-195136-3	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM05	440-195136-2	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195136-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM05	440-195136-1	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM05	440-195218-2	WG	NORM	10/26/17	Stage 2A					
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM05	440-195218-4	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM05	440-195218-3	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM05	440-195218-5	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM05	440-195218-6	WG	NORM	10/27/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	440-196558-6	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM06	440-196558-3	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM06	440-196558-1	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM06	440-196558-2	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW12-EM06	440-196558-5	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM06	440-196558-4	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	440-196558-7	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	440-196558-8	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	440-196558-9	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	440-196558-10	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B	440-196558-11	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	440-196558-12	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	440-196558-13	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	440-196558-14	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B	440-196558-15	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	440-196558-16	WG	FD	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	440-196558-17	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW18-EM06B	440-196558-18	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	440-196558-19	WG	NORM	11/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM06	440-196659-7	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					

Table 2 Sample Cross-Reference

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM06	440-196659-8	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM06	440-196659-4	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM06	440-196659-1	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM06	440-196659-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM06-FD	440-196659-6	WG	FD	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1/2	SWFTS-MW04-EM06	440-196659-2	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196659-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM06	440-196665-3	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM06	440-196665-1	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	440-196665-2	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196665-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM06	440-196665-4	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196665-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM06	440-196665-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1	PC-88-EM06	440-196690-9	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1	PC-88-EM06-FD	440-196690-10	WG	FD	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB	440-196690-2	BW	EB	11/14/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB	440-196690-1	BW	FB	11/14/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171115-EB	440-196690-4	BW	EB	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171115-FB	440-196690-3	BW	FB	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06	440-196690-5	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06	440-196690-6	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06	440-196690-7	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06	440-196690-8	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196690-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM06	440-196690-11	WG	NORM	11/15/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171116-EB	440-196786-1	BW	EB	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171116-FB	440-196786-2	BW	FB	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM06	440-196786-16	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM06	440-196786-19	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM06	440-196786-20	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM06	440-196786-14	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM06	440-196786-15	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM06	440-196786-3	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM06	440-196786-18	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-58-EM06	440-196786-11	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM06	440-196786-13	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1/2	PC-94-EM06	440-196786-17	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06	440-196786-5	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06-FD	440-196786-6	WG	FD	11/16/17	Stage 2A					Х

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM06	440-196786-4	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW-19-EM06	440-196786-12	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM06	440-196786-7	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM06	440-196786-8	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM06	440-196786-9	WG	NORM	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-196786-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM06-FD	440-196786-10	WG	FD	11/16/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171211-EB	440-198276-15	BW	EB	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171211-FB	440-198276-16	BW	FB	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW03-EM07	440-198276-1	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW04-EM07	440-198276-2	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW05-EM07	440-198276-3	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW07-EM07	440-198276-4	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW08-EM07	440-198276-5	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW09-EM07	440-198276-6	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW10-EM07	440-198276-8	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW11-EM07	440-198276-7	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW12-EM07	440-198276-9	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07	440-198276-10	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	440-198276-11	WG	FD	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	440-198276-12	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	440-198276-13	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW19-EM07	440-198276-14	WG	NORM	12/11/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW10C-EM07	440-198371-9	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM07	440-198371-2	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	PC-94-EM07	440-198371-14	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM07	440-198371-13	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM07	440-198371-6	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM07	440-198371-7	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07	440-198371-10	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD	440-198371-11	WG	FD	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM07	440-198371-12	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM07	440-198371-4	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM07	440-198371-8	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM07	440-198371-3	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM07	440-198371-5	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198371-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	440-198371-1	WG	NORM	12/12/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1	PC-91-EM07	440-198508-9	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-198508-1	PC-97-EM07-FD	440-198508-12	WG	FD	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB	440-198508-14	BW	EB	12/13/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-FB	440-198508-15	BW	FB	12/13/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM07	440-198508-1	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM07	440-198508-2	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM07	440-198508-6	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM07-FD	440-198508-7	WG	FD	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1/2	PC-97-EM07	440-198508-11	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM07	440-198508-8	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM07	440-198508-3	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM07	440-198508-4	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM07	440-198508-13	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM07	440-198508-5	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-198508-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	WG	NORM	12/13/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-198571-1	COH-2B1-EM07	440-198571-5	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	PC-58-EM07	440-198571-9	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	PC-88-EM07	440-198571-11	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	PC-92-EM07-FD	440-198571-8	WG	FD	12/14/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-198571-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171214-EB	440-198571-6	BW	EB	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-EW07-20171214-FB	440-198571-16	BW	FB	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM07	440-198571-12	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM07	440-198571-3	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM07	440-198571-4	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM07	440-198571-1	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW08C-EM07	440-198571-2	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM07	440-198571-13	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM07	440-198571-15	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM07	440-198571-14	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM07	440-198571-17	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-198571-1/2	PC-92-EM07	440-198571-7	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					Х
440-198571-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM07	440-198571-10	WG	NORM	12/14/17	Stage 2A					
440-203775-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM08	440-203775-3	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A					
440-203775-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM08	440-203775-2	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A					
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM08	440-203775-1	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A					
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM08	440-203775-4	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A					
440-203775-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM08	440-203775-5	WG	NORM	02/19/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1	PC-92-EM08-FD	440-203841-3	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM08-FD	440-203841-10	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	PC-91-EM08	440-203841-1	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	PC-92-EM08	440-203841-2	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM08	440-203841-12	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM08	440-203841-7	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM08	440-203841-8	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM08	440-203841-14	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM08	440-203841-13	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	440-203841-4	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	440-203841-5	WG	FD	02/20/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	440-203841-11	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM08	440-203841-6	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203841-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM08	440-203841-9	WG	NORM	02/20/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	PC-58-EM08	440-203937-13	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	PC-97-EM08	440-203937-4	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-FB	440-203937-9	BW	FB	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM08	440-203937-2	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08	440-203937-10	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08-FD	440-203937-11	WG	FD	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM08	440-203937-1	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM08	440-203937-14	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1/2	PC-94-EM08	440-203937-12	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	440-203937-3	BW	EB	02/21/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	440-203937-5	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	440-203937-6	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM08	440-203937-8	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-203937-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM08	440-203937-7	WG	NORM	02/21/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	C0H-2B1-EM08	440-204033-6	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	PC-88-EM08	440-204033-10	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-EB	440-204033-3	BW	EB	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB	440-204033-9	BW	FB	02/22/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM08	440-204033-1	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM08	440-204033-5	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM08	440-204033-8	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM08	440-204033-2	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM08	440-204033-7	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM08	440-204033-11	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-204033-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM08	440-204033-4	WG	NORM	02/22/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1	PC-92-EM09-FD	440-207137-3	WG	FD	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	440-207137-8	WG	FD	03/26/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	440-207137-4	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM09	440-207137-10	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1/2	PC-91-EM09	440-207137-1	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1/2	PC-92-EM09	440-207137-2	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM09	440-207137-5	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM09	440-207137-6	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	440-207137-7	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-207137-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	WG	NORM	03/26/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-207268-1	PC-97-EM09	440-207268-4	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	440-207268-2	BW	EB	03/27/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-FB	440-207268-16	BW	FB	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM09	440-207268-5	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM09-FD	440-207268-12	WG	FD	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	PC-94-EM09	440-207268-8	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM09	440-207268-10	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM09	440-207268-1	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM09	440-207268-15	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM09	440-207268-7	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM09	440-207268-6	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM09	440-207268-13	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM09	440-207268-11	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM09	440-207268-3	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM09	440-207268-9	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207268-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM09	440-207268-14	WG	NORM	03/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	PC-58-EM09-EM09	440-207497-6	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-EB	440-207497-15	BW	EB	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	440-207497-14	BW	FB	03/28/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM09	440-207497-3	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM09	440-207497-2	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM09	440-207497-4	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM09	440-207497-10	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM09	440-207497-7	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM09-FD	440-207497-8	WG	FD	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM09	440-207497-5	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM09	440-207497-11	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM09	440-207497-1	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM09	440-207497-9	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	440-207497-12	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207497-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM09	440-207497-13	WG	NORM	03/28/18	Stage 2A					
440-207586-1	COH-2B1-EM09	440-207586-2	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A					
440-207586-1	PC-88-EM09	440-207586-3	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A					
440-207586-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM09	440-207586-1	WG	NORM	03/29/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM10	440-210173-1	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM10	440-210173-3	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM10	440-210173-8	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM10	440-210173-2	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM10	440-210173-11	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM10	440-210173-9	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM10	440-210173-4	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM10-FD	440-210173-5	WG	FD	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM10	440-210173-10	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM10	440-210173-7	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW22-EM10	440-210173-6	WG	NORM	04/30/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB	440-210284-15	BW	EB	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	440-210284-2	BW	FB	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM10	440-210284-5	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10	440-210284-9	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10-FD	440-210284-10	WG	FD	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM10	440-210284-8	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10	440-210284-11	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1/2	PC-91-EM10	440-210284-3	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1/2	PC-92-EM10	440-210284-4	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1/2	PC-94-EM10	440-210284-14	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1/2	PC-97-EM10	440-210284-7	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM10	440-210284-13	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	440-210284-1	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-210284-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM10	440-210284-6	WG	NORM	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210367-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD	440-210367-1	WG	FD	05/01/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	COH-2B1-EM10	440-210430-11	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	PC58-EM10	440-210430-10	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	PC88-EM10	440-210430-8	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-210430-1	PC88-EM10-FD	440-210430-9	WG	FD	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180502-EB	440-210430-12	BW	EB	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180502-FB	440-210430-4	BW	FB	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM10	440-210430-2	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM10	440-210430-1	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM10	440-210430-5	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	440-210430-6	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM10	440-210430-3	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	440-210430-13	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-210430-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM10	440-210430-7	WG	NORM	05/02/18	Stage 2A					
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM10	440-210534-5	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A					
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM10	440-210534-4	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A					
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM10	440-210534-1	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A					
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	440-210534-3	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A					
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	440-210534-2	WG	NORM	05/03/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	COH-2B1-EM11	440-215437-6	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	PC-94-EM11	440-215437-1	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	PC-97-EM11	440-215437-3	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM11	440-215437-4	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	440-215437-11	WG	FD	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM11	440-215437-9	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	440-215437-7	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM11	440-215437-12	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM11	440-215437-2	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM11	440-215437-8	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215437-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM11	440-215437-10	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180710-EB	440-215585-1	BW	EB	07/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180711-FB	440-215585-11	BW	FB	07/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11	440-215585-2	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11-FD	440-215585-3	WG	FD	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM11	440-215585-4	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM11	440-215585-7	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	440-215585-8	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM11	440-215585-13	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1/2	PC-91-EM11	440-215585-6	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1/2	PC-92-EM11	440-215585-5	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	440-215585-14	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	440-215585-10	WG	NORM	07/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	440-215585-9	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215585-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM11	440-215585-12	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215717-1	PC-58-EM11	440-215717-7	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11	440-215717-11	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11-FD	440-215717-12	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	SWFTS-20180712-EB	440-215717-6	BW	EB	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	SWFTS-20180712-FB	440-215717-4	BW	FB	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM11	440-215717-14	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11	440-215717-17	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11-FD	440-215717-18	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM11	440-215717-16	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM11	440-215717-15	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM11	440-215717-13	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	440-215717-10	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	440-215717-9	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM11	440-215717-1	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM11	440-215717-2	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM11	440-215717-8	WG	NORM	07/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	440-215717-3	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215717-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM11	440-215717-5	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW101A-EM11	440-215795-1	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM11	440-215795-2	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW107A-EM11	440-215795-7	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM11	440-215795-5	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM11-FD	440-215795-6	WG	FD	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM11	440-215795-3	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM11	440-215795-8	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-215795-1/2	LVWPS-MW112A-EM11	440-215795-4	WG	NORM	07/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM12	440-216784-1	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM12	440-216784-2	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM12	440-216784-3	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM12	440-216784-4	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM12	440-216784-5	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM12	440-216784-6	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM12	440-216784-7	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID		QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM12-FD	440-216784-8	WG	FD	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216784-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM12	440-216784-9	WG	NORM	07/26/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-20180727-EB	440-216872-1	BW	EB	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-20180727-FB	440-216872-2	BW	FB	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM12	440-216872-13	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM12-FD	440-216872-14	WG	FD	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM12	440-216872-11	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM12	440-216872-10	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM12	440-216872-8	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM12	440-216872-7	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM12	440-216872-9	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM12	440-216872-3	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW22-EM12	440-216872-4	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM12	440-216872-5	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM12	440-216872-6	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-91-EM12	440-216872-16	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-92-EM12	440-216872-15	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-216872-1/2	SWFTS-PC-94-EM12	440-216872-12	WG	NORM	07/27/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1	SWFTS-20180814-FB	440-218109-7	BW	FB	08/14/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM13	440-218109-4	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM13-FD	440-218109-5	WG	FD	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM13	440-218109-6	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1/2	PC-91-EM-13	440-218109-1	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM13	440-218109-2	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM13	440-218109-3	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM13	440-218109-9	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM13	440-218109-8	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM13	440-218109-10	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218109-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	440-218109-11	WG	NORM	08/14/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218208-1	PC-58-EM13	440-218208-5	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM13	440-218208-8	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM13	440-218208-6	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM13	440-218208-7	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM13	440-218208-9	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	PC-92-EM13	440-218208-1	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	PC-94-EM13	440-218208-2	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM13	440-218208-3	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM13	440-218208-4	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM13	440-218208-12	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM13	440-218208-14	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM13	440-218208-15	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM13	440-218208-16	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM13-FD	440-218208-17	WG	FD	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM13	440-218208-18	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM13	440-218208-11	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218208-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM13	440-218208-10	WG	NORM	08/15/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	COH-2B1-EM13	440-218296-11	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	PC-88-EM13	440-218296-9	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	PC-88-EM13-FD	440-218296-10	WG	FD	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	PC-97-EM13	440-218296-8	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-EB	440-218296-7	BW	EB	08/16/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-EB(2)	440-218296-18	BW	EB	08/16/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-FB	440-218296-5	BW	FB	08/16/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM13	440-218296-6	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM13	440-218296-1	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM13	440-218296-2	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM13	440-218296-3	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM13	440-218296-4	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM13	440-218296-12	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM13-FD	440-218296-13	WG	FD	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM13	440-218296-14	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM13	440-218296-15	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM13	440-218296-19	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM13	440-218296-16	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM13	440-218296-17	WG	NORM	08/16/18	Stage 2A					
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-EB	440-219797-5	BW	EB	09/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-FB	440-219797-3	BW	FB	09/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM14	440-219797-1	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM14	440-219797-2	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM14	440-219797-4	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-219797-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM14	440-219797-6	WG	NORM	09/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-219886-1	COH-2B1-EM14	440-219886-10	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14	440-219886-15	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14-FD	440-219886-16	WG	FD	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM14	440-219886-14	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	PC-94-EM14	440-219886-9	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM14	440-219886-11	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM14	440-219886-7	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM14	440-219886-8	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM14	440-219886-12	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM14	440-219886-13	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM14	440-219886-17	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM14	440-219886-2	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM14	440-219886-1	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM14	440-219886-6	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM14	440-219886-3	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM14-FD	440-219886-4	WG	FD	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-219886-1/2	SWFTS-MW22-EM14	440-219886-5	WG	NORM	09/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	PC-88-EM14	440-220031-15	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	PC-88-EM14-FD	440-220031-16	WG	FD	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	PC-91-EM14	440-220031-12	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	PC-92-EM14	440-220031-13	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	PC-97-EM14	440-220031-17	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM14	440-220031-14	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM14	440-220031-6	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM14	440-220031-7	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM14	440-220031-10	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM14	440-220031-8	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM14-FD	440-220031-9	WG	FD	09/12/18	Stage 2A	İ				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM14	440-220031-11	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A	Ì				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM14	440-220031-5	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A	ì				
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM14	440-220031-1	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM14	440-220031-4	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM14	440-220031-3	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220031-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	440-220031-2	WG	NORM	09/12/18	Stage 2A					
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW104-EM14	440-220125-8	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A					
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	440-220125-4	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A					
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW109-EM14	440-220125-1	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A					
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW111A-EM14	440-220125-2	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A					
440-220125-1	PC-58-EM14	440-220125-5	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	440-220125-7	BW	EB	09/13/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	440-220125-3	BW	FB	09/13/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-220125-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM14	440-220125-6	WG	NORM	09/13/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1	SWFTS-20181009-EB	440-221855-6	BW	EB	10/09/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	440-221855-5	WG	FD	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM15	440-221855-2	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW01-EM15	440-221855-12	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	440-221855-13	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM15	440-221855-14	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW09A-EM15	440-221855-7	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW09B-EM15	440-221855-8	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	440-221855-9	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW14-EM15	440-221855-10	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW15-EM15	440-221855-11	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW19-EM15	440-221855-4	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW20-EM15	440-221855-1	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221855-1/2	SWFTS-MW21-EM15	440-221855-3	WG	NORM	10/09/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1	SWFTS-20181010-FB	440-221975-3	BW	FB	10/10/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	440-221975-8	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	440-221975-10	WG	FD	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	440-221975-9	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07A-EM15	440-221975-11	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM15	440-221975-12	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM15	440-221975-13	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW104-EM15	440-221975-5	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW108A-EM15	440-221975-1	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW109-EM15	440-221975-6	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW111A-EM15	440-221975-2	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	LVWPS-MW112A-EM15	440-221975-4	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	PC-91-EM15	440-221975-16	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	440-221975-15	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	440-221975-14	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-221975-1/2	SWFTS-MW24-EM15	440-221975-7	WG	NORM	10/10/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	440-222092-1	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	PC-58-EM15	440-222092-19	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	440-222092-15	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15-FD	440-222092-16	WG	FD	10/11/18	Stage 2A					

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	QC Type	Sample Date	Validation Stage	SW-6020A Total	SW-7199	SW-9045C- soluble	SW-9060	VFA-IC
440-222092-1	PC-92-EM15	440-222092-17	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	PC-94-EM15	440-222092-18	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	PC-97-EM15	440-222092-13	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-20181011-EB	440-222092-2	BW	EB	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-20181011-FB	440-222092-8	BW	FB	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM15	440-222092-9	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	440-222092-10	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15-FD	440-222092-11	WG	FD	10/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM15	440-222092-12	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM15	440-222092-14	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM15	440-222092-4	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM15	440-222092-7	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW16-EM15	440-222092-3	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					Х
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW18-EM15	440-222092-5	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					
440-222092-1/2	SWFTS-MW25-EM15	440-222092-6	WG	NORM	10/11/18	Stage 2A					

Table 3 Validation Qualifiers and Definitions

Validation Qualifier	Definition
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
NJ	The analyte has been "tentatively identified" or "presumptively" as present and the associated numerical value is the estimated concentration in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 4 Validation Checks and Stages

Verification and Validation Checks	Stage 1	Stage 2A	Stage 2B	Stage 4
Documentation identifies the laboratory receiving and conducting analyses, and includes documentation for all samples submitted by the project or requester for analyses.	Х	Х	Х	Х
Requested analytical methods were performed and the analysis dates are present.	Х	X	X	X
Requested target analyte results are reported along with the original laboratory data qualifiers and data				
qualifier definitions for each reported result (and the uncertainty of each result and clear indication of the type of uncertainty reported if required, e.g., for radiochemical analyses).	X	X	X	X
Requested target analyte result units are reported (along with their associated uncertainty units if required, e.g., for radiochemical analyses).	Х	Х	Х	Х
Requested reporting limits for all samples are present and results at and below the requested (required) reporting limits are clearly identified (including sample detection limits if required).	Х	Х	X	Х
Sampling dates (including times if needed), date and time of laboratory receipt of samples, and sample conditions upon receipt at the laboratory (including preservation, pH, and temperature) are documented.	Х	х	Х	Х
For radiochemical analyses, the sample-specific critical values (sometimes called "critical level," "decision level," or "detection threshold") and sample-specific minimum detectable value, activity, or concentration for all samples are reported, and results at and below the requested (required) critical values are clearly identified.	Х	Х	Х	Х
For radiochemical analyses, the chemical yield (if applicable to the method) and reference date and time (especially for short lived isotopes) are reported for all samples (as appropriate).	Х	Х	Х	Х
Sample results are evaluated by comparing sample conditions upon receipt at the laboratory (e.g., preservation checks) and sample characteristics (e.g., percent moisture) to the requirements and guidelines present in national or regional data validation documents, analytical method(s), or contract.	х	X	X	X
Requested methods (handling, preparation, cleanup, and analytical) are performed.		X	Х	X
Method dates (including dates, times and duration of analysis for radiation counting measurements and other methods, if needed) for handling (e.g., Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure), preparation, cleanup and analysis are present, as appropriate.		Х	Х	Х
Sample-related QC data and QC acceptance criteria (e.g., method blanks, surrogate recoveries, deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) recoveries, laboratory control sample (LCS) recoveries, duplicate analyses, matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries, serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, standard reference materials) are provided and linked to the reported field samples (including the field quality control samples such as trip and equipment blanks).		х	Х	х
Requested spike analytes or compounds (e.g., surrogate, DMCs, LCS spikes, post digestion spikes) have been added, as appropriate.		Х	Х	Х
Sample holding times (from sampling date to preparation and preparation to analysis) are evaluated.		х	Х	X
Frequency of QC samples is checked for appropriateness (e.g., one LCS per 20 samples in a preparation batch).		Х	Х	Х

Table 4 Validation Checks and Stages

Verification and Validation Checks	Stage 1	Stage 2A	Stage 2B	Stage 4
Sample results are evaluated by comparing holding times and sample-related QC data to the requirements and guidelines present in national or regional data validation documents, analytical method(s) or contract.		Х	Х	х
Initial calibration data (e.g., initial calibration standards, initial calibration verification [ICV] standards, initial calibration blanks [ICBs]) are provided for all requested analytes and linked to field samples reported. For each initial calibration, the calibration type used is present along with the initial calibration equation used including any weighting factor(s) applied and the associated correlation coefficients, as appropriate. Recalculations of the standard concentrations using the initial calibration curve are present, along with their associated percent recoveries, as appropriate (e.g., if required by the project, method, or contract). For the ICV standard, the associated percent recovery (or percent difference, as appropriate) is present.			X	X
Appropriate number and concentration of initial calibration standards are present.			X	Х
Continuing calibration data (e.g., continuing calibration verification [CCV] standards and continuing calibration blanks [CCBs]) are provided for all requested analytes and linked to field samples reported, as appropriate. For the CCV standard(s), the associated percent recoveries (or percent differences, as appropriate) are present.			X	Х
Reported samples are bracketed by CCV standards and CCB standards as appropriate.			Х	Х
Method specific instrument performance checks are present as appropriate (e.g., tunes for mass spectrometry methods, DDT/Endrin breakdown checks for pesticides and aroclors, instrument blanks and interference checks for ICP methods).			Х	X
Frequency of instrument QC samples is checked for appropriateness (e.g., gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy [GC-MS] tunes have been run every 12 hours).			Х	Х
Sample results are evaluated by comparing instrument-related QC data to the requirements and guidelines present in national or regional data validation documents, analytical method(s), or contract.			X	X
Instrument response data (e.g., GC peak areas, ICP corrected intensities) are reported for requested analytes, surrogates, internal standards, and DMCs for all requested field samples, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, LCS, and method blanks, as well as calibration data and instrument QC checks (e.g., tunes, DDT/Endrin breakdowns, interelement correction factors, and Florisil cartridge checks).				Х
Reported target analyte instrument responses are associated with appropriate internal standard analyte(s) for each (or selected) analyte(s) (for methods using internal standard for calibration).				Х
Fit and appropriateness of the initial calibration curve used or required (e.g., mean calibration factor, regression analysis [linear or non-linear, with or without weighting factors, with or without forcing]) is checked with recalculation of the initial calibration curve for each (or selected) analyte(s) from the instrument response.				Х
Comparison of instrument response to the minimum response requirements for each (or selected) analyte(s)				Х

Table 4 Validation Checks and Stages

Verification and Validation Checks	Stage 1	Stage 2A	Stage 2B	Stage 4
Recalculation of each (or selected) opening and closing CCV (and CCB) response from the peak data reported for each (or selected) analyte(s) from the instrument response, as appropriate				X
Compliance check of recalculated opening and/or closing CCV (and CCB) response to recalculated initial calibration response for each (or selected) analyte(s)				X
Recalculation of percent ratios for each (or selected) tune from the instrument response, as appropriate				Х
Compliance check of recalculated percent ratio for each (or selected) tune from the instrument response.				Х
Recalculation of each (or selected) instrument performance check (e.g., DDT/Endrin breakdown for pesticide analysis, instrument blanks, interference checks) from the instrument response				X
Recalculation and compliance check of retention time windows (for chromatographic methods) for each (or selected) analyte(s) from the laboratory reported retention times				×
Recalculation of reported results for each reported (or selected) target analyte(s) from the instrument response				×
Recalculation of each (or selected) reported spike recovery (surrogate recoveries, DMC recoveries, LCS recoveries, duplicate analyses, matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries, serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, standard reference materials, etc.) from the instrument response				X
Each (or selected) sample result(s) and spike recovery(ies) are evaluated by comparing the recalculated numbers to the laboratory reported numbers according to the requirements and guidelines present in national or regional data validation documents, analytical method(s) or contract.				X
All required instrument outputs (e.g., chromatograms, mass spectra, atomic emission spectra, instrument background corrections, and interference corrections) for evaluating sample and instrument performance are present.				Х
Sample results are evaluated by checking each (or selected) instrument output (e.g., chromatograms, mass spectra, atomic emission spectra data, instrument background corrections, interference corrections) for correct identification and quantitation of analytes (e.g., peak integrations, use of appropriate internal standards for quantitation, elution order of analytes, and interferences).				×
Each (or selected) instrument's output(s) is evaluated for confirmation of non-detected or tentatively identified analytes.				Х

Table 5 Reason Codes

Reason Code	Description of Qualification
а	Qualified due to low abundance (radiochemical activity)
be	Qualified due to equipment blank contamination
bf	Qualified due to field blank contamination
bl	Qualified due to lab blank contamination
bt	Qualified due to trip blank contamination
bp	Qualified due to pump blank contamination (for wells without dedicated pumps)
br	Qualified due to filter blank contamination (aqueous hexavalent chromium and dissolved sample fractions)
brr	Better result was reported
С	Qualified due to calibration problems
ср	Qualified due to insufficient ingrowth (radiochemical only)
dc	Dual column confirmation % difference exceeded
е	Sample concentration exceeded the calibration range
fd	Qualified due to field duplicate imprecision
h	Qualified due to holding time exceedance
i	Qualified due to internal standard areas or retention times
k	Qualified as Estimated Maximum Possible Concentrations (dioxins and PCB congeners)
I	Qualified due to LCS recoveries
ld	Qualified due to lab duplicate imprecision (matrix duplicate, MSD, LCSD)
m	Qualified due to matrix spike recoveries
nb	Qualified due to negative lab blank contamination (nondetect results only)
nd	Qualified due to non-detected target analyte
0	Other
р	Qualified as a false positive due to contamination during shipping
рН	Sample preservation not within acceptance range
q	Qualified due to quantitation problem
S	Qualified due to surrogate recoveries
sd	Serial dilution did not meet control criteria
sp	Detected value reported between MDL/SQL and RL/PQL
st	Sample receipt temperature exceeded
t	Qualified due to elevated helium tracer concentrations
vh	Headspace detected in aqueous sample containers submitted for volatile analysis
Х	Qualified due to low % solids
Z	Qualified due to interference check sample results

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-177682-1	SWFTS-BH02-SO-34	02/21/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1.2	mg/kg	F1	0.022	0.091	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH03-SO-24	02/25/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	0.63	mg/kg	F1	0.022	0.091	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-179122-1	SWFTS-MW01-SO-17	03/07/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	3.9	mg/kg		0.065	0.27	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179273-1	SWFTS-MW06B-SO-12	03/07/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	0.024	mg/kg	J	0.012	0.052	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28	03/08/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	4.1	mg/kg		0.066	0.28	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28-FD	03/08/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	3.8	nig/kg		0.066	0.28	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-49	03/09/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	4.7	mg/kg		0.074	0.31	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51	03/09/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	2.8	mg/kg		0.064	0.27	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-55	03/09/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	3.4	mg/kg		0.078	0.33	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-43	03/08/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	5.5	mg/kg		0.072	0.3	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-60	03/09/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	0.012	mg/kg	U	0.012	0.05	UJ	Z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-65	03/09/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	0.014	mg/kg	U	0.014	0.059	UJ	z	Interference Check
440-179386-1	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-69	03/09/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	0.016	mg/kg	J	0.016	0.067	J		etect < PQL, Interference Check
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-15	03/11/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	5.5	mg/kg		0.13	0.54	J-	Z	Interference Check
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW10C-SO-51.5	03/13/17	SW-9060	Total	Total Organic Carbon	90000	mg/kg	E	50	100	J	е	Exceed Calibration Range
440-179551-1	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45	03/11/17	SW-9060	Total	Total Organic Carbon	120000	nig/kg	E	50	100	J	е	Exceed Calibration Range
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39	03/14/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	0.85	mg/kg	F1	0.053	0.22	J	m	MS Recovery
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD	03/14/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1.2	nig/kg		0.053	0.22	J		IS Recovery, Interference Check
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-EB	03/14/17	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	6.1	SU	HF			J	h	Holding Time
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-FB	03/14/17	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	5.5	SU	HF			J	h	Holding Time
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19	03/14/17	SW-9045C-soluble	Total	pH	7.4	SU		0.040	0.040	J J-	h	Holding Time
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-20.5	03/15/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1.1	nig/kg		0.012	0.048		Z	Interference Check
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31	03/15/17	EPA 314.0 EPA 314.0	Total Total	Perchlorate	0.64	mg/kg		0.022	0.093	J- J-	Z Z	Interference Check
440-179802-1 440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-FD	03/15/17	EPA 314.0 EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate Perchlorate	1.7	mg/kg		0.011	0.047	J-		Interference Check Interference Check
440-179802-1	SWFTS-BH05-SO-36 SWFTS-BH06-SO-14	03/15/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1.7	mg/kg		0.056	0.24	J-	Z Z	Interference Check
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-EB	03/15/17	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	6	mg/kg SU	HF	0.00	0.23	J-	h	Holding Time
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-EB	03/15/17	SM4500-H+	Total	рН	5.8	SU	HF			J	h	Holding Time
440-180820-1	SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	03/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.096	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-FB	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.030	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.059	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0068	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	.I	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-MW10C-BL01	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.017	mg/L	J	0.003	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Total	Boron	0.045	mg/L	.l	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Total	Calcium	0.066	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	PC-94-BL01	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	0.022	mg/L	J	0.02	0.04	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-FB	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Total	Sodium	0.25	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-1	SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	03/28/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.56	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-3	PC-58-BL01	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Manganese	0.014	mg/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180820-3	PC-58-BL01	03/28/17	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	0.013	mg/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-91-BL01	03/29/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.025	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09A-BL01	03/29/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.043	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.067	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01-FD	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.072	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-91-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0063	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-91-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.008	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01-FD	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0061	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW02-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0061	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.053	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.068	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0096	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0028	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0034	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-180937-1	PC-92-BL01	03/29/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	0.66	ug/L	J	0.5	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier		Reason Code Definition
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	03/30/17	EPA 300.0	Dissolved Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.13	rng/L	J	0.11	0.22	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	03/30/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	J	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	03/30/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.025	rng/L	UF1F2	0.025	0.05	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.081	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.05	mg/L	U	0.05	0.1	UJ	fd	FD FD
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.34	mg/L		0.05	0.1	.l	fd	FD
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.32	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.034	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.005	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0061	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.09	ma/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	SD	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.05	mg/L	Ü	0.05	0.1	UJ	fd	FD
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.32	mg/L		0.05	0.1	J	fd	FD
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.28	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0025	mg/L	U	0.0025	0.005	UJ	fd	FD FD
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0023	mg/L	-	0.0025	0.005	.J	fd	FD
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.013	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	0.03	mg/L	J	0.023	0.03	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6020A		Selenium	0.014	ug/L	J	0.01	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	03/30/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved Dissolved	Selenium	1.1		J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-186188-1	SWFTS-IW05-SO-28	06/09/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	60	ug/L	J	48	65	J		Detect < PQL
440-186188-1		06/09/17			, 0 1		mg/kg	J	48	65	J	sp	
	SWFTS-IW12-SO-31		EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]		mg/kg				, ,	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188133-1	SWFTS-IW05-BL02	07/11/17	RSK175	Total	Methane	0.00061	mg/L	J	0.00025	0.00099	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	07/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.063	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	07/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0064	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	07/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.005	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	07/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0031	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188133-1	SWFTS-MW18-BL02	07/11/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.63	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	13000	ug/L	F1	190	800	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW19-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.18	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.1	rng/L	UF1F2	0.1	0.2	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW13-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.033	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.059	mg/L	F1	0.025	0.05	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	07/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.045	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW17-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.063	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW19-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.079	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW20-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.083	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW13-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.072	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.098	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.084	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.061	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW19-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0054	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	PC-91-BL02-FD	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0062	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW20-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0074	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0053	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	1000	mg/L		0.52	1	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	9.4	mg/L		0.02	0.04	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-188244-1	PC-91-BL02-FD	07/12/17	SW-7199	Total	Chromium [VI]	0.41	ug/L	J	0.25	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	5.2	mg/L	UF1	5.2	20	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	07/12/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	7.4	mg/L	UF1	7.4	30	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW14-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	42	mg/L	J	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0063	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188247-1	PC-92-BL02	07/12/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab	SQL	PQL	Validator		Reason Code Definition
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	Date 07/12/17	SW-7199	Dissolved Total	Chromium [VI]	0.45	ug/L	Qualifier J	0.25	2	Qualifier J	Code sp	Detect < PQL
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/12/17	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorite	500	ug/L	Ü	500	1000	UJ	S	Surrogate Recovery
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.064	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.063	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	29	mg/L	F1	0.25	0.5	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.5	ug/L	UF1	0.5	2	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	SW-9060	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.94	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW12-BL02	07/13/17	SW-9060	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.88	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	5.8	mg/L	U	5.8	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	5.8	mg/L	U	5.8	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	5.8	mg/L	U	5.8	20	UJ	st	
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC			5.8		U	5.8	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total Total	Acetic acid Formic-acid	5.0	mg/L	U	5.0	20	UJ		Temperature Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17		Total		5.2	mg/L	U		20	UJ	st st	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			VFA-IC		Formic-acid		mg/L	_	5.2				Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	5.2	mg/L	U	5.2 5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	5.2	mg/L	U		20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	6.2	mg/L	U	6.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	6.2	mg/L	U	6.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	6.2	mg/L	U	6.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	6.2	mg/L	U	6.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	5.2	mg/L	U	5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	5.2	mg/L	U	5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	5.2	mg/L	U	5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	5.2	mg/L	U	5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	7	mg/L	U	7	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	7	mg/L	U	7	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	7	mg/L	U	7	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	7	mg/L	U	7	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	7.4	mg/L	U	7.4	30	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	7.4	mg/L	U	7.4	30	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	7.4	mg/L	UF1	7.4	30	UJ	m,st	MS Recovery, Temperature
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	7.4	mg/L	U	7.4	30	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW23-BL02	07/13/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.14	mg/L	J	0.11	0.22	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW23-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.052	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.05	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0062	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0053	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.058	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0031	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	PC-97-BL02	07/13/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	1	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	0.29	mg/L	U	0.29	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	5.8	mg/L	U	5.8	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	0.29	mg/L	U	0.29	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	0.26	mg/L	U	0.26	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	5.2	mg/L	Ü	5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	0.26	mg/L	Ü	0.26	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	0.31	mg/L	Ü	0.31	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	6.2	mg/L	U	6.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	0.31	rng/L	Ü	0.31	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	0.26	mg/L	U	0.26	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	5.2	mg/L	U	5.2	20	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	0.26	rng/L	U	0.26	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	0.20	mg/L	U	0.20	1	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	7	mg/L	U	7	20	UJ	st	Temperature
++U-1003Z3-1	SVVF13-IVIVV 13-DLUZ	01/13/11	VFA-IU	i utai	FTOPIOTIIC ACIU		IIIg/L	U		20	UJ	ວເ	remperature

SDG	Sample ID	Sample	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab	SQL	PQL	Validator		Reason Code Definition
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	Date 07/13/17	VFA-IC	Dissolved Total	Propionic acid	0.35	rng/L	Qualifier U	0.35	1	Qualifier UJ	Code st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	0.37	mg/L	U	0.37	1.5	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	07/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	7.4	mg/L	U	7.4	30	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	07/13/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	0.37	rng/L	U	0.37	1.5	UJ	st	Temperature
440-188923-1	COH-2B1-BL02	08/09/17	EPA 300.0	Total	,	5.5	·	U	5.5	1.5	R	brr	
					Nitrate [as N]		rng/L				J		Better result reported
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	08/09/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0054	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01		sp	Detect < PQL
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	08/09/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	4	mg/L	F1	0.01	0.02	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM01	09/20/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.58	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM01	09/21/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.5	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM01	09/21/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM01	09/22/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-192818-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM01	09/22/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.53	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-192818-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM01	09/22/17	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	160	ug/L	J	100	200	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-192818-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM01	09/21/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1.9	ug/L	J	1.9	8	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193062-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM02	09/27/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	1.8	mg/L	J	1.1	2.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193062-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM02	09/26/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	1.4	mg/L	J	1.1	2.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193062-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM02	09/27/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.66	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193062-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM02	09/27/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	09/28/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	Ü	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	09/28/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	14000	ug/L	F1	480	2000	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-193472-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM03	10/03/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.8	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM03	10/03/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	17	mg/L	Н	0.55	1.1	J-	h	Holding Time
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM03	10/03/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	14	mg/L	H	0.55	1.1	J-	h	Holding Time
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM03	10/03/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.55	mg/L	UH	0.55	1.1	UJ	h	Holding Time
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM03	10/03/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	2	mg/L	Н	0.55	0.22	J-	h	Holding Time
							_ ·	U		_		brr	
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM03	10/04/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	_	11	22	R		Better result reported
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM03	10/03/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.78	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193625-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM03	10/04/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-193712-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM03	10/05/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	1.3	mg/L	J	1.1	2.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193712-1	SWFTS-MW03-20171005-EB	10/05/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1.1	ug/L	J	0.95	4	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	10/10/17	RSK175	Total	Methane	0.00028	mg/L	J	0.00025	0.00099	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM04	10/10/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.98	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.056	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0051	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.014	mg/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	0.3	mg/L	J	0.26	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Zinc	0.017	mg/L	J	0.012	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	2.5	ug/L	UF1F2	2.5	10	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	10/10/17	SW-7199	Total	Chromium [VI]	0.27	ug/L	J	0.25	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM04	10/10/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	10/11/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.78	nng/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM04	10/11/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.036	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM04	10/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.93	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.38	mg/L	,	0.05	0.1	J+	be	EB
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04 SWFTS-MW6B-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0056	_ ·	J	0.005	0.1	J+ .l		Detect < PQL
440-194090-1		10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B			0.0056	mg/L		0.005	0.01		sp	Detect < PQL Detect < PQL
	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04-FD			Dissolved	Copper		mg/L	J			J	sp	
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0055	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0079	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM04-FD	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0085	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0097	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0078	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6A-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	1.4	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW6B-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	1.3	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194090-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM04	10/11/17	SW-7199	Total	Chromium [VI]	1.9	ug/L	J	0.25	2	J	be,sp	EB, Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	10/11/17	EPA 314.0	Dissolved Total	Perchlorate	8.4	ug/L	F1	0.95	4	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	10/10/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.035	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Chromium	0.0037	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.009	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0061	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0071	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0052	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0051	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.006	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM04	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.0098	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	5	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	SD	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	1.8	ua/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM04	10/11/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.044	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	fd,sp	FD, Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	10/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.11	rng/L		0.025	0.05	J	fd	FD
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	10/12/17	RSK175	Total	Methane	0.00031	mg/L	J	0.00025	0.00099	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.074	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Chromium	0.018	mg/L	_	0.0025	0.005	J	fd	FD FD
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Chromium	0.0025	mg/L	U	0.0025	0.005	UJ	fd	FD
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.057	mg/L	J	0.05	0.000	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.012	ng/L	.J	0.01	0.02	.J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0072	mg/L	J	0.005	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	0.0072	mg/L	J	0.003	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	1.3	ma/L	,	0.015	0.02	ı	fd	FD FD
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	2.2	mg/L		0.015	0.02	J	fd	FD
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	10/12/17	SW-7199	Total	Chromium [VI]	0.87	ug/L	J	0.013	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194202-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	10/12/17	SW-7199	Total	Chromium [VI]	1.1	ug/L ug/L	J	0.25	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	35	75	R	brr	Better result reported
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Chlorate	220	ug/L	F1	50	100	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 300.1B		Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.1	rng/L	UF1	0.1	0.2	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-194204-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.035	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	.J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04-FD	10/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.038	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.036	mg/L	UF1	0.025	0.05	UJ	m sp	MS Recovery
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM04	10/12/17	RSK175	Total	Methane	0.00092	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.00099	J	be,sp	EB, Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-WW 10-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.006	mg/L	J	0.005	0.00099	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0055	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	bf,sp	FB. Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0033	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.0073	ug/L	J	0.003	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.51	ug/L ug/L	J	0.5	2	.J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	1.6	mg/L	UF1	1.6	5	UJ	m sp	MS Recovery
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	10/12/17	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	1.8	mg/L	UF1	1.8	5	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	1.0	ug/L	J	1.0	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM04	10/12/17	EPA 300.1B EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.11	rng/L	J	0.1	0.2	ı	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM04	10/11/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.11	rng/L	J	0.025	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.04	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-WW25-EM04 SWFTS-PC-97-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.077	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.051	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD SWFTS-MW07B-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Chromium	0.0033	mg/L	J	0.005	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0033	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM04 SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD	10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.006		J	0.005	0.01	J	<u> </u>	Detect < PQL Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD SWFTS-MW22-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0054	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp sp	Detect < PQL Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM04 SWFTS-MW24-EM04		SW-6010B SW-6010B				mg/L	J	0.005				FB
		10/11/17		Dissolved	Copper	0.013	mg/L			0.01	J+ .I	bf bf on	
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.005	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	bf,sp	FB, Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM04	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.014	mg/L	J	0.01	0.02	.J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-194242-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM04	10/11/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	0.53	ug/L	J	0.5	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM05	10/25/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	5.5	rng/L	U	5.5	11	R	brr	Better result reported
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM05	10/25/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-195136-1	SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM05	10/26/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.42	rng/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM05	10/26/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.73	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM05	10/26/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	2	mg/L	3	0.65	1	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM05	10/26/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	4.6	mg/L	Н	0.03	0.22	J-	h	Holding Time
440-196558-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM06	11/14/17	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	16	ug/L	J	10	20	J.	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196558-2		11/14/17	SM5310B	Total		3100		J	330	500	J-		
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	440	mg/L		33	500	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B				Total Organic Carbon		mg/L					h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	600	mg/L		33	50	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	3700	mg/L		330	500	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	1100	mg/L		33	50	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4500	mg/L		330	500	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	6500	mg/L		330	500	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	6500	mg/L		330	500	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-MW12-EM06	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.99	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	610	mg/L		33	50	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	610	mg/L		33	50	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	160	mg/L		33	50	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	11/14/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	3900	mg/L		330	500	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	35	75	R	brr	Better result reported
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.19	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.15	mg/L	F1	0.025	0.05	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM06	11/15/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.93	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.25	mg/L	F1	0.05	0.1	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0052	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	3.6	mg/L	F1	0.015	0.02	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-196659-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	20	ug/L	F1	0.95	4	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	11/15/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.17	rng/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	11/15/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0055	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	11/15/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Phosphorus, Total	0.0000	mg/L	J	0.003	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM06	11/15/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	2.5	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB	11/14/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.074	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB	11/14/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.074	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB	11/14/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.073		J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
					Silicon		mg/L	-	0.01		J		
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB	11/14/17 11/14/17	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved		0.16	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL Detect < PQL
440-196690-1	SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB		SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	0.14	mg/L		0.1	0.2		sp	Detect < PQL Detect < PQL
440-196690-1		11/14/17		Dissolved	Sodium		mg/L	J			J	sp	
440-196786-1	PC-94-EM06	11/16/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.57	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM06	11/16/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.65	mg/L	F1	0.28	0.55	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM06	11/16/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.18	rng/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06	11/16/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.19	rng/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06-FD	11/16/17	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.13	rng/L	J	0.13	0.25	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	PC-94-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.098	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	PC-94-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0052	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0065	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	PC-94-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.085	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.055	mg/L	В	0.01	0.02	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.01	mg/L	JB	0.01	0.02	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-196786-1	PC-94-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Phosphorus, Total	0.13	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	PC-94-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.0077	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-196786-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM06	11/16/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.0091	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW03-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	340	mg/L		33	50	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	1300	mg/L		65	100	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	1300	mg/L		65	100	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	2800	mg/L		65	100	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	940	mg/L		65	100	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW19-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4100	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW04-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4600	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW05-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	3700	mg/L		65	100	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW07-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	5600	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW08-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	6700	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW09-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	290	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW11-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	1000	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW10-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	290	mg/L		65	100	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW12-EM07	12/11/17	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	2700	mg/L		130	200	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD	12/12/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	12/12/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.12	rng/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	12/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0066	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	12/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0082	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM07	12/12/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	0.5	ug/L	J	0.5	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	12/13/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	22	mg/L		22	11	R	brr	Better result reported
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM07	12/13/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.36	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	12/13/17	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	14	mg/L	U	14	30	R	brr	Better result reported
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07 SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB	12/13/17 12/13/17	EPA 300.0 EPA 300.0	Total Total	Sulfate	2500 0.27	mg/L	F1	50 0.25	100	J- J	m	MS Recovery
440-198508-1 440-198508-1	SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB	12/13/17	EPA 300.0 EPA 351.2	Total	Sulfate Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.27	mg/L mg/L	J UF1	0.25	0.5	UJ	sp	Detect < PQL MS Recovery
440-198508-1	PC-91-EM07	12/13/17	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.1		J	0.1	0.2	J	m	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.098	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	PC-91-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.098	mg/L mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.003	mg/L	J	0.003	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0082	mg/L	J	0.005	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0082	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	PC-91-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.009	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.0071	ug/L	J	0.003	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	37	ug/L	F1	0.5	2	J+	m sp	MS Recovery
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	12/13/17	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-198571-1	PC-92-EM07	12/13/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0085	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-198571-1	PC-92-EM07-FD	12/14/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0003	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorite	200	ug/L	UF1	200	400	R	m m	MS Recovery
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	RSK175	Total	Methane	0.76	mg/L	51.	0.00025	0.00099	J-	h	Holding Time
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Beryllium	0.002	mg/L	J	0.002	0.004	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.65	ug/L	J	0.002	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	02/20/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	1	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7.3	ug/L	F1	0.5	2	J-	m m	MS Recovery
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	02/20/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	1.9	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	6.9	mg/L	J	5.2	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	02/20/18	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	5.8	mg/L	J	3.1	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	02/20/18	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	5.3	mg/L	J	3.1	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	100	mg/L	F1	7	20	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	02/20/18	Calculated	Total	Total Nitrogen	0.15	mg/L		0.11	0.11	J	fd	FD
				Total	•			1		_		fd	FD
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	02/20/18	Calculated	i iotai	Total Nitrogen	1.5	mg/L		0.11	0.11	J	101	I FD

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	02/20/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	1.3	mg/L	J	1.1	2.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	02/20/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	35	75	R	brr	Better result reported
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	02/20/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.15	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM08	02/21/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.89	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM08	02/21/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08	02/21/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2500	ma/L	E	5	10	R	brr	Better result reported
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM08	02/21/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	300	ug/L	Н	50	100	J-	h	Holding Time
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.43	mg/L		0.05	0.1	J+	be	EB
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.48	mg/L		0.05	0.1	J+	be	EB
	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.94	mg/L	В	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-203937-1	PC-94-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0073	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0051	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.088	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.34	mg/L		0.05	0.1	J+	be	EB
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Phosphorus, Total	0.12	mg/L	J	0.03	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	36	mg/L	3	0.1	0.2	J+	be	EB
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	40			0.1	0.2	J+	be	EB
	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B		Titanium	0.0041	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J+		Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0041	rng/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J+	sp be	EB
				Dissolved			mg/L				J+		EB EB
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.021	mg/L		0.0025	0.005		be	
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM08	02/21/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	1.6	ug/L	J	1	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM08	02/21/18	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	7	mg/L	J	3.1	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-203937-2	SWFTS-MW25-EM08	02/21/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.11	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-204033-1	C0H-2B1-EM08	02/22/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.57	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp .	Detect < PQL
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM08	02/22/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1100	mg/L	E	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM08	02/22/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2000	mg/L	Е	5	10	R	brr	Better result reported
	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB	02/22/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.054	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB	02/22/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	0.32	mg/L	J	0.26	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	16	mg/L	F1	0.28	0.55	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.36	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207137-1	PC-91-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	870	mg/L	Е	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	3900	mg/L	E	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	03/26/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	2.8	mg/L		0.65	1	J-	h,pH	Holding Time, Preservation
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.072	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.042	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	2.8	mg/L	F1B	0.13	0.25	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.011	mg/L		0.005	0.01	J	fd	FD
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.027	mg/L		0.005	0.01	J	fd	FD
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.026	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	35	mg/L	F1	1.3	2.5	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	03/26/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.5	ug/L	J	0.5	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	2.5	ug/L	UF1	2.5	10	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	190	ug/L	F1	2.5	10	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	03/26/18	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	1.3	mg/L	U^	1.3	5	UJ	С	Calibration
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	03/26/18	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	1.6	mg/L	U^	1.6	5	UJ	С	Calibration
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.37	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	14	mg/L	U	14	30	R	brr	Better result reported
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	03/26/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	2.5	rng/L	U	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM09	03/27/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1800	mg/L	E	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
		03/27/18		Dissolved	Aluminum	0.26	mg/L		0.05	0.1	J+	be	EB
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Alullillulli	0.20	Hg/L		0.03	0.1	J +	DC	
440-207268-1 440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM09 SWFTS-MW03-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.20	mg/L		0.05	0.1	J+	be	EB

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved Dissolved	Calcium	0.13	mg/L	B	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-207268-1	PC-94-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0097	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207268-1	PC-94-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	0.0065	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.058	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.014	rng/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207268-1	PC-94-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0085	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	.I	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM09	03/27/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	0.58	ug/L	J	0.003	2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207268-2	PC-94-EM09	03/27/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.14	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J.	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	03/28/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1600	mg/L	F2F1	130	250	.l	ld,m	Lab RPD, MS Recovery
440-207497-1		03/28/18		Total		_			0.1		J		Detect < PQL
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB SWFTS-MW25-EM09	03/28/18	EPA 351.2 SW-6010B		Fotal Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.14	nig/L	J	0.05	0.2	J J	sp	FB. Detect < PQL
				Dissolved	Aluminum		mg/L	•			•	bf,sp	,
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	03/28/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.059	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	03/28/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.12	mg/L	В	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	03/28/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.016	mg/L	JB	0.01	0.02	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM09	03/28/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	2.6	ug/L		0.5	2	J+	bf	FB
440-207497-2	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	03/28/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-207586-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM09	03/29/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2000	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210173-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM10	04/30/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.95	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210173-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM10	04/30/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	6400	ug/L		480	2000	J	0	Other
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW01-EM10	04/30/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM10	04/30/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	26	ug/L	J	25	100	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM10	04/30/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	37	mg/L	F1	1.3	2.5	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-210284-1	PC-97-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.088	mg/L	J	0.055	0.11	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1700	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10-FD	05/01/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	13	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	10	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	760	ug/L		48	200	J	0	Other
440-210284-1	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.052	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	2.6	mg/L	F1	0.025	0.05	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.095	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0066	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.014	mg/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0076	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	0.35	mg/L	JB	0.26	0.5	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0031	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.005	mg/L	J	0.005	0.003	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	10	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	05/01/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	0.5	ug/L ug/L	J	0.5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-1	SWFTS-EW10-20160501-FB	05/01/18	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	2.9	mg/L	U	2.9	10	UJ	st	Temperature
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	VFA-IC VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	2.9	mg/L	U	2.9	10	UJ	st	Temperature
		05/01/18						U			UJ		•
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10		VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	3.1	mg/L		3.1	10		st	Temperature
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	VFA-IC	Total	n-Butyric Acid	2.6	mg/L	U	2.6	10	UJ	st	Temperature
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	3.5	mg/L	U	3.5	10	UJ	st	Temperature
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	U	3.7	15	UJ	st	Temperature
440-210284-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.96	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210284-2	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210284-2	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	35	75	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210284-2	PC-94-EM10	05/01/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.1	mg/L	UF1	0.1	0.2	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-210367-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD	05/01/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2300	mg/L	E	5	10	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210430-1	PC58-EM10	05/02/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1700	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	05/02/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1600	mg/L	Е	0.25	0.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	05/02/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.031	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	05/02/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.13	mg/L	В	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	05/02/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0046	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab	SQL	PQL	Validator		Reason Code Definition
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	Date 05/02/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved Dissolved	Antimony	0.8	ug/L	Qualifier J	0.5	2	Qualifier J	Code sp	Detect < PQL
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	05/02/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	0.5	ug/L ug/L	UF1	0.5	1	UJ	m sp	MS Recovery
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM10	05/02/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	0.91	ug/L	J	0.5	1	1	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	05/02/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m sp	MS Recovery
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	05/02/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1500	ug/L	J	950	4000	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	05/02/18	EPA 314.0 EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2100	mg/L	E	13	25	R	brr	Better result reported
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	05/03/18	EPA 300.0 EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1900		F1	95	400	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	05/03/18	EPA 314.0 EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.12	ug/L	J	0.1	0.2	J-		Detect < PQL
					, , , ,		rng/L	ŭ			ŭ	sp	
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM10	05/03/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.89	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	05/03/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.077	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	05/03/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0051	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	05/03/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.057	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	05/03/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0037	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM10	05/03/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	12	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2500	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1000	mg/L	E	0.25	0.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	18	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.13	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.035	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	07/10/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.028	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.046	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.038	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.058	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	2.9	mg/L	F1	0.025	0.05	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0052	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	PC-97-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.006	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.055	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.062	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	PC-94-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0087	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0096	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.0089	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	6.1	ug/L	J	5	20	.l	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	PC-94-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	19	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	5.9	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6.6	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6.5	ug/L ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	9.1		JF1	5	20	J-	m m	MS Recovery
440-215437-1	COH-2B1-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A				ug/L	JFI	5				Detect < PQL
				Dissolved	Selenium	10	ug/L	ŭ		20	J	sp	
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	11	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.11	mg/L	J	0.11	0.22	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.18	mg/L	J	0.11	0.22	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	40	ug/L	J	25	100	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.1	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11-FD	07/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.047	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	PC-91-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.049	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.025	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-20180711-FB	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.059	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Chromium	0.0043	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM11-FD	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0051	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0057	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.053	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	07/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.005	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	SWFTS-MW07B-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0059	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	.J	sp	Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved Dissolved	Antimony	6.9	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	PC-92-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7.9	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	18	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	1.9	mg/L	UF1	1.9	7.5	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	07/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.66	mg/L	JH	0.55	1.1	J-	h	Holding Time
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	J	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.89	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	35	75	R	brr	Better result reported
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Perchlorate	3000	ug/L	F1	95	400	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	07/11/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.87	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J- J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	•	2400		E	2.5	5	R	brr	
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	07/12/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate Sulfate	3200	mg/L	E	5	10	R	brr	Better result reported
							mg/L	J			J		Better result reported
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	07/12/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.025	rng/L		0.025	0.05		sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM11	07/12/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.027	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.045	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM11	07/12/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.043	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	PC-58-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.045	rng/L	J	0.025	0.05	J.	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM11	07/12/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	8.0	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM11	07/12/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.69	mg/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Chromium	0.0029	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0056	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0054	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0077	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11-FD	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0069	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0099	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0053	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0055	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0088	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	8.2	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	11	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	PC-88-EM11-FD	07/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	10	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM11	07/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7.4	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	11	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	18	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	07/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	15	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215717-2	SWFTS-MW15-EM11	07/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	12	mg/L	Н	0.55	1.1	J-	h	Holding Time
440-215795-1	LVWPS-MW101A-EM11	07/12/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.82	rng/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-215795-1	LVWPS-MW107A-EM11	07/12/18	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	0.9	rng/L	J	0.65	1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-216872-2	SWFTS-MW03-EM12	07/27/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	1900	ug/L	F1	95	400	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-218109-1	PC-91-EM-13	08/14/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	12	ug/L	J	2	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218109-1	SWFTS-20180814-FB	08/14/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.17	mg/L	В	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	08/14/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.087	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM13	08/14/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	8	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	08/14/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	180	ug/L	F1	5	20	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-218109-1	SWFTS-20180814-FB	08/14/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	0.37	mg/L	UF1	0.37	1.5	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-218109-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM13	08/14/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	10	mg/L	F1	0.55	1.1	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-218109-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	08/14/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	8.2	ug/L	J	4.8	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM13	08/15/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM13	08/15/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	280	ug/L	J	40	400	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	08/15/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.014	ma/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM13	08/15/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.0074	mg/L	J	0.005	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM13 SWFTS-MW16-EM13		SW-6010B SW-6010B					F1			_		
		08/15/18		Total	Manganese	2.4	mg/L		0.015	0.02	J-	m	MS Recovery
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	08/15/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	0.5	ug/L	UF1F2	0.5	2	UJ	m	MS Recovery

	WFTS-MW25-EM13	Date		Dissolved				Qualifier					Reason Code Definition
		08/15/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	0.51	ug/L	J	0.5	1	Qualifier J	Code sp	Detect < PQL
1 44U-Z 10ZU8-1 1 SV	WFTS-MW16-EM13	08/15/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-218208-2 SV	WFTS-MW16-EM13	08/15/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-218208-2 SV	WFTS-MW02-EM13	08/15/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.74	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218208-2 SV	WFTS-MW16-EM13	08/15/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	5	mg/L	U	5	30	R	brr	Better result reported
	WFTS-MW04-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	1.9	mg/L	_	0.28	0.55	J+	bf	FB
	COH-2B1-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.53	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	bf,sp	FB. Detect < PQL
440-218296-1 SV	WFTS-MW22-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.95	mg/L		0.28	0.55	J+	bf	FB
	WFTS-MW23-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.055	mg/L	J	0.055	0.11	J	bf,sp	FB, Detect < PQL
	WFTS-20180816-FB	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.056	rng/L	J	0.055	0.11	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-218296-1	PC-97-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.38	mg/L		0.055	0.11	J+	bf	FB
	WFTS-MW04-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	900	mg/L	Е	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
	WFTS-MW23-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1000	mg/L	E	0.25	0.5	R	brr	Better result reported
	WFTS-MW17-EM13	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2800	mg/L	E	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
	FTS-20180816-EB(2)	08/16/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.056	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
	WFTS-20180816-FB	08/16/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.061	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
	WFTS-20180816-EB	08/16/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.32	mg/L	B	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
	WFTS-20180816-EB	08/16/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	0.013	mg/L	J	0.03	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-20180816-EB	08/16/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	0.013	mg/L	JB	0.01	0.02	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
	WFTS-20180816-FB	08/16/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	0.26		В	0.26	0.5	J+	bl	Lab Blank
	WFTS-20160616-EB	09/10/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.76	mg/L mg/L	U	0.26	0.5	UJ	Hq	Preservation
	WFTS-MW02-EM14	09/10/18	EPA 351.2 EPA 351.2		Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.1		U	0.1	0.2	UJ	рП	Preservation
	VFTS-MW10A-EM14	09/10/18	EPA 351.2 EPA 351.2		, , , ,		mg/L			0.2	J		Detect < PQL
				Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.19	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2		sp bl	
	WFTS-20180910-EB	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.12	mg/L	В			J+	_	Lab Blank
	WFTS-20180910-FB	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.093	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	VFTS-MW10A-EM14	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	37	mg/L		0.1	0.2	J+	bf	FB
	WFTS-MW16-EM14	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	37	mg/L		0.1	0.2	J+	bf	FB
	WFTS-20180910-EB	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	0.42	mg/L	J	0.26	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-20180910-FB	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0047	mg/L	J	0.0025	0.005	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	VFTS-MW10A-EM14	09/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7.6	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW16-EM14	09/10/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
	WFTS-MW16-EM14	09/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.42	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW16-EM14	09/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
	WFTS-MW16-EM14	09/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	5	mg/L	U	5	30	R	brr	Better result reported
	WFTS-MW22-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	1600	ug/L	В	100	1000	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-219886-1	PC-94-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.14	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW03-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.044	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW03-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.056	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW14-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.051	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-219886-1	PC-94-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0082	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW14-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.006	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-219886-1	PC-94-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0086	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-219886-1 SV	WFTS-MW03-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.0056	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-219886-1	PC-94-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	3.5	mg/L	F1	0.015	0.02	J	m,sd	MS Recovery, Serial Dilution
440-219886-1	PC-94-EM14	09/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
	WFTS-MW20-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	2.3	mg/L		0.55	1.1	J	fd	FD
440-219886-2 SWI	FTS-MW20-EM14-FD	09/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	6.9	mg/L		0.55	1.1	J	fd	FD
440-219886-2 SW	VFTS-MW05A-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	13	mg/L	J	11	22	R	brr	Better result reported
440-219886-2	PC-94-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrite [as N]	0.41	mg/L	J	0.25	1.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-219886-2 SV	WFTS-MW14-EM14	09/11/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	6.4	ug/L	J	4.8	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-220031-1 SV	WFTS-MW12-EM14	09/12/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	3100	mg/L	Е	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
0.				1	0.16.4	4000	/1	Е	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-220031-1	PC-92-EM14	09/12/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1200	mg/L		1.3	2.5	Γ.	ווט	Detter result reported
440-220031-1	PC-92-EM14 WFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18 09/12/18	EPA 300.0 SW-6010B	l otal Dissolved	Aluminum	0.074	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier	Reason Code	Reason Code Definition
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	270	mg/L	В	0.05	0.1	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Magnesium	150	mg/L		0.01	0.02	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Manganese	3.7	mg/L		0.015	0.02	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	35	mg/L		0.1	0.2	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Sodium	780	mg/L	В	0.26	0.5	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	7.3	mg/L		0.01	0.02	J	sd	Serial Dilution
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	09/12/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7.5	ug/L	J	5	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW109-EM14	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	6.7	mg/L		0.55	1.1	J+	bf	FB
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW111A-EM14	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	8.1	rng/L		0.55	1.1	J+	bf	FB
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.082	mg/L	J	0.055	0.11	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	6.1	mg/L		0.55	1.1	J+	bf	FB
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW109-EM14	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2400	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	0.27	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	Е	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	09/13/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	5.4	ug/L	J	2	20	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.061	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	09/13/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Calcium	0.13	mg/L	В	0.05	0.1	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	0.29	mg/L	U^	0.29	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Acetic acid	0.29	mg/L	U^	0.29	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	0.26	mg/L	U^	0.26	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	0.26	mg/L	U^	0.26	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	0.31	mg/L	U^	0.31	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	0.31	mg/L	U^	0.31	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	0.35	mg/L	U^	0.35	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-EB	09/13/18	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	0.35	rng/L	U^	0.35	1	UJ	С	Calibration
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	10/09/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.4	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM15	10/09/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.049	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM15	10/09/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.028	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.49	mg/L	JB	0.25	0.5	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.078	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.07	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.039	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.039	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.034	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.038	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.036	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.044	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW09B-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.046	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.028	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0052	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0052	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW15-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.0032	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.36	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.076	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.037	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	34	mg/L	F1	1.3	2.5	J+	m sp	MS Recovery
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW20-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.028	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW22-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.020	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	4.9	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	10/09/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6.6	ug/L ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	10/09/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	4.5	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	10/09/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m sp	MS Recovery
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15 SWFTS-MW19-EM15	10/09/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.41	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221855-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	10/09/18	EPA 300.0			28		U	28	55	R	brr	
440-221855-2	SWF13-WWTUA-EWITS	10/09/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	20	mg/L	U	20	55	I K	ווט	Better result reported

SDG	Sample ID	Sample	Method	Total or	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab	SQL	PQL	Validator		Reason Code Definition
440-221855-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	Date 10/09/18	EPA 300.0	Dissolved Total	Nitrite [as N]	13	mg/L	Qualifier U	13	75	Qualifier R	Code brr	Better result reported
440-221855-2	SWFTS-MW01-EM15	10/09/18	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	4700	ug/L	U	95	400	J	0	Other
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	10/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.36	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	10/10/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.037	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	10/10/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.037	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.027	mg/L	JB	0.025	0.03	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.073	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.68	mg/L	В	0.25	0.5	J+	bl	Lab Blank
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.066	mg/L	JВ	0.25	0.5	J+ .J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B SW-6010B			_		JB		_	J		Lab Blank, Detect < PQL Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-221975-1		10/10/18		Dissolved	Aluminum	0.074	mg/L	JB JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15		SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum		mg/L				J	bl,sp	
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.026	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.032	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Barium	0.032	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	3.4	mg/L	F1	0.13	0.25	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0063	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.051	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.42	mg/L	J	0.25	0.5	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.018	mg/L	J	0.01	0.02	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.091	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	PC-91-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Molybdenum	0.066	mg/L	J	0.05	0.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	19	mg/L	F1	0.25	0.5	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	21	mg/L		0.25	0.5	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	3.9	mg/L	F1	0.01	0.02	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	4.4	mg/L		0.01	0.02	J+	m	MS Recovery
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.015	mg/L	J	0.013	0.025	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW07B-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.04	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.032	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.031	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	PC-91-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.031	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	10/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	9.2	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	4	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	10/10/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6.7	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-221975-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	10/10/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	28	55	R	brr	Better result reported
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.54	mg/L	J	0.28	0.55	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	6.3	mg/L	Н	0.55	1.1	J-	h	Holding Time
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1200	mg/L	E	1.3	2.5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	2200	mg/L	Е	5	10	R	brr	Better result reported
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	3300	mg/L	E	5	10	R	brr	Better result reported
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	E	2.5	5	R	brr	Better result reported
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	95	ug/L	J	10	100	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15-FD	10/11/18	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	0.19	mg/L	J	0.1	0.2	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.025	rng/L	UF1	0.025	0.05	R	m	MS Recovery
440-222092-1	PC-94-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	0.025	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05		sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-97-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.043	mg/L	JB	0.025	0.03	.J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.039	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15-FD	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.059	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl.sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-92-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.068	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-92-EM15 PC-94-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.066	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-94-EM15 PC-58-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B SW-6010B		Aluminum	0.076	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved Dissolved	Aluminum	0.081	mg/L	JB JB	0.05	0.1	J		Lab Blank, Detect < PQL Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM15 SWFTS-MW18-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.057	,	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl.sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
							mg/L					bl,sp	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.073	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.084	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL

Table 6 Results Qualified During Validation

SDG	Sample ID	Sample Date	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	SQL	PQL	Validator Qualifier		Reason Code Definition
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.072	mg/L	JB	0.05	0.1	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-97-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0065	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Cobalt	0.0051	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Nickel	0.0064	mg/L	J	0.005	0.01	J	bl,sp	Lab Blank, Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Vanadium	0.035	mg/L	J	0.025	0.05	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6.9	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	7	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15-FD	10/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	6	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	PC-92-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	8.9	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM15	10/11/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	4.6	ug/L	J	2.5	10	J	sp	Detect < PQL
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	10/11/18	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	3.7	mg/L	UF1	3.7	15	UJ	m	MS Recovery
440-222092-2	SWFTS-MW16-EM15	10/11/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.76	mg/L	J	0.55	1.1	J	sp	Detect < PQL

Table 7 Field Duplicate Exceedances

SDG	Method	Parameter	Total or Dissolved	Units	Parent Sample ID	Result*	FD Result	RPD (%)	Allowed RPD (%)	Difference >PQL
440-181045-1	SW-6010B	Aluminum	Dissolved	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	0.1	0.34		N/A	0.24
440-181045-1	SW-6010B	Iron	Dissolved	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	0.1	0.32		N/A	0.22
440-181045-1	SW-6010B	Titanium	Dissolved	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-BL01	0.005	0.015		N/A	0.01
440-194202-1	EPA 365.3	Phosphorus, Total	Total	mg/L	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	0.044	0.11		N/A	0.066
440-194202-1	SW-6010B	Chromium	Dissolved	mg/L	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	0.018	0.005		N/A	0.013
440-194202-1	SW-6010B	Manganese	Total	mg/L	SWFTS-MW20-EM04	1.3	2.2	51	30	
440-203841-2	Calculated	Total Nitrogen	Total	mg/L	SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	0.15	1.5		N/A	1.35
440-207137-1	SW-6010B	Nickel	Dissolved	mg/L	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	0.011	0.027		N/A	0.016
440-219886-2	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	Total	mg/L	SWFTS-MW20-EM14	2.3	6.9	100	30	

^{*} For non-detects, the PQL was used.

Table 8 Calibration Exceedances

SDG	Method	Calibration	Calibration ID	Parameter	Outlier	Value	Allowed	Qualified Samples
440-207137-1	VFA-IC	Continuing	CCV 480-407803/13	Formic-acid	Percent Recovery	152%	80 - 120%	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09
440-207137-1	VFA-IC	Continuing	CCV 480-407803/13	Lactic acid	Percent Recovery	256%	80 - 120%	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09
440-220125-1	VFA-IC	Continuing	CCV 480-436031/25	Acetic acid	Percent Recovery	203%	80 - 120%	SWFTS-20180913-EB, SWFTS-20180913-FB
440-220125-1	VFA-IC	Continuing	CCV 480-436031/25	Formic-acid	Percent Recovery	212%	80 - 120%	SWFTS-20180913-EB, SWFTS-20180913-FB
440-220125-1	VFA-IC	Continuing	CCV 480-436031/25	Lactic acid	Percent Recovery	445%	80 - 120%	SWFTS-20180913-EB, SWFTS-20180913-FB
440-220125-1	VFA-IC	Continuing	CCV 480-436031/25	Propionic acid	Percent Recovery	146%	80 - 120%	SWFTS-20180913-EB, SWFTS-20180913-FB

Table 9 MS/MSD Recovery Exceedances

SDG	Spiked Sample	Lab Sample ID	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	MS Recovery (%)	MSD Recovery (%)	Acceptance Range (%)
440-177682-1	SWFTS-BH02-SO-34	440-177682-1	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	123	109	80-120
440-178303-1	SWFTS-BH03-SO-24	440-178303-3	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	106	122	80-120
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39	440-179673-2	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	101	124	80-120
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	440-181045-9	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	51	69	75-125
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	133	136	80-120
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	60	41	90-110
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	44	47	75-125
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	VFA-IC	Total	Formic-acid	76	86	80-120
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	57	64	80-120
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	440-188324-1	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	148	117	75-125
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	440-188324-1	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	66	69	75-125
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	440-188324-4	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	71	66	80-120
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	440-189933-1	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	119	135	75-125
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	440-193167-7	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	124	125	80-120
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW05B-EM04	440-193989-1	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	17	54	75-125
440-193989-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM04	440-193989-3	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	72	66	80-120
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	440-194094-7	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	126	112	80-120
440-194094-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM04	440-194094-8	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	61	Not Analyzed	80-120
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	69	62	90-110
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	29	31	75-125
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	VFA-IC	Total	Lactic acid	59	58	80-120
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	75	78	80-120
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW19-EM04	440-194204-1	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	127	111	75-125
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	67	73	75-125
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	126	128	75-125
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	78	74	75-125
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	54	46	80-120
440-196659-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	165	162	80-120
440-196786-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM06	440-196786-8	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	81	73	80-120
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	82	79	80-120
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	88	84	90-110
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	135	139	75-125
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	40	38	80-120
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08		EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorite	0	0	75-125
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	440-203841-11	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	22	20	75-125
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	440-203841-11	VFA-IC	Total	Propionic acid	150	145	80-120
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	440-207137-4	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	117	146	80-120
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	129	127	75-125
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	133	132	75-125

Table 9 MS/MSD Recovery Exceedances

SDG	Spiked Sample	Lab Sample ID	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	MS Recovery (%)	MSD Recovery (%)	Acceptance Range (%)
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Antimony	60	62	75-125
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	-12	-35	75-125
440-207497-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	440-207497-12	EPA 300.0	Total	Sulfate	93	183	80-120
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM10	440-210173-9	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	133	118	75-125
440-210284-1	PC-94-EM10	440-210284-14	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	126	128	75-125
440-210284-2	PC-94-EM10	440-210284-14	EPA 351.2	Total	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	86	87	90-110
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	440-210430-13	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Thallium	74	74	75-125
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	440-210430-13	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	59	Not Analyzed	80-120
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	440-210534-3	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	74	69	80-120
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	129	129	75-125
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	72	69	75-125
440-215585-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	440-215585-9	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	68	65	80-120
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	440-215585-9	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	73	67	80-120
440-216872-2	SWFTS-MW03-EM12	440-216872-10	EPA 314.0	Total	Perchlorate	144	148	80-120
440-218109-1	SWFTS-20180814-FB	440-218109-7	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	80	78	80-120
440-218109-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM13	440-218109-11	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	53	3	75-125
440-218109-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM13	440-218109-2	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	116	124	80-120
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	24	22	75-125
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	40	26	75-125
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	78	77	80-120
440-219797-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM14	440-219797-6	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	84	77	80-120
440-219886-1	PC-94-EM14	440-219886-9	SW-6010B	Total	Manganese	78	129	75-125
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	440-221855-9	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	128	121	75-125
440-221855-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	440-221855-9	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	81	76	80-120
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	440-221975-14	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Boron	142	91	75-125
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	440-221975-10	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Potassium	131	133	75-125
440-221975-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	440-221975-10	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Strontium	151	153	75-125
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	440-222092-1	EPA 365.3	Total	Phosphorus, Total	6	5	75-125
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	440-222092-10	VFA-IC	Total	Pyruvic Acid	61	62	80-120

Table 10 Serial Dilution Exceedances

SDG	Sample ID	Total or Dissolved	Method	Parameter	Percent Difference	Allowed (%D)
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	Dissolved	SW6010B	Sodium	21	10
440-188244-1	SWFTS-MW11-BL02	Dissolved	SW6010B	Strontium	23	10
440-219886-1	PC-94	Total	SW6010B	Manganese	13	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Boron	11	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Calcium	13	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Magnesium	17	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Manganese	17	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Silicon	13	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Sodium	15	10
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW25-EM14	Dissolved	SW6010B	Strontium	11	10

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	Validator Qualifier	Reason for Use of Alternate Result
440-181045-1	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	J	R	According to lab, result was used for lab QC and does not reflect actual concentration.
440-188247-1	SWFTS-IW14-BL02	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	42	mg/L	J	R	According to lab, result was used for lab QC and does not reflect actual concentration.
440-188247-1	PC-91-BL02	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-189933-1	COH-2B1-BL02	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	5.5	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 2x dilution analysis.
440-192728-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM01	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-192818-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM01	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-193062-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM02	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-193167-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-193622-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM03	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-193625-1	SWFTS-PC-92-EM03	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 20x dilution analysis.
440-194204-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM04	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Result reported from 20x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-91-EM05	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	5.5	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 2x dilution analysis.
440-195026-1	SWFTS-PC-88-EM05	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-196659-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM06	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-196665-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM06	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-198371-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Result reported from 5x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	22	mg/L		R	According to lab, result was used for lab QC and does not reflect actual concentration.
440-198508-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM07	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	14	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Result reported from 20x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-203841-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Result reported from 20x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM08	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2500	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 20x dilution analysis.
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM08	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 20x dilution analysis.
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM08	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1100	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-204033-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM08	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2000	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-207137-1	PC-91-EM09	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	870	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM09	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	3900	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Better result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	14	mg/L	U	R	Better result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-207137-2	SWFTS-MW14-EM09	EPA 351.2	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	2.5	mg/L	U	R	Analyte was detected in a different run with a lower PQL.
440-207268-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM09	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1800	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-207497-2	SWFTS-MW24-EM09	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Analyte diluted out. Better result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-207586-1	SWFTS-MW08A-EM09	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2000	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-210173-2	SWFTS-MW01-EM10	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1700	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-210284-2	PC-94-EM10	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Better result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-210284-2	PC-94-EM10	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	U	R	Better result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-210367-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2300	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-210430-1	PC58-EM10	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1700	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.

Table 11 Better Results Reported

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Parameter	Result	Units	Lab Qualifier	Validator Qualifier	Reason for Use of Alternate Result
440-210430-1	SWFTS-MW16-EM10	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1600	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 50x dilution analysis.
440-210534-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM10	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2100	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW03-EM11	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2500	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-215437-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM11	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1000	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 50x dilution analysis.
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	J	R	According to lab, result was used for lab QC and does not reflect actual concentration.
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution too high. Detected result from 10x dilution analysis was used.
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	35	mg/L	J	R	Result reported from 10x dilution analysis for lower PQL.
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM11	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2400	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-215717-1	SWFTS-MW21-EM11	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	3200	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-218208-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM13	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-218208-2	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	U	R	Better result reported from 5x dilution analysis with a lower PQL.
440-218208-2	SWFTS-MW16-EM13	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	5	mg/L	U	R	Better result reported from 5x dilution analysis with a lower PQL.
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW04-EM13	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	900	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 100x dilution analysis.
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM13	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1000	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 50x dilution analysis.
440-218296-1	SWFTS-MW17-EM13	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2800	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-219797-2	SWFTS-MW16-EM14	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	11	mg/L	J	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-219797-2	SWFTS-MW16-EM14	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	5	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 5x dilution analysis.
440-219886-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM14	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	13	mg/L	J	R	According to lab, result was used for lab QC and does not reflect actual concentration.
440-220031-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM14	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	3100	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-220031-1	PC-92-EM14	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1200	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW109-EM14	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2400	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-220125-1	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-221855-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-221855-2	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	EPA 300.0	Nitrite [as N]	13	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 10x dilution analysis.
440-221975-2	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	EPA 300.0	Nitrate [as N]	28	mg/L	U	R	Dilution is too high. Analyte not detected. Result reported from 20x dilution analysis.
440-222092-1	COH-2B1-EM15	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1200	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW11-EM15	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	2200	mg/L	Е	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM15	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	3300	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 500x dilution analysis.
440-222092-1	SWFTS-MW18-EM15	EPA 300.0	Sulfate	1900	mg/L	E	R	Exceeds calibration range. Better result reported from 200x dilution analysis.

Table 12 Sample Preservation Infractions

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Parameter	Item	Outlier	Criteria
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW21-BL02	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	7.1 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW22-BL02	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	7.1 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW24-BL02	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	7.1 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-188324-1	SWFTS-MW25-BL02	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	7.1 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	6.7 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-188325-1	SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	6.7 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-188325-1	SWFTS-MW15-BL02	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	6.7 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM05	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW03-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW04-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW05-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW07-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2

Table 12 Sample Preservation Infractions

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Parameter	Item	Outlier	Criteria
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW08-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW09-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW10-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW11-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW12-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW19-EM07	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	SM5310B	Total Organic Carbon	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-210284-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	VFA-IC	Volatile Fatty Acids	Temperature	14.6 degrees C	4 degrees C ± 2 degrees
440-219797-1	SWFTS-MW01-EM14	EPA 351.2	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2
440-219797-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM14	EPA 351.2	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen [TKN]	Preservation	pH > 2	pH < 2

Table 13 Holding Time Exceedances

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Time Limit	Time Elapsed
440-179673-1	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19	SW-9045C-soluble	Total	рН	24 hours	75.5 hours
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-EB	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	24 hours	44.9 hours
440-179673-1	SWFTS-SO1-FB	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	24 hours	44.7 hours
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-EB	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	24 hours	47.6 hours
440-179802-1	SWFTS-SO2-FB	SM4500-H+	Total	pH	24 hours	47.5 hours
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW06A-EM03	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	53.4 hours
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW12-EM03	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	53 hours
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW13-EM03	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	48.4 hours
440-193622-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM03	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	53.3 hours
440-195136-1	SWFTS-MW24-EM05	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	156.1 hours
440-195218-1	SWFTS-MW02-EM05	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	50.8 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	195.2 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	197.7 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	196.3 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	236.4 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	236.9 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	195.5 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	196 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	236.1 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	196.7 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	236.5 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	236.9 hours
440-196558-2	SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	236.1 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW03-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	48.9 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW04-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	44.5 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW05-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	44.8 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW07-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	50.8 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW08-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	51 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW09-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	51.2 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW10-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	58.8 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW11-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	50.3 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW12-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	61.4 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	58.6 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	58.9 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	59.6 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	57.2 hours
440-198276-1	SWFTS-IW19-EM07	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	60.4 hours

Table 13 Holding Time Exceedances

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Time Limit	Time Elapsed
440-203841-1	SWFTS-MW14-EM08	RSK175	Total	Methane	7 days	9.3 days
440-203937-1	SWFTS-MW23-EM08	EPA 300.1B	Total	Chlorate	28 days	35.8 days
440-207137-1	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	SM5310B	Total	Total Organic Carbon	4 hours	51.1 hours
440-215585-2	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	54.4 hours
440-215717-2	SWFTS-MW15-EM11	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	48.7 hours
440-222092-1	PC-88-EM15	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	48 hours	156.5 hours

Table 14 Laboratory Blank Detections

SDG	Sample ID	Method	Parameter	Result	Units	Associated Samples with Qualification
440-196786-1	MB 440-442837/1-A	SW-6010B	Molybdenum	0.0105	mg/L	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06, SWFTS-MW16-EM06
440-203937-1	MB 440-461169/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.099	mg/L	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB
440-204033-1	MB 440-461501/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.0669	mg/L	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB
440-207137-1	MB 440-471007/1-A	SW-6010B	Aluminum	0.0685	mg/L	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09
440-207268-1	MB 440-469644/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.0885	mg/L	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB
440-207497-1	MB 440-468594/1-A	SW-6010B	Magnesium	0.0158	mg/L	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB
440-207497-1	MB 440-469644/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.0885	mg/L	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB
440-210284-1	MB 440-475372/1-A	SW-6010B	Sodium	0.363	mg/L	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB
440-210430-1	MB 440-475969/1-A	SW-6010B	Aluminum	0.0531	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM10
440-218109-1	MB 440-494626/1-I	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.159	mg/L	SWFTS-20180814-FB
440-218296-1	MB 440-496880/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.0552	mg/L	SWFTS-20180816-EB, SWFTS-20180816-EB(2), SWFTS-20180816-FB
440-218296-1	MB 440-496880/1-A	SW-6010B	Sodium	0.328	mg/L	SWFTS-20180816-EB, SWFTS-20180816-FB
440-219797-1	MB 440-499701/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.0679	mg/L	SWFTS-20180910-EB
440-219886-1	MB 440-499203/46	EPA 300.1	Chlorate	4.14	ug/L	SWFTS-MW22-EM14
440-220125-1	MB 440-499701/1-A	SW-6010B	Calcium	0.0679	mg/L	SWFTS-20180913-EB, SWFTS-20180913-FB
440-221855-1	MB 440-505005/1-A	SW-6010B	Aluminum	0.0764	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM15, SWFTS-MW19-EM15, SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD
440-221975-1	MB 440-505005/1-A	SW-6010B	Aluminum	0.0764	mg/L	SWFTS-MW02-EM15, SWFTS-MW05A-EM15
440-221975-1	MB 440-505006/1-A	SW-6010B	Aluminum	0.0695	mg/L	SWFTS-MW06A-EM15, SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD, SWFTS-MW06B-EM15, SWFTS-MW24-EM15
440-222092-1	CCB 440-505628/39	SW-6010B	Nickel	0.0132	mg/L	SWFTS-MW16-EM15
440-222092-1	MB 440-505003/1-A	SW-6010B	Aluminum	0.0676	mg/L	PC-58-EM15, PC-88-EM15, PC-88-EM15-FD, PC-92- EM15, PC-94-EM15, PC-97-EM15, SWFTS-MW04- EM15, SWFTS-MW16-EM15, SWFTS-MW18-EM15, SWFTS-MW23-EM15, SWFTS-MW25-EM15

Table 15 Equipment Blank and Field Blank Detections

SDG*	Sample ID	Blank Type	Sample Date	Method	Total or Dissolved	Parameter	Result	Units	Associated Samples with Qualification
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	EB	10/10/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.056	mg/L	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04
440-193989-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	EB	10/10/17	SW-7199	Total	Chromium [VI]	0.27	ug/L	SWFTS-MW21-EM04
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	EB	10/12/17	RSK175	Total	Methane	0.00031	mg/L	SWFTS-MW16-EM04
440-194202-1	SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB	FB	10/12/17	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Copper	1.2	mg/L	SWFTS-MW04-EM04, SWFTS-MW24-EM04, SWFTS-PC-92-EM04
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.17	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM08, SWFTS- MW16-EM08
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Iron	0.088	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM08
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	4.1	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM08, SWFTS- MW16-EM08
440-203937-1	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	EB	02/21/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Titanium	0.0041	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM08, SWFTS- MW16-EM08
440-207268-1	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	EB	03/27/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.051	mg/L	SWFTS-MW03-EM09, SWFTS- MW16-EM09
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	FB	03/28/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Aluminum	0.059	mg/L	SWFTS-MW25-EM09
440-207497-1	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB	FB	03/28/18	SW-6020A	Dissolved	Selenium	8.7	ug/L	SWFTS-MW25-EM09
440-218296-1	SWFTS-20180816-FB	FB	08/16/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.056	mg/L	COH-2B1-EM13, PC-97- EM13, SWFTS-MW04-EM13, SWFTS-MW22-EM13, SWFTS- MW23-EM13
440-219797-1	SWFTS-20180910-FB	FB	09/10/18	SW-6010B	Dissolved	Silicon	3.9	mg/L	SWFTS-MW10A-EM14, SWFTS-MW16-EM14
440-220125-1	SWFTS-20180913-FB	FB	09/13/18	EPA 300.0	Total	Nitrate [as N]	0.082	mg/L	LVWPS-MW108A-EM14, LVWPS-MW109-EM14, LVWPS-MW111A-EM14

 $^{^{\}ast}$ SDG of EB or FB, which may differ from associated samples.

Table 16 Completeness Summary

Method	Total Number of Validated Results	Number of Rejected Results	Percent Completeness
Calculated	119	0	100.00%
EPA 300.0	1629	0	100.00%
EPA 300.1B	784	1	99.87%
EPA 314.0	827	0	100.00%
EPA 351.2	126	0	100.00%
EPA 365.3	197	1	99.49%
RSK175	102	0	100.00%
SM2320B	1536	0	100.00%
SM2540C	137	0	100.00%
SM2540C-soluble	7	0	100.00%
SM4500-H+	4	0	100.00%
SM5310B	668	0	100.00%
SW-6010B	6712	0	100.00%
SW-6020A	996	0	100.00%
SW-7199	22	0	100.00%
SW-9045C-soluble	4	0	100.00%
SW-9060	68	0	100.00%
VFA-IC	534	0	100.00%

Appendix L.1 Validation Checklists

Appendix L.1 Validation Checklists

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-177629-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: _5 with MS/MSD Matrix: _ Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
9. Duplicates		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual				
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM				
Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.					

Usability: Results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-BH02-WG-26	440-177629-1	02/21/17	2.2 °C
SWFTS-BH02-WG-26-MS	440-177629-1 MS	02/21/17	2.2 °C
SWFTS-BH02-WG-26-MSD	440-177629-1 MSD	02/21/17	2.2 °C
SWFTS-BH02-WG-35	440-177629-2	02/21/17	2.2 °C
SWFTS-BH02-WG-35-FD	440-177629-3	02/21/17	2.2 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	
samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	37
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	N/A
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	IN/A
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported	37 /37 /37
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
9 Common d Onoutitation and Demonting Limits	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
Topolog mino disease to the strain decoposate minor	
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/11/17

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-177682-1
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	1	Matrix:	Soil

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required		
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Instrument Performance						
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None		
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None		
7. Blanks		X	No	None		
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds						
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		Yes	SWFTS-BH02-SO-34: Qualify perchlorate "J+".		
10. Serial Dilution						
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None		
13. Internal Standards						
14. Duplicates		X	No	None		
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None		
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2B_Validation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-BH02-SO-34	440-177682-1	02/21/17	2.2 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte? Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/N/A
6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	es/Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on lata forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs	
reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was high in the MS of SWFTS-BH02-SO-34.	
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	Yes/Yes/N/A
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	1 68/ 1 68/11/7
13. Internal Standards (IS)	1
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A
14 D. P. (
14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were quantitation limits (RLS) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/18/2018	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-177819-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:1Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
9. Duplicates		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	M		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-BH07-WG-25	440-177819-1	02/22/17	1.3 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
	l
3. Holding Times Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times:	1 68
4. Blanks	ı
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	1
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	_
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
9 Dunlicates	
9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or \le 30% (water)/ 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $<$ $5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or \le 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-177822-1

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 3 with MS/MSD Matrix: Soil

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2B_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number Lab Sample ID		Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5	440-177822-1	02/22/17	1.3 °C
SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5-MS 440-177822-1MS		02/22/17	1.3 °C
SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5-MSD	440-177822-1MSD	02/22/17	1.3 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	
samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	T
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	V
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4 F 4 P 6	
4. Instrument Performance Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
and normalized to his 2.75. Were for abundance effects met.	
5 Initial Calibration (ICAI)	
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL) Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs \ge method or NFG requirements?	
6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
7. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks? 8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	.
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-BH07-SO-14.5. Concentration in the	e parent sample
was >4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification.	
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within	
acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	1
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/Yes/N/A
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention	N/A
Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	
HOIII ICAL!	
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/18/2018

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-177995-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:2Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
9. Duplicates		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label		Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	Validation Label Code S2AVM				

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-BH07-WG-35	440-177995-1	02/22/2017	3.1 °C
SWFTS-BH08-WG-22	440-177995-2	02/23/2017	3.1 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or -2°C)? Were	
samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Ye
	•
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	X7 /X7 /XI
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
	•
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	T
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
data forms: were recoveries within faboratory minus:	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/N/
1, C OW '/DDD C ', 1 ', 11' 11' ', 0	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 05/ 1 05/11//
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS/14//
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 05/ 1 05/14/1
	1 05/ 1 05/11/12
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes/Ye
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates	Yes/Yes/Ye
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
	Yes/Yes/Ye

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-177998-1
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	1	Matrix:	Soil

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI		X	No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_	2B_Val	lidation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM			

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number Lab Sample ID **Date Collected Cooler Temperature(s)** SWFTS-BH08-SO-14.5 440-177998-1 3.1 °C 02/23/17

. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were amples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Ye
A. Chain-of-Custody (COC) Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
vere samples recorded on the COCs? were correct analyses performed on the samples?	1 68/ 1 68
5. Holding Times	
Vere samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte? Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/N/A
. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were alibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ nethod or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	es/Yes/Yes/N/A
'. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or nalyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes letected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes/N
S. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Vere samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on lata forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A

Data Verification and Varidation Summary	
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/Yes/N/A
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A
14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
	•
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16 Data Barkana/EDD sammarinan (100/)	
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	37 /37
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/18/2018	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178093-1/2Project No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:4Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
9. Duplicates		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-BH08-WG-36	440-178093-1	02/23/17	2.6 °C
SWFTS-BH08-WG-46	440-178093-2	02/23/17	2.6 °C
SWFTS-BH10-WG-36	440-178093-3	02/23/17	2.6 °C
SWFTS-BH10-WG-21	440-178093-4	02/23/17	2.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
Were sumpres unaryzed within deceptation notating times.	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
	1
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or \le 30% (water)/ 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/14/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178098-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:2Matrix:Soil

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI		X	No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2B_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-BH08-SO-52.5	440-178098-1	02/24/17	2.6 °C
SWFTS-BH10-SO-12	440-178098-2	02/24/17	2.6 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte? Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/N/A
6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	es/Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on lata forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A

10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable	/Yes/Ye
10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? Yes 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	N/A
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	/Yes/Ye
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	/X7 /XT/
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	/Yes/N/A
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $<$ 5x the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	N/A
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	/Yes/N/
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting mint effect recoveries within acceptable fillings:	es/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	es/Yes

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178282-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:7Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
9. Duplicates				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_ Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-BH03-WG-26	440-178282-1	2/25/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH03-WG-41	440-178282-2	2/25/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH01-WG-22	440-178282-3	2/26/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH01-WG-36	440-178282-4	2/26/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH04-WG-21	440-178282-5	2/26/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH04-WG-36	440-178282-6	2/26/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH09-WG-13.5	440-178282-7	2/27/2017	0.2 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or -2°C)? Were	
samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
	•
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	3.7
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 (0
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on	NT/A
data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
<u>*</u>	Yes/Yes/N/A
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/A
<u>*</u>	Yes/Yes/N/
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/.
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	Yes/Yes/N/
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes/Ye
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates	Yes/Yes/Ye
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 9. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
<u>*</u>	Yes/Yes/Ye

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178303-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:7Matrix:Soil

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Instrument Performance					
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None	
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None	
7. Blanks	X		No	None	
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		Yes	SWFTS-BH03-SO-24: Qualify perchlorate "J+".	
10. Serial Dilution					
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None	
13. Internal Standards					
14. Duplicates		X	No	None	
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2B_Validation_Manual				
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM				
Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-BH10-SO-51	440-178303-1	2/24/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH10-SO-51-FD	440-178303-2	2/24/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH03-SO-24	440-178303-3	2/25/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH01-SO-16	440-178303-4	2/26/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH04-SO-14	440-178303-5	2/26/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH09-SO-16	440-178303-6	2/27/2017	0.2 °C
SWFTS-BH09-SO-33	440-178303-7	2/27/2017	0.2 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	IN/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	

7. Blanks		
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or		
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/Yes	
detected in any blanks?		
314.0: Perchlorate was detected in CCB 440-391256/69. It was associated with the MB and LCS only.		

Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	*T/ *
	N/A
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	1
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was high in the MSD of SWFTS-BH03-SO-24.	
10. Serial Dilution	<u> </u>
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/Yes/N/A
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A
HOIL TOTAL.	
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	_
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178410-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:7Matrix:Soil

Anom	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
Yes	No	Yes or No	
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
X		No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
Stage_	2B_Val	idation_Manual	
S2BVM			
	Yes	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Anomalies Required Yes No Yes or No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No Stage_2B_Validation_Manual No

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW04-SO-25	440-178410-1	2/28/2017	3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW04-SO-15	440-178410-2	2/28/2017	3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW04-SO-1	440-178410-3	2/28/2017	3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW04-SO-5	440-178410-4	2/28/2017	3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW02-SO-6	440-178410-5	2/28/2017	3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW02-SO-6-FD	440-178410-6	2/28/2017	3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW02-SO-7	440-178410-7	2/28/2017	3.6 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	IN/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	

7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes/No

8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on	N/A
data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	IV/A
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW04-SO-1. The concer	tration in the
parent sample was >4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification.	
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11 Labouretown Courtural Commiles (LCC)	
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
·	•
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	Yes/Yes/N/A
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	res/res/n/A
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention	N/A
Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	1,772
HOILI ICAL!	
14. Duplicates	T
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	Yes/Yes/N/A
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Y es/ Y es/IN/A
esures SA the RE, were differences between the two values SRE.	
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/18/2018

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178495-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:3Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW03-WG-21	440-178495-1	3/1/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW03-WG-32	440-178495-2	3/1/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW03-WG-32-FD	440-178495-3	3/1/2017	5.8 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	T
samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
	4
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within faboratory finitis?	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/A
data forms. Were recoveries/Re Ds of project samples within haboratory established minus.	_
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	<u> </u>
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms: were LCS recoveries within faboratory established filmits:	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	Yes/Yes/N/A
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/IN/P
Testits VSA the RE, were differences between the two values VRE.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Was provided in limits (DLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions also may and other feature? If applicable	1
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
were reporting mint effect recoveries within acceptable mints:	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	37 /37
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/14/17	
	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-178497-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:5Matrix:Soil

Area Reviewed	Anom	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI		X	No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_	2B_Va	idation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW02-SO-14	440-178497-1	2/28/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW02-SO-25	440-178497-2	2/28/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW03-SO-14	440-178497-3	3/1/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW03-SO-14-FD	440-178497-4	3/1/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW03-SO-46	440-178497-5	3/1/2017	5.8 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	IN/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte? Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/N/A

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A

7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or	
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
detected in any blanks?	

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits? 10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A Yes/Yes/Yes N/A Yes/Yes/Yes Yes/Yes/N/A
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits? 10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	N/A Yes/Yes/Yes
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits? 10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	N/A Yes/Yes/Yes
10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	N/A Yes/Yes/Yes
10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	N/A Yes/Yes/Ye
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/Ye
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value? 11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/Ye
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/Ye
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/N/A
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/N/.
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/N/
13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	1 03/1 03/1 (/
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Г
Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
	N/A
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	103/103/14/
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
were reporting mint eneek recoveries within acceptable mints:	<u>I</u>
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-178689-1
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 2 Matrix: Soil

Area Reviewed	Anom	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI		X	No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_	2B_Va	lidation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVN	M		
Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.	1:1 1			

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW03-SO-57	440-178689-1	3/1/2017	4.1 °C
SWFTS-MW03-SO-58	440-178689-2	3/1/2017	4.1 °C

Usability: Sample results qualified are considered valid and useable for all purposes

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
	I
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
	l
4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	t NI
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and avera	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	
6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences ($\%$ D) \leq	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 N/ A
method of 141 o requirements. Did rett's and average rett's meet method of 141 o requirements.	
7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or	
	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	

8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs	
reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
reported correctly on data forms. Were recoveries At 123 within laboratory established filmits.	
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/Yes/N/A
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/18/2018

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-179122-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:4Matrix:Soil

Anon	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
Yes	No	Yes or No	
X		No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
X		No	None
	X	No	None
X		Yes	SWFTS-MW01-SO-17: Qualify perchlorate "J-".
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
Stage_	2B_Va	lidation_Manual	
S2BVM			
	Yes	X	Anomalies Required Yes No Yes or No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X Yes X X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (J-) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW01-SO-17	440-179122-1	3/7/2017	1.3 °C
SWFTS-MW01-SO-21	440-179122-2	3/7/2017	1.3 °C
SWFTS-MW01-SO-30	440-179122-3	3/7/2017	1.3 °C
SWFTS-MW01-SO-40.5	440-179122-4	3/7/2017	1.3 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	1
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte? Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/N/A
6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	s/Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes/N
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was high in the MSD of SWFTS-MW01-SO-30. The concentration in the pa	arent samples
was >4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply.	
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	1
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/No/N/A
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in INF 440-394907/4 and INF 440-395408/6.	
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A
Hom Ferie.	
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/18/2018	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 3

SDG/Report No.: 440-179273-1

Lab ID: Test America

Matrix: Soil

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Instrument Performance					
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None	
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None	
7. Blanks		X	No	None	
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI		X	No	None	
10. Serial Dilution					
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None	
13. Internal Standards					
14. Duplicates		X	No	None	
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".	
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2B_Validation_Manual				
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM				

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW06B-SO-12	440-179273-1	3/7/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-SO-29.5	440-179273-2	3/7/2017	5.8 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-SO-36.5	440-179273-3	3/7/2017	5.8 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte? Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/N/A
6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	es/Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
detected in any blanks?	1 C5/ 1 C5/ 1 C5/ 1N(
3. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on lata forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A

9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs	Yes/Yes/Yes
reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	
10. Serial Dilution	T
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	Yes/Yes/N/A
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Y es/ Y es/ N/ F
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention	/.
Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	N/A
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/N/A/N/A
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
	Yes/Yes

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-179384-1
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	1	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.
Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW08C-WG-50	440-179384-1	3/9/2017	2.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	T
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
were sumples unaryzed within deceptation notating times.	100
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	T
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	N/A
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	X7/X7/X1/
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	<u> </u>
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
ionis: were Les recoveries within faboratory established limits:	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	N/A
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
O Compound Quantitation and Donarting Limits	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were quantitation limits (RES) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/14/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-179386-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:9Matrix:Soil

Area Reviewed	Anon	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28, SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28-FD, SWFTS-MW08C-SO-49, SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51, SWFTS-MW08C-SO-55, and SWFTS-MW08C-SO-43: Qualify perchlorate "J-". SWFTS-MW08C-SO-60 and SWFTS-MW08C-SO-65: Qualify perchlorate "UJ". SWFTS-MW08C-SO-69: Qualify perchlorate "J".
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	All: Qualify results detected between the MD/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
16. Calculations and Raw Data		X	No	None
17. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_	4_Valid	dation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S4VM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Results qualified as estimated (J-, UJ, J) are useable for limited purposes. Other sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28	440-179386-1	3/8/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-28-FD	440-179386-2	3/8/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-49	440-179386-3	3/9/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51	440-179386-4	3/9/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-55	440-179386-5	3/9/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-43	440-179386-6	3/8/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-60	440-179386-7	3/9/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-65	440-179386-8	3/9/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-SO-69	440-179386-9	3/9/2017	2.1 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	IN/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/Yes
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations	
compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG	Yes/Yes/Yes
requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	
·	

7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or	
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes Y	es/Yes/Yes/No
detected in any blanks?	
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on	NT/A
data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	T
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs	
reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-MW08C-SO-51. The concentration in the p	arent sample
was >4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply.	
10 C ' 1D' '	
10. Serial Dilution Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within	1
acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
acceptable limits of the true value:	
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	T
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	XZ = v/NT = /NT/A
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/No/N/A
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in INF 440-394907/4 (MB and LCS) and INF 440-395408/6 (all	samples).
Recoveries were 79%.	_
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention	Vag/Vag/Vag
Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	Yes/Yes/Yes
from ICAL?	
14. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were	Yes/No/N/A
differences between the two values < RL.	

15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RL/PQLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL/SQL but below the RL/PQL are estimated and qualified "J".	

16. Calculations and Raw Data	
Did calculated results and raw data match the reported data?	Yes

17. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/7/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-179551-1

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 15 with MS/MSD Matrix: Soil

Area Reviewed	Anom	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-15: Qualify perchlorate "J-".
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45 and SWFTS-MW10C-SO-51.5: Qualify TOC "J".
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2B_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2BVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (J-, J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW05B-SO-26.5	440-179551-1	3/10/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-SO-36.5	440-179551-2	3/10/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-5	440-179551-3	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-8	440-179551-4	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-15	440-179551-5	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28	440-179551-6	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28-MS	440-179551-6 MS	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28-MSD	440-179551-6 MSD	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28-FD	440-179551-7	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45	440-179551-8	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45-FD	440-179551-9	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-SO-53	440-179551-10	3/11/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10C-SO-14	440-179551-11	3/12/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10C-SO-31.5	440-179551-12	3/12/2017	2.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10C-SO-51.5	440-179551-13	3/13/2017	2.4 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport			
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were			
samples received in proper condition?			
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)			
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes		
	1		
3. Holding Times			
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes		
4. Instrument Performance			
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct			
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?			
5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)			
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?			
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or			
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A		
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average			
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?			

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	

Data Verification and Validation Summary			
7. Blanks			
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or			
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes			
detected in any blanks?			
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds			
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on			
data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A		
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI			
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs	X		
reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes		
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW07B-SO-28. The concentration	n in the parent		
sample was >4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply.			
10. Serial Dilution			
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within			
acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)			
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes		
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?			
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)			
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	Yes/No/N/A		
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	1 05/1 (0/1 1//11		
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%.			
13. Internal Standards (IS)			
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area			
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention	N/A		
Time of the IS within ± 30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	1 111		
from ICAL?			
14. Duplicates			
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent			
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A		
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.			
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits			
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes		
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?			
9060: TOC results exceeded the upper calibration limit in SWFTS-MW07B-SO-45 and SWFTS-MW07B-	10C-SO-51.5.		
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)			
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes		
	,		

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/19/2018

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 2

SDG/Report No.: 440-179672-1

Lab ID: Test America

Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-WG1-FB	440-179672-1	3/14/2017	2.0 °C
SWFTS-WG1-EB	440-179672-2	3/14/2017	2.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
	1
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
*	1
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	_
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 (6
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	Т
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	N/A
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	IV/A
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	T
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 05/ 1 05/ 1 05
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	1
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
Torms: Were Less recoveries within laboratory established minus:	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1 05/ 1 68
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/15/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-179673-1

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 7 with MS/MSD Matrix: Soil/Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies Qualification Required		Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-SO1-FB, SWFTS-SO1-EB, SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19: Qualify pH "J".
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39 and SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD: Qualify perchlorate "J".
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD: Qualify perchlorate "J".
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label			B_Validation_Mar 2A_Validation_M	
Verification and Validation Label Code		2BVM S2AV	M	
Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.				

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)	Validation Stage
SWFTS-MW09B-SO-19	440-179673-1	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39	440-179673-2	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-MS	440-179673-2MS	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-MSD	440-179673-2MSD	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39-FD	440-179673-3	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-SO1-EB	440-179673-4	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2A
SWFTS-SO1-FB	440-179673-5	3/14/2017	2.0 °C	Stage 2A

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/No
TOC was requested for SWFTS-SO1-EB (440-179673-4) and SWFTS-SO1-FB (440-179673-5), but no both	ottles were
provided. TOC was not analyzed. 9045C (soil pH) was requested for waters. The lab analyzed by SM 4500	θH+B.

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
SM 4500 H+ B/9045C: pH was not analyzed immediately.	

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	IN/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤ method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A

7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or	
analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
detected in any blanks?	

Data Verification and Variation Summary	
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on	37/4
data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs	
reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was high in the MSD of SWFTS-MW09B-SO-39. If the sample is biased high	gh, then it
should be at a higher concentration than its FD. It is not. Based on the results of the parent (0.85) and its	
qualify the results "J" using professional judgment.	(),
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within	27/4
acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/No/N/A
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%. SWFTS-MW09B-3	SO-39-FD is
the only sample in the run. If the sample is biased low, then it would be at a lower concentration than its	
It is not. Based on the results of the parent (0.85) and the FD (1.2), qualify the results "J" using profession	nal judgment.
13. Internal Standards (IS)	
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area	
counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention	27/1
Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	N/A
from ICAL?	
14. Duplicates	T
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	X//X//X/
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 3x the KL, were differences between the two values < KL.	
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	
The separate services with the service services	
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	Yes/Yes

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-179802-1

Lab ID: Test America Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 9 with MS/MSD Matrix: Soil/Water

Area Reviewed	Anon	alies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-SO2-FB and SWFTS-SO2- EB: Qualify pH "J".
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI		X	No	None
10. Serial Dilution				
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples	X		Yes	SWFTS-BH05-SO-20.5, SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-FD, SWFTS-BH05-SO-36, and SWFTS-BH06-SO-14: Qualify perchlorate "J-".
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
16. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Soil: Stage_2B_Validation_Manual Water: Stage 2A Validation Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	Soil: S2BVM Water: S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J-, J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are

considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)	Validation Stage
SWFTS-BH05-SO-20.5	440-179802-1	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-BH05-SO-31	440-179802-2	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-MS	440-179802-2 MS	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-MSD	440-179802-2 MSD	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-BH05-SO-31-FD	440-179802-3	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-BH05-SO-36	440-179802-4	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B
SWFTS-SO2-EB	440-179802-5	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2A
SWFTS-SO2-FB	440-179802-6	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2A
SWFTS-BH06-SO-14	440-179802-7	3/15/2017	2.1 °C	Stage 2B

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 68/ 1 68/ 1 68

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/No
TOC was requested for SWFTS-SO2-EB and SWFTS-SO2-FB, but no bottles were provided. TOC was n	ot analyzed.
9045C (soil pH) was requested for waters. The lab analyzed by SM 4500H+B.	-

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
SM 4500 H+ B: pH in water was not analyzed immediately.	

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	N/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	

7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were calibration blanks analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were analytes	Yes/Yes/Yes/No
detected in any blanks?	

W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	т
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: MS/MSD from a different SDG. No qualification in this SDG.	
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	N/A
11 Labouatomy Control Complex (LCC)	
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS)	1
Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within	Yes/No/N/A
acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution? 314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%.	163/10/10/10/1
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%. 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	N/A
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%. 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%. 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard	
 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 	N/A
 314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%. 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits 	N/A
 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD 	N/A
 314.0: Perchlorate recovery was low in INF 440-396086/4 (79%). Limit is 80-120%. 13. Internal Standards (IS) Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts of the ISs for all samples within 50% and 200% of its response in the CCV? Was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL? 14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water) or 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, 	N/A Yes/Yes/Ye

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-180820-1/2/3Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:6Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-58-BL01	440-180820-1	3/28/2017	2.1 °C
PC-94-BL01	440-180820-2	3/28/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-BL01	440-180820-3	3/28/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW10C-BL01	440-180820-4	3/28/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-FB	440-180820-5	3/28/2017	2.1 °C
SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB	440-180820-6	3/28/2017	2.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL01-EB has detections of boron (T), calcium (T&D), and magnesium (T). SWFTS-BL01-FB has detections of boron (T), calcium (D), and sodium (T). Concentrations in the samples were	
amount in the blank or ND.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
7. Laboustoni Control Complex (LCC)	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
<u> </u>	
8. Duplicates	1
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 65
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	1 05/ 1 05
An. Results detected above the MDL but below the KL are estimated and quantied J.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	**
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/27/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-180937-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:7Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	dation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	Л		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-91-BL01	440-180937-1	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C
PC-92-BL01	440-180937-2	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-BL01	440-180937-3	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-BL01	440-180937-4	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-BL01-FD	440-180937-5	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW01-BL01	440-180937-6	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW02-BL01	440-180937-7	3/29/2017	3.3 °C/5.0 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	
samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
owniproc 10001/00 in propor conditions	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
6010B: Calcium and magnesium were detected in MB 440-398539/1-A. The concentrations in the sample	es were $>10x$
the amount in the blank. No qualification is needed.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	Γ
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	
6 Matrix Spiles/Matrix Spiles Duplicate	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of PC-91-BL01. The concentration in the	
sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	e parent
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of PC-9	1 RI 01 The
concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	71-DL01. THC
concentrations in the parent sample were > +x the amount spixed, so recovery effectia do not apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	37 /37 /37
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
·	
8. Duplicates	T
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	-
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. D. J. (1004)	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
XX 11.1	
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/27/17	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-181045-1Project No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:11 with MS/MSDMatrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No							
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None						
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None						
3. Holding Times		X	No	None						
4. Blanks		X	No	None						
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None						
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01: Qualify phosphorus "UJ".						
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None						
8. Duplicates	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW03-BL01 and SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD: Qualify aluminum, iron, and titanium "J" for detects and "UJ" for non- detects.						
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".						
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None						
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW08A-BL01: Qualify unused results "R".						

Multiple results: SWFTS-MW08A-BL01 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate concentration in the 20x dilution analysis was used. According to lab, the result obtained from the 500x dilution was used for lab QC and does not reflect the actual concentration. The result was magnified due to the dilution.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified estimated (UJ, J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW06A-BL01	440-181045-1	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-BL01	440-181045-2	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-BL01	440-181045-3	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-BL01	440-181045-4	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW03-BL01	440-181045-5	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW03-BL01-FD	440-181045-6	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-BL01	440-181045-7	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-BL01	440-181045-8	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-BL01	440-181045-9	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-BL01-MS	440-181045-9 MS	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-BL01-MSD	440-181045-9 MSD	3/30/2017	1.5 °C/2.0 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries repo	orted Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Tes/Tes/Tes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	T
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW08A-BL01. The concentration	tration in the
parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	
365.3: Phosphorus recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW08A-BL01. RPD was high, but	
6010B: MS/MSD recoveries for calcium, chlorate, magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium	
limits. The concentrations in the parent sample SWFTS-MW08A-BL01 were >4x the amount spiked, so	recovery
criteria do not apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	168/168/168
8. Duplicates	_
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/No
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	168/168
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/27/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-181122-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:2Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None				
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks		X	No	None				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	М						

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW04-BL01	440-181122-1	3/31/2017	1.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-BL01	440-181122-2	3/31/2017	1.4 °C

The following section is interface to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only approache mea	nous are nstea.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	Vaa
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	T
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	1
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
rete reporting mint encen receveres within deceptable mints.	_1
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	** ***
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 12/27/17	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-186188-1

Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:9 with MS/MSDMatrix:Soil/Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies Qualification Required		•	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Instrument Performance				
5. Initial Calibration		X	No	None
6. Continuing Calibration Verification		X	No	None
7. Blanks		X	No	None
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	X		No	None
10. Serial Dilution		X	No	None
11. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
12. Interference Check Samples		X	No	None
13. Internal Standards				
14. Duplicates		X	No	None
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
16. Calculations and Raw Data		X	No	None
17. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label			Validation_Manu 2A_Validation_M	
Verification and Validation Label Code	Soil: Source:	4VM S2AVI	M	

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature	Validation Stage
SWFTS-IW05-SO-28	440-186188-1	6/9/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-IW10-SO-39	440-186188-2	5/26/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-IW10-SO-39-FD	440-186188-3	5/26/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-IW12-SO-31	440-186188-4	6/8/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-IW12-SO-31-MS	440-186188-4 MS	6/8/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-IW12-SO-31-MSD	440-186188-4 MSD	6/8/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-IW17-SO-33.5	440-186188-5	5/31/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 4
SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-FB	440-186188-6	6/9/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 2A
SWFTS-FIELDQC-IW-EB	440-186188-7	6/9/2017	3.9 °C	Stage 2A

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The client requested that Manganese be added to all samples.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Instrument Performance	
Was BFB analyzed before and within 12 hours of sample analysis? Were mass assignments correct	N/A
and normalized to m/z 95? Were ion abundance criteria met?	IN/A

5. Initial Calibration (ICAL)	
Were the correct number of standards analyzed to establish the calibration curve for each analyte?	
Were Percent Relative Standard Deviations (%RSDs) of the Response Factors (RFs) ≤ method or	
national functional guideline (NFG) requirements or Coefficient of Correlation or Coefficient of	Yes/Yes/N/A
Determination ≥ method or NFG requirements? Were Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and average	
RRFs ≥ method or NFG requirements?	

6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	
Were CCVs analyzed at the beginning and end of sample analysis, if applicable? Were	
calibrations compared to the correct initial calibrations? Were Percent Differences (%D) ≤	Yes/Yes/Yes/N/A
method or NFG requirements? Did RRFs and average RRFs meet method or NFG requirements?	

Data Verification and Vandation Summary	
7. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or	/es/Yes/Yes/No
8. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
9. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/MSI	
Was a MS/MSD pair or MSI extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
6010B: MS/MSD recoveries for phosphorus were outside limits. The concentration in the parent sample IW12-SO-31 was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	SWFTS-
10. Serial Dilution	
Were serial dilutions analyzed at appropriate intervals? For results > 50x the MDL, were %Ds within acceptable limits of the true value?	Yes/Yes
11. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
12. Interference Check Sample (ICS) Were interference check samples (ICS) analyzed at appropriate intervals? Were ICS recoveries within acceptable limits of the true value? Were ICSA samples non-detect for analytes not in the solution?	Yes/Yes/Yes
Were ISs added to each sample in the run including calibrations, samples, and QC samples? Were area counts or Percent Relative Intensities within the acceptance range for the method? If applicable, was the Retention Time of the IS within ±30 seconds from the RT of the IS in the associated CCV or mid-point standard from ICAL?	Yes/Yes/Yes
14. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or \le 30% for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \le $5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \le RL.	Yes/No/N/A
15. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	

16. Calculations and Raw Data	
Did calculated results and raw data match the reported data?	Yes
Slight differences due to rounding.	

17. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/8/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-188133-1

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 19 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	М		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-IW13A-BL02	440-188133-1	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW02B-BL02	440-188133-2	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW01A-BL02	440-188133-3	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW01A-BL02-MS	440-188133-3 MS	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW01A-BL02-MSD	440-188133-3 MSD	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW03-BL02	440-188133-4	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW03-BL02-FD	440-188133-5	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW06B-BL02	440-188133-6	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW07-BL02	440-188133-7	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW16B-BL02	440-188133-8	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW16A-BL02	440-188133-9	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW06A-BL02	440-188133-10	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW04-BL02	440-188133-11	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW04-BL02-FD	440-188133-12	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW01B-BL02	440-188133-13	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW02A-BL02	440-188133-14	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW13B-BL02	440-188133-15	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-IW05-BL02	440-188133-16	7/11/2017	4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW18-BL02	440-188133-17	7/11/2017	4.2 °C/4.8 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable meth	lods are fisted.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 05/ 1 05/ 1 05
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
There were several errors on the COC. See case narrative.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	37 /37 /37
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Chromium was detected in MB 440-417908/1-A. Concentration in associated sample is >10x the	amount in the
blank. No qualification.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	X7/X7/X7
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-IW01A-BL02. Recovery was low in t	he MSD of
SWFTS-IW13B-BL02. Concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked. No qualification	on. Chlorite
was not recovered in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-IW01A-BL02 because of dilution.	
6010B: Several metals' recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW18-BL02. Recove	ry was low in
the MSD of SWFTS-IW13B-BL02. Concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked. No	qualification.
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	1
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	37 /37 /37
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
	1
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 02/28/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-188244-1

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: _ 11 with MS/MSD _____ Matrix: _ Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW11-BL02: Qualify the following: perchlorate "J+", TKN, formic acid, pyruvic acid "UJ", phosphorous "J-".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates	X		No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Other (Serial Dilution)	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW11-BL02: Qualify sodium and strontium "J".
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_	2A_Valid	dation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	M		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J-, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW17-BL02	440-188244-1	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW19-BL02	440-188244-2	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW09-BL02-FD	440-188244-3	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
PC-91-BL02-FD	440-188244-4	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW20-BL02	440-188244-5	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW13-BL02	440-188244-6	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW11-BL02	440-188244-7	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW11-BL02-MS	440-188244-7 MS	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW11-BL02-MSD	440-188244-7 MSD	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW14-BL02	440-188244-8	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW14-BL02-FD	440-188244-9	7/12/2017	3.9 °C/4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable men	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or -2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
A sample ID on the COC did not match the labels.	1 CS/ 1 CS
A sample 1D on the COC the not material the labels.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 05/ 1 05/ 1 05
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 03
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	res/res/no
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-BL02. Concentration in the particles of	arent sample
was >4x the amount spiked. No qualification. Chlorite was not recovered in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MV	W11-BL02
because of dilution.	
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-BL02.	
351.2: Total Kjeldahl nitrogen recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-BL02. RPD was	high, but it
was not detected in the parent. No qualification needed for RPD.	<i>C</i> ,
365.3: Total phosphorus recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-BL02.	
6010B: Several metals' recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-BL02. Concer	trations in the
parent sample were >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.	
VFA: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-BL02. Formic acid recovery	was low in
the MSD only.	
└	

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	res/res/res

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

11. Other (Serial Dilution) 6010B: Sodium and strontium %D > 10% in the serial dilution of SWFTS-MW11-BL02. 21% and 23%, respectively.

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 02/28/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-188247-1Project No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:10Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-IW14-BL02 and PC-91-BL02: Qualify unused results "R".		

Multiple results: SWFTS-IW14-BL02 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate concentration in the 20x dilution analysis was used. According to the lab, the result obtained from the 500x dilution was used for lab QC and does not reflect the actual concentration. The result was magnified due to the dilution.

PC-91-BL02 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. 10x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-IW14-BL02	440-188247-1	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW20-BL02	440-188247-10	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW15-BL02	440-188247-2	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW11-BL02	440-188247-3	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW12-BL02	440-188247-4	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
PC-91-BL02	440-188247-5	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
PC-92-BL02	440-188247-6	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW08-BL02	440-188247-7	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW09-BL02	440-188247-8	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-IW10-BL02	440-188247-9	7/12/2017	4.5 °C/4.6 °C/5.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
Two sample IDs on the COC did not match the labels.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Magnesium was detected in MB 440-419032/1-A. Concentrations in the associated samples were amount in the blank.	e > 10x the

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	168/168/168

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 es/ 1 es/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-IW12-BL02. Concentration in the pa	rent sample was
>4x the amount spiked. No qualification. Chlorite was not recovered in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-IW12	-BL02 or
SWFTS-PC-91-BL02 because of dilution.	
6010B: Several metals' recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-92-BL02. Conce	ntrations in the

parent sample were >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	37 /37 /37
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
•	1
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
	•
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	X/ /X/
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	•
1	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
1	
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 02/28/18	
, allowed by. Madreell Melliglet building	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-188324-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:6Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW22-BL02, SWFTS-MW24-BL02, SWFTS-MW21-BL02: Qualify all VFAs "UJ"
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW25-BL02: Qualify chlorite "UJ".
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW25-BL02: Qualify antimony and pyruvic acid "UJ" and potassium "J+".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (UJ, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW22-BL02	440-188324-1	7/13/2017	3.9 °C/4.9 °C/7.1 °C
SWFTS-MW24-BL02	440-188324-2	7/13/2017	3.9 °C/4.9 °C/7.1 °C
PC-58-BL02	440-188324-3	7/13/2017	3.9 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-MW25-BL02	440-188324-4	7/13/2017	3.9 °C/4.9 °C/7.1 °C
SWFTS-MW21-BL02	440-188324-5	7/13/2017	3.9 °C/4.9 °C/7.1 °C
SWFTS-MW12-BL02	440-188324-6	7/13/2017	3.9 °C/4.9 °C

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 65/11(6/1165
VFA: The cooler shipped to TestAmerica-Buffalo was received at 7.1 °C.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	1
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 05/ 1 05/110
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Dichloroacetic acid recovery was low in SWFTS-MW25-BL02.	
,	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	No/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
6010B: Several metals' recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW25-BL02. Concer	
parent sample were >4x the amount spiked for most of them. Potassium recovery was high in the MS and	l will be
qualified.	
6020: Antimony recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW25-BL02.	
VFA: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW25-BL02.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
0. Compound Quantitation and Donastina Limits	_
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	1
were quantitation limits (RLS) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	1
An. Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and quantited 3.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
W.P.1., 11 W. W.M.1 02/20/10	
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 02/28/18	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-188325-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:10Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW15-BL02, SWFTS-FIELDQC-EB-BL02, SWFTS-FIELDQC-FB-BL02: Qualify all VFAs "UJ".
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (UJ, J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-94-BL02	440-188325-1	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-MW16-BL02	440-188325-2	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-MW15-BL02	440-188325-3	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C/6.7 °C
SWFTS-IW18-BL02	440-188325-4	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-IW19-BL02	440-188325-5	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-IW17-BL02	440-188325-6	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-MW23-BL02	440-188325-7	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
PC-97-BL02	440-188325-8	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C
SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-EB	440-188325-9	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C/6.7 °C
SWFTS-FIELDQC-BL02-FB	440-188325-10	7/13/2017	3.7 °C/4.9 °C/6.7 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
VFA: The cooler shipped to TestAmerica-Buffalo was received at 6.7 °C.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
were samples recorded on the Cocs. Were correct analyses performed on the samples.	1 65/1 65
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times:	1 05
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Chromium was detected in MB 440-417908/1-A. Associated samples were ND.	-
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	37 /37 /37
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	37 /37 /37/4
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10 D / D I /FDD : /100/	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/12/18

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-189933-1
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	1	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-COH-2B1 -BL02: Qualify strontium "J+".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates						
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-COH-2B1 -BL02: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-COH-2B1 -BL02 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 2x and 100x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 100x dilution. 2x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified estimated (J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
COH-2B1-BL02	440-189933-1	8/9/2017	2.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: The following metals were detected in MB 440-423256/1-A: aluminum and calcium. Aluminum detected in the sample. Calcium concentration in the sample was >10x the amount in the blank.	was not
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, and strontium results were outside limits in the MS and/or /MSD of SWF BL02. Calcium and magnesium concentrations were > 4x the amount spiked.	TS-COH-2B1
7. Labourtour Control Consults (LCC)	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $<$ 5x the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	N/A
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	T7 /T7
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/12/2018

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-192627-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:14Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No											
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None										
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None										
3. Holding Times		X	No	None										
4. Blanks		X	No	None										
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None										
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None										
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None										
8. Duplicates		X	No	None										
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None										
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None										
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual											
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM													

Overall Assessment: Acceptable

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW01-EM01	440-192627-1	9/19/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM01	440-192627-2	9/19/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM01	440-192627-3	9/19/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM01-FD	440-192627-4	9/19/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM01	440-192627-5	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM01-FD	440-192627-6	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM01	440-192627-7	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM01	440-192627-8	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM01	440-192627-9	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM01-FD	440-192627-10	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM01	440-192627-11	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM01	440-192627-12	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-EM01-20170920-EB	440-192627-13	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-EM01-20170920-FB	440-192627-14	9/20/2017	2.1 °C/2.5 °C/3.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
COC requested chlorite. Client notified lab not to analyze chlorite.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 03

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)		
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es	
8. Duplicates		
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent		
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results		
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	37 /37	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes	
	•	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 3/12/18	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 15 with MS/MSD
SDG/Report No.: 440-192728-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/MDL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-92-EM01: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-92-EM01 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. 5x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW14-EM01	440-192728-1	9/20/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM01	440-192728-2	9/20/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM01	440-192728-3	9/20/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM01	440-192728-4	9/20/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-94-EM01	440-192728-5	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM01	440-192728-6	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM01	440-192728-7	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM01-MS	440-192728-7MS	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM01-MSD	440-192728-7MSD	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM01	440-192728-8	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM01	440-192728-9	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM01	440-192728-10	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM01	440-192728-11	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM01	440-192728-12	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM01	440-192728-13	9/21/2017	1.5 °C/4.5 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
Chlorite was requested on COC. Client notified lab not to analyze chlorite.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	168/168/168

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No	
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-92-EM01. The concentration in the		
parent sample was >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.		

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	168/168/168

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits			
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes		
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".			

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/12/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-192818-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 18 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-88-EM01: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-88-EM01 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 10x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW09A-EM01	440-192818-1	9/21/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM01	440-192818-2	9/21/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM01	440-192818-3	9/21/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM01	440-192818-4	9/21/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM01	440-192818-5	9/21/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-EM01-20170922-EB	440-192818-6	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM01	440-192818-7	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM01	440-192818-8	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM01	440-192818-9	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM01	440-192818-10	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM01-MS	440-192818-10MS	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM01-MSD	440-192818-10MSD	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-EM01-20170922-FB	440-192818-11	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM01-FD	440-192818-12	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM01	440-192818-13	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM01	440-192818-14	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM01	440-192818-15	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-COH-2B1 -EM01	440-192818-16	9/22/2017	1.9 °C/5.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport			
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes		
samples received in proper condition?			

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)			
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/No		
The COC did not match the container labels for one sample. The sample ID listed on the container was SWFTS-			
MW06A-EM01. The COC had SWFTS-MW07A-EM01. The client was notified and confirmed the contain	iner ID was		
correct. COC requested chlorite. Client notified lab not to analyze for chlorite.			

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-88-EM01. The concentral parent sample was >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.	tion in the
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
9 Dunlington	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $<$ 5x the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/12/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
SDG/Report No.: 440-192973-1/2
Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 10 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW07A-EM02	440-192973-1	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM02	440-192973-2	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM02	440-192973-3	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM02	440-192973-4	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM02-FD	440-192973-5	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM02	440-192973-6	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM02	440-192973-7	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM02-FD	440-192973-8	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM02	440-192973-9	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM02	440-192973-10	9/26/2017	1.1 °C/3.2 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	r es/ino/ r es
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
were samples recorded on the GGGS. Were correct analyses performed on the samples.	105/105
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times:	105
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	105/105/10
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	105/105/105
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	<u> </u>
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	
9 Dunitarias	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	1
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 03
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	37 /37
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/12/18	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-193062-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 18 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No							
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None						
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None						
3. Holding Times		X	No	None						
4. Blanks		X	No	None						
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None						
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None						
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None						
8. Duplicates		X	No	None						
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".						
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None						
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-92-EM02: Qualify unused result "R".						

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-92-EM02 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 5x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW20-EM02	440-193062-1	9/26/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM02	440-193062-2	9/26/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM02	440-193062-3	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM02-FD	440-193062-4	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM02	440-193062-5	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-EM02-20170927-FB	440-193062-6	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-EM02-20170927-EB	440-193062-7	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM02	440-193062-8	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM02-MS	440-193062-8 MS	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM02-MSD	440-193062-8 MSD	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM02	440-193062-9	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM02	440-193062-10	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM02	440-193062-11	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM02	440-193062-12	9/27/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM02	440-193062-13	9/26/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-PC-94-EM02	440-193062-14	9/26/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM02	440-193062-15	9/26/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM02	440-193062-16	9/26/2017	1.9 °C/2.3 °C/3.8 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/SMD of SWFTS-PC-92-EM02. The concentral parent sample was >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.	Yes/Yes/No ation in the
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
9 Danillandan	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or \le 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/12/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-193167-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 17 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02: Qualify perchlorate "J+".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-88-EM02: Qualify unused result "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-88-EM02 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 10x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW03-EM02	440-193167-1	9/27/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM02	440-193167-2	9/27/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM02	440-193167-3	9/27/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM02	440-193167-4	9/27/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM02	440-193167-5	9/27/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM02	440-193167-6	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM02	440-193167-7	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM02-MS	440-193167-7MS	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM02-MSD	440-193167-7MSD	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-EM02-20170928-EB	440-193167-8	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM02	440-193167-9	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM02-FD	440-193167-10	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM02	440-193167-11	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM02	440-193167-12	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM02	440-193167-13	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM02	440-193167-14	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM02	440-193167-15	9/28/2017	0.8 °C/3.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable meth	ods are listed.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC) Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
were samples recorded on the COCs: Were correct analyses performed on the samples:	168/168
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
The state of the s	
(Matrix Cailea/Matrix Cailea Dandiacta	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-88-EM02. The concentration in the	e parent
sample was >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.	
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-88-EM02.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within raporatory established finitis?	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	103/103/103
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Vaa/Vaa
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

3

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/13/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 9

SDG/Report No.: 440-193472-1/2

Lab ID: Test America

Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW11-EM03	440-193472-1	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM03	440-193472-2	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM03	440-193472-3	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM03	440-193472-4	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM03	440-193472-5	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM03	440-193472-6	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM03	440-193472-7	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM03	440-193472-8	10/03/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM03-FD	440-193472-9	10/03/17	1.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

4 C 1 D 4 TT 10 17	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	**
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on	X7/X7/X7
data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	103/103/103
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	V/V/V
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
 < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits 	
	Vas/Vas
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-193622-1/2
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	8	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW13-EM03, SWFTS-MW12-EM03, SWFTS-MW06A-EM03: Qualify nitrate "J-". SWFTS-MW14-EM03: Qualify nitrate "UJ".
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-88-EM03: Qualify unused result "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-88-EM03 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 5x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J-, J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW13-EM03	440-193622-1	10/03/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM03	440-193622-2	10/03/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM03	440-193622-3	10/03/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM03	440-193622-4	10/03/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM03	440-193622-5	10/03/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM03	440-193622-6	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-EM03-20171004-EB	440-193622-7	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM03	440-193622-8	10/04/17	0.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)

Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?

No/Yes

The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed.

The sampling times on the label and COC did not match for SWFTS-MW14-EM03. COC was incorrect.

Sample number SWFTS-EM03-20170917-EB was changed to SWFTS-EM03-20171004-EB.

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
300.0: Nitrate analysis on 4 samples was performed outside holding time.	

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 es/ 1 es/No

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate			
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs for project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No		
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-88-EM03 were low. The concentration in the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification is needed.			
SM5310B: TOC recoveries in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-88-EM03 were within limits, but the lab qua parent sample.	lified the		

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates				
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent				
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes			
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.				
The parent sample of SWFTS-PC-97-EM03-FD is in this work order. The FD is in work order 440-193625-1.				

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	105/105
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/28/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
SDG/Report No.: 440-193625-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 7
Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-92-EM03: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-92-EM03 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 5x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Other sample results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-PC-97-EM03-FD	440-193625-1	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM03	440-193625-2	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM03	440-193625-3	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW-15-EM03	440-193625-4	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM03	440-193625-5	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM03	440-193625-6	10/04/17	0.6 °C
SWFTS-EM03-20171004-FB	440-193625-7	10/04/17	0.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	No/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was neede The sampler's name was not shown on the COC. Only TOC bottles were provided for sample SWFTS-E 20171004-FB. Sample number SWFTS-EM03-20170919-FB was changed to SWFTS-EM03-20171004-	M03-
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-92-EM03 were low. The concentration in the sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification is needed.	e parent
sample was >4x the amount spixed, so recovery criteria do not appry. No quantication is needed.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	1
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. The parent sample of SWFTS-PC-97-EM03-FD is in work order 440-193622-1	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/28/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-193712-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:18Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW04-EM03	440-193712-1	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM03-FD	440-193712-2	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM03	440-193712-3	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM03	440-193712-4	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM03	440-193712-5	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM03	440-193712-6	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM03	440-193712-7	10/04/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW03-20171005-EB	440-193712-8	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM03	440-193712-9	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM03	440-193712-10	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM03	440-193712-11	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM03	440-193712-12	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM03	440-193712-13	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM03	440-193712-14	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM03-FD	440-193712-15	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM03	440-193712-16	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM03	440-193712-17	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-PC-94-EM03	440-193712-18	10/05/17	2.4 °C/3.2 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at $4^{\circ}C$ (+ or $-2^{\circ}C$)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed. The sampler's name was not shown on the COC.	1.
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
314.0: Perchlorate was detected in the EB. The concentrations in the samples were >10x the amount in the	e EB or ND.
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	X7 /X7 /X1
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? Outliers were samples from different work orders.	Yes/Yes/No
Outhers were samples from different work orders.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	1
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/28/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-193989-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 6 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW05B-EM04: Qualify selenium "UJ" SWFTS-MW17-EM04: Qualify pyruvic acid "UJ"
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			
O B A 4 4 11 116 1				

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "UJ" or "J" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW05B-EM04	440-193989-1	10/10/17	1.6 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM04	440-193989-2	10/10/17	1.6 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM04	440-193989-3	10/10/17	1.6 °C/3.0 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM04	440-193989-4	10/10/17	1.6 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB	440-193989-5	10/10/17	1.6 °C/3.0 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB	440-193989-6	10/10/17	1.6 °C/3.0 °C/3.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or -2°C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 03/110/ 1 03
the second of th	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed	l.
No custody seals were present on the coolers. Field crew hand-delivered samples to lab personnel.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium were detected in the EB. Magnesium and so	odium were
detected in the FB. The concentrations in the samples were >10x the amount in the EB and FB or ND.	saram were
7199: Hexavalent chromium was detected in the EB. The concentrations in the samples were >10x the arr	nount or ND.
RSK-175: Methane was detected in the EB, but not detected in the samples.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, manganese, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outside limits.	The
concentrations in the parent sample (SWFTS-MW05B-EM04) were >4x the amount spiked. Criteria do n	
6020: Selenium recoveries and RPDs were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW05B-EM04. R	PD criteria do
not apply because the parent is ND. VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW17-EM04.	
VFX-1C. 1 yluvic acid recoveries were low in the Mis/Misb of SW1 15-MW1/-EMO4.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	105/105/105
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
0. Compound Quantitation and Donarting Limits	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
The results access access the ribb out offer, the rib are obtilitated the qualified v.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/21/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 8

SDG/Report No.: 440-194090-1/2

Lab ID: Test America

Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-PC-94-EM04: Qualify aluminum "J+". SWFTS-MW21-EM04: Qualify hexavalent chromium "J".		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J" or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW12-EM04	440-194090-1	10/11/17	3.3 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM04	440-194090-2	10/11/17	3.3 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM04-FD	440-194090-3	10/11/17	3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW6A-EM04	440-194090-4	10/11/17	3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW6B-EM04	440-194090-5	10/11/17	3.3 °C
SWFTS-PC-94-EM04	440-194090-6	10/11/17	3.3 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM04	440-194090-7	10/11/17	3.3 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM04	440-194090-8	10/11/17	3.3 °C/3.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable meth	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
	•
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	37 /37 /37
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171010	-EB.
Magnesium and sodium were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB. The EB and FB are in job 440-19	93989-1.
7199: Hexavalent chromium was detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB.	
RSK-175: Methane was detected in the EB. Methane was not detected in the samples.	
RSK-173. We that was detected in the EB. We that e was not detected in the samples.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	37 /37 /37
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	res/res/no
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits. The concentration in the parent sample (SWFTS-MW12)	2-EM04) was
>4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	1
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	37/37 /37
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Vas/Vas
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
11 of 1070 of the data package results compared to the electronic data: Did results materix	105/105
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/21/17	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-194094-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:8Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW09A-EM04: Qualify perchlorate "J+". SWFTS-MW11-EM04: Qualify pyruvic acid "UJ".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW15-EM04	440-194094-1	10/10/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM04	440-194094-2	10/10/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C/3.9 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM04	440-194094-3	10/10/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM04	440-194094-4	10/10/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM04	440-194094-5	10/11/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-PC-58-EM04	440-194094-6	10/11/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM04	440-194094-7	10/11/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM04	440-194094-8	10/11/17	1.7 °C/1.9 °C/2.2 °C/2.3 °C/3.1 °C/3.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed.	
No custody seals were present on the bottles. Field crew hand-delivered samples to lab personnel.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks				
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes			
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB.				
Magnesium and sodium were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171010-FB. The EB and FB are in job 440-193989-1.				
Concentrations in the samples were >10x the amounts in the blank or ND.				
7199: Hexavalent chromium was detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171010-EB. Concentrations in the samples were >10x				
the amount in the blank or ND.				
RSK-175: Methane was detected in the EB. Methane was not detected in the samples.				

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 65

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate				
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No			
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits. The concentration in the parent sample (SWFTS-MW15-EM04) was				
>4x the amount spiked. Recovery criteria do not apply.				
314.0: Perchlorate recovery was high in the MS of SWFTS-MW09A-EM04.				
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, manganese, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outside limits.				
The concentrations in the parent sample (SWFTS-MW09A-EM04) were >4x the amount spiked. Criteria do not apply.				
VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recovery was low in the MS of SWFTS-MW11-EM04.				

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 68/ 1 68/ 1 68

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results $\leq 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits				
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes			
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1 05/ 1 05			
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".				

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/21/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 4

SDG/Report No.: 440-194202-1/2

Lab ID: Test America

Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW20-EM04 and SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD: Qualify total phosphorus, dissolved chromium, and total manganese "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects.
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVI	M		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "UJ" or "J" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW20-EM04	440-194202-1	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM04-FD	440-194202-2	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB	440-194202-3	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB	440-194202-4	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The rene wing contain is interest to openly means of anomals and issued characteristics.	10 40 410 1101041
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
	_
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	_
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed	
No custody seals were present on the bottles or coolers. Field crew hand-delivered samples to lab person	nel.
[a == 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4 Disaba	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Copper, lead, magnesium, and zinc were detected in the FB. Calcium, silicon, sodium, and zinc v	vara dataatad
in the EB. The concentrations in the samples were >10x the amount in the EB and FB or ND.	
RSK-175: Methane was detected in the EB, but not detected in the samples.	
KSK-175. We that e was detected in the EB, but not detected in the samples.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
to record of the	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	37 /37 /37
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 65
8. Duplicates	<u> </u>
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	/NT - /NT -
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/No/No
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	_1
THE RESULTS detected above the MDE out below the NE are estimated and quantited 3.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
There 10/0 of the data package results compared to the electronic data: Did results materi:	103/103

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/21/17

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-194204-1/2
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	8	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No													
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None												
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None												
3. Holding Times		X	No	None												
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-PC-92-EM04: Qualify copper "J". SWFTS-MW16-EM04: Qualify methane "J".												
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None												
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW19-EM04: Qualify chlorate "J+". SWFTS-MW02-EM04: Qualify TKN, total phosphorus, lactic acid, and propionic acid "UJ".												
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None												
8. Duplicates		X	No	None												
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".												
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None												
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW02-EM04: Qualify unused results "R".												

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW02-EM04 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 20x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate and nitrite were not detected in the 500x dilution. Results from the 20x analysis were used because nitrate was detected and nitrite, though not detected, had a lower PQL.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW19-EM04	440-194204-1	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM04	440-194204-2	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM04	440-194204-3	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM04	440-194204-4	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM04-FD	440-194204-5	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM04	440-194204-6	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM04	440-194204-7	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM04	440-194204-8	10/12/17	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/1.8 °C/2.7 °C/3.4 °C/4.3 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	1 es/10/1 es

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6010B: Copper, lead, magnesium, and zinc were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB. Calcium, silicon, sodium, and zinc were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB. EB and FB are in 440-194202-1. Chromium and sodium were detected in method blank MB 440-436033/1-A. The concentrations in the samples were >10x the amount in the blanks or ND.

RSK-175: Methane was detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	168/168/168

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recovery was high in the MS of SWFTS-MW19-EM04. Recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM04, but the concentration in SWFTS-MW02-EM04 was >4x the amount spiked.	
351.2: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM04.	
365.3: Phosphorus, Total recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM04.	
6010B: Several recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM04, but the concentrations in SWFTS-MW02-EM04 were >4x the amount spiked. No qualification is needed.	
VFA_IC: Lactic acid and Propionic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM04	•

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1 68/ 1 68
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/22/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 10
SDG/Report No.: 440-194242-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW04-EM04: Qualify copper "J". SWFTS-MW24-EM04: Qualify copper "J+".		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J" or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW25-EM04	440-194242-1	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM04	440-194242-2	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM04	440-194242-3	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM04	440-194242-4	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM04	440-194242-5	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM04-FD	440-194242-6	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM04	440-194242-7	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM04	440-194242-8	10/11/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM04	440-194242-9	10/12/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM04	440-194242-10	10/12/17	2.7 °C/3.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is intended to specify dreas evaluated and issues encountered. Only appreciate medi-	10 45 410 115004.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at $4^{\circ}C$ (+ or $-2^{\circ}C$)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The COC requested chlorate/chlorite. The bottle labels requested chlorate only. Only chlorate was needed	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Copper, lead, magnesium, and zinc were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171012-FB. Calcium, silic and zinc were detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB. EB and FB are in 440-194202-1. In most cases to consentrations in the complex were >10v the amount in the blanks on ND.	
concentrations in the samples were >10x the amount in the blanks or ND. RSK-175: Methane was detected in SWFTS-EM04-20171012-EB. The concentrations in the sample was amount in the blank.	>10x the
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	T
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
V-1: J-4- J I M M-MI 11/09/17	

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/28/17

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-194846-1

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 7 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None		
9. Duplicates		X	No	None		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW08A-EM05	440-194846-1	10/23/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM05-FD	440-194846-2	10/23/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW18A-EM05	440-194846-3	10/23/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM05	440-194846-4	10/23/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM05	440-194846-5	10/23/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM05	440-194846-6	10/23/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM05	440-194846-7	10/23/17	1.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

	lods are listed.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	T
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/20/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-194947-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test America – IrvineNo. of Samples:11Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None		
9. Duplicates		X	No	None		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual					
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM					

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as reported.

Usability: Results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW17-EM05	440-194947-1	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM05	440-194947-2	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM05	440-194947-3	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM05	440-194947-4	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM05-FD	440-194947-5	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM05	440-194947-6	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM05-FD	440-194947-7	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM05	440-194947-8	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM05	440-194947-9	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM05	440-194947-10	10/24/17	1.6 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM05	440-194947-11	10/24/17	1.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	1
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition:	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	<u> </u>
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
tor each cateri. Were unarytee detected in any statistics.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Ye
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 6
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	<u> </u>
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
data forms. Were recoveries to 25 of project samples within taboratory established minus.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Ye
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	103/103/10
9. Command Opensitation and Depositing Limits	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Ye
results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \le RL.	
Total Organic Carbon results in SWFTS-MW17-EM05 and SWFTS-MW17-EM05-FD were < 5x the RI difference between them was 1, the value of the RL. No qualification is needed.	L. The
anterence occurred them was 1, the value of the ICL. 110 qualification is needed.	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

2

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/20/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-195026-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:13 with MS/MSDsMatrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None		
9. Duplicates		X	No	None		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-PC-91-EM05 and SWFTS-PC-88-EM05: Qualify unused results "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-PC-91-EM05 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 2x and 100x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 100x dilution. Result from the 2x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

SWFTS-PC-88-EM05 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 10x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

•	Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
	Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW09B-EM05	440-195026-1	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM05	440-195026-2	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM05	440-195026-3	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM05	440-195026-4	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM05-MS	440-195026-4 MS	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM05-MSD	440-195026-4 MSD	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM05	440-195026-5	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM05	440-195026-6	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM05-FD	440-195026-7	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM05	440-195026-8	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM05	440-195026-9	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM05-MS	440-195026-9 MS	10/25/17	3.5 °C
SWFTS-PC-88-EM05-MSD	440-195026-9 MSD	10/25/17	3.5 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-88-EM05 were outside limits. Chlorate con the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	ncentration in
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \le RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/20/17

3

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-195136-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:11Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW24-EM05: Qualify TOC "J-".	
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None	
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW24-EM05: Qualify TOC "J-".	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	All: Qualify results detected between the MDL and RL "J".	
9. Duplicates		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual				
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM				

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Estimated (J-, J) results are considered useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-PC-94-EM05	440-195136-1	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM05	440-195136-2	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM05	440-195136-3	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-COH-2B1-EM05	440-195136-4	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM05	440-195136-5	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-EM05-20171025-FB	440-195136-6	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-EM05-20171026-EB	440-195136-7	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-EM05-20171025-EB	440-195136-8	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-EM05-20171026-FB	440-195136-9	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM05	440-195136-10	10/26/17	2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM05	440-195136-11	10/26/17	2.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	I
samples received in proper condition?	No/Yes/Yes
SM 5310B: SWFTS-MW24-EM05 was received with pH> 2. The lab adjusted the pH to < 2 prior to and	alysis.
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	No/Yes
SWFTS-MW23-EM05 was not recorded on the COC.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
SM5310B: The analysis time for unpreserved samples is 4 hours. SWFTS-MW24-EM05 was not preser	ved to pH <2
and the holding time was grossly exceeded.	1
4 Dlawler	
4. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
Tor each batch: Were analytes detected in any branks:	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	X7 /X7 /X7
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
(Matrix Cuite Matrix Cuite Dunling)	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms: were recoveries/Kr Ds of project samples within favoratory established minus:	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 65
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
	•
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	No/N/A/N/A
results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	
10 Data Packaga/FDD comparison (100/.)	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/20/17

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-195218-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:5Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None	
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW02-EM05: Qualify nitrate "J-".	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
9. Duplicates		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual				
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM				

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated "J-" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW02-EM05	440-195218-2	10/26/17	2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM05	440-195218-3	10/27/17	2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM05	440-195218-4	10/27/17	2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM05	440-195218-5	10/27/17	2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM05	440-195218-6	10/27/17	2.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
SWFTS-MW23-EM05 was recorded on the COC, but was not in the cooler. It was shipped the day before	e.
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
Tot out of sure and an any or any or any	<u>I</u>
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Ye
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 C
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	T
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Ye
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
·	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS
9. Duplicates	T
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	NI (NI/A (NI/
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	No/N/A/N/A
results $\leq 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	
10 Data Paakaga/FDD comparison (100/)	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
were 10/0 of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did fesults match?	1 65/ 1 68

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-196558-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 19 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	Samples 6-14, 16,17,19: Qualify TOC "J-".
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	Samples 6-14, 16,17,19: Qualify TOC "J-".
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	All: Qualify detections between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	М		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified (J-, J) as estimated are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW05A-EM06	440-196558-1	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM06	440-196558-2	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM06	440-196558-3	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM06	440-196558-4	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM06	440-196558-5	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW01A-EM06	440-196558-6	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW01A-EM06B	440-196558-7	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW01B-EM06B	440-196558-8	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW02A-EM06B	440-196558-9	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW02B-EM06B	440-196558-10	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW06A-EM06B	440-196558-11	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW06B-EM06B	440-196558-12	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW13A-EM06B	440-196558-13	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW13B-EM06B	440-196558-14	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW14-EM06B	440-196558-15	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW14-EM06B-FD	440-196558-16	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW17-EM06B	440-196558-17	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW18-EM06B	440-196558-18	11/14/2017	4.4 °C
SWFTS-IW20-EM06B	440-196558-19	11/14/2017	4.4 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition? SM5310B: Several samples were not preserved to pH < 2. They were adjusted prior to analysis at the lab.	o/Yes/Yes
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	y/Vec/Vec
samples received in proper condition?	$\sqrt{V_{eg}/V_{eg}}$
	J/ 1 CS/ 1 CS
2. 112) 120 may process to the process to price and price to distribute the contract of the price and the contract of the price and the contract of the price and the contract of the price and the	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
	Yes/Yes
Sample custody sea	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
SM5310B: Several samples were not preserved to pH \leq 2. The holding time for unpreserved samples is 4 hours	ırs. The
holding time was grossly exceeded for these samples.	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	es/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	es/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms. Were recoveries within ideoratory inities.	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	o/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
SM5310: TOC recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-IW17-EM06B. The concentration in the pare	ent sample
was >4x the amount spiked. No qualification.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	es/Yes/Yes
Totalis. Were Less recoveries within theoretically estimated minus.	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
	es/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	
10 Data Bashaga/EDD sammarigan (1997)	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	V/V
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 06/07/18	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-196659-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 10 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW14-EM06: Qualify manganese and total phosphorus "J-", pyruvic acid "UJ", and aluminum and perchlorate "J+".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW14-EM06: Qualify unused results "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW14-EM06 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 10x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate and nitrite were not detected in either dilution analysis. Results from the 10x analysis were used because nitrate and nitrite had lower RLs/PQLs.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J-, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW13-EM06	440-196659-1	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM06	440-196659-2	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM06	440-196659-3	11/15/2017	3.2 °C/3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM06-MS	440-196659-3 MS	11/15/2017	3.2 °C/3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM06-MSD	440-196659-3 MSD	11/15/2017	3.2 °C/3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM06	440-196659-4	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM06	440-196659-5	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM06-FD	440-196659-6	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM06	440-196659-7	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM06	440-196659-8	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	168/168/168

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	res/res/res

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	168/168/168	
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM06.		
365.3: Phosphorus recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM06.		
6010B: The following recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or /MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM06: aluminum,		
calcium, manganese, silicon, and sodium. Calcium, silicon, and sodium concentrations in the parent sample were >4x		
the amount spiked.		
VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM06.		

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	•
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/15/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-196665-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:7 with MS/MSDMatrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW01-EM06: Qualify unused results "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW01-EM06 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 10x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW24-EM06	440-196665-1	11/15/2017	4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM06	440-196665-2	11/15/2017	4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM06	440-196665-3	11/15/2017	4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM06	440-196665-4	11/15/2017	4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM06-MS	440-196665-4 MS	11/15/2017	4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM06-MSD	440-196665-4 MSD	11/15/2017	4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM06	440-196665-5	11/15/2017	4.7 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
Several fields were not filled out.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	168/168/168

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW01-EM06. Concentration in the property of the p	parent sample
was >4x the amount spiked.	•
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW25-EM06. Concentration in the parent sample	
was >4x the amount spiked.	

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	.
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 03/20/18	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-196690-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:11Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB	440-196690-1	11/14/2017	3.2 °C/3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB	440-196690-2	11/14/2017	3.2 °C/3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-EM06-20171115-FB	440-196690-3	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-EM06-20171115-EB	440-196690-4	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-IW01B-EM06	440-196690-5	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-IW06A-EM06	440-196690-6	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-IW06B-EM06	440-196690-7	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-IW17-EM06	440-196690-8	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
PC-88-EM06	440-196690-9	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
PC-88-EM06-FD	440-196690-10	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM06	440-196690-11	11/15/2017	3.6 °C/4.7 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable men	ious are fisteu.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
were sumpted unaryzed within deceptable nothing times.	1 65
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB had detections of calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium. No detections	in samples.
SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB had detections of calcium, silicon, and sodium. No detections in samples.	
5 C 4 - 100 - 24 - 22 - C	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms: were recoveries within faboratory filmits:	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
Totals were Des received within meetavery established minus.	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	-1
*	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 04/03/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-196786-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 20 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM06: Qualify molybdenum "J". SWFTS-MW16-EM06: Qualify molybdenum "J+".
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-PC-91-EM06: Qualify nitrate "J-".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	М		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified estimated (J-, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-EM06-20171116-EB	440-196786-1	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-EM06-20171116-FB	440-196786-2	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM06	440-196786-3	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM06	440-196786-4	11/16/2017	4.1 °C/5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM06	440-196786-5	11/16/2017	4.1 °C/5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM06-FD	440-196786-6	11/16/2017	4.1 °C/5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM06	440-196786-7	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM06	440-196786-8	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM06	440-196786-9	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM06-FD	440-196786-10	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-58-EM06	440-196786-11	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW-19-EM06	440-196786-12	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-PC-97-EM06	440-196786-13	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM06	440-196786-14	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM06	440-196786-15	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM06	440-196786-16	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
PC-94-EM06	440-196786-17	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM06	440-196786-18	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM06	440-196786-19	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM06	440-196786-20	11/16/2017	5.3 °C/5.4 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at $4^{\circ}C$ (+ or $-2^{\circ}C$)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 68/ 1 68/ 1 68
SWFTS-EM06-20171114-FB (440-196690-1) had detections of calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium	n.
Concentrations in associated samples are $>10x$ the amount in the EB.	
SWFTS-EM06-20171114-EB (440-196690-1) had detections of calcium, silicon, and sodium. Concentra	tions in
associated samples are >10x the amount in the FB.	
6010B: Molybdenum was detected in MB 440-442837/1-A.	

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Nitrite recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-PC-91-EM06. Nitrate recovery was low i SWFTS-PC-91-EM06.	n the MSD of
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSDs of SWFTS-MW11-EM06 and SWFTS EM06. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not	

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Yes/Yes/Yes

Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data

forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results	Yes/Yes/Yes
< 5x the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1 05/ 1 05
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 04/03/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-198276-1

Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:18 with MS/MSDMatrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	Samples 1-14: Qualify TOC "J-".
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	Samples 1-14: Qualify TOC "J-".
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
9. Duplicates		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Estimated (J-) results are considered useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-IW03-EM07	440-198276-1	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW04-EM07	440-198276-2	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW05-EM07	440-198276-3	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW07-EM07	440-198276-4	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW08-EM07	440-198276-5	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW09-EM07	440-198276-6	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW11-EM07	440-198276-7	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW10-EM07	440-198276-8	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW12-EM07	440-198276-9	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW12-EM07-MS	440-198276-9MS	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW12-EM07-MSD	440-198276-9MSD	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW15-EM07	440-198276-10	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW15-EM07-FD	440-198276-11	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW16A-EM07	440-198276-12	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW16B-EM07	440-198276-13	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-IW19-EM07	440-198276-14	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-EM07-20171211-EB	440-198276-15	12/11/2017	5.0 °C
SWFTS-EM07-20171211-FB	440-198276-16	12/11/2017	5.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	No/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
SM5310B : Most of the samples were not preserved to pH <2 as required by the method. The samples we	ere adjusted by
the lab prior to analysis.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
There sumples recorded on the electric what seemed on the samples.	105/105
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
SM5310B: The analysis time for unpreserved samples is 4 hours. Most of the samples were not preserved	
and the holding time was grossly exceeded.	a to pii 2
	ı.
4. Blanks	T
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 65/ 1 65/1 (6
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	N/A
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	Γ
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
SM5310B: TOC recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-IW12-EM07. The concentration of the	e parent sample
was <4x the amount spiked. No qualification is needed.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
Totals. Were Des recoveres within according established mans.	
8. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	V /V
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
9. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \le RL.	
10 D-4- D-4 (EDD (100/)	
LILL LIGITO MODIFORO/HILLI COMMONICON / HIV/ \	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 04/03/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-198371-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 16 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None				
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks	X		No	None				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD: Qualify unused result "R".				

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD was analyzed twice for nitrate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected at either dilution. Result from the 5x analysis was used because nitrate had a lower RL/PQL.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable.

Usability: Sample result qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J", estimated, are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW25-EM07	440-198371-1	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM07	440-198371-2	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM07	440-198371-3	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM07	440-198371-4	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM07	440-198371-5	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM07	440-198371-6	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM07	440-198371-7	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM07	440-198371-8	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW10C-EM07	440-198371-9	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM07	440-198371-10	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM07-FD	440-198371-11	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM07	440-198371-12	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM07-MS	440-198371-12 MS	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM07-MSD	440-198371-12 MSD	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM07	440-198371-13	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C
PC-94-EM07	440-198371-14	12/12/2017	4.0 °C/4.8 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

	nods are listed.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
sumples received in proper condition.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
Multiple errors on the COC.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
6010B: MB 440-447312/1-A had detections of calcium, magnesium, and sodium. The concentrations in	the associated
sample ae >10x the amount in the blank.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
- STICOLY OF CHAIR TOTALS TO THE TOTAL THE OTHER TYPE THE TOTAL THE OTHER TYPE THE TOTAL THE OTHER TYPE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
300.1B: Chlorate recovery was high in the MS of SWFTS-MW14-EM07. The concentration in the paren >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification.	t sample was
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 04/03/18	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 17 with MS/MSD
SDG/Report No.: 440-198508-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW21-EM07: Qualify sulfate "J-", TKN and pyruvic acid "UJ", selenium "J+".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Other (Serial Dilution)	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW21-EM07: Qualify selenium "J".
12. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW21-EM07: Qualify unused results "R".

Multiple results: SWFTS-MW21-EM07 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrate concentration in the 5x dilution analysis was used. According to the lab, the result obtained from the 200x dilution was used for lab QC and does not reflect the actual concentration. The result was magnified due to the dilution. Nitrite was not detected in the 200x dilution. Result from the 5x analysis was used because nitrite was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J-, UJ, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW06A-EM07	440-198508-1	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM07	440-198508-2	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM07	440-198508-3	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM07	440-198508-4	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM07	440-198508-5	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM07	440-198508-6	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM07-FD	440-198508-7	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM07	440-198508-8	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
PC-91-EM07	440-198508-9	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM07	440-198508-10	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM07-MS	440-198508-10 MS	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM07-MSD	440-198508-10 MSD	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
PC-97-EM07	440-198508-11	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
PC-97-EM07-FD	440-198508-12	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM07	440-198508-13	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB	440-198508-14	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-EM07-20171213-FB	440-198508-15	12/13/2017	1.9 °C/2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
Sumples received in proper condition.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	X7 /X7 /X7
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Ye
300.0: Sulfate was detected in SWFTS-EM07-20171213-EB. The concentrations in the associated samples of the concentration of the superior of the concentration of the concentratio	ples are >10x
the amount in the blank.	
6010B: MB 440-447312/1-A had detections of calcium, magnesium, and sodium. The concentrations in	n the associated
sample are >10x the amount in the blank.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	X7 /X7 /X7
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	T
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	No/Yes/No
300.0: Sulfate recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-MW21-EM07.	
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW21-EM07. The concentration	tration in the
parent sample was $>4x$ the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification.	
351.2: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-MW21-EM07.	
6010B: The following recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW21-EM07:	calcium,
magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium. The concentrations in the parent sample were >-	

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. No qualification. Zinc MS recovery and RPD were high for SWFTS-MW21-

EM07, but it was ND, so there can be no high bias or imprecision.

6020: Selenium recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW21-EM07. **VFA-IC:** Pyruvic Acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD SWFTS-MW21-EM07.

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 04/04/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-198571-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:17Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered

valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW08A-EM07	440-198571-1	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW08C-EM07	440-198571-2	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM07	440-198571-3	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM07	440-198571-4	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
COH-2B1 -EM07	440-198571-5	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-EM07-20171214-EB	440-198571-6	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
PC-92-EM07	440-198571-7	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
PC-92-EM07-FD	440-198571-8	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C/2.9 °C
PC-58-EM07	440-198571-9	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM07	440-198571-10	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
PC-88-EM07	440-198571-11	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM07	440-198571-12	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM07	440-198571-13	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM07	440-198571-14	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM07	440-198571-15	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW07-20171214-FB	440-198571-16	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM07	440-198571-17	12/14/2017	2.1 °C/2.9 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The felic wing section is interface to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only approache met	nous are nseed.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC) Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
were samples recorded on the COCs? were correct analyses performed on the samples?	1 es/ 1 es
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
6010B: MB 440-447312/1-A had detections of calcium, magnesium, and sodium. The concentrations in samples are >10x the amount in the blank.	the associated
samples are >10x the amount in the blank.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	No/Yes/Yes
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 65/ 1 65/ 1 65
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	X7 / X7 / X7
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
results \ 3 the KE, were differences between the two values \ KE.	
O. Communication and Description Live	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	1
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	1
10 D / D I /FDD . (100/)	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
were 1070 of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	1 68/ 1 68

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 04/05/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11 SDG/Report No.: 440-203775-1/2
Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 5 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW02-EM08	440-203775-1	2/19/2018	2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM08	440-203775-2	2/19/2018	2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM08	440-203775-3	2/19/2018	2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM08	440-203775-4	2/19/2018	2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM08	440-203775-5	2/19/2018	2.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is interlided to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable inter-	nods are fisted.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/N/A
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
Lab duplicates from different work orders.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/07/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 16 with MS/MSD

SDG/Report No.: 440-203841-1/2

Lab ID: Test America

Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW14-EM08: Qualify methane "J-".
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW14-EM08: Qualify selenium "J-", propionic acid "J+" and chlorite "R".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW21-EM08 and SWFTS-MW21-EM08-FD: Qualify Total Nitrogen "J".
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW14-EM08: Qualify unused results "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW14-EM08 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 20x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate and nitrite were not detected in either dilution analysis. Results from the 20x analysis were used because nitrate and nitrite had lower RLs/PQLs.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable, unless rejected.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" are not useable. Sample results qualified "DNR" should not be used. Results qualified as estimated (J-, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-91-EM08	440-203841-1	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
PC-92-EM08	440-203841-2	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
PC-92-EM08-FD	440-203841-3	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM08	440-203841-4	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD	440-203841-5	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM08	440-203841-6	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM08	440-203841-7	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM08	440-203841-8	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM08	440-203841-9	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM08-FD	440-203841-10	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM08	440-203841-11	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM08-MS	440-203841-11 MS	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM08-MSD	440-203841-11 MSD	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C/3.4 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM08	440-203841-12	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM08	440-203841-13	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM08	440-203841-14	2/20/2018	1.5 °C /2.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	No/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	100/100/168
RSK-175: SWFTS-MW14-EM08 was received at pH = 6 , not pH<2. Unpreserved samples are allowed	per the lab's
SOP.	_

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
RSK-175: SWFTS-MW14-EM08 was received at pH = 6 , not pH<2. The holding time for unpreserved samples of the sum of th	oles is 7
days. The sample was analyzed on day 9.	

4. Blanks

Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?

Yes/Yes/Yes

6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-461501/1-A (0.0945 mg/L). The concentrations in the associated samples are >10x the amount in the blank.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds

Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?

Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?

Yes/Yes/No

300.1B: Chlorite recoveries were zero in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM08. Per inorganic NFG, the result is rejected.

6010B: Calcium, manganese, and sodium recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM08. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

6020: Selenium recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM08.

SM5310B: TOC recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM08. The concentration in the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

VFA: Propionic acid recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM08. Butyric acid recovery was high in the MS only and was ND in the parent sample. Only propionic acid will be qualified.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?

Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates

Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.

Yes/Yes/No

Total Nitrogen was qualified for imprecision in SWFTS-MW10A-EM08 and SWFTS-MW10A-EM08-FD. The concentration in the parent sample was <5x the RL, so RPD criteria does not apply. The difference between the parent and FD results was > the RL.

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?

Yes/Yes

All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)

Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?

Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/07/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-203937-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 16 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW23-EM08: Qualify chlorate "J-".
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB: Qualify dissolved calcium "J+". SWFTS-MW03-EM08: Qualify dissolved aluminum, iron, silicon, and titanium "J+". SWFTS-MW16-EM08: Qualify dissolved aluminum, silicon, and titanium "J+".
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW11-EM08 and SWFTS-MW24-EM08 Qualify unused results "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW11-EM08 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate exceeded the calibration range in the 20x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 500x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW24-EM08 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 500x dilution. Result from the 20x analysis was used because nitrate was detected.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J-, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW22-EM08	440-203937-1	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM08	440-203937-2	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB	440-203937-3	2/21/2018	2.0 °C/3.0 °C
PC-97-EM08	440-203937-4	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM08	440-203937-5	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM08	440-203937-6	2/21/2018	2.0 °C/3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM08	440-203937-7	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM08	440-203937-8	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM08-MS	440-203937-8 MS	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM08-MSD	440-203937-8 MSD	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-20180221-FB	440-203937-9	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM08	440-203937-10	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM08-FD	440-203937-11	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
PC-94-EM08	440-203937-12	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
PC-58-EM08	440-203937-13	2/21/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM08	440-203937-14	2/21/2018	3.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
300.1B: Chlorate was reanalyzed outside of holding time because the initial result did not reflect previous results.	

4. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks? 6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-461169/1-A (0.0990 mg/L). The concentrations in the associated field samples are >10x the amount in the blank. SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB may be biased high. The following dissolved metals were detected in SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB: aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, silicon, sodium, and titanium. SM 2320B: Alkalinity and bicarbonate alkalinity were detected in SWFTS-20180221-EM08-EB. The concentrations in the associated field samples are >10x the amount in the EB. 5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits? Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate			
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No		
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW24-EM08. The concentration in the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.			

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/08/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-204033-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:11Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Area Reviewed Ano		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None				
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB: Qualify dissolved calcium "J".				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW06A-EM08 and SWFTS-MW18-EM08 Qualify unused results "R".				

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW06A-EM08 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate exceeded the calibration range in the 5x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 200x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW18-EM08 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate exceeded the calibration range in the 20x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 500x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated "J" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

4. Blanks

EM08-FB: calcium, sodium, and zinc.

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW06A-EM08	440-204033-1	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM08	440-204033-2	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-20180222-EM08-EB	440-204033-3	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM08	440-204033-4	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM08	440-204033-5	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
COH-2B1 -EM08	440-204033-6	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM08	440-204033-7	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM08	440-204033-8	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-20180222-EM08-FB	440-204033-9	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C/3.7 °C
PC-88-EM08	440-204033-10	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM08	440-204033-11	2/22/2018	1.5 °C/2.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samp	les? Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed Yes/Yes/Yes for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks? 6010B: Calcium and lead were detected in MB 440-461501/1-A at 0.0669 mg/L and 0.0630 mg/L, respectively. The blank was associated with a FB not field samples. The following dissolved metals were detected in SWFTS-20180222-

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	X7 /X7 /X7
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	•
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW06A-EM08 and high in the MS/MSD	
MW18-EM08. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery crite	ria do not apply.
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	res/res/res
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
Lab DUPs only.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
	168/168
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	

Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic	data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/11/18

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-207137-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 12 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD: Qualify TOC "J-".				
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None				
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD: Qualify TOC "J-".				
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09: Qualify dissolved aluminum "J".				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW13-EM09: Qualify nitrate "J+". SWFTS-MW14-EM09: Qualify boron and potassium "J+"; antimony "UJ", and selenium "J-".				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09 and SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD: Qualify nickel "J".				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Other (Calibration)	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM09: Qualify lactic acid and formic acid "UJ".				
12. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	PC-91-EM09, SWFTS-MW13-EM09, SWFTS-MW14-EM09, and SWFTS-MW14-EM09: Qualify unused results "R".				

Multiple results:

PC-91-EM09 and SWFTS-MW13-EM09 were each analyzed twice for sulfate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate results exceeded the calibration range in the 5x dilutions and were not used. Sulfate results from the 200x dilution analysis were used because they were within the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW14-EM09 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 5x and 200x dilutions. They were not detected at either dilution. Results from the 5x dilution were used because of the lower RL/PQL.

SWFTS-MW14-EM09 was analyzed twice for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen. TKN was detected once. The detected result was used.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified (J, J-, J+, or UJ) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-91-EM09	440-207137-1	3/26/2018	2.3 °C
PC-92-EM09	440-207137-2	3/26/2018	2.3 °C
PC-92-EM09-FD	440-207137-3	3/26/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM09	440-207137-4	3/26/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM09	440-207137-5	3/26/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM09	440-207137-6	3/26/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM09	440-207137-7	3/26/2018	2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD	440-207137-8	3/26/2018	2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM09	440-207137-9	3/26/2018	2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM09-MS	440-207137-9 MS	3/26/2018	2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM09-MSD	440-207137-9 MSD	3/26/2018	2.3 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM09	440-207137-10	3/26/2018	2.3 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	No/No/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
SM5310B: The TOC aliquot of SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD was not preserved to pH < 2.	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
	<u> </u>

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
SM5310B: The TOC aliquot of SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD was not preserved to pH < 2 and not analyzed v	ithin 4
hours. Holding time was grossly exceeded.	
hours. Holding time was grossly exceeded.	

4. Blanks		
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes	
6010B: MB 440-468594/1-A had detections of aluminum, boron, calcium, and magnesium. MB 440-471007/1-A had		
detections of aluminum and calcium.		

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits? Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?

Yes/Yes/No

300.0: Nitrate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW13-EM09. Sulfate recoveries were low. The sulfate concentration in the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria for sulfate does not apply.

6010B: Several metals had high or low recoveries in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM09 and SWFTS-MW14-EM09. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Boron, phosphorus, and potassium recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM09. Phosphorus was non-detect, so there can be no high bias.

6020: Antimony and selenium recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM09.

VFA-IC: Propionic acid recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM09. It was non-detect in the parent, so there can be no high bias.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?

Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates

Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.

Yes/Yes/No

SWFTS-MW10A-EM09 and SWFTS-MW10A-EM09-FD: Nickel results are < 5x the RL. Difference between parent and FD results is 0.16, which is >RL (0.01). Qualification needed.

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?

Yes/Yes

All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)

Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?

Yes/Yes

11. Other (Calibration)

This was mentioned in the case narrative and verified by the validator.

VFA-IC: According to the case narrative, the lactic acid and formic acid recoveries were high in the CCV associated with SWFTS-MW10A-EM09. Formic acid %R = 152%. Lactic acid %R = 256%. Acceptance range is 80-120%.

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/16/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-207268-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 16 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies Qualification Required		~	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No				
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None			
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None			
3. Holding Times		X	No	None			
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB: Qualify calcium "J+". SWFTS-MW03-EM09 and SWFTS-MW16-EM09: Qualify dissolved aluminum "J+".			
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None			
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None			
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None			
8. Duplicates		X	No	None			
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".			
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None			
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW02-EM09: Qualify unused result "R".			

Multiple Results:

SWFTS-MW02-EM09 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate result exceeded the calibration range in the 5x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 200x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample result qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J" or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW02-EM09	440-207268-1	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB	440-207268-2	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM09	440-207268-3	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
PC-97-EM09	440-207268-4	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM09	440-207268-5	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM09	440-207268-6	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM09	440-207268-7	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
PC-94-EM09	440-207268-8	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM09	440-207268-9	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM09	440-207268-10	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM09	440-207268-11	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM09-FD	440-207268-12	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM09	440-207268-13	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM09	440-207268-14	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM09	440-207268-15	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C
SWFTS-20180327-EM09-FB	440-207268-16	3/27/2018	1.2 °C/1.4 °C/2.7 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	T
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Calcium and sodium were detected in MB 440-468434/1-A. Calcium was detected in ME 469644/1-A. The concentrations in the associated field samples were >10x the amount spiked. EB will be Aluminum, calcium, and magnesium were detected in the SWFTS-20180327-EM09-EB.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	•
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM09. The sulfate concentration sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria for sulfate does not apply.	in the parent
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, silicon, and sodium recoveries were outside limits in the MSD of PC-94-EM09. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Ye
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Ye
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/22/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-207497-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 17 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB: Qualify calcium "J+" and magnesium "J". SWFTS-MW25-EM09: Qualify dissolved aluminum "J" and selenium "J+".		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW24-EM09: Qualify sulfate "J".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW24-EM09: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple Results:

SWFTS-MW24-EM09 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 500x dilutions. Nitrate was not detected in the 500x dilution and was not used. Nitrate result from the 10x dilution analysis was used because it was detected and at a lower RL/PQL.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample result qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J" or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW22-EM09	440-207497-1	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM09	440-207497-2	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM09	440-207497-3	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM09	440-207497-4	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM09	440-207497-5	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
PC-58-EM09	440-207497-6	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM09	440-207497-7	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM09-FD	440-207497-8	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM09	440-207497-9	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM09	440-207497-10	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM09	440-207497-11	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM09	440-207497-12	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM09-MS	440-207497-12 MS	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM09-MSD	440-207497-12 MSD	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM09	440-207497-13	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-0180328-EM09-FB	440-207497-14	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/2.8 °C/3.3 °C
SWFTS-0180328-EM09-EB	440-207497-15	3/28/2018	2.1 °C/3.3 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable income	inods are fisted.
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	1
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum, boron, calcium, and magnesium were detected in MB 440-468594/1-A. Calcium w MB 440-469644/1-A. FB will be qualified.	vas detected in
SWFTS-20180328-EM09-FB: Detected analytes include TKN (351.2); Total Nitrogen (Calc); Dissolved calcium, magnesium, sodium (6010B); Dissolved arsenic and selenium (6020).	ed aluminum,
Calcium, magnesium, sodium (6010B), Dissolved alseme and selemum (6020).	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	T
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	105/105/105
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	163/163/10
300.0: Sulfate recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-MW24-EM09. The RPD was high.	
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW24-EM09. The concentration in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW24-EM09.	the parent
sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	
6010B: Calcium recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW24-EM09. The concentration in the sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	ne parent
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/22/18	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 3

SDG/Report No.: 440-207586-1

Lab ID: Test America

Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW08A-EM09: Qualify unused result "R".

Multiple Results:

SWFTS-MW08A-EM09 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate result exceeded the calibration range in the 10x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 500x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable.

Usability: Results qualified "R" should not be used. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW08A-EM09	440-207586-1	3/29/2018	4.8 °C
COH-2B1 -EM09	440-207586-2	3/29/2018	4.8 °C
PC-88-EM09	440-207586-3	3/29/2018	4.8 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
2. Ch.: of Co4- le. (COC)	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC) Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times West contains a released within acceptable helding times?	Vac
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Comment and Mariana Comment de	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	103/103/10
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates West and duplicate union and breaking SDC? For results a factor of the DL record DDDs between pagent.	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/22/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-210173-1/2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:11Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW05A-EM10: Qualify perchlorate "J".				
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks	X		No	None				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW14-EM10: Qualify potassium "J+".				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW01-EM10: Qualify unused result "R".				

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW01-EM10 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate from the 200x dilution analysis was used because the result obtained was within the calibration range. The 10x dilution analysis result was outside the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample result qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J" or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW01-EM10	440-210173-1	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM10	440-210173-2	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM10	440-210173-3	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM10	440-210173-4	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM10-FD	440-210173-5	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM10	440-210173-6	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM10	440-210173-7	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM10	440-210173-8	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM10	440-210173-9	4/30/2018	2.4 °C/4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM10	440-210173-10	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM10	440-210173-11	4/30/2018	4.2 °C/4.8 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at $4^{\circ}C$ (+ or $-2^{\circ}C$)? Were	No/Yes/No
samples received in proper condition?	100/168/10
214.0. The sterile container for CWETS MW05.4 EM10 was received empty. For englysis, the leb was de-	n aliquet

314.0: The sterile container for SWFTS-MW05A-EM10 was received empty. For analysis, the lab used an aliquot from an unpreserved, non-sterile sample container.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks			
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes		
6010B: Aluminum and calcium were detected in MB 440-475352/1-A. Aluminum was not detected in the			
associated sample. Calcium concentration in the sample was >10x the amount in the blank.			

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were out the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM10. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the antion calcium, magnesium, silicon, sodium, and strontium, so recovery criteria do not apply for them. Phorecoveries were high, but the parent sample was ND, so there can be no high bias.	nount spiked
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	

Yes/Yes

Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 09/04/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-210284-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 17 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No							
Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW06A-EM10: Qualify perchlorate "J". SWFTS-MW10A-EM10: Qualify VFAs "UJ".						
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None						
3. Holding Times		X	No	None						
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB: Qualify sodium "J".						
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None						
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	PC-94-EM10: Qualify boron "J+" and TKN "UJ".						
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None						
8. Duplicates		X	No	None						
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".						
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None						
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM10 and PC-94-EM10: Qualify unused results "R".						

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW10A-EM10 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate from the 500x dilution analysis was used because the result obtained was within the calibration range. The 10x dilution analysis result was outside the calibration range.

PC-94-EM10 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 10x and 500x dilutions. At the 500x dilution, the analytes were not detected. The results from the 10x dilution were used because nitrate was detected. Both nitrate and nitrite had lower RLs/PQLs.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "UJ", "J", or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID*	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures	
SWFTS-MW10A-EM10	440-210284-1	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C/14.6 °C	
SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB	440-210284-2	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
PC-91-EM10	440-210284-3	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
PC-92-EM10	440-210284-4	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW04-EM10	440-210284-5	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW18-EM10	440-210284-6	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
PC-97-EM10	440-210284-7	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW06B-EM10	440-210284-8	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW06A-EM10	440-210284-9	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW06A-EM10-FD	440-210284-10	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW11-EM10	440-210284-11	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-MW09A-EM10	440-210284-13	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
PC-94-EM10	440-210284-14	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
PC-94-EM10-MS	440-210284-14 MS	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
PC-94-EM10-MSD	440-210284-14 MSD	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
SWFTS-EM10-20180501-EB	440-210284-15	5/01/2018	4.8 °C/5.0 °C	
*440-210284-12 sample number was assigned, but sample was not in the cooler				

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	No/No/No	
samples received in proper condition?	100/100/100	
314.0: The sterile container for SWFTS-MW06A-EM10 was received empty. For analysis, the lab pulled an aliquot		
from an unpreserved, non-sterile sample container.	_	
VFA-IC: SWFTS-MW10A-EM10 was received at TestAmerica-Buffalo at 14.6 °C.		

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)		
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes	
Cooler custody seals were not present. No evidence of tampering. SWFT-MW11-EM10-FD was listed on the COC,		
but the sample was not in the cooler.		

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary		
4. Blanks		
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes	
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?		
6010B: Sodium was detected in MB 440-475372/1-A. Calcium and sodium were detected in SWFT.	S-EM10-	
20180501-EB. Calcium and magnesium were detected in SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB.		
6020: Selenium was detected in SWFTS-EM10-20180501-FB.		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	** ***	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?		
300.0: Sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM10. The concentration in the parent sample		
was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.		
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of PC-94-EM10. The concentration in the parent sample was		
>4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.		
351.2: TKN recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of PC-94-EM10.		
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD		
of PC-94-EM10. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Boron recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of PC-94-EM10.		
appry. Boron recoveries were high in the M3/M3D of 1 C-54-EM10.		
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	·	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	105/105/105	
9. Down Production		
8. Duplicates Was any duplicate pairs analysed in this SDC? For results > 50 the DL years BDDs between ground		
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or \le 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes	
results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $< RL$.	168/168/168	
results var the tell, were differences between the two variets vite.	1	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes	

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/22/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 1

SDG/Report No.: 440-210367-1

Lab ID: Test America

Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD: Qualify unused result "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD was analyzed twice for sulfate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate from the 500x dilution analysis was used because the result obtained was within the calibration range. The 20x dilution analysis result was outside the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample result qualified "R" should not be used. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD	440-210367-1	5/01/2018	1.5 ℃

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	T
samples received in proper condition? Were sample temperatures kept at $4 \text{ C} (+ \text{ of } -2 \text{ C})$? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
	1
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	_
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	168/168/168
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Sulfate recovery was high in the MSD of SWFTS-MW11-EM10-FD. The concentration in the pa	rent sample
was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. Parent sample SWFTS-MW11-EM10 is found in 440-210284-1.	
Farent sample 5 WF15-WW11-EW110 is found in 440-210284-1.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	100/100
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/22/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 13
SDG/Report No.: 440-210430-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW03-EM10: Qualify aluminum "J+".		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW16-EM10: Qualify thallium and pyruvic acid "UJ".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	PC58-EM10 and SWFTS-MW16-EM10: Qualify unused results "R".		

Multiple results:

PC58-EM10 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate from the 200x dilution analysis was used because the result obtained was within the calibration range. The 10x dilution analysis result was outside the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW16-EM10 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 1x and 50x dilutions. Sulfate from the 50x dilution analysis was used because the result obtained was within the calibration range. The 1x analysis result was outside the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "UJ", "J", or "J+" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW07B-EM10	440-210430-1	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM10	440-210430-2	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM10	440-210430-3	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-EM10-20180502-FB	440-210430-4	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM10	440-210430-5	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM10	440-210430-6	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM10	440-210430-7	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
PC-88-EM10	440-210430-8	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
PC-88-EM10-FD	440-210430-9	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
PC-58-EM10	440-210430-10	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
COH-2B1-EM10	440-210430-11	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-EM10-20180502-EB	440-210430-12	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM10	440-210430-13	5/2/2018	1.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, chromium, magnesium, and sodium were detected in MB 440-4759 most, the concentration in the associated field sample was >10x the amount spiked. Chromium was not a samples. Aluminum will be qualified in one sample.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Sulfate and nitrite recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM10. The sulfate conthe parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Nitrite was ND in the pathere can be no high bias. No qualification.	
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, silicon, sodium, and strontium results were outside limits in the MS and/o SWFTS-MW03-EM10. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recover not apply.	
6020: Thallium recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM10. VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recovery was low in the MS of SWFTS-MW16-EM10. Only the MS was analyzed.	ed.
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/25/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-210534-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:7 with MS/MSDMatrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW17-EM10: Qualify perchlorate "J-".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples	X		No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW17-EM10: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW17-EM10 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 50x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate from the 200x dilution analysis was used because the result obtained was within the calibration range. The 50x dilution analysis result was outside the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified "J" or "J-" are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW13-EM10	440-210534-1	5/3/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM10	440-210534-2	5/3/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM10	440-210534-3	5/3/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM10-MS	440-210534-3 MS	5/3/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM10-MSD	440-210534-3 MSD	5/3/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM10	440-210534-4	5/3/2018	2.3 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM10	440-210534-5	5/3/2018	2.3 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks? 6010B: Sodium was detected in MB 440-475372/1-A. Calcium was detected in MB 440-469644/1-A. The

6010B: Sodium was detected in MB 440-475372/1-A. Calcium was detected in MB 440-469644/1-A. The concentration in the associated field sample was >10x the amount spiked.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	100, 100, 100

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?		
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW17-EM10. The concentration in the parent		
sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.		
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW17-EM10.		

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Nitrite recovery was high in LCS 440-474189/7. The associated sample was ND, so there can be	no high bias.

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A
Lab DUP only.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	105/105
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 05/23/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-215437-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:12Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None				
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks	X		No	None				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW03-EM11: Qualify boron "J+" and selenium "J-".				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW03-EM11 and SWFTS-MW23-EM11: Qualify unused results "R".				

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW03-EM11 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate exceeded the calibration range in the 10x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 200x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW23-EM11 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 1x and 50x dilutions. Sulfate exceeded the calibration range in the 1x dilution and was not used. Sulfate result from the 50x dilution analysis was used because it was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J-, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID*	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-94-EM11	440-215437-1	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW01-EM11	440-215437-2	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
PC-97-EM11	440-215437-3	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW04-EM11	440-215437-4	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
COH-2B1-EM11	440-215437-6	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW23-EM11	440-215437-7	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW14-EM11	440-215437-8	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW22-EM11	440-215437-9	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM11	440-215437-10	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM11-FD	440-215437-11	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW25-EM11	440-215437-12	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

Note: Cooler temperatures at receipt were 4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0° and 5.3°C. Cooler custody seals were not present. No evidence of tampering.

RSK175: Sample SWFTS-MW14-EM11 was not properly preserved to a pH <2. The pH was recorded at 6. Lab SOP allows unpreserved samples.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)

Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
I WELE SAMDIES LECOLUEU OIL ME CAALS! WELE COLLECT ANALYSES DE HOLMEU OIL ME SAMDIES!	1 68/168

The collection time was documented incorrectly on the COC for SWFTS-MW01-EM11. The sample was logged in by the laboratory according to the time on the label per the client's instructions. Cooler custody seals were not present. No evidence of tampering.

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks				
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Vaa/Vaa/Vaa			
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes			
6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-488238/1-A.				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	NA/NA/NA			
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate				
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No			
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	165/165/110			
300.0: Sulfate recoveries low in MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW03-EM11 and SWFTS-MW23-EM11. The co	ncentrations in			
the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.				
300.1: Chlorate recovery was low in the MS of SWFTS-MW19-EM11. The concentration in the parent s	ample was >4x			
the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Low recoveries reported in one additional spike pair	r performed on			
a project sample from another data set. Recovery criteria do not apply.				
VFA: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD performed on a project sample from another data set.				
Recovery criteria do not apply.				
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were above limits in the MS/MSD				
of SWFTS-MW03-EM11. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recover the sample were	very criteria do			
not apply. Boron recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW03-EM11.	_			
6020: Selenium recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW03-EM11.				
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)				
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	** **			
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes			
8. Duplicates				
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent				
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes			
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.				
300.0, 314.0, 5310B: Sample results for SWFTS-MW19-EM11 were reported in Job Number 440-21543	7-2.			
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits				
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes			
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	103/103			

Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/02/18

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)

Yes/Yes

Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-215437-2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:4Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Duplicates					
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual				
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM				
Overall Assessment: Acceptable. Usability: All results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.					

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW01-EM11	440-215437-2	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW03-EM11	440-215437-5	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW14-EM11	440-215437-8	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM11	440-215437-10	07/10/18	4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0°, 5.3°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	1
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	N. N.
Note: Cooler temperatures at receipt were 4.0°, 4.5°, 5.0° and 5.3°C. Cooler custody seals were not present the seal of the	ent. No
evidence of tampering.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The collection time was documented incorrectly on the COC for SWFTS-MW01-EM11. The sample wa	s logged in by
the laboratory according to the time on the label per the client's instructions.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	X7 /X7 /X1
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	NTA /NTA /NTA
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	NA/NA/NA
	ı
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	T
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
300.0: Recovery of the nitrite MSD performed on a project sample in another data set was below the lab Recovery criteria do not apply.	oratory limit.
314.0: One of the MSDs performed on a non-project sample was above the upper limit. Recovery criteri	a do not apply.
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates Wasser and description of the CDC? For applied S. St. the DL group DDDs between applied to the CDC?	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	NI o /NI A /NI A
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	No/NA/NA
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/NA
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	1 CS/INA
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
	Yes/Yes
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Y PC/ Y PC

Validated by: Cecelia Minch

07/26/18

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-215585-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:18 with MS/MSDMatrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11: Qualify pyruvic acid "UJ".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	dation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J/UJ) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
440-215585-1	7/10/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C/4.7 °C
440-215585-2	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-3	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-4	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-5	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-6	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-7	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-8	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-9	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C/4.7 °C
440-215585-9 MS	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C/4.7 °C
440-215585-9 MSD	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C/4.7 °C
440-215585-10	7/10/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-11	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C/4.7 °C
440-215585-12	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C/4.7 °C
440-215585-13	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-14	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-14 MS	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
440-215585-14 MSD	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
	440-215585-1 440-215585-2 440-215585-3 440-215585-4 440-215585-5 440-215585-6 440-215585-7 440-215585-8 440-215585-9 440-215585-9 MSD 440-215585-10 440-215585-11 440-215585-12 440-215585-14 440-215585-14 440-215585-14	440-215585-1 7/10/2018 440-215585-2 7/11/2018 440-215585-3 7/11/2018 440-215585-4 7/11/2018 440-215585-5 7/11/2018 440-215585-6 7/11/2018 440-215585-7 7/11/2018 440-215585-8 7/11/2018 440-215585-9 7/11/2018 440-215585-9 MSD 7/11/2018 440-215585-10 7/10/2018 440-215585-11 7/11/2018 440-215585-12 7/11/2018 440-215585-13 7/11/2018 440-215585-14 7/11/2018 440-215585-14 7/11/2018

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
Cooler temperatures at receipt were 2.0°C and 3.5°C.	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Copper and sodium were detected in MB 440-487554/1-A. Calcium was detected in SWFTS FB.	-20180711-
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6 Matrix Snika/Matrix Snika Dunlicata	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW05A-EM11. The concentration in sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Low recoveries reported in three ac pairs performed on project samples from other data sets. Recovery criteria do not apply.	
VFA: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM11. 6010B: Calcium, magnesium, silicon and sodium recoveries were high in the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS EM11. Calcium, magnesium, sodium, and strontium recoveries were below limits in the MS/MSD of SW MW05A-EM11. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amounts spiked, so recovery crit apply.	/FTS-
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
9 Dunitantos	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
1000110 - OA tile ICE, were differences between tile two values - ICE.	ı
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/2/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 10 with MS/MSD
SDG/Report No.: 440-215585-2
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None		
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW05B-EM11: Qualify nitrate "J-".		
4. Blanks		X	No	None		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds						
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM11: Qualify perchlorate "J-".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates						
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW05A-EM11 and SWFTS-MW10A-EM11: Qualify unused results "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW05A-EM11 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 500x dilutions. The nitrate result from the 10x dilution analysis was used. According to the lab, the result obtained from the 500x dilution was used for lab QC and does not reflect the actual concentration. The result was magnified due to the dilution.

SWFTS-MW10A-EM11 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 10x and 500x dilutions. Neither was detected in the 500x dilution. Nitrate from the 10x dilution was used because it was detected. Nitrite from the 10x dilution was used because it had a lower RL/PQL.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J/J-) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID*	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
PC-92-EM11	440-215585-5	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
PC-91-EM11	440-215585-6	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM11	440-215585-9	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM11-MS	440-215585-9 MS	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM11-MSD	440-215585-9 MSD	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM11	440-215585-10	7/10/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM11	440-215585-12	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM11	440-215585-14	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM11-MS	440-215585-14 MS	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM11-MSD	440-215585-14 MSD	7/11/2018	2.0 °C/3.5 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
Cooler temperatures at receipt were 2.0°C and 3.5°C.	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
The expedited TAT was not indicated on the COC for all affected samples. The laboratory was notified by	the client.

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
300.0: Nitrate exceeded holding time in sample SWFTS-MW05B-EM11. The sample was received at the lab	oratory
with loss than 6 hours remaining in the 18 hour holding time	

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 es/ 1 es/ No

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	NA/NA/NA
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	NA/NA/NA

Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on lata forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 1. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 3. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 3. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 4. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 4. Duplicates 4. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits 5. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits 6. Duplicates 6. Duplicates 6. Duplicates 6. Duplicates 6. Duplicates 6. Duplicates 6. Duplicates 7. Duplicates 8. Duplicates 8. Duplicates 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. Duplicates 9. Duplicates 9. Duplicates 9. Duplicates 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. Duplicates 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/NA 9. Duplicates 9. No/NA/NA 9. No/NA/		
Atta forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 14.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM11. 15. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 16. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 16. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 17. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 18. Duplicates No/NA/NA No/NA/NA	6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
At a forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? A Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? A Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. A Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? O Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	X/ /X/ /N/ -
## A Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? ### Bullicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. ### Bullicates #### Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? #### Package/EDD comparison (10%)	data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Y es/ Y es/INO
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 2. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 2. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, vere reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 4. O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM11.	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 2. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 2. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 4. O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data orms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits? 2. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 2. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 4. O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
S. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. D. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		_
Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD No/NA/NA esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		Voc/Voc/Voc
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? Yes/Yes Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	168/168/168
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? Yes/Yes Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? Yes/Yes Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
ample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD No/NA/NA esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, vere reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? Yes/Yes Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
esults < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 2. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 4. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Yes/Yes Yes/Yes O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? O. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		No/NA/NA
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 1. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 1. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 1. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
vere reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 1 es/ 1 es 1 es/ 1 es 1 es/ 1 es 1 es/ 1 es	9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
vere reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 1 es/ 1 es 1 es/ 1 es 1 es/ 1 es 1 es/ 1 es	Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	X/ /X/
J i	were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
J i		
<u> </u>		
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match? Yes/Yes	10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
	Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/2/18	Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/2/18	

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-215717-1
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	18	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Blanks	X		No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Duplicates		X	No	None	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/MDL "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW11-EM11 and SWFTS-MW21-EM11: Qualify unused results "R".	

Multiple results: SWFTS-MW11-EM11 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 10x dilution exceeded the calibration range and is not used. The 500x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW21-EM11 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 20x and 500x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 20x dilution exceeded the calibration range and is not used. The 200x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated, "J", are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW15-EM11	440-215717-1	07/11/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW18-EM11	440-215717-2	07/11/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW21-EM11	440-215717-3	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-20180712-FB	440-215717-4	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW24-EM11	440-215717-5	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-20180712-EB	440-215717-6	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
PC-58-EM11	440-215717-7	07/11/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW20-EM11	440-215717-8	07/11/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	440-215717-9	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	440-215717-10	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
PC-88-EM11	440-215717-11	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
PC-88-EM11-FD	440-215717-12	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW02-EM11	440-215717-13	07/11/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM11	440-215717-14	07/11/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW13-EM11	440-215717-15	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW12-EM11	440-215717-16	07/12/18	2.1°C, 4.0°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW11-EM11	440-215717-17	07/12/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.7°C, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW11-EM11-FD	440-215717-18	07/12/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.7°C, 4.9°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	165/165/165
Cooler temperatures at receipt were 2.1°C, 4.0°C and 4.9°C. Temperature at TestAmerica-Buffalo was 4	.7°C.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/Yes
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
6010B: Aluminum and calcium were detected in MB 440-490274/1-A. Aluminum was not detected in t	he samples.
Calcium concentrations in the samples were >10x the amount in the MB.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
300.0: Nitrite recoveries were high in the MS/MSD performed on SWFTS-MW11-EM11. Nitrite was no	
the parent sample, so recovery criteria do not apply. Sulfate recoveries were below limits in the MS perfe	
SWFTS-MW21-EM11and above limits in SWFTS-MW11-EM11 MS/MSD. The concentrations in the p	
were >4x the amounts spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Sulfate recovery was high in the MSD pe	erformed on a
non-project sample. Recovery criteria do not apply.	
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the spikes performed on SWFTS-MW21-EM11 and SWFTS-M	
EM11. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amounts spiked, so recovery criteria do not	
Recoveries were out of limits in the MS/MSD performed on a non-project sample. Recovery criteria do r	
351.2: TKN recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of a non-project sample. Recovery criteria do not apply	
365.3: Total phosphorus recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of a non-project sample. Recovery criteria	
VFA: Pyruvic acid recovery was low in the MS/MSD performed on a non-project sample. Recovery crite	eria do not
apply.	
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, and strontium recoveries were below limits in the MS/	
SWFTS-MW18-EM11. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recover	y criteria do
not apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	T
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	165/165/165
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	103/103
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	•
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/07/18

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-215717-2
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	8	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None	
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW15-EM11: Qualify nitrate "J-". (h)	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Duplicates					
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
Verification and Validation Label		2A_Valid	lation_Manual		
Verification and Validation Label Code		М			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.
Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J-) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW15-EM11	440-215717-1	07/11/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW18-EM11	440-215717-2	07/11/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW21-EM11	440-215717-3	07/12/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW24-EM11	440-215717-5	07/12/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW20-EM11	440-215717-8	07/11/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM11	440-215717-9	07/12/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM11	440-215717-10	07/12/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C
SWFTS-MW02-EM11	440-215717-13	07/11/18	2.1°, 4.0°, 4.9°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
Cooler temperatures at receipt were 2.1°, 4.0° and 4.9°C.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
were samples recorded on the Cocs: Were correct analyses performed on the samples:	1 05/ 1 05
2 H 1 P T T	
3. Holding Times	N _a
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
300.0: Nitrate exceeded holding time in sample SWFTS-MW15-EM11. The sample was received at the with only 2.25 hours remaining in the 48 hour holding time.	laboratory
with only 2.23 notifs remaining in the 46 notif holding time.	
4. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	T
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
Tor each batch: Were analytes detected in any branks.	<u>l</u>
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	1
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	NA/NA/NA
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
·	l
9 Dunlington	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	No/NA/NA
results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $< RL$.	110/11/11/11
	1
O Compound Quantitation and Departing Limits	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	1
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
1-period energia reconstruction	
10 D (D (DDD () (100())	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
were 10/0 of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	1 68/ 1 68
Validated by: Cecelia Minch 07/26/18	
,	

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-215795-1
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	8	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed		malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate		X	No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all TOC results detected between the MDL and RL "J". (sp)
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
LVWPS-MW101A-EM11	440-215795-1	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW104-EM11	440-215795-2	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW109-EM11	440-215795-3	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW112A-EM11	440-215795-4	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW108A-EM11	440-215795-5	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW108A-EM11-FD	440-215795-6	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW107A-EM11	440-215795-7	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW111A-EM11	440-215795-8	07/12/18	3.6°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
Cooler temperature at receipt was 3.6°C.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
Discrepancies regarding the identifications of two (2) samples were noted by the laboratory between the	
labels on the containers. The samples were logged in by the laboratory as instructed by the client as LVV	
MW101A-EM11 and LVWPS-MW104-EM11. Samples requiring expedited TAT were not clearly indic	ated on the
COC. The laboratory contacted the client for clarification.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
The same state of the same sta	1 100
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	NA/NA/NA
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	** ** **
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
	•
7. Labourtour Control Commiss (LCC)	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
Torms: Were Less recoveries within laboratory established limits:	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	
	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Cecelia Minch

07/26/18

Project Name:NERT Seep Well Field TSSDG/Report No.:440-215795-2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:8Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed		nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label		2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code		Л		
Overall Assessment: Acceptable. Usability: All results are considered valid and usea	ble for al	l purpose	es.	

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
LVWPS-MW101A-EM11	440-215795-1	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW104-EM11	440-215795-2	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW109-EM11	440-215795-3	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW112A-EM11	440-215795-4	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW108A-EM11	440-215795-5	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW108A-EM11-FD	440-215795-6	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW107A-EM11	440-215795-7	07/12/18	3.6°C
LVWPS-MW111A-EM11	440-215795-8	07/12/18	3.6°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
Cooler temperature at receipt was 3.6°C.	
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	37 /37
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
Discrepancies regarding the identifications of two (2) samples were noted by the laboratory between the	
labels on the containers. The samples were logged in by the laboratory as instructed by the client as LVV MW101A-EM11 and LVWPS-MW104-EM11.	VPS-
WW TOTA-EWITT and LV WFS-WW 104-EWITT.	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	т
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
	<u> </u>
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	X7 /X7 /NI -
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the batch MS/MSD performed on a project sample from another	r data set, so
recovery criteria do not apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
•	<u>l</u>
8. Duplicates Wasser and description of the SDC2 Ferroscotts > 50 the DL arrow BDDs between account.	1
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	Yes/Yes/Yes
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es
results > 5% the KL, were differences between the two values > KL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	X/ c = /X/ -
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	*** /***
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Cecelia Minch 07/26/18	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-216784-1Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:9Matrix:Water

Anor	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
Yes	No	Yes or No	
X		No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
	X	No	None
		1	
Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
S2AVM			
	Yes X Stage_2	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X Stage_2A_Valid	Anomalies Required Yes No Yes or No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No X No No Stage_2A_Validation_Manual Nanual

Usability: All results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-MW09B-EM12	440-216784-1	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM12	440-216784-2	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW14-EM12	440-216784-3	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW15-EM12	440-216784-4	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW16-EM12	440-216784-5	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW18-EM12	440-216784-6	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM12	440-216784-7	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM12-FD	440-216784-8	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW20-EM12	440-216784-9	07/26/18	1.1°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport			
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes		
Note: Cooler temperature at receipt was 1.1°C.			

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	res/res/no

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/Yes
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	1 es/ 1 es/ 1 es

8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		Yes/Yes/Yes
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
sample and duplicates ≤ lab limits or ≤ 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL. 9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	8. Duplicates	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits? Ye 10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
		Yes/Yes
		1
	10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
		Yes/Yes
Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/14/18	Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/14/18	

Project Name:SWF Area Treatability StudySDG/Report No.:440-216784-2Task No.:M11Lab ID:Test AmericaNo. of Samples:9Matrix:Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	X		No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
		ı	1	
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVI	M		
Overall Assessment: Acceptable. Usability: All results are considered valid and useal	ble for a	ll purpose	es.	

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-MW09B-EM12	440-216784-1	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM12	440-216784-2	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW14-EM12	440-216784-3	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW15-EM12	440-216784-4	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW16-EM12	440-216784-5	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW18-EM12	440-216784-6	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM12	440-216784-7	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW19-EM12-FD	440-216784-8	07/26/18	1.1°C
SWFTS-MW20-EM12	440-216784-9	07/26/18	1.1°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/No/No
samples received in proper condition?	
Note: Cooler temperature at receipt was 1.1°C.	

2. Ch	ain-of-Cus	tody	(C	OC)	
***	-		-	-	~ ~

Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?

Yes/Yes

The collection time was documented incorrectly on the COC for SWFTS-MW01-EM11. The sample was logged in by the laboratory according to the time on the label per the client's instructions.

314.0: The expedited TAT was not indicated on the COC for all of the affected samples. The laboratory was notified by the client.

3. Holding Times Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times? Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	Yes/Yes/No
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	1 es/ 1 es/No

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	NA/NA/NA
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	INA/INA/INA

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Recoveries for one of the MS/MSD that were performed on a project sample in another data set laboratory limit. Recovery criteria do not apply.	was above the
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
	•

Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/07/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-216872-1 Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America No. of Samples: 16 Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No		
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None	
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None	
3. Holding Times		X	No	None	
4. Blanks		X	No	None	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds					
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None	
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None	
8. Duplicates		X	No	None	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None	
			1		
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual		
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	М			

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature
SWFTS-20180727-EB	440-216872-1	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-20180727-FB	440-216872-2	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW21-EM12	440-216872-3	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW22-EM12	440-216872-4	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW24-EM12	440-216872-5	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW25-EM12	440-216872-6	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM12	440-216872-7	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM12	440-216872-8	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM12	440-216872-9	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW03-EM12	440-216872-10	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW02-EM12	440-216872-11	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-PC-94-EM12	440-216872-12	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW01-EM12	440-216872-13	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW01-EM12-FD	440-216872-14	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM12	440-216872-15	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM12	440-216872-16	07/27/18	3.3°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes	
samples received in proper condition?		
Note: Cooler temperature at receipt was 3.3°C. Cooler custody seals were not present. No evidence of tampering.		

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)		
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes	
The expedited TAT was not indicated on the COC for the affected samples. The laboratory was notified by the client.		

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	NA/NA/NA
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW03-EM12. The concentration in t sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	he parent
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq 5x the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/07/18	

Project Name:	SWF Area Treatability Study	SDG/Report No.:	440-216872-2
Task No.:	M11	Lab ID:	Test America
No. of Samples:	16	Matrix:	Water

Area Reviewed	Anor	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks		X	No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW03-EM12: Qualify perchlorate "J+".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	No	None
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	lation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	1		

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperatures
SWFTS-20180727-EB	440-216872-1	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-20180727-FB	440-216872-2	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW21-EM12	440-216872-3	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW22-EM12	440-216872-4	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW24-EM12	440-216872-5	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW25-EM12	440-216872-6	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM12	440-216872-7	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM12	440-216872-8	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM12	440-216872-9	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW03-EM12	440-216872-10	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW02-EM12	440-216872-11	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-PC-94-EM12	440-216872-12	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW01-EM12	440-216872-13	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-MW01-EM12-FD	440-216872-14	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-PC-92-EM12	440-216872-15	07/27/18	3.3°C
SWFTS-PC-91-EM12	440-216872-16	07/27/18	3.3°C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	
Note: Cooler temperature at receipt was 3.3°C. Cooler custody seals were not present. No evidence of tar	mpering.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
314.0: The expedited TAT was not indicated on the COC for the affected samples. The laboratory was not	tified by the
client.	

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/No
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	NA/NA/NA
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
314.0: Perchlorate recoveries were high for SWFTS-MW03-EM12.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms: were LCS recoveries within faboratory established filmits:	
8. Duplicates	T
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/ 50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results \leq $5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values \leq RL.	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
	•

Validated by: Cecelia Minch 08/07/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-218109-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 13 including MS/MS Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180814-FB: Qualify calcium "J+".
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW05A-EM13: Qualify nitrate "J+". SWFTS-MW14-EM13: Qualify selenium "J+". SWFTS-20180814-FB: Qualify pyruvic acid "UJ".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2	2A_Valid	dation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVN	М		

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes only. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature (s)
PC-91-EM13	440-218109-1	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM13	440-218109-2	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM13-MS	440-218109-2 MS	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM13-MSD	440-218109-2 MSD	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM13	440-218109-3	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM13	440-218109-4	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM13-FD	440-218109-5	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM13	440-218109-6	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-20180814-FB	440-218109-7	8/14/2018	3.0 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM13	440-218109-8	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM13	440-218109-9	8/14/2018	3.0 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM13	440-218109-10	8/14/2018	3.0 °C/4.3 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM13	440-218109-11	8/14/2018	3.0 °C/4.3 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition:	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
	<u> </u>

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-494626/1-I. The concentration in most field samples was >1 in the blank.	0x the amount

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms. Were recoveries within facoratory immes.	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	V/V/NI-
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Nitrate recovery was high in the MSD of SWFTS-MW05A-EM13. Sulfate recoveries were low in of SWFTS-MW05A-EM13. The concentration of sulfate in the parent sample was >4x the amount spike criteria do not apply.	d, so recovery
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW05A-EM13. The concentration in	the parent
sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	
6010B: The following recoveries were outside limits in MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW05A-EM13: calcium,	
silicon, sodium, and strontium. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so	recovery
criteria do not apply.	
6020: Selenium recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW14-EM13.	
VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-20180814-FB. Lactic acid RPD was his sample was ND. No qualification for lactic acid.	gh, but the
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 03
Tobalis of the IEE, were differences covered the two values. Itel	
	1
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	V/V
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 09/05/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 20 with MS/MSD
SDG/Report No.: 440-218208-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None				
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks	X		No	None				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW16-EM13: Qualify manganese "J-", pyruvic acid and selenium "UJ".				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW02-EM13 and SWFTS-MW16-EM13: Qualify unused results "R".				

Multiple results: SWFTS-MW02-EM13 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 10x dilution exceeded the calibration range. The 200x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

SWFTS-MW16-EM13 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 5x and 200x dilutions. They were not detected in either run, so the 5x analysis results were used because of the lower RL/PQL.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J-, J) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
PC-92-EM13	440-218208-1	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
PC-94-EM13	440-218208-2	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM13	440-218208-3	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM13	440-218208-4	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
PC-58-EM13	440-218208-5	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
LVWPS-MW108A-EM13	440-218208-6	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
LVWPS-MW109-EM13	440-218208-7	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
LVWPS-MW104-EM13	440-218208-8	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
LVWPS-MW111A-EM13	440-218208-9	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM13	440-218208-10	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM13	440-218208-11	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM13	440-218208-12	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM13	440-218208-13	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/4.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM13-MS	440-218208-13 MS	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/4.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM13-MSD	440-218208-13 MSD	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/4.3 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM13	440-218208-14	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM13	440-218208-15	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM13	440-218208-16	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM13-FD	440-218208-17	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM13	440-218208-18	8/15/2018	2.9 °C/5.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks? Yes/Yes/Yes

6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-495522/1-A. Calcium and magnesium were detected in MB 440-495323/1-A. The concentrations in the associated field samples were >10x the amount spiked.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? Yes/Yes/No

300.0: Nitrite recoveries were high and sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW02-EM13. Nitrite was not detected in the parent, so there can be no high bias. Nitrite was not requested for this sample. The sulfate concentration in the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSDs of LVWPS-MW104-EM13, LVWPS-MW109-EM13, and PC-92-EM13. Chlorate concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

6010B: Calcium, magnesium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW03-EM13. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM13. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Manganese recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM13.

6020: Selenium recoveries were low and the RPD high in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM13. It was ND in the parent and will be qualified "UJ" for recovery only. One recovery was <30%, but both were not, so the data point is not rejected.

VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM13.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD results $< 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $<$ RL.	Yes/Yes/N/A

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 09/07/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 19
SDG/Report No.: 440-218296-1
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies Qualification Required		Qualification Required	Action Required	
	Yes	No	Yes or No				
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None			
2. Chain-of-Custody	X		No	None			
3. Holding Times		X	No	None			
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180816-FB and SWFTS-20180816-EB (-18): Qualify calcium "J". SWFTS-20180816-EB (-7): Qualify calcium "J+". SWFTS-20180816-FB and SWFTS-20180816-EB (-7): Qualify sodium "J" and "J+", respectively. COH-2B1-EM13 and SWFTS-MW23-EM13: Qualify nitrate "J". PC-97-EM13, SWFTS-MW04-EM13, SWFTS-MW22-EM13: Qualify nitrate "J+".			
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None			
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None			
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None			
8. Duplicates		X	No	None			
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".			
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None			
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW04-EM13, SWFTS-MW23-EM13, and SWFTS-MW17-EM13: Qualify unused results "R".			

Multiple results: Three samples were each analyzed twice for sulfate: SWFTS-MW04-EM13 (5x and 100x), SWFTS-MW23-EM13 (1x and 50x), and SWFTS-MW17-EM13 (5x and 200x). Sulfate results in the lower dilutions exceeded the calibration range. The higher dilution analyses were used because sulfate concentrations were within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J, J+) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature (s)
SWFTS-MW04-EM13	440-218296-1	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM13 440-218296-2		8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM13	440-218296-3	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM13	440-218296-4	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-20180816-FB	440-218296-5	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/3.9 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM13	440-218296-6	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-20180816-EB	440-218296-7	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/3.9 °C/5.1 °C
PC-97-EM13	440-218296-8	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
PC-88-EM13	440-218296-9	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
PC-88-EM13-FD	440-218296-10	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
COH-2B1-EM13	440-218296-11	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM13	440-218296-12	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM13-FD	440-218296-13	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM13	440-218296-14	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM13	440-218296-15	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM13	440-218296-16	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM13	440-218296-17	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-20180816-EB	440-218296-18	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/3.9 °C/5.1 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM13	440-218296-19	8/16/2018	2.2 °C/3.7 °C/5.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?

Yes/Yes/No

SWFTS-20180816-FB, several containers were received broken or leaking. Enough sample remained for the analyses requested.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)

Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?

No/Yes

SWFTS-MW17-EM13 was not listed on the COC.

For SWFTS-20180816-FB, the time on the container label (12:00) did not match the time on the COC (11:00). The sample was logged in per the COC.

3. Holding Times

Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?

Yes

4. Blanks

Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?

Yes/Yes/Yes

300.0: Nitrate was detected in SWFTS-20180816-FB. When dilution factors were considered, several samples were qualified.

6010B: Calcium and sodium were detected in MB 440-496880/1-A. Calcium and sodium were detected in SWFTS-20180816-FB. Calcium, magnesium, silicon, and sodium were detected in SWFTS-20180816-EB (-7). Calcium was detected in SWFTS-20180816-EB (-18). The concentrations in most of the field samples were >10x the amount in the blank.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds

Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?

Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?

Yes/Yes/No

300.0: Nitrite recoveries were high in the MS/MSD od SWFTS-MW04-EM13. Sulfate recovery was low in the MS only. Nitrite was ND in the parent, so there can be no high bias.

Sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW17-EM13.

Nitrite and sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW23-EM13. Nitrite was not requested nor reported in this sample.

The concentrations of sulfate in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSDs of COH-2B1-EM13 and SWFTS-MW01-EM13. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?

Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits			
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes		
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".			

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 09/07/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-219797-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 8 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW01-EM14 and SWFTS-MW02-EM14: Qualify TKN "UJ".
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180910-EB: Qualify calcium "J+". SWFTS-MW10A-EM14 and SWFTS-MW16-EM14: Qualify silicon "J+".
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW16-EM14: Qualify pyruvic acid "UJ".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW16-EM14: Qualify unused result "R".

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW16-EM14 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 5x and 200x dilutions. Nitrite was not detected in either run. Nitrate was not detected in the 200x analysis. The 5x analysis results were used because of the lower RL/PQL.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J+, J) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW01-EM14	440-219797-1	9/10/2018	3.3 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM14	440-219797-2	9/10/2018	3.3 °C
SWFTS-20180910-FB	440-219797-3	9/10/2018	3.3 °C/4.0 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM14	440-219797-4	9/10/2018	3.3 °C/4.0 °C
SWFTS-20180910-EB	440-219797-5	9/10/2018	3.3 °C/4.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM14	440-219797-6	9/10/2018	3.3 °C/4.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM14-MS	440-219797-6 MS	9/10/2018	3.3 °C/4.0 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM14-MSD	440-219797-6 MSD	9/10/2018	3.3 °C/4.0 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1.	Sample Preservation,	, Handling, and Transport

Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?

No/Yes/Yes

351.2: Preserved bottles were not received for SWFTS-MW01-EM14 and SWFTS-MW02-EM14. The lab used an aliquot from an unpreserved sample, preserved it, and analyzed it.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)

Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?

Yes/Yes

351.2: The COC requested the analysis for total nitrogen, but preserved bottles were not in the cooler for SWFTS-MW01-EM14 and SWFTS-MW02-EM14.

3. Holding Times

Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?

Yes

4. Blanks

Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?

Yes/Yes/Yes

SWFTS-20180910-FB: The following metals were detected: aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, silicon, sodium, and titanium. Silicon results in two samples were qualified.

SWFTS-20180910-EB: The following metals were detected: calcium, iron, magnesium, and sodium.

6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-499701/1-A, SWFTS-20180910-FB, and SWFTS-20180910-EB. The concentrations in the associated samples were >10x the amount in the blank, except for the EB. The EB will be qualified.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds

Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?

Yes/Yes/Yes

Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 300.1B: Chlorate recovery was low in the MS of SWFTS-MW16-EM14. Chlorate concentration in the parent sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. 6010B: Aluminum, calcium, magnesium, phosphorous, potassium, and sodium recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM14. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked for all except aluminum. Aluminum recoveries were high, but the sample is ND, so there can be no high bias. No qualification. VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-MW16-EM14.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > $5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
Lab duplicates only.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	108/108
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/02/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-219886-1/2
Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 19 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW22-EM14: Qualify chlorate "J+".		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	PC-94-EM14: Qualify total manganese "J".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW06A-EM14 and -FD: Qualify nitrate "J".		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Other - Serial Dilution	X		Yes	PC-94-EM14: Qualify total manganese "J".		
12. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW05A-EM14: Qualify unused result "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW05A-EM14 was analyzed twice for nitrate at 10x and 200x dilutions. The 10x analysis result was used. According to the lab, the result obtained from the 200x dilution was used for lab QC and does not reflect the actual concentration. The result was magnified due to the dilution.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J, J+) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW18-EM14	440-219886-1	9/11/2018	4.2 °C /4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM14	440-219886-2	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM14	440-219886-3	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW20-EM14-FD	440-219886-4	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM14	440-219886-5	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM14	440-219886-6	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM14	440-219886-7	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM14-MS	440-219886-7 MS	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM14-MSD	440-219886-7 MSD	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM14	440-219886-8	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
PC-94-EM14	440-219886-9	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
COH-2B1-EM14	440-219886-10	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM14	440-219886-11	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM14	440-219886-12	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM14	440-219886-13	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM14	440-219886-14	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM14	440-219886-15	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM14-FD	440-219886-16	9/11/2018	4.2 °C/4.6 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM14	440-219886-17	9/11/2018	4.0 °C/4.2 °C/4.6 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	No/Yes/Yes
RSK-175: SWFTS-MW14-EM14 was not preserved to pH<2. The SOP allows unpreserved samples.	
The serial series and the series and the series are series and the series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series and the series are series are series and the series are series are series and the series are series are series and the series are series are series and the series are series are series are series are series ar	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
300.1B: Chlorate was detected in MB 440-499203/46, CCB 440-499203/43, CCB 440-499203/56, and C 499203/68. The concentrations in most of the samples were >10x the amount in the blanks.	CB 440-
6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-499701/1-A. The concentrations in the associated samples amount in the blank	were $>10x$ the

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate			
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No		
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?			
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW05A-EM14. Chlorate concentration in the parent			
sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.			
6010B: Manganese recovery was high in the MSD of PC-94-EM14.			

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates		
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent		
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/No/N/A	
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.		
Two REG/FD pairs: SWFTS-MW06A-EM14 and SWFTS-MW06A-EM14-FD; SWFTS-MW20-EM14 and SWFTS-		
MW20 EM14 ED PPD = 100% for nitrate between SWETS MW20 EM14 and SWETS MW20 EM14 ED		

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	168/168
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

11. Other – Serial Dilution
Data package definitions indicated an issue with serial dilution which the validator verified.
For PC-94-EM14, serial dilution %D of manganese was 13%.

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/02/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
No. of Samples: 17
SDG/Report No.: 440-220031-1/2
Lab ID: Test America
Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	malies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		No	None
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL and RL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Other – Serial Dilution	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW25-EM14: Qualify boron, calcium, magnesium, manganese, silicon, sodium, strontium "J".
12. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW12-EM14, PC-92- EM14: Qualify unused results "R".

Multiple results: SWFTS-MW12-EM14 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 10x dilution exceeded the calibration range. The 200x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

PC-92-EM14 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 5x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 5x dilution exceeded the calibration range. The 200x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified " \tilde{R} " should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW23-EM14	440-220031-1	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM14	440-220031-2	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM14	440-220031-3	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM14	440-220031-4	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM14	440-220031-5	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM14	440-220031-6	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM14	440-220031-7	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM14	440-220031-8	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM14-FD	440-220031-9	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM14	440-220031-10	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM14	440-220031-11	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
PC-91-EM14	440-220031-12	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
PC-92-EM14	440-220031-13	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM14	440-220031-14	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
PC-88-EM14	440-220031-15	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
PC-88-EM14-FD	440-220031-16	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C
PC-97-EM14	440-220031-17	9/12/2018	1.4 °C/1.5 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	1
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at $4^{\circ}C$ (+ or $-2^{\circ}C$)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/No/Yes
2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
3. Holding Times	1
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	l Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Calcium and sodium were detected in MB 440-500364/1-A. The concentrations in the ass samples were >10x the amount in the blank.	ociated field
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	1
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/No
300.0: Sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW12-EM14. The sulfate concentrati sample was $>4x$ the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.	•
6010B: Calcium, magnesium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outside limits in the MS SWFTS-MW25-EM14. The concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovered apply.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
<u> </u>	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results $> 5x$ the RL, were RPDs between parent sample and duplicates \le lab limits or $\le 30\%$ (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	168/168
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

11. Other – Serial Dilution

Data package definitions indicated an issue with serial dilution which the validator verified.

For SWFTS-MW25-EM14, serial dilution %Ds were high (>10%) for the following metals: boron (11%), calcium (13%), magnesium (17%), manganese (17%), silicon (13%), sodium (15%), and strontium (11%).

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/03/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
SDG/Report No.: 440-220125-1
Lab ID: Test America
No. of Samples: 8
Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No					
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None				
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None				
3. Holding Times		X	No	None				
4. Blanks	X		Yes	LVWPS-MW109-EM14, LVWPS-MW111A-EM14, and LVWPS-MW108A-EM14: Qualify nitrate "J+". SWFTS-20180913-FB and SWFTS-20180913-EB: Qualify calcium "J" and "J+", respectively.				
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None				
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		No	None				
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None				
8. Duplicates		X	No	None				
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".				
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None				
11. Other – Calibration	X		Yes	SWFTS-20180913-FB and SWFTS-20180913-EB: Qualify acetic acid, formic acid, lactic acid, and propionic acid "UJ".				
12. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	LVWPS-MW109-EM14 and LVWPS-MW108A-EM14: Qualify unused results "R".				

Multiple results: LVWPS-MW109-EM14 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 10x dilution exceeded the calibration range. The 200x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

LVWPS-MW108A-EM14 was analyzed twice for sulfate at 10x and 200x dilutions. Sulfate result in the 5x dilution exceeded the calibration range. The 200x dilution analysis was used because the sulfate concentration was within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J, or J+) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
LVWPS-MW109-EM14	440-220125-1	9/13/2018	2.1 °C
LVWPS-MW111A-EM14	440-220125-2	9/13/2018	2.1 °C
SWFTS-20180913-FB	440-220125-3	9/13/2018	2.1 °C/3.7 °C
LVWPS-MW108A-EM14	440-220125-4	9/13/2018	2.1 °C
PC-58-EM14	440-220125-5	9/13/2018	2.1 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM14	440-220125-6	9/13/2018	2.1 °C
SWFTS-20180913-EB	440-220125-7	9/13/2018	2.1 °C/3.7 °C
LVWPS-MW104-EM14	440-220125-8	9/13/2018	2.1 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	Yes/Yes/Yes

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

4. Blanks

Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?

Yes/Yes/Yes

SWFTS-20180913-FB: Nitrate, sulfate, and calcium were detected. FB value adjusted by all sample prep factors to assess contamination in field samples.

SWFTS-20180913-EB: Chlorate and calcium were detected.

EB value adjusted by all sample prep factors to assess contamination in field samples.

300.1B: Chlorate was detected in MB 440-499203/46 and some CCBs. The concentrations in the associated samples were >10x the amount in the blanks.

6010B: Calcium was detected in MB 440-499701/1-A.

5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits? 300.0: Sulfate recovery was low in the MS of LVWPS-MW109-EM14 and high in the MS of LVWPS-MW108A-EM14. The sulfate concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Nitrite recoveries were high in the MS/MSD of LVWPS-MW108A-EM14, but nitrite was not requested. The parent sample is ND, so there can be no high bias. 300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were low in the MS/MSDs of LVWPS-MW109-EM14 and PC-58-EM14. The

concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply.

7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes

8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/N/A
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
Lab DUPs only.	

9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match? Yes/Yes

11. Other – CalibrationThis was mentioned in the case narrative and verified by the validator.

VFA-IC: Recoveries were high (>120%) in the opening CCV for the following compounds: acetic acid (203%), formic acid (212%), lactic acid (445%), and propionic acid (146%).

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/03/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11
SDG/Report No.: 440-221855-1/2
Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: 16 with MS/MSD Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anomalies		Anomalies		Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No			
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW01-EM15: Qualify perchlorate "J".		
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None		
3. Holding Times		X	No	None		
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW19-EM15, SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD, and SWFTS-MW03-EM15: Qualify aluminum "J".		
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None		
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15: Qualify potassium "J+" and pyruvic acid "UJ".		
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None		
8. Duplicates		X	No	None		
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None		
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW10A-EM15: Qualify unused results "R".		

Multiple results:

SWFTS-MW10A-EM15 was analyzed twice for nitrate and nitrite at 10x and 500x dilutions. Nitrite and nitrate were not detected in either run. The 10x analysis results were used because of the lower RL/PQLs.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (UJ, J, J+) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
SWFTS-MW20-EM15	440-221855-1	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW22-EM15	440-221855-2	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW21-EM15	440-221855-3	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM15	440-221855-4	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW19-EM15-FD	440-221855-5	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-20181009-EB	440-221855-6	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW09A-EM15	440-221855-7	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW09B-EM15	440-221855-8	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM15	440-221855-9	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM15-MS	440-221855-9 MS	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW10A-EM15-MSD	440-221855-9 MSD	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW14-EM15	440-221855-10	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C/3.1 °C
SWFTS-MW15-EM15	440-221855-11	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW01-EM15	440-221855-12	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW03-EM15	440-221855-13	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C
SWFTS-MW05B-EM15	440-221855-14	10/9/2018	1.4 °C/1.7 °C/3.2 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4° C (+ or -2° C)? Were samples received in proper condition?	No/No/No	
ALLO DE LA COMPTE A C		

314.0: For sample SWFTS-MW01-EM15, the sterile container used for perchlorate was received at the lab empty. **RSK-175:** Sample SWFTS-MW14-EM15 was collected un a properly preserved container, but the pH was 5 when

checked prior to analysis. Unpreserved samples are allowed per the lab's SOP.

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes
	<u> </u>

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum (0.0764), calcium (0.152), and zinc (0.0230) were detected in MB 440-505005/	l-A. The
calcium concentrations in the associated field samples were >10x the amount in the blank. Zinc was not	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	37 /37 /37
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	Yes/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	
300.0: Sulfate recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW12-EM14. The sulfate concentration	in the parent
sample was >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. 6010B: Aluminum, calcium, magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outs	.: d. 1::4 :
the MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM15. The concentrations of calcium, magnesium, silicon, so	
strontium in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Aluminum	
high, but the sample was ND, so there can be no high bias. Potassium may be biased high and will be qu	
VFA-IC: Pyruvic acid recovery was low in the MSD of SWFTS-MW10A-EM15.	
7 I showstowy Control Complex (ICC)	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
_ ====================================	
9 Dec Partie	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	1
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results $\leq 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $\leq RL$.	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 03
,	<u> </u>
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs/PQLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If	
applicable, were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	
•	
10 Data Baskaga/FDD samparisan (100/)	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%) Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes
were 1070 of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	1 08/ 1 08
Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/25/18	

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study SDG/Report No.: 440-221975-1/2

Task No.: M11 Lab ID: Test America

No. of Samples: _18 with MS/MSD ____ Matrix: _Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	Anomalies Qualification Required		Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times		X	No	None
4. Blanks	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW02-EM15: Qualify aluminum "J+". SWFTS-MW05A-EM15, SWFTS-MW06A-EM15, SWFTS-MW06B-EM15, and SWFTS-MW24-EM15: Qualify aluminum "J".
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15: Qualify boron "J+". SWFTS-MW06B-EM15 and SWFTS-MW06B-EM15-FD: Qualify potassium and strontium "J+".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	SWFTS-MW05A-EM15: Qualify unused result "R".
Multiple results: SWFTS-MW05A-EM15 was ana detected in the 500x dilution analysis. The 20x dilution	•			
Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual			
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM			

Overall Assessment: Acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" should not be used. Sample results qualified as estimated (J, J+) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
LVWPS-MW108A-EM15	440-221975-1	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
LVWPS-MW111A-EM15	440-221975-2	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-20181010-FB	440-221975-3	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C/4.9 °C
LVWPS-MW112A-EM15	440-221975-4	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
LVWPS-MW104-EM15	440-221975-5	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
LVWPS-MW109-EM15	440-221975-6	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW24-EM15	440-221975-7	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM15	440-221975-8	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW06B-EM15	440-221975-9	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD	440-221975-10	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW07A-EM15	440-221975-11	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW07B-EM15	440-221975-12	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW08A-EM15	440-221975-13	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM15	440-221975-14	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM15-MS	440-221975-14 MS	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW05A-EM15-MSD	440-221975-14 MSD	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW02-EM15	440-221975-15	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C
PC-91-EM15	440-221975-16	10/10/2018	4.1 °C/4.2 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	Yes

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed	
for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, and zinc were detected in MB 440-505005/1-A. Aluminum and calcium we	ere detected in
MB 440-505006/1-A. Calcium concentrations in the associated field samples were >10x the amount in t	
was not detected in the samples.	me olume. Zine
was not accepted in the samples.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	T
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 68/ 1 68/ 1 68
	1
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	T
Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	No/Yes/No
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	C MINOS A
300.1B: Chlorate recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSDs of LVWPS-MW104-EM15 and SWFT	
EM15. The concentrations in the parent samples were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not 6010B: Boron, calcium, magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and strontium recoveries were outside l	
MS and/or MSD of SWFTS-MW05A-EM15. Except for boron, the concentrations in the parent sample v	
amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply. Calcium, magnesium, potassium, silicon, sodium, and	
recoveries were outside limits in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW06A-EM15-FD. Calcium, magnesium, sil	
sodium concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not appl	*
	,
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	T
Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	1 03/ 1 03/ 1 03
0 Duniticates	
8. Duplicates Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	1
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results $\leq 5x$ the RL, were differences between the two values $\leq RL$.	105/105/105
Tobalis 15% the IEE, were differences between the two values 11EE.	1
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Vag/Vag
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	Yes/Yes
All: Results detected above the MDL/SQL but below the RL/PQL are estimated and qualified "J".	
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)	T 7 7 7 7
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?	Yes/Yes

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 10/25/18

Project Name: SWF Area Treatability Study
Task No.: M11

No. of Samples: 19

SDG/Report No.: 440-222092-1/2

Lab ID: Test America

Matrix: Water

Area Reviewed	Anoi	nalies	Qualification Required	Action Required
	Yes	No	Yes or No	
1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport		X	No	None
2. Chain-of-Custody		X	No	None
3. Holding Times	X		Yes	PC-88-EM15: Qualify nitrate "J-".
4. Blanks	X		Yes	PC-58-EM15, PC-88-EM15, PC-88-EM15-FD, PC-92-EM15, PC-94-EM15, PC-97-EM15, SWFTS-MW04-EM15, SWFTS- MW16-EM15, SWFTS-MW18- EM15, SWFTS-MW23-EM15, and SWFTS-MW25-EM15: Qualify aluminum "J". SWFTS-MW16-EM15: Qualify nickel "J".
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds		X	No	None
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X		Yes	COH-2B1-EM15: Qualify total phosphorus "R". SWFTS-MW11-EM15: Qualify pyruvic acid "UJ".
7. Laboratory Control Samples		X	No	None
8. Duplicates		X	No	None
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits		X	Yes	Qualify all results detected between the MDL/SQL and RL/PQL "J".
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		X	No	None
11. Multiple Results (see below)			Yes	COH-2B1-EM15, SWFTS-MW11-EM15, SWFTS-MW13-EM15, and SWFTS-MW18-EM15: Qualify unused results "R".

Multiple results: COH-2B1-EM15, SWFTS-MW11-EM15, SWFTS-MW13-EM15, and SWFTS-MW18-EM15 were analyzed twice for sulfate. The initial analyses were not used because sulfate was outside the calibration range of the instrument. The dilution analyses were used because the re-analysis results were within the calibration range.

Verification and Validation Label	Stage_2A_Validation_Manual	
Verification and Validation Label Code	S2AVM	

Overall Assessment: Except where rejected, acceptable as qualified.

Usability: Sample results qualified "R" are not useable. Sample results qualified as estimated (J-, J, UJ) are useable for limited purposes. All other results are considered valid and useable for all purposes.

Sample Information:

Field Sample Number	Lab Sample ID	Date Collected	Cooler Temperature(s)
COH-2B1-EM15	440-222092-1	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-20181011-EB	440-222092-2	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW16-EM15	440-222092-3	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/3.2 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW17-EM15	440-222092-4	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW18-EM15	440-222092-5	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW25-EM15	440-222092-6	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW23-EM15	440-222092-7	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-20181011-FB	440-222092-8	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW04-EM15	440-222092-9	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM15	440-222092-10	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/3.2 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW11-EM15-FD	440-222092-11	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/3.2 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW12-EM15	440-222092-12	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
PC-97-EM15	440-222092-13	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
SWFTS-MW13-EM15	440-222092-14	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
PC-88-EM15	440-222092-15	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
PC-88-EM15-FD	440-222092-16	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
PC-92-EM15	440-222092-17	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
PC-94-EM15	440-222092-18	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C
PC-58-EM15	440-222092-19	10/11/2018	2.8 °C/2.9 °C/4.2 °C

The following section is intended to specify areas evaluated and issues encountered. Only applicable methods are listed.

1. Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport	
Were all samples preserved correctly? Were sample temperatures kept at 4°C (+ or – 2°C)? Were	Yes/Yes/Yes
samples received in proper condition?	

2. Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Were samples recorded on the COCs? Were correct analyses performed on the samples?	Yes/Yes

3. Holding Times	
Were samples analyzed within acceptable holding times?	No
300.0: Nitrate analysis in PC-88-EM15 was after the holding expired due to lab error.	

Data Verification and Validation Summary	
4. Blanks	
Does data package include a summary of blank results? Was a method blank extracted and/or analyzed for each batch? Were analytes detected in any blanks?	Yes/Yes/Yes
6010B: Aluminum and calcium were detected in MB 440-505003/1-A. Calcium concentrations in the a	ggagietad field
samples were >10x the amount in the blank. Aluminum was detected in the samples at similar concentra	
blank. Case narrative notes that nickel was detected in calibration blanks CCB 440-505628/39 and CCB	
505628/48. Nickel was detected in one sample. Magnesium was detected in calibration blank CCB 440-5	
Magnesium detections in the samples were >10x the amount in the blank.	
5. Surrogates/Monitoring Compounds	
Were samples spiked with the correct surrogate compounds? Were surrogate recoveries reported	Yes/Yes/Yes
correctly on data forms? Were recoveries within laboratory limits?	1 CS/ 1 CS/ 1 CS
(Matrix Spiles/Matrix Spiles Duplicate	
6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Was a MS/MSD pair extracted and/or analyzed with each batch? Were recoveries/RPDs reported on	
data forms? Were recoveries/RPDs of project samples within laboratory established limits?	No/Yes/No
300.0: Nitrite recoveries were high in the MS of COH-2B1-EM15 and the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW18-	EM15 Nitrite
was not detected in the samples so there can be no high bias. Sulfate recoveries were high in the MS/MS.	
MW13-EM15 and the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW18-EM15. Sulfate concentrations in the parent samples	
amount spiked, so recovery criteria do not apply for sulfate.	were - 1x the
365.3: Total phosphorus recoveries were below limits and below 30% in the MS/MSD of COH-2B1-EM	15. The data
are rejected.	101 1110 0000
6010B: Aluminum, calcium, magnesium, and sodium recoveries were outside limits in the MS and/or M	SD of COH-
2B1-EM15. Except for aluminum, the concentrations in the parent sample were >4x the amount spiked, s	
criteria do not apply. Aluminum recovery was high but was not detected in the sample. There can be no	
qualification.	
VFA: Pyruvic acid recoveries were low in the MS/MSD of SWFTS-MW11-EM15.	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	
7. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? Were LCS recoveries reported correctly on data	
forms? Were LCS recoveries within laboratory established limits?	Yes/Yes/Yes
forms: were LCS recoveries within laboratory established finitis:	
8. Duplicates	
Were any duplicate pairs analyzed in this SDG? For results > 5x the RL, were RPDs between parent	
sample and duplicates \leq lab limits or \leq 30% (water)/50% (soil) for field duplicates? For REG/FD	Yes/Yes/Yes
results < 5x the RL, were differences between the two values < RL.	
	•
9. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits	1
Were quantitation limits (RLs) adjusted to reflect dilutions, cleanup, and other factors? If applicable,	Yes/Yes
were reporting limit check recoveries within acceptable limits?	103,103
All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".	

All: Results detected above the MDL but below the RL are estimated and qualified "J".		
10. Data Package/EDD comparison (10%)		
Were 10% of the data package results compared to the electronic data? Did results match?		

Validated by: Maureen McMyler 11/06/18

Appendix L.2 Laboratory Data Packages

Due to the qu	antity and size of th	e files, the labor	atory data packa	iges have been pro	ovided in a separate file.

Appendix L.3 DVSR Electronic Data Deliverable

Per the requirements provided by NDEP for Unified Chemical Electronic Data Deliverable Format, databases are provided in Microsoft Access format and include location, analytical and groundwater gauging data supporting the DVSR and for upload of the Companies' electronic data into the regional database maintained by NDEP. These databases have been provided in separate files.