LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

ERM
2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95833
ATTN: Ms. Maria Barajas-Albalawi

August 14, 2008

SUBJECT: BRC Tronox Parcel F, Data Validation

Dear Ms. Barajas-Albalawi

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on July 31, 2008. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 19215:

SDG #	Fraction
IRF1297, IRF1298	2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil, Hexavalent Chromium & Chlorite

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; Update IV, February 2007

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

762 Pages-CD

Attachment 1

80/20

LDC #19215 (ERM-Sacramento / BRC Tronox, Parcel F)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	2,2'/4,4' -DCB (8270C)		Chlorite (300.1)		Cr(VI) (7196A)																											
Matrix:	Water/Soil			W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
A	IRF1297	07/31/08	08/21/08	0	3	0	3	0	3																										
A	IRF1297	07/31/08	08/21/08	0	2	0	2	0	2																										
B	IRF1298	07/31/08	08/21/08	0	5	0	5	0	5																										
Total	T/LR			0	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30

Shaded cells indicate Level IV validation (all other cells are Level IIII validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

19215ST.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: BRC Tronox Parcel F

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: August 12, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IRF1297

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10'
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'**
TSB-FJ-06-02-30'
TSB-FR-02-02-10'**
TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals. All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all target compounds were within validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 25.0% .

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil was found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10'** and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1297

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1297

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1297

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 19215A2
SDG #: IRF1297
Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Level III/IV

Date: 8/11/08
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JW
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	non CCC / non SPCC
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec (Parcel 6)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIV.	System performance	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 4, 5
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11		21		31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'**	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'**	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6	SE 16058 BLK1	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 19215 AY
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 7
Reviewer: JW
2nd Reviewer: Q

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	✓			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?		✓		
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of ≥ 0.990?			✓	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	✓			
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	NA		✓	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.		✓		
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?		✓		
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			✓	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			

LDC #: 19215A7
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
Reviewer: JVR
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	✓			
Were retention times within + 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	✓			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	✓			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	✓			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	✓			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	✓			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?		✓		
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?		✓		
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		✓		
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.		✓		
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 19218 #7
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: JV6
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported RRF (50 std)	Recalculated RRF (50 std)	Reported Average RRF (Initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL	4/09/08	~~Phenol~~ 2,3,4,6-/4,4'-DCBenzil (1st internal standard)	1.095	1.093	1.076	1.076	7.21	7.21
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)						
	MS65		Fluorene (3rd internal standard)						
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)						
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)						
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)						
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)						
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)						
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)						
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)						
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)						
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)						
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)						
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)						
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)						
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)						
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)						
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)						

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 18215AY
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: SVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported RRF (CC)	Recalculated RRF (CC)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	SSTD050	6/6/08	~~Phenol~~ (1st internal standard) 2,2',4,4'-DCBiphenyl	1.076	1.096	1.096	1.9	1.8
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 19215Av
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JG
2nd reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 2

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	50	36,25	72	72,5	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	37,59	75	75	
Terphenyl-d14	↓	41,92	84	84	
Phenol-d5	100	93,99	74	74	
2-Fluorophenol	↓	74,96	75	75	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	84,40	84	84	↓
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 19215A2
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVR
2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SC/SA Where: SSC = Spike concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = I LCS - LCSD I * 2/(LCS + LCSD) LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: SF 16058 - BLK1

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spike Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil Phenol	3330	NA	2780	NA	87	83				
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine										
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol										
Acenaphthene										
Pentachlorophenol										
Pyrene										

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 19215 Av
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVL
2nd reviewer: 9

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_t)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured

A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard

I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).

V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)

V_t = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)

Df = Dilution Factor.

%S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.

2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. _____ , ND :

Conc. = ( )( )( )( )( )
( )( )( )( )( )

=

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

LDC Report# 19215B2

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: BRC Tronox Parcel F

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: August 12, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IRF1298

Sample Identification

TSB-FR-02-02-20'
TSB-FR-02-02-30'
TSB-FJ-02-02-10'
TSB-FJ-02-02-20'
TSB-FJ-02-02-30'

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals. All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all target compounds were within validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 25.0% .

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil was found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

BRC Tronox Parcel F
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1298

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1298

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1298

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 19215B2 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET** Date: 8/11/08
SDG #: IRF1298 Level III Page: 1 of 1
Laboratory: Test America Reviewer: JVC
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS 2,2'-/4,4'-Dichlorobenzil (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	non CCC/non SPCC
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	ICV ≤25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client spec (Parent 6)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	TSB-FR-02-02-20'	11		21		31	
2	TSB-FR-02-02-30'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-02-02-10'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FJ-02-02-20'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FJ-02-02-30'	15		25		35	
6	8F16058-BLK1	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: BRC Tronox Parcel F

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: August 11, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Hexavalent Chromium & Chlorite

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IRF1297

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10'
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'**
TSB-FJ-06-02-30'
TSB-FR□02-02-10'**
TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 300.1 for Chlorite and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section X.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorite or hexavalent chromium was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the 300.1 method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Analyte	Flag	A or P
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'**	Dichloroacetate	87.8 (90-115)	Chlorite	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

IX. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

X. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR□02-02-10'** and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No chlorite or hexavalent chromium was detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F
Hexavalent Chromium & Chlorite - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1297

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
IRF1297	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'**	Chlorite	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Surrogate recovery (%R)

BRC Tronox Parcel F
Hexavalent Chromium & Chlorite - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1297

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
Hexavalent Chromium & Chlorite - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1297

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: IRF1297
Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Level III/IV

Date: 8/6/08
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: AL
2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: (Analyte) Chlorite (EPA Method 300.1), Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Surrogate Spikes	SW	
V	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	from IRF1299
VI.	Duplicates	N	
VII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
VIII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
IX.	Overall assessment of data	A	
X.	Field duplicates	ND	D: 4+5
XI	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

All soil

1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11		21		31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'**	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'**	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6	PB	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: IRF1257

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: CA
2nd Reviewer: W

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method Sw 6010C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.			✓	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.			✓	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the CRDL.			✓	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: 18F1297

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: CA
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	)			
Were detection limits < RL?	/			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/	√ NA		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.		/		
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			/	

LDC #: 19215AL
SDG #: 18P1297

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: SA
2nd reviewer:

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-5	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} (Chloride) _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} _____ _____ _____ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 19215AC
SDG #: 1KF1292

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

VALIDATION FINDINDS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

METHOD: Chlorite (EPA 300.1)

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes ✓ or No____.

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

Y (N) N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Date	Lab ID/Reference	Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		2	Not Specified	A	87.8 (90-115)	2	J-/UJ/P
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		
					( )		

Letter Designation	Surrogate Compound	Recovery QC Limits (Soil)	Recovery QC Limits (Water)	Comments
A	Dichloroacetate			
B				

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: IRF1297

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CM
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Inorganics, Method Sw Cor

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of Chlorite was recalculated. Calibration date: 6/7/08

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte		Conc (mg/L) (units)	Area (units)	Recalculated r or %R	Reported r or %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
(Initial calibration) / Calibration verification	Chlorite	Blank	0	2136	0.999687	0.999687	Y
		Standard 1	20	411221			
		Standard 2	100	2267407.8			
		Standard 3	200	4654440			
		Standard 4	400	9599573.3			
		Standard 5					
		Standard 6					
		Standard 7					
Calibration verification	Chlorite	209.5	200.0		104.8	NR	Y
Calibration verification	Cr6+	0.3086	0.300		102.9	NR	Y
Calibration verification							

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: 18F1297

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method Sw Cor

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$$

Where,

Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).

True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where,

S = Original sample concentration

D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD	Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
BF23074-BS1	Laboratory control sample	Cu	0.3438	0.4	86	86	Y
	Matrix spike sample		(SSR-SR)				
	Duplicate sample						

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: IRF1297

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: A1
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method Sm Conv

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
(Y) N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
(Y) N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for _____ reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = Recalculation:

Chlorite: $\frac{(4.088\ mg/L)(0.04L)(5)}{(0.004\ kg)(0.80)} = 255.5\ mg/kg$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
	2	Chlorite	250	255.5	Y

Note: _____

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: BRC Tronox Parcel F

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: August 6, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Chlorite & Hexavalent Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IRF1298

Sample Identification

TSB-FR-02-02-20'
TSB-FR-02-02-30'
TSB-FJ-02-02-10'
TSB-FJ-02-02-20'
TSB-FJ-02-02-30'

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 300.1 for Chlorite and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorite or hexavalent chromium were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

BRC Tronox Parcel F
Chlorite & Hexavalent Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1298

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
Chlorite & Hexavalent Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1298

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F
Chlorite & Hexavalent Chromium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IRF1298

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 19215B6
SDG #: IRF1298
Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Level III

Date: 8/6/08
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: AM
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: (Analyte) Chlorite (EPA Method 300.1), Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Surrogate Spikes	A	
V	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	from IRF1299
VI.	Duplicates	N	
VII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
VIII.	Sample result verification	N	
IX.	Overall assessment of data	A	
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

All soil

1	TSB-FR-02-02-20'	11		21		31	
2	TSB-FR-02-02-30'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-02-02-10'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FJ-02-02-20'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FJ-02-02-30'	15		25		35	
6	PB	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 19215A6
SDG #: JLF1298

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Reviewer: CA
2nd reviewer:

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1 - 5	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (Cr^{6+}) Chloride ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____

Comments: _____