

Jeryl R. Gardner, PE Bureau of Water Pollution Control Nevada Division of Environmental Protection 901 South Stewart Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

RE: Revision to June 2012 Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) and Notice of Sampling Excursion for Week Ending July 7, 2012; NPDES Permit NV0023060; Nevada Environmental Response Trust Site, Henderson, Nevada.

Dear Mr. Gardner:

Pursuant to my telephone conversation on September 27 with Ms. Silvia Dahl of the Bureau of Water Pollution Control, I am writing on behalf of the Nevada Environmental Response Trust (NERT), to inform you of sampling results indicating excursions from permit limitations at NERT's groundwater extraction and treatment system located in Henderson, Nevada. The sampling results at issue are explained below.

1. Week Ending June 23 - As required by NERT's NPDES permit, 7-day composite samples of influent and effluent were collected and analyzed for various parameters, including perchlorate, by compositing individual daily grab samples. Initial laboratory results for the 7-day composite sample indicated an effluent perchlorate concentration of 310 ug/L, which is above the permit limit of 18 ug/L. Because the operator of the treatment system, Veolia Water North America (Veolia), reported no conditions at the system which would be indicative of an upset condition or perchlorate destruction having been compromised, Veolia initiated an investigation to determine the cause of the apparent anomalous result. Additional sampling and analyses were conducted on individual samples leading to an initial conclusion that the daily grab samples collected on June 19, had been mislabeled and that an influent sample (rather than an effluent sample) was used in creating the 7-day effluent composite. Based on these initial results, NERT filed a DMR with the Bureau of Water Pollution Control for the month of June (July 26, 2012) and noted in its cover letter that the sample from June 19 had been excluded from the 7-day composite result reported for the week ending June 23. Since the filing of the June 2012 DMR, Veolia has conducted an internal investigation to determine the cause of the apparent mislabeling of the sample. Based on additional sampling and analyses done pursuant to Veolia's investigation, the initial conclusion that the June 19 samples had been mislabeled now appears to be incorrect. Veolia now believes that the June 19 sample had most likely been cross-contaminated or otherwise compromised as a result of human sampling error (Veolia's internal investigation report is provided in Attachment A). Because there were no indications of process upset at any time during this period of operation, Veolia does not believe that perchlorate above permit limits was actually discharged to Las Vegas Wash. Nevertheless, NERT has now revised its June DMR to reflect the actual 7-day composite result collected for the week ending June 23. A copy of the revised DMR is provided in Attachment B.

2. Week Ending July 7 – Similar to the result obtained in June, the 7-day composite effluent sample for the week ending July 7 contained perchlorate at a concentration of 220 ug/L. Initially, Veolia suspected (as they had suspected for the June event) that one of the daily grab samples had been mislabeled and initiated an investigation that included additional analyses on the individual daily grab samples that were used to create the 7-day composite. The subsequent analyses failed to demonstrate any evidence of sample mislabeling and indicated that the July 3 effluent grab sample contained an elevated perchlorate concentration. None of the remaining daily effluent grab samples contained detectable levels of perchlorate. Veolia examined operating conditions on July 3 and (similar to June 19) found no evidence that the system was experiencing an upset condition or that perchlorate destruction had been compromised (see discussion in Attachment A). For this reason, Veolia believes that the July 3 sample had most likely been cross-contaminated or otherwise compromised as a result of human sampling error. The DMR for July (to be filed at the end of the third quarter 2012) will reflect the elevated perchlorate concentration of 220 ug/L detected in the 7-day composite for the week ending July 7.

As stated above and explained in Veolia's internal investigation report provided in Attachment A, the permit excursions reported herein are believed to be the result of the mishandling or other human error resulting in individual grab samples being compromised. In no instance during the period of operation has there been any evidence of process upset or of perchlorate destruction being compromised. Moreover, no subsequent effluent sampling results indicate that similar elevated concentrations of perchlorate have been present in effluent samples. Veolia has now instituted several internal sampling QA/QC procedures to mitigate the possibility of sample mishandling in the future and has also revised its process control protocols to provide additional safety factors to ensure perchlorate destruction is complete.

If you have any questions regarding the information provided in this letter, or wish to discuss on a call, please do not hesitate to contact me at (510) 420-2565.

Regards,

Allan DeLorme, PE Managing Principal

Attachments

cc: Silvia Dahl, Compliance Coordinator, Bureau of Water Pollution Control, NDEP Greg Lovato, Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP Shannon Harbour, Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP Regional Administrator, USEPA Region 9
Jay Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Andy Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Tanya O'Neil, Esq., Foley and Lardner Shachin Chawla, Veolia Water North America Steve Kubacki, Veolia Water North America Mark Travers, ENVIRON International Corporation

Attachment A

Veolia Water Report Describing Elevated Effluent Results for Perchlorate Weeks of June 23 & July 7, 2012



September 13, 2012

Nevada Environmental Response Trust (NERT) Report Describing Elevated Effluent Results for Perchlorate Weeks of June 23 & July 7, 2012

Background

The Nevada Environmental Response Trust (NERT) maintains NPDES Permit NV0023060 (the "NPDES permit") for discharge of treated water, as part of their on-going effort to capture and treat groundwater containing perchlorate in the Henderson area. Per the NPDES permit, daily discrete samples of the influent and effluent are collected and composited weekly for perchlorate analysis. The effluent limit for perchlorate in the NPDES permit is 18 µg/L (30-day average).

Week of June 23, 2012 Effluent Composite Sample

For the week ending on June 23, grab samples of the influent and effluent were collected each day on June 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23. Seven-day composite samples of both the influent and effluent were prepared by Veolia Water (Veolia) on June 25 and sent to MWH (now Eurofins Eaton), a certified laboratory. MWH acknowledged receipt of the samples on June 26, and the samples were analyzed for perchlorate on July 18. In the laboratory report dated July 19, the effluent-composite result was 310 μ g/L, which was higher than the typical non-detect (<4 μ g/L). The influent-composite result was 92,000 μ g/L, which was slightly lower than typical (approximately 100,000 μ g/L).

On July 20, 2012, Veolia Water, Project Manager, Steve Kubacki, was notified via email from Susan Crowley (Consultant to NERT) of a possible effluent violation for perchlorate of the NPDES permit. At that time, the decision was made to re-composite the seven discrete effluent samples and send the re-composite sample to MWH to confirm the results. Therefore, on July 23, a re-composite sample of the seven effluent samples collected on June 17 through 23 was sent to MWH. MWH received the sample on July 24, analyzed the sample on July 25, and issued the analytical report on July 27. The effluent-composite result was 1,100 μ g/L, significantly higher than the typical non-detect and significantly different than the original effluent-composite result of 310 μ g/L.

At the time of sample collection, Veolia conducts in-house sampling for perchlorate for the individual discrete effluent samples. In-house results for the effluent samples for June 17 and 18 were non-detect. On June 19, the in-house Ion Chromatography (IC) machine broke, so Veolia did not conduct in-house analysis for the samples collected on June 19, 20, 21, 22, or 23.

At the time the effluent-composite sample results from MWH came back elevated, Veolia decided to analyze the discrete effluent samples for the days that in-house results were not available (i.e., June 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23). Unfortunately, the effluent sample from June 19 had been exhausted during the re-composite preparation. Therefore, on July 23, the effluent discrete samples for June 20, 21,



22, and 23 were sent to Silver State laboratory (certification for perchlorate analyses pending) to identify the perchlorate concentrations for each day in question. The results from Silver State, which were reported to Veolia on July 25, for the effluent discrete samples for June 20, 21, 22, and 23 were non-detect for perchlorate. At this time, Veolia suspected that the influent and effluent discrete samples from June 19 had been switched.

On July 25, a six-day (excluding June 19) effluent-composite sample, the effluent discrete samples for June 17 and 18 (to confirm in-house test results), and the influent discrete samples for all seven days were sent to Silver State.

Also, on July 25, a six-day (excluding June 19) effluent-composite, a six-day (excluding June 19) influent-composite, and the influent discrete samples from all seven days were sent to MWH.

On July 26, Silver State reported the six-day (excluding June 19) effluent-composite, the effluent discrete samples from June 17 and 18, and the influent discrete sample from June 19 were all non-detect for perchlorate. Also, MWH reported that the six-day (excluding June 19) effluent-composite sample was non-detect for perchlorate and the six-day (excluding June 19) influent-composite sample was within the normal range (105,000 μ g/L). Based on this information, it was determined that the sample bottles for June 19 had been switched.

On August 6, Silver State reported that all of the influent discrete samples sent on July 25 were all non-detect for perchlorate. This data was not consistent with the data reported by MWH for the same samples. MWH reported results between 100,000 μ g/L and 110,000 μ g/L for the seven influent discrete samples. Veolia contacted Silver State to have the samples reanalyzed. On August 7, Silver State reported that the non-detect data previously provided was incorrect due to high conductivity masking the perchlorate. Now, instead of non-detect, Silver State has reported that perchlorate concentrations in the influent discrete samples for all seven days range from 61,440 μ g/L to 87,250 μ g/L.

All of the analytical results for the influent and effluent samples for the week of June 23 are summarized in Table 1 below. Given that both the 7-day effluent-composite samples analyzed had elevated concentrations of perchlorate (310 and 1,100 μ g/L), but the 6-day (excluding June 19) effluent-composite sample was non-detect for perchlorate, Veolia believes that the discrete effluent sample from June 19 had an elevated perchlorate concentration. A discussion of the possible reasons the June 19 discrete effluent sample had high perchlorate concentrations is provided below.

	Summary of	Table [·] of Effluent and Influe Week of June	nt Results for Perchlora	te
Sample Name	Sample Date	Perchlorate Concentration (µg/L)	Who Analyzed Sample	Date Sample was Analyzed
		Effluen	it	
Effluent-Comp	6/17 - 6/23	310	MWH	7/18
Effluent-Comp	6/17 – 6/23	1,100 (H3)	MWH	7/25
Effluent-Comp	6/17 – 6/23 (excluding 6/19)	ND (H3)	MWH	7/26
Effluent-Comp	6/17 – 6/23 (excluding 6/19)	ND	Silver State	7/26
Effluent	6/17	ND	Veolia In-House	6/17



Table 1 Summary of Effluent and Influent Results for Perchlorate Week of June 23, 2012

Sample Name	Sample Date	Perchlorate Concentration (µg/L)	Who Analyzed Sample	Date Sample was Analyzed
Effluent	6/17	ND	Silver State	7/26
Effluent	6/18	ND	Veolia In-House	6/18
Effluent	6/18	ND	Silver State	7/26
Effluent	6/19	Not Analyzed ¹		
Effluent	6/20	ND	Silver State	7/25
Effluent	6/21	ND	Silver State	7/25
Effluent	6/22	ND	Silver State	7/25
Effluent	6/23	ND	Silver State	7/25
		Influen	t	
Influent-Comp	6/17 - 6/23	92,000	MWH	7/18
Influent-Comp	6/17 – 6/23 (excluding 6/19)	105,000 (H3)	MWH	7/26
Influent	6/17	100,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/17	72,840 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3
Influent	6/18	110,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/18	78,800 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3
Influent	6/19	110,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/19	61,440 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3
Influent	6/20	110,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/20	78,400 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3
Influent	6/21	100,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/21	70,400 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3
Influent	6/22	110,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/22	87,250 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3
Influent	6/23	110,000 (H3)	MWH	7/30
Influent	6/23	67,600 ²	Silver State	7/26, reanalyzed on 8/3

Notes:

Comp: composite ND: non-detect

H3: For MWH samples, sample was received and analyzed past holding time. Data not acceptable for regulatory compliance.



¹ No discrete effluent sample from 6/19 was analyzed, due to Veolia's in-house IC machine breaking on 6/19 and the effluent sample being exhausted during the re-composite preparation on 7/23.

² Silver State originally reported the result as ND on July 30. On August 7, Silver State reported that the ND data was incorrect due to the samples being above the Maximum Conductivity Threshold. This resulted in an interference that caused the erroneous ND results originally reported.

Week of July 7, 2012 Effluent Composite Sample

For the week ending on July 7, grab samples of the influent and effluent were collected each day on July 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Seven-day composite samples of both the influent and effluent were prepared by Veolia on July 9 and sent to MWH. MWH acknowledged receipt of the samples on July 10, and the samples were analyzed for perchlorate on July 24/25. In the laboratory report dated July 30, the effluent-composite result was 220 μ g/L, which was higher than the typical non-detect (<4 μ g/L). The influent-composite result was 100,000 μ g/L, which is a typical influent concentration.

As previously stated above, on June 19, prior to analyzing the discrete effluent sample for that day, Veolia's in-house IC machine became inoperable. On July 25, a technician from Dionex repaired and performed general preventative maintenance on the IC.

On July 26, Veolia's in-house lab technician began analyzing both daily discrete effluent and weekly composite effluent samples for perchlorate from June 20 to current. In-house analysis indicated an elevated discrete effluent result of 1,773 μ g/L for the July 3rd sample and an elevated effluent-composite result of 254 μ g/L for the week ending July 7. Veolia contacted MWH and Susan Crowley (NERT consultant) to verify these results.

On July 30, Veolia personnel sent the influent discrete sample from July 3 to MWH (to determine if the influent and effluent bottles had been switched). On August 7, MWH indicated that the influent discrete sample from July 3 had a result of $100,000~\mu g/L$.

Additionally, on July 30, to verify if the influent and effluent sample bottles for July 3 had been switched, Veolia sent a daily discrete sample from both the influent and effluent for July 3, to Silver State. On August 7, Silver State reported that the influent discrete sample from July 3 had a result of $66,800 \mu g/L$ and the discrete effluent sample had a result of $1,811 \mu g/L$.

On August 9, re-composites of both the influent and effluent and daily discrete samples from both the influent and effluent were sent to MWH. On August 29, MWH provided the results of the August 9 samples. The results indicated the same effluent-composite result of 220 μ g/L perchlorate and a July 3 discrete effluent sample result of 1,600 μ g/L for perchlorate, with the other six discrete effluent samples from that week being non-detect. Results from the other effluent and influent samples are included in Table 2 below.

A discussion of the possible reasons the July 3 discrete effluent sample had high perchlorate concentrations is provided below.

	Summary (Table : of Effluent and Influe Week of July	- nt Results for Perchlorat	te
Sample Name	Sample Date	Perchlorate Concentration (μg/L)	Who Analyzed Sample	Date Sample was Analyzed
		Effluer	it	
Effluent-Comp	7/1 – 7/7	220	MWH	7/25
Effluent-Comp	7/1 – 7/7	220 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent-Comp	7/1 – 7/7	254	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/1	ND (H3)	MWH	8/15



Table 2
Summary of Effluent and Influent Results for Perchlorate
Week of July 7, 2012

Sample Name	Sample Date	Perchlorate Concentration (µg/L)	Who Analyzed Sample	Date Sample was Analyzed
Effluent	7/1	ND	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/2	ND (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent	7/2	ND	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/3	1,600 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent	7/3	1,773	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/3	1,811	Silver State	8/3
Effluent	7/4	ND (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent	7/4	ND	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/5	ND (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent	7/5	ND	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/6	ND (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent	7/6	ND	Veolia In-House	7/26
Effluent	7/7	ND (H3)	MWH	8/15
Effluent	7/7	ND	Veolia In-House	7/26
		Influen	t	
Influent-Comp	7/1 – 7/7	100,000	MWH	7/25
Influent-Comp	7/1 – 7/7	98,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/1	99,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/2	100,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/3	100,000 (H3)	MWH	7/31
Influent	7/3	100,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/3	66,800	Silver State	8/3
Influent	7/4	98,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/5	98,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/6	100,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15
Influent	7/7	100,000 (H3)	MWH	8/15

Notes:

Comp: composite ND: non-detect

H3: For MWH samples, sample was received and analyzed past holding time. Data not acceptable for

regulatory compliance.

Possible Causes and Corrective Actions

Veolia reviewed operating conditions in the fluidized bed reactors (FBRs) for both June 19 and July 3 to try to understand the elevated perchlorate concentrations in the discrete effluent samples on these two days. The primary parameter reviewed was sulfide concentrations in the FBR discharge. Sulfide concentrations are an indicator of perchlorate destruction effectiveness.



Based on Veolia's review, the FBRs were operating within normal ranges and there was no indication that perchlorate destruction was compromised on either June 19 or July 3.

Veolia suspects that the elevated effluent perchlorate concentrations for both June 19 and July 3 are the result of human sampling error or sample cross contamination. It is possible that at the time of sample collection, the sample collector had previously been working with or around the influent stream and did not take the necessary precautions of decontamination prior to collecting the effluent sample. Furthermore, at the time both of these samples were collected, the sample containers had been used, decontaminated, and reused several times. The water used for decontaminating was untreated water from Lake Mead. This water is believed to contain trace amounts of Perchlorate. To eliminate the possibility of cross contamination in the future, new laboratory grade sample bottles were purchased and are currently being used. Also, in the future deionized water will be used for cleaning.

To further maintain sample integrity, sample collectors have been re-trained on proper sampling locations, procedures and sample handling. Also, once a sample is collected, the sample container is sealed with a custody seal to prevent sample tampering.

Also, as part of the corrective actions, if the IC becomes inoperable, a portion of the discrete effluent samples will be sent to Silver State laboratory to verify that the water being discharged to the Las Vegas Wash meets effluent requirements.

Previously, to ensure proper destruction of the perchlorate, sulfide concentrations in the FBR discharge were maintained at >1,000 μ g/L. If sulfide concentrations were <1,000 μ g/L, Veolia personnel were instructed to divert the effluent discharge from the Las Vegas Wash to the GW-11 holding pond. To further ensure perchlorate destruction, this operating parameter has been increased from >1,000 μ g/L to >1,500 μ g/L.

Conclusion

Based on possible causes and review of the FBR operating data, it is believed that on both days, June 19 and July 3, the elevated effluent perchlorate concentrations were the result of human sampling error or sample cross contamination and elevated perchlorate was not discharged to the Las Vegas Wash.



Attachment B

Revised June 2012 Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR)

00044/961223-1801

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS
Name: Nevada Environmental Response Trust
Address: CO Veolia Water NA
510 Fourth Street
Henderson, NV. 89015

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR)

MONITORING PERIOD

DAY YEAR MO PERMIT NUMBER 90

NO DISCHARGE NO DISCHARGE NOTE: Read instructions before completing this form

	2 -	ì		OR S			NO I E: Kead instructions before completing this form	tions before o	completing t	his form	
	ntai Kesponse	i rust FROM	YEAR MO DAY M 12 06 01	TO 12	MO DAY 06 30						
Attn: Susan M. Crowley											
PARAMETER			QUANTITY OR LOADING		0	QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION	TION		N F	FREQUENCY OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE
		30 Day Ave	7 Day Average	UNITS	30 Day Ave (mg/l)	7 Day Ave (mg/l)	30 Day Ave(lbs/day)	V) UNITS	and the last of the last		u L
Flow	SAMPLE	1.28	1.33	MGD	***	****	***	Name and Address of the Owner, where	0	Cont	Flow Meter
9	MEASOREMEN										
Emuent	THANK		1.75 MGD		****	****	****				
	KEQUIKEINEIN	2	Maximum								
BOD5 (Inhibited)	SAMPLE	*****	****		1.0	1.0	10.84	MG/L	0	Weekly	Discrete
	MEAUCKEMEN			k k							
Influent	PERMIT	****	****	***	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT	LNI			Report	Report	Report				
BOD5 (Inhibited)	SAMPLE	******	水水水水水水	***	2.5	5.1	33.40	MG/L	0	Weekly	Discrete
H H H	TIMARA	****	水水水水水水	***) = m=/	11 200 01	A III				
	REQUIREMENT	ENT			43 IIIg/L	40 mg/L	254 lbs/day				
Perchlorate FBR	SAMPLE	*****	*****		97	100	1 044	MG/I		Weekly	Comp
charten	MEASUREMENT	FNH		***	;		-)	and the latest		
Influent	PERMIT	*****	****	***	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT	ENT			Report	Report	Report				
Perchlorate FBR	SAMPLE	*****	****		62.61	310.00	0.64	NG/L	0	Weekly	Comp
agent Control	MEASUREMENT	ENT		***							
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	18 ug/l	Monitor &	0.22 lbs/day				
	REQUIREMENT	ENT				Report		2491			
Hd	SAMPLE	*****	*****		7.1	7.5	*****	SU	0	Weekly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT	ENT		**							
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	6.5 Minimum	9.0 Maximum	*****				
	REQUIREMENT	ENT									
Hexavalent Chormium	SAMPLE	*****	*****		0.035	0.036	0.375	MG/I	0	Weekly	Discrete
Marie	MEASUREMENT	ENT		***				1			
Influent	PERMIT	*****	****	***	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT	ENT			Report	Report	Report				
NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER		I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL	HIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WICE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASSURE	ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DESIGNED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNI		0	-	ELEPHONE		DATE	
Susan Crowlev	u. u.	PROPERLY GATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR PERSONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE	FORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY IN THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE	QUIRY OF THE PERSON OR FOR GATHERING THE	OWCHON	JACCO JACCO					
CEM 1428, exp 3-8-13		VFORMATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITT	ED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE A	AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE	SIGNATURE C	SIGNATURE OF PRICIPAL EXECUTIVE	702	592-7727	2012 S	September	9
TYPED OR PRINTED		AND COMPLETE. I AM ANVARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRISIONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATIONS	ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMIT MPRSIONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATIONS	TING FALSE INFORMATION,	1		AREA	NUMBER	YEAR M	MONTH	DAY
					OFFICEROR	OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT	ECODE			The second secon	

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR)
NV 0023060 001 NV 0023060
PERMIT NUMBER

	al Response Trust		NV 0023060	DISCHARGE MONTORING REPORT (DMR) NV 0023060 001	PORT (DMR)						
Address: CO Veolia Water NA			PERMIT NUMBER	IBER	DISCHARGE NUMBER		;				
Henderson, NV. 89015	5		MON	MONITORING PERIOD			NO DISCHARGE NOTE: Read instructions before completing this form	NO DISCHARGE structions before o	E completii	L ng this form	
Facility: Nevada Environmental Response Trust Location: Henderson, NV	al Response Trust	FROM	YEAR MO DAY 12 06 01	TO 12	MO DAY 06 30					1	
PA			QUANTITY OR LOADING		8	QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION	ATION		<u>8</u> 2	FREQUENCY OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE
		30 Day Ave	7 Day Average	UNITS	30 Day Ave (mg/l)	7 Day Ave (mg/l)	30 Day Ave(lbs/day)	lav) UNITS	1		
Hexavalent Chromium	SAMPLE	****	****		0.000		0.000	1	0	Weekly	Discrete
	MEASOREMENT			k k k							
Emuent	REQUIREMENT	K K K K K	****	***	Monitor &	0.01 mg/l	Monitor &				
Total Chromium	SAMPLE	****	*****		0.046	0800	CA13	I/OW	c	MOON	0,000
	MEASUREMENT			**	0	0000	0.4	INIG/L	0	weekiy	Uiscrete
Influent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT				Report	Report	Report				
Total Chromium	SAMPLE	****	****		0.009	0.011	0.101	MG/L	0	Weekly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT			****						`	
Effluent	PREMIT	****	****	***	Monitor &	0.1 mg/l	Monitor &				
	REGUIREMENT				Report		Report				
Total Suspended Solids	SAMPLE	****	***	***	15.75	20.00	174.33	MG/L	0	Weekly	Discrete
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	135 ma/l	Monitor &	1 634 lbs/day				
	REQUIREMENT					Report	500				
Iron, Total	SAMPLE	****	*****		3.50	4.30	43.01	MG/L	0	Weekly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT			***							
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	**	10 mg/l	Monitor &	121.03 lbs/day				
	REQUIREMENT					Report					
Manganese	SAMPLE	**	水水水水水	***	*	****	*	MG/L	0	Quarterly	Discrete
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	* * *	5 ma/l	NA	60.52 lbs/day				
	REQUIREMENT				ò						
Total Phosphorus, as P	SAMPLE	*****	水水水水水水		0.045	090.0	0.588	MG/L	0	Weekly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT			***			one les				
Influent	PERMIT	****	水水水水水水	* * * *	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
	NECO CREMEN	1000			Report	Report	Report				
NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER		Y UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ON OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH A SYSTEM DES		. ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY SIGNED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL	, ,,,,,	0		ELEPHONE		DATE	
Susan Crowlev	PERSON	LT GATHER AND EVALUATE THE IN	PROFECT, USATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. EASED ON MY INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR PERSONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE	Y INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR BLE FOR GATHERING THE	1 MC LONS	when					
CEM 1428, exp 3-8-13	NFORMA OCCUPA	ATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITT	INFORMATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATION AND THE THE THE THAT AND THE PER THAT THAT THAT THE PER THAT	IE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE,	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE OF PRICIPAL EXECUTIVE		592-7727		September	9
	INCLUDIN	NG THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND	INCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRSIONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATIONS.	ONS.			AREA	NUMBER	YEAR	MONTH	DAY
COMMENT AND EXPANATION OF ANY VIOL	F	ATIONS (Reference all attachments here)	nanto hara)			OFFICER OR AUTHORIZE AGENT					

COMMENT AND EXPANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)

* Monitoring frequency is quarterly. Please See April 2012 DMR for analyte information.

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR)
NV 0023060 PERMIT NUMBER

Name: Nevada Environmental Response Trust Address: CO Veolia Water NA 510 Fourth Street Henderson, NV. 89015

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS

YEAR MO MONITORING PERIOD 2 DAY 90 FROM 12

NO DISCHARGE NOTE: Read instructions before completing this form

TO 12 06 30 UNITS 30 Day Ave (mg/l) **** Monitor & Report **** **** **** Monitor & Report 6 **** Monitor & Report 10.50 **** **** Monitor & Report 10.50 **** **** **** **** **** **** ****	CATA CATA	ŀ		Deloie com	Dieting this form	
Suban Mil Crowley SAMPLE	FROM 12 06 01 TO 12	4				
PARAMETER PARAMETER SAMPLE SAMP						
SAMPLE S	QUANTITY OR LOADING	QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION	NOIT		NO. FREQUENCY FX OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE
Phosphorus, as P	7 Day Average UNITS	-	30 Day Ave(lbs/day)	UNITS	THE PERSON NAMED IN]
PERMIT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	MG/L	0 Weekly	Discrete
Ammonia, as N AsAMPLE Assams As	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		20 lbs/day			
MEQUIREMENT MEASUREMENT		3	2000			
NEASUREMENT NEASUREMENT NEASON	*****		1.17	MG/L	0 Weekly	Discrete
The part of the						
Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ං <u>ජ</u>	40 lbs/day			
MEASUREMENT SAMPLE SAMPL						
The Parish	****	Please see attached results	Ilts		0 Quarterly	Discrete
PREMIT						
REQUIREMENT REASHER Reasher Reasher Requirement Research Requirement R	***		Monitor &			
MEASUREMENT			Report			
nt PERMIT ************************************				ACU	0 Weekly	Discrete
The part of the					5 H 2	
REQUIREMENT Rescurement	*************************************		水水水水水水			
MEASUREMENT #### #### ###### ##################						
PERMIT			*****	ACU	0 Weekly	Discrete
PERMIT						
REQUIREMENT REASUREMENT Reason Reserve Reserve Reason	****		*****			
Inorganic Nitrogen,						
The principal control of the present of the prese	****	-	114.27	MG/L	0 Weekly	Discrete
The principal continued by the permitty of Law That This document and Alexandra (Susan Crowley Persons who wander the system, or the best of any knowledge of any complete. It was a significant persons who wander that system, or the best of any knowledge of any complete. The persons who wander the system, or the best of any knowledge of any contains the system of the best of any knowledge of any contains the system of the best of the persons who wander that there are significant persons in the contains the system of the best of any knowledge and belief. The collection of the persons who wander that there are significant persons the collection of the persons who wander that there are significant persons the system of the persons who wander that there are significant permitting page information, in the information, the information the system of the persons who wander that there are significant permitting page information, in the persons who wander that there are significant permitting page in the system of the persons who wander that there are significant permitting page in the system of the persons who wander that there are significant permitting page in the system of the persons who wander that there are significant permitting page.						
Inorganic Nitrogen, SAMPLE SAMPLE ************************************	*****		Monitor &			
Inorganic Nitrogen, MEASUREMENT PERMIT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT RECOUREMENT RE			Report			
TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER Susan Crowley Susan Crowley TYPED OR PRINTED TYPED OR TYPED	****		2.18	MG/L	0 Weekly	Discrete
PERMIT ****** QUIREMENT COUNTING COUNTING COUNTING						
QUIREMENT COURENTY UNDER PERALTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DISCREPTED ON SOUR ENTER AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DISCREPTED ON A COURT OF THE PERSONNEL PROPERTY CATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMANTON SUBMITTED DASED ON MY MOUNTY OF THE PERSON OR PERSONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE. TAM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION,	在		Monitor &			
I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DECENTION OF MEMORY AND ACCORDANCE WITH A STEEMED SENDED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL PROPERTY CATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMANTED SENDED MY MOUNTY OF THE PERSON OR PERSONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSINE FOR GATHERING THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE. TAM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION,			Report			
DIRECTION OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL. PROPERLY GATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON IN' MOUNTY OF THE PERSON OR PERSONS WIND MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE INFORMATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION,	Y UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY		HNCHdH IHL	HNCT	AT∆C	
PRESONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR CATHERING THE INFORMATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELLEF, TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION,	ON OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL. 11.Y GATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON INY INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR	Jam Cime June		!		
AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION,	IS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE ATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE,	SIGNATURE OF PRICIPAL EXECUT	VE 702 592-7727	2012	Sentember	ď
	AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION, MICHIGINAL THE PROCERMITY OF EMILE AND MEDECOMMENT FOR EXPANSION AND APPROXE		AREA	BER	1	DAY
	THE COURT OF THE CASE WITH CONTRIBUTE TOWN CASE AND CONTRIBUTE TOWN CAS	OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT	CODE			

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR) NV 0023060
PERMIT NUMBER

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS

Figure F	Address: CO Veolia Water NA	Nevada Environmental Response Trust CO Veolia Water NA		PERMIT NUMBER		DISCHARGE NUMBER						
Parallel Response Tust Parallel Response T	510 Fourth Street	Ļ						Ž	O DISCHARG	Ш		
Notice Properties Propert		15			MITORING PERIOD		_	NOTE: Read instr	uctions before	completi	ng this form	
SAMPLE SOME SAMPLE SOURCEMENT OF COUNCE SOURCEMENT OF CONCENTRATION SOURCE SOURCEMENT OF CONCENTRATION SOURCE SOURCEMENT OF CONCENTRATION SOURCE SOURCEMENT OF CONCENTRATION SOURCE SOUR	Facility: Nevada Environment Location: Henderson NV	tal Response Trust	MOGE	YEAR MO	Ç	-						
Colored Colo					2	30						
SAMPLE SO De Avvelleden Monthy SO De Avvelleden Monthy SO De Avvelleden Monthy Monthy SO De Avelleden Monthy	PARAMETER			QUANTITY OR LOADING		G	UALITY OR CONCENTRAT	NOI		S X	FREQUENCY OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE
SAMPLE PENNIT P			30 Day Ave	7 Day Average	UNITS	30 Day Ave (mg/l)	7 Day Ave (mg/l)	30 Day Ave(lbs/c	-	í]
REQUIREMENT RECUIREMENT	Sulfate	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	***	****	**	1,400	在在本本本	13,459	11	0	Monthly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT READING REPORT READING REA	Influent	PERMIT	*****	*****	****	Monitor &	*****	Monitor &				
Nontigue		REQUIREMENT				Report		Renort				
REQUIREMENT	Sulfate	SAMPLE	****		***	1,100	****	12,146	MG/L	0	Monthly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT	Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	Monitor 2	*****	0 204:00				
SSONNED SOINGS MEASUREMENT STANDLE STA		REQUIREMENT			11.03	Report		Mionitor &				
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT RECOUREMENT	Total Dissolved Solids	SAMPLE	水水水水水水			*	*****	*	17 0 10	c	1	
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT Report		MEASUREMENT			* * *				MG/L	0	quareny	Discrete
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT RESPONSE REAL RECOUREMENT RESPONSE RESPON	Influent	PREMIT	*****	*****	****	Monitor &	*****	Monitor &				
Name		REQUIREMENT				Report		Renort				
Permitted Perm	Total Dissolved Solids	SAMPLE	****	*****		*	*****	*	MG/I	c	Ouarferly	Discrete
PERMIT REQUIREMENT Report Repor		MEASUREMENT			***							
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT Recourse Report	Effluent	PERMIT	****	****	***	Monitor &	*****	Monitor &				
Note Measurement Measure		REQUIREMENT			ti esta	Report		Report				
MACQUERINT MAC	Sulfide	SAMPLE	京水水水水			0.01	****	0.13	MG/L	0	Monthly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT RECUIREMENT Record Report		MEASUREMENT			****							
REQUIREMENT REACHEMENT Remarks	Influent	PERMIT	****	****	***	Monitor &	水水水水水	Monitor &				
Macula M		REQUIREMENT				Report		Report				
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REPORT	Sulfide	SAMPLE	***	***	** ** **	0.01	***	0.13	MG/L	0	Monthly	Discrete
Report R	Effluent	PERMIT	*****	****	***	Monitor &	水水水水水水	Monitor &				
SAMPLE ****** ****** ****** ****** ****** ****		REQUIREMENT				Report		Report				
ASUREMENT WANTED WASTER WATER WAT	Oil & Grease	SAMPLE	****			*	*****	*	MG/I	C	Quarterly	Discrete
PERMIT ******* Monitor & ******* Monitor & Mo		MEASUREMENT			***							
Report R	Influent	PERMIT	****	****	* * * *	Monitor &	****	Monitor &				
DRECTION ONCE SUPPERVISION OF EACH AND ALL ATTACHMENT WHEN EACH AND ASSURED THAT THIS DOCUMENT AS STREET DRESPONDED MAY THE ASSOCIATION OF THE PRESON OR PRECINCE THAT AS STREET DRESPONDED AS STREET THAT OLD ASSURED THAT AS STREET DRESPONDED AS STREET THAT OLD ASSURED THAT AS STREET DRESPONDED AND ASSURED THAT AS STREET DRESPONDED AND ASSOCIATION OF THE PRESON OF T		REQUIREMENT			10000 A	Report		Report				
PAY PRODUCTION THE AND EVALUATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY MOUNTET THE ENERGY OF THE PERSON ON PRODUCT THE PERSON ON PRO	NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE (2000000000	UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT TO N OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDAN	4IS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENT? CE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASS	S WERE PREPARED UNDER MY URE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL	23	0		ELEPHONE		DATE	
AND COMPLETE. I AM ANVARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT FOR KNOWING VOLCATIONS. OFFICIENCY OR ALI THORIZED AGENT. OFFICIENCY OR ALI THORIZED AGENT. SIGNATURE OF PRICIPAL BXECUTIVE 702 592-7727 2012 September 6 September 6 September 6 September 702 592-7727 2012 September 6 September 702 592-7727 2012 September 6 September 703 592-7727 2012 September 703 592-7727 2	Susan Crowlev	PROPERLY	Y GATHER AND EVALUATE THE INF WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR T	CORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY HOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSI	Y INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR BLE FOR GATHERING THE	OVACLE	when					
AREA NUMBER YEAR MONTH MONTH INCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRISONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATIONS.	CEM 1428, exp 3-8-13	Convolution	CON, THE INTORNACION SOCIALITY	O IS, TO THE BEST OF MIT ANOWLEDG	ie AND BELIEF, IRUE, ACCURALE,	SIGNATURE	F PRICIPAL EXECUTIV	702	92-7727	-		9
	TYPED OR PRINTED	INCLUDING	TELE. LAM AWAKE THAT THEKE. 3 THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IN	ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUB APRSIONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATION	SMITTING FALSE INFORMATION, ONS.	AC ABOINED	TINEDA MENDIACINE	AREA	NUMBER		octorio	DAY

^{*} Monitoring frequency is quarterly. Please See April 2012 DMR for analyte information.

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR) NV 0023060 PERMIT NUMBER

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS

According Note No. Package Pac	Name: Nevada Environmental Response Trust	tal Response Trus		NV 0023060		100						
From the proper part of the pr	Address: CO Veolia Water NA 510 Fourth Street			PERMIT NUN		DISCHARGE NUMBER		Ç				
New designation	Henderson, NV. 890	15		MON	NITORING PERIOD			NOTE: Read instru	DISCHARGE α	ompleting t	his form	
SAMPLE S	-	tal Response Trus		YEAR MO	YEAR TO 13	H				-		
Columnia	Attn: Susan M. Crowley				2	-						
SAMPLE MEASHREMENT Monitor & M	PARAMETER			QUANTITY OR LOADING	(1)	ō	UALITY OR CONCENTRA	TION			REQUENCY RANALYSIS	SAMPLE
Montage Metasurement Montage			30 Day Ave	7 Day Average	UNITS	30 Day Ave (mg/l)	7 Day Ave (mg/l)	30 Day Ave(lbs/da		i]
REQUIREMENT RECOUNTERMENT	Oil & Grease	SAMPLE		**	****	*	水水水水水水	*	MG/L		uarterly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REDUIREMENT	Effluent	PERMIT		****	****	Monitor &	水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水	Monitor &				
REQUIREMENT		REQUIREMEN				Report		Report				
PERMIT P	Boron	SAMPLE		****	***	*	水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水	*	MG/L		uarterly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT READ READ RED	Effluent	PERMIT		****	***	Monitor &	*****	Monitor &				
Charge C		REQUIREMEN.				Report		Report				
REQUIREMENT RECUIREMENT RECUIREMENT RECOUREMENT Report	Dissolved Oxygen	SAMPLE		*****		7.68	8.09	83.20	MG/L	T	Veekly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT REAL REMARKED Remort Remott		MEASUREMEN			***							
Name	Effuent	PREMI		****	**	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
NICTOGEN AS NAME SAMPLE SECUREMENT SECURMENT SECUREMENT SECUREMENT SECUREMENT SECUREMENT SE	Nitrato N	SAMPIF	*****			Kebort 0.47	Kebon ******	Кероп	17 (184	T		
Nitrogen as N		MEASUREMEN	-		***			05.1	MG/L		lontnly	Discrete
REQUIREMENT READIREMENT	Effluent	PERMIT	水水水水水水	*****	****	Monitor &	水水水水水水	Monitor 8.				
SAMPLE SALPEMENT SALPEME		REQUIREMEN	_			Report		Report				
SQUREMENT	Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	SAMPLE	****			0.03	0.04	0.48	MG/L	T	Veekly	Discrete
PERMIT		MEASUREMEN			***						,	
SAMPLE S	Influent	PERMIT		*****	***	Monitor &	Monitor &	Monitor &				
1.10 1.50 12.14 MG/L Molitor & Weekly Molitor & Molito		REQUIREMEN				Report	Report	Report				
ACUREMIENT Accordance Acc	Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	SAMPLE	****			1.10	1.50	12.14	MG/L		Veekly	Discrete
ASUREMENT SAMPLE ******* ******* ******* ASUREMENT SAUREMENT ******* ******* ******* ******* ****	F∰!ient	MEASOREMEN		*****	* * *	Monitor 9	O motion	O TOWN				
ASURE		REQUIREMEN.				Penort &	Donort	ואוסווונטו מ				
ASUREMENT ****** ***** Monitor & ****** Monitor & ******* Monitor & ******** Monitor & ******** Monitor & ******** Monitor & ************* Monitor & ************** Monitor & ***********************************	Chloride	SAMPLE	*****	*****		*	******	*			1 1 0 0 0	
PERMIT ****** Monitor & ******* Monitor & ******* Monitor & ******* Monitor & ******* Monitor & ******** Monitor & ******** Monitor & ***********************************		MEASUREMEN	T		****			motive data	100		inallelly	Disciele
COUREMENT CERTIFICATIONS REPORTED ASSURET AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY CHERTIFICATION OF LOCATIONS AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY CHERTIFICATION OF LOCATIONS AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY CHERTIFICATION OF LOCATIONS AND ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE PRESONED TO ASSURET AND EACH ALL ATTACHMENTS WITH A STREET AND EACH ALL ATTACHMENTS WITH A STREET AND EACH ALL ATTACHMENT OF THE PROSPERIT OF A STREET AND EACH ALL ATTACHMENTS WERE A PROSPERIT OF A STREET AND EACH AND ALL ATTACHMENT OF THE A PROSPERIT OF A STREET AND	Influent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	Monitor &	*****	Monitor &				
Incentive Under Persuatry of Law Yirah Tilab Document And ALL ATTACHMENTS Wise Represed Number 18 Document And ALL ATTACHMENTS WISE ASSURES THAT A REPRESENT OF LAW YIRAH TILB DOCUMENT AND ENAULATE THE REPORT OF STREET AND ENAULATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS ASSURED FOR ACTUAL AND ENAULATE THE REPORT OF THE RESTORMENT OF THE RESTORME		REQUIREMEN	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			Report		Report				
PROPERLY GATHER AND EAZURATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY INDUIRY OF THE PERSON OR PRESONE WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE PERSON OF THE MEDIATION OF THE BEST OF WAY INDUIRED. THOSE ACCUPANCE THE ACCUPANCE THE MEDIATION OF THE PERSON OF TH	NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE		IFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT TITION OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDAN	HIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENT: CE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASS	S WERE PREPARED UNDER MY SURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL	7	0	1	LEPHONE		DATE	
AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT POR RUNDWIEDOR AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FINE AND IMPRISIONMENT FOR KNOWNING VIOLATIONS.	Susan Crowlev	PROPE	RLY GATHER AND EVALUATE THE INF INS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR 1	ORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSI	Y INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR BLE FOR GATHERING THE	S MC	when					
AND COMPLETE. I AM ANARE THATE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION. AREA NUMBER YEAR MONTH INCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRISONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATIONS.	CEM 1428, exp 3-8-13	INFOR	MATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTI	ED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDG	SE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE,	SIGNATURE O	F PRICIPAL EXECUT	702		diam'r.	eptember	9
	TYPED OR PRINTED	AND O	OMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE DING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND II	ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUB- MPRSIONMENT FOR KNOMING VIOLATI	BMITTING FALSE INFORMATION,			SECTION OF	H	1	MONTH	DAY

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR) 001 DISCHARGE NUMBER PERMIT NUMBER

MONITORING PERIOD Name: Nevada Environmental Response Trust Address: CO Veolia Water NA 510 Fourth Street Henderson, NV. 89015 Fadiliv: Nevada Environmental Response Trust

NO DISCHARGE NO DISCHARGE NOTE: Read instructions before completing this form

Facility: Nevada Environmental Response Trust	al Response Trus	÷	YEAR MO DAY	/ YEAR	MO DAY						
Location: Henderson, NV Attn: Susan M. Crowlev		FROM	12 07 01	10	07 31						
å			QUANTITY OR LOADING	(5)	J	QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION	ATION		8 J	FREQUENCY OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE
		30 Day Ave	7 Day Average	UNITS	30 Day Ave (mg/l)	7 Dav Ave (ma/l)	30 Dav Ave(lbs/dav)	STINU			<u>Т</u>
Chloride	SAMPLE		*****		*	A	*	T	0	Quarterly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT			***							
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	****	***	Monitor &	****	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT			200	Report		Report				
Chlorate	SAMPLE	***	*****		220	*****	2,396	MG/L	0	Monthly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT			***							
Influent	PERMIT	*****	*****	* * *	Monitor &	*****	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT	_			Report		Report				
Chlorate	SAMPLE	****	*****		0.00	****	0.00	MG/I	C	Monthly	Discrete
	MEASUREMENT	1		***)			
Effluent	PERMIT	*****	*****	***	Monitor &	****	Monitor &				
	REQUIREMENT	L			Report		Renort				
	SAMPLE	****	*****						C		
	MEASUREMENT	<u></u>		***)	SCOREGE STATE	
	PERMIT	****	*****	***							
	REQUIREMENT	F									
	SAMPLE	*****	****						c		
	MEASUREMENT			***)		
	PERMIT	*****	*****	***							
	REQUIREMENT										
	II CERT	TIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL	THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS	. ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY				TEI EDHONE		17 V C	
NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER		TION OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDAL SELY GATHER AND EVALUATE THE IN	DIRECTION OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH A SYSTEM DESIGNED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEI. PROPERLY GATHER AND EVALUATE THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED. BASED ON MY INQUIRY OF THE PERSON OR	URE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL	Call Co.	0				חוק	
CEM 1428, exp 3-8-13	PERSC	ONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR MATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITI	PERSONS WHO MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE INFORMATION, THE INFORMATION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE,	BLE FOR GATHERING THE E AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE,	7	SIGNATURE OF PRICIPAL EXECUTIVE	702	592-7727	2012	September	· ·
TYPED OR PRINTED	AND CO INCLUE	OMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE DING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND I	AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION, INCLIDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRSIONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATIONS.	MITTING FALSE INFORMATION, DNS.			AREA	1BER	T		DAY
					OFFICER OR.	OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT					

COMMENT AND EXPANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)

* Monitoring frequency is quarterly. Please See April 2012 DMR for analyte information.

00044/961223-1801