

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.

July 23, 2010

1100 Quail Street Ste. 102 Newport Beach, CA 92660 ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada,

Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on July 21, 2010. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 23612:

SDG#

Fraction

091003470, 091003902

Asbestos

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B/4 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Rei Feng (W) Erlinda T. Rauto

Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

23612ST.wpd

54.0		S		T																											T	4
		_	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-			-					\vdash													_			_		0
-		≥ (2)	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	_			-			H						 	-	\vdash						\vdash	-			\dashv		0
		S	4	-	\dashv	_						_										_				\vdash	\vdash				\dashv	
_		≷	_	_																											\dashv	0
		တ		_																						<u> </u>						٥
		≥																														0
		S																														0
		≥																														0
5.74 S.A.		S	1		T																											0
		3	\dashv		1								<u> </u>																			0
		S	\dashv		_			\vdash		\vdash			H	_																		0
(C)		3	\dashv		\dashv			_		\vdash	ļ				_												\vdash					0
ၓၟႃ			\dashv		\dashv			\vdash	_	┝		_		_	_											_	-				\dashv	0
×		S	_	_				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\vdash	_	_			_					H						 		-	\dashv	Н
2		≥	_	\dashv	_	_		<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>	_			_			 -						-	-				_	
15		S						<u> </u>	_		_		<u> </u>				<u> </u>									-	-		<u> </u>	Н	\dashv	٥
		≥	_					_			<u> </u>			_												_			<u> </u>			٥
LLC-Northgate, Henderson NV / Tronox PCS)		တ						_						L							<u> </u>					<u> </u>	L	_				٥
등		≥																														0
ers		S																														0
힏		3																														0
H		S																														0
ဖြွဲ့		3	\neg																													0
gal		S													<u> </u>	-																0
된		≥	\dashv						<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 		 							<u> </u>	ļ		_								0
2		- S	\dashv	_					<u> </u>			-					H							\vdash	 			\vdash				0
ا بن		-	\dashv	_							_	ļ		-						-	_		\vdash	-		 	┢	H				0
		3	-					_					ļ	-							_		┝		ļ	-	┢	\vdash				Н
ŏ∣		S	-	_				_		_			ļ	<u> </u>	_	_				_	_	_	┡			-	-	┢	-		-	0
힏		≯		_					_			ļ		<u> </u>	_	_					_		_		-	-	-	-				0
E		S	_						ļ	_				L			_				<u> </u>		_			_	_	<u> </u>				0
12		3																 				_	L			L			_			0
 		S																							L		<u> </u>	_				0
LDC #23612 (Tronox		≥						L	L	L																		ot				0
ا رِّمَ [b. I-R- 28)	S	-	2	Ţ		L	$\bigsqcup_{}^{-}$	$\prod_{i=1}^{n}$	L	L												L									4
	Asb. (540-R- 97-028)	Λ	0	0	0														•													0
			5	/10	ar continues																											
	(3) DATE DUE		07/21/10 07/26/10	07/21/10 07/26/10	07/21/10 07/26/10																											
-			읭	0	0						_	┝		┢	_		-	┢╌	┢	-	-		_	-	 		-					
	DATE REC'D		121/1	121/1	/21/1																											
			02′	07,	02			ļ	ļ	_	_	ļ		_			_		ļ		_		_		-		_	_		_		
entite Mass																					i											T/LR
B/4	*	oii	3470	3902	3902																											8
Stage 2B/4	SDG#	er/S	091003470	091003902	091003902																											
Sta		Wat	8	60	60																											
		Matrix: Water/Soil	_					-	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	 _		_	_			<u> </u>	\vdash			_	<u> </u>			Ę
	ТРС	Mat	∢	В	В			L													<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>				<u>L</u>		Total

Attachment 1

3 DAY TAT

LDC #: 23612 SDG #: 091003470, 091003902

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: <u>BC</u> 2nd Reviewer: JE

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Completeness				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
II. EDD Qualifier Population	_			
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
III. EDD Lab Anomalies				
Were EDD anomalies identified?	X			
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?	X			See EDD_discrepancy_ form_LDC23612_072210.doc
IV. EDD Delivery				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Data Validation Reports LDC #23612

Asbestos



Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date:

April 14, 2010

LDC Report Date:

July 22, 2010

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Asbestos

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

EMSL Analytical, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 091003470

Sample Identification

SSAO8-02-0.33BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 540-R-97-028 for Asbestos.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

No holding time requirement is specified for asbestos.

No cooler temperature requirement is specified for asbestos.

II. Calibration

A NIST standard reference material containing Chrysotile, Amosite, and Crocidolite asbestos was analyzed. The calibration identified the proper constituents.

III. Blanks

The blank analyses showed no asbestos contamination.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

V. Sample Result Verification

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 091003470	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А

VI. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Asbestos - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 091003470

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)	
091003470	SSAO8-02-0.33BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А	Sample result verification (sp)	

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Asbestos - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 091003470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Asbestos - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 091003470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: 091003470

LDC #: 23612A6

Stage 2B

Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

Laboratory: EMSL Analytical, Inc.

METHOD: Asbestos (Draft Modified Elutriator Method adopted from EPA Method 540-R-97-028)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/14/12
[].	Calibration verification	A	, ,
111.	Blanks	K.	follow her too
IV.	Matrix Duplicates	Ŋ	Ment you fut
V.	Sample result verification	N)
VI.	Overall assessment of data	A	·
VII.	Field duplicates	P	
VIII	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

	Soi				
1	SSAO8-02-0.33BPC	11	21	31	
2		12	22	32	
3		13	23	33	
4		14	24	34	
5		15	25	35	
6		16	26	36	
7	·	17	27	. 37	
8		18	28	38	
9	·	19	29	39	
10		20	30	40	

Notés:	•

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date:

April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date:

July 22, 2010

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Asbestos

Validation Level:

Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory:

EMSL Analytical, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 091003902

Sample Identification

SSAL2-03-0.00BPC** SSAL2-02-0.00BPC SSAQ3-01-0.00BPC

^{**}Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 540-R-97-028 for Asbestos.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

No holding time requirement is specified for asbestos.

No cooler temperature requirement is specified for asbestos.

II. Calibration

A NIST standard reference material containing Chrysotile, Amosite, and Crocidolite asbestos was analyzed. The calibration identified the proper constituents.

III. Blanks

The blank analyses showed no asbestos contamination.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

V. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 091003902	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А

The results listed on the final report were verified against the raw data worksheets. The results were transcribed correctly to the final report.

VI. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Asbestos - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 091003902

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
091003902	SSAL2-03-0.00BPC** SSAL2-02-0.00BPC SSAQ3-01-0.00BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	Α	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Asbestos - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 091003902

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Asbestos - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 091003902

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #:_	09100390	02		
Laborato	ory: EMSL	Analytical,	Inc.	

Stage 2B/4

	Date:	1/22/1
	Page:_	<u></u>
	Reviewer:	h
2nd	Reviewer:	<u> </u>
	_	7

LDC #: 23612B6

METHOD: Asbestos (Draft Modified Elutriator Method adopted from EPA Method 540-R-97-028)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/ ンタン/ኒ o
11.	Calibration verification	A	,
111.	Blanks	A	filter
IV.	Matrix Duplicates	W	chrest gover fry
V.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
VI.	Overall assessment of data	A	
VII.	Field duplicates	₩.	
VIII	Field blanks	\perp N	

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate

R = Rinsate

TB = Trip blank

FB = Field blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

** Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

	£ 0.1				
1	SSAL2-03-0.00BPC**	11	21	31	
2 /	SSAL2-02-0.00BPC	12	22	32	
3	SSAQ3-01-0.00BPC	13	23	33	
4		14	24	34	
5		15	25	35	
6		16	26	36	
7		17.	27	37	
8		18	28	38	
9		19	29	39	
10		20	30	40	

Notes:			

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: of > Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

Method: Asbestos (EPA Method Sucur)

Method: Asbestos (EPA Method) www.	Т			
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times			·	
All technical holding times were met.	K		1	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.				
II. Calibration				
Were balance checks performed as required?			/	
Was the flow rate for the IST opening calibrated to 72 ml/min?	1			
Was the leak check performed?	/			
Was chrysotile beam dose sensitivity acceptable?	/			
Was camera constant calibration acceptable?	/			
Was crocidolite spectrum Na sensitivity acceptable?				
Was Mg-Si K-alpha peak resolvability acceptable?	/			
Were K factors acceptable?				
Was detector resolution at the Mn K-alpha peak acceptable?				
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?				
Were 4% of unused filter lot blanks analyzed prior to sampling and < 0.2 fiber/mm²?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	/			
IV. Matrix Duplicates				
Was a duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated DUP.			·	
Was the duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 50%?				
V. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
Were samples prepared in accordance with the Modified Elutriator Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Soil and Bulk Material, Revision 1, Berman and Kolk, May 2000?				
Were the EDXA and SAED photos provided?	/			
Was the analytical sensitivity greater than 3.00E+06?	/			
Were asbestos fibers recorded ≥5.0 microns in length, 3:1 aspect ratio, and a modified 0.4 micron min. width?	1			
Was analysis stopped upon recording 25 asbestos fibers ≥10 microns in length after current grid opening was completed.	/			

LDC #:	V3612Bb
SDG #:	09100390

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: _____of ____ Reviewer: ______ 2nd Reviewer: ______

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.				
VII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		~		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates and RPD ≤50%.			1	
VIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		4	,	
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			7	

LDC #: 1/261766 SDG # 09/00390

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Sample Calculation Verification

Page:_	of
Reviewer:	
2nd reviewer:_	4
_	

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See Low	/
Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions answered "N". Not applicable questions N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly? Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?	ons are identified as "N/A".
Compound (analyte) results forrecalculated and verified using the following equation:	reported with a positive detect were
Concentration = Recalculation:	
Total hostoral foletos = 4x 385 0,00012 X 0,0132 X 82	= 1-19 × 10 ⁷ .

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Ven	Reported Concentration	Calculated Concentration	Acceptable (Y/N)
		Asbestos Structures > 10 μm (Long)		1 2.7/7	ン、ケケ	7
		Asbestos Structures > 10 μm (Chrys)		277	277	
		Total Protocol Asbestos Structures		3,70	3,700	
		Protocol Asbestos Structures (Chrys)		3.70	3-70	8
			(w	C. Str/g PMID	stuly lome	
2		Asbestos Structures > 10 µm (Long)		8.89 = + 6	8.89 246	4
		Asbestos Structures > 10 µm (Chrys)		8.8216	8189716	
		Total Protocol Asbestos Structures		1.19617	1-19 3+7	
		Protocol Asbestos Structures (Chrys)		1.19217	1.192+7	<i>y</i>

Note:	
	\cdot