

#### LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.

July 22, 2010

1100 Quail Street Ste. 102 Newport Beach, CA 92660 ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada,

Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on July 9, 2010. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

#### **LDC Project # 23542:**

SDG#	<u>Fraction</u>
280-3100-2, 280-3197-2 280-3955-6	Semivolatiles, Chlorinated Pesticides, Metals, Perchlorate

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B/4 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto

Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

23542ST.wpd

		S	П																														17
		≥																															0
		S																															0
	Ī	3																															0
		S	丁																														0
	ľ	3	1																														0
-		S	7																														0
		3	+	+	+	$\dashv$			$\dashv$																			┢					
-		5	_		+																							<del> </del>			-		0
		-+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$			-		_																	-					0
		≥	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		-												_									$\vdash$				0
		S		-	-	-																										_	0
္မွ		≱	_	4	_			_				_																_					-
×		S	$\dashv$		_	_														_		_											0
<u>ا</u> ۋ ا		≥	_	_	_	_					_							_		<u> </u>	_												0
입	ļ	S	$\dashv$	_	_	_	_												ļ			_	_					_			$\vdash$		
LLC-Northgate, Henderson NV / Tronox PCS		≥		$\dashv$	$\perp$	_												_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								<del> </del>	_		<u> </u>		
Ź		S	$\dashv$																	<u> </u>													
SO I		≷	$\dashv$																														
<u>ers</u>		ၓ				_														_													
Pu.		₹																															٥
Ĭ	CLO <sub>4</sub> (314.0)	S	0	0		<u> </u>																											0
ate,	ರ ಕ್ರ	≥	-	-		١																											2
hga	<b>M</b> n (6020)	တ	ا	0	-	-																						_					2
ort	2 09)	≥	1	-	0	0																					L						
Z	Pb (6020)	တ	ı	٥	,	•																											٥
<u>ا</u> ا	P (60	≷	٠	-	·	•																										_	-
Electronic State of	° 20)	S	•	0		1																											0
ouc	Co (6020)	≥	•	2	,	1																											2
Ě	s 20)	S	0	0	-	ı																											٥
42	As (6020)	3	2	2	٠	١																											4
35	3t. 1A)	S	$\neg$	0		,																											0
7# (	Pest. (8081A)	8	╗	-	1	,																											-
LDC #23542 (Tronox	SVOA (8270C)	S	0	0	-	•																											0
-	SV(	3	ы	-	,	·																											4
			5	5	9	/10																											
	(3) DATE DUE		07/30/10	07/30/10	07/30/10	07/30/10																											
			9							<b>-</b>			$\vdash$	ļ	$\vdash$									Н					m				П
	DATE REC'D		07/09/10	07/09/10	07/09/10	07/09/10																											
iliani. Gran			<u> </u>	0	0	0											_				ļ			$\vdash$	$\vdash$				-				Н
			2	2	9	9																											
2B/4	SDG#	Soil	9	197-	955-	955-																											LR
Stage 2B/4	SD	Water/Soil	280-3100-2	280-3197-2	280-3955-6	280-3955-6																											
š		200	~	(7	"	(7)																											T/LR
	- DC	Matrix:		ᆐ				_	<u> </u>		-	-	1	$\vdash$	-			-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>			_		$\vdash$	1	H		$I^-$	T	Total
		M	۷	В	ပ	O			L				L_	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u></u>	<u>L</u>		<u>L</u>			<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>	لئىل

Attachment 1

DL 07/09/10

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: JE 2nd Reviewer: BC

LDC #: <u>23542</u> SDG #: <u>280-3100-2</u>, <u>280-3197-2</u>, <u>280-3955-6</u>

#### Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Completeness				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
II. EDD Qualifier Population			1	
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
III. EDD Lab Anomalies				
Were EDD anomalies identified?		X		
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?			X	See EDD_discrepancy_ form_LDC23542_072110.doc
IV. EDD Delivery				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

#### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Data Validation Reports LDC #23542

Semivolatiles



### Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date:

July 20, 2010

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Semivolatiles

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-2

Sample Identification

EB-04302010-RZB (13:18)

EB-04302010-RZD

EB-04302010-RZB (8:10)

#### Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

#### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

#### III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

#### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

#### V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB 280-14190/1-A	5/6/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.95 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280- 3100-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-04302010-RZB (13:18)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.9 ug/L	1.9U ug/L
EB-04302010-RZD	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.8 ug/L	1.8U ug/L
EB-04302010-RZB (8:10)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	2.2U ug/L

Samples EB-04302010-RZB (13:18), EB-04302010-RZD, and EB-04302010-RZB (8:10) were identified as equipment blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04302010-RZB (13:18)	4/30/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.9 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04302010-RZD	4/30/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.8 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04302010-RZB (8:10)	4/30/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

#### VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

#### X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	Α

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

#### XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-2	EB-04302010-RZB (13:18) EB-04302010-RZD EB-04302010-RZB (8:10)	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

#### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-3100-2	EB-04302010-RZB (13:18)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.9U ug/L	А	bl
280-3100-2	EB-04302010-RZD	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.8U ug/L	А	bl
280-3100-2	EB-04302010-RZB (8:10)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2U ug/L	А	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### **Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

2B

LDC #:	23542A2a	VALIDATION COMPLET
SDG #:	280-3100-2	Stage
Laborato	ry: Test America	<u> </u>

Date: 7/9/16 Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: 006 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_ ^\_\_

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4 /30 /10
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	,
111.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD r
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	ca /a ≤ 25 7
V.	Blanks	SN	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Chient spec (Fac)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	ιζς
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
Χ.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SN	EB = 1,2,3

Note: A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

#### Validated Samples:

Weter 31 (13:1817) 21 EB-04302010-RZB 32 22 EB-04302010-RZD 12 33 EB-04302010-RZB 23 3 MB 280- 14190 /1-A 24 34 14 25 35 5 15 26 36 16 6 27 17 37 28 38 18 8 29 39 9 19 40 20 30 10

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol™	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chioro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrane	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzolc Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyi alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR, Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	00. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyi)phthalate	ТТТ.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)™	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	nnn
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	WV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:\* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; \*\* = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

54× Ax	3
23	3
DC #:	:DG #:

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

_ot	X	X
Page:	Reviewer:	2nd Reviewer:

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix? Y N/A

Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level? Was a method blank associated with every sample? 

Associated Samples: Blank extraction date: Conc. units:

Sample Identification 7,7 <u>.</u> ∞ MB 280-114190/-A Blank ID 1.95 子子 Compound

analysis date
Blank
date:
ank extraction
Θ

Associated Samples:

Associated Samples:	Compound Blank ID Sample Identification				
Conc. units:	Compound				

5x Phthalates 2x all others

LDC#: 73542 A7 SDG #:

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Blanks

o	36	*
Page:	Reviewer:	2nd Reviewer:

₩ĘTHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Associated sample units:

Associated sample units: Y N N/A Y N N/A Blank units:

Sampling date: 4/30/10 Field Blank / Rinsate / Other:

£₽

Associated Samples:

	Blank ID	7 3	7.6 19 2.7			
	Blank ID		_			
i loid bidink sport (single single in a	Compound		323B			CO

Associated sample units:\_ Blank units:

Sampling date:

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other.

Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification	
CROL			

5x Phthalates 2x All others

### Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

May 4, 2010

LDC Report Date:

July 20, 2010

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Semivolatiles

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3197-2

Sample Identification

EB05042010-RZE

#### Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination.

  This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

#### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

#### III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination  $(r^2)$  were greater than or equal to 0.990.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

#### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

#### V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB05042010-RZE was identified as an equipment blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB05042010-RZE	5/4/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.0 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

#### VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
LCS/D 280-14631/2-A	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1 (54-120)	1 (54-120)	-	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	Р

#### IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

#### X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3197-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

#### XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	Р	Laboratory control samples (%R) (I)
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

### Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23542B2a VALIDATION COMPLETENE
SDG #: 280-3197-2 Stage 2B
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 7/1 <sup>6</sup> /<sub>6</sub>
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 5/6
2nd Reviewer: 1

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
l.	Technical holding times	Α	Sampling dates: 5/64 /io
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	3 RSD 12
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	2 RSD 17 COV/101 € 25 2
V.	Blanks	Α	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client spec (Fac) us /b
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	us /b
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	Α	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	الايم	FB = 1

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

<b>+</b>	EB05042010-RZE	11	21	31
2	MB 280 - 14631 /-A	12	22	32
3		13	23	33
4		14	24	34
5		15	25	35
6		16	26	36
7		17	27	37
8		18	28	38
9		19	29	39
10		20	30	40

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol™	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz (a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E, 1,4-Dichlorobenzene⁴	T. 4-Chioroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenoi*	XX. Di-n-butyiphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chlorolsopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY, Fluoranthene™	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol™	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	000. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP, Benzolc Acid
1. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyi alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine⁴	Y, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN, Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z, 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	00, 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	тт,
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate™	ກດກ
N. 2-Nitrophenol™	CC. Dimethyiphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ww.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	www.

Notes:\* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; \*\* = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD

4	\
80	SI
2	2
7 5	4
4	٦
#	#
Ö	Ö
	S

## **VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET** Field Blanks

lof L	8	4
Page:	Reviewer:	2nd Reviewer:

МÈТНОD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: W /L Associated sample units: 1/A

Sampling date: 5/64 / 6

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other:

円を

Marse

Sample Identification Associated Samples: Blank ID t.0 EFF Compound CROL

Associated sample units:	1
Blank units: Ass	Sampling date:

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other.

Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID		Sa	Sample Identification	lon		
CROL							

5x Phthalates 2x All others

## LDC# 22 5dx bx

SDG#:

# **VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**

Surrogate Recovery

Page: Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

> Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A" METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?

If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

N MA

	104)							]												V	i			
Qualifications	No quel (mly 1 mx																							QC Limits (W ater) 21-100 10-123 33-110* 16-110*
iits)	(5/-/50)	( )	^ _	· · · · · ·	( )	( )	( )	· ·	( )	<u> </u>	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	QC Limits (Soll) 25-121 19-122 20-130*
%R (Limits)	49																							S5 (2FP)= 2-Fluorophenol S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4 S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4
Surrogate	2FP																							S5 (2FP)= 2-FI S6 (TBP) = 2,4 S7 (2CP) = 2-C S8 (DCB) = 1,2
ID.																								QC Limits (Water) 35-114 43-116 33-141 10-94
Sample ID																:								OC Limits (Soil) 23-120 30-115 18-137 24-113
Date																								* QC limits are advisory S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobipheny S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5
*																								* QC limi S1 (NBZ) S2 (FBP) S3 (TPH) S4 (PHL)

LDC # 23547 8 24 SDG #:

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: ⊥of ⊥ Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N NIA

Was a LCS required? Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

-	C48 27	(1650; )		D'm	`		T					T													
Qualifications	No great Cl	ł	٩	No grad (165 pm																				-	
Associated Samples	Ail			_																					
RPD (Limits)	1 06) 861	197 ( ) )	( ) ,	189 ( 1/ )	( )	( )	( )	( )		( )	(	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )		( )	( )	( )	( )		( )	
LCSD : %R (Limits)	(021-83) 0	( )	1 (54-120)	( )	(	-	( )	( )	,	( )			(	(	(	( )		( )	(		( )		`	( )	
LCS %R (Limits)	(	1001-65)	(oc)-ts) 1	2 (47-120)	( )	( )	( )	( )	,	( )			(			( )	(	(		(	( )	( )			
Compound	LIT	117	KKK	+ F.E																					
0.080.080	4-6/1631/2-K	20 - 7/22																							
9,60	Daig																								
	•								L										<u>                                     </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>			<u></u>

#### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Data Validation Reports LDC #23542

**Chlorinated Pesticides** 



### Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

May 4, 2010

**LDC Report Date:** 

July 20, 2010

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Chlorinated Pesticides

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3197-2

Sample Identification

EB05042010-RZE

#### Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination.

  This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

#### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

#### III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single compounds were performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

#### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%.

#### V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB05042010-RZE was identified as an equipment blank. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

#### VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Column	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
EB05042010-RZE	1	Decachlorobiphenyl	57 (68-122)	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Р
EB05042010-RZE	2	Decachlorobiphenyl	59 (68-122)	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Р

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

#### X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

#### a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

#### b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

#### XI. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3197-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

#### XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Р	Surrogate spikes (%R) (s)
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	Α	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Chlorinated Pesticides - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### **Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Stage 2B

Date: <u>′</u>	Tha to
Page:_	<u>lof</u>
Reviewer:_	JY6
2nd Reviewer:_	_\_

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments	
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/04 /ro	
11.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A		
111.	Initial calibration	A	3 RSD rr	
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	2 KSD rr COV/101 = 20 S	
V.	Blanks	A		
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW		
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Chant Free (FEC)	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	NG SW A	Chent Spec (Fac) LCS /p	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N		
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N		
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N		
XI.	Target compound identification	N		
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N		
XIII	Overall assessment of data	A		
XIV	Field duplicates	u		
XV.	Field blanks	MD	EB = 1	

Note: A = Acceptable

LDC #: 23542B3a

SDG #: 280-3197-2 Laboratory: Test America

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

#### Validated Samples:

hictor/

	W WICY			
1	EB05042010-RZE	11	21	31
2	EB05042010-RZE  МВ 280- 14238 Л-Д	12	22	32
3		13	23	33
4		14	24	34
5		15	25	35
6		16	26	36
7		17	27	37
8		18	28	38
9		19	29	39
10		20	30	40

B 34 LDC#: 09547 SDG #:\_

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Spikes

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Were surrogates spiked into all samples, standards and blanks? Did all surrogate percent recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? Y N N/A

Qualifications	J-145/P (S)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \															
%R (Limits)	(27/-89) 25	59 ( )	( )	(	( )	( )	( )		( )	( )		( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	The same of the sa
Surrogate Compound	2																
Column	1.00 SA	Cest. 7															
Sample ID																	**************************************
Date																	
#																	

I effer Designation	Surrogate Compound	Recovery OC Limits (Soil)	Recovery OC Limits (Water)	Comments
Letter Postgination	milinad canta tima			
∢	Tetrachoro-m-xylene			
æ	Decachlorobiphenyl			

0.000

### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Data Validation Reports LDC #23542

Metals



# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** 

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

April 30, 2010

**LDC Report Date:** 

July 14, 2010

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Arsenic

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-2

Sample Identification

EB-04302010-RZD

EB-04302010-RZB

### Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5%.

### III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

### IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples EB-04302010-RZD and EB-04302010-RZB were identified as equipment blanks. No arsenic was found in these blanks.

### V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

### VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

### XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

### XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-2	EB-04302010-RZD EB-04302010-RZB	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Arsenic - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

### **Tronox Northgate Henderson** VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 23542A4 Stage 2B SDG #: 280-3100-2 Laboratory: Test America

Date: 7-13-1
Page: L_of \
Reviewer: 02
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι,	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	B	
111.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
٧.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	B	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	N	Client specified
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LC5/D
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
Χ.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Notutilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	$\sim$	
XV	Field Blanks	NO	EB=1,2 (no associated Samples)

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

MOHER

	$\omega$ 400						
1	EB-04302010-RZD	11	RBW	21		31	
2	EB-04302010-RZB	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24	;	34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27	;	37	
8		18		28	;	38	
9		19		29	;	39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:				
	•			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** 

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

May 4, 2010

LDC Report Date:

July 14, 2010

**Matrix:** 

Water

Parameters:

Metals

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3197-2

Sample Identification

EB05042010-RZE

EB05042010-RZC

EB05042010-RZCMS

EB05042010-RZCMSD

### Introduction

This data review covers 4 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic, Cobalt, Lead, and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5%.

### III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

### IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Cobalt	0.0149 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3197-2
ICB/CCB	Cobalt	0.0176 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3197-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB05042010-RZE	Cobalt	0.18 ug/L	1.0U ug/L

Samples EB05042010-RZE and EB05042010-RZC were identified as equipment blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB05042010-RZE	5/4/10	Cobalt Lead	0.18 ug/L 0.20 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB05042010-RZC	5/4/10	Manganese	1.5 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

### V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

### VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

### XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

### XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3197-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	Α

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE EB05042010-RZC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE	Cobalt	1.0U ug/L	А	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Metals - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

# Tronox Northgate Henderson TION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

_DC #:	23542B4	_ VALIDATION COMPLETENESS
SDG #:	280-3197-2	_ Stage 2B
_aborator	ry: Test America	<u> </u>

Date:_	7-13-16
Page:_	$\int$ of $\int$
Reviewer:	CP.
2nd Reviewer:	_

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/1/10
11.	ICP/MS Tune	A	-, .
III.	Calibration	A.	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	ms/0
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	·
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Noturized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV	Field Duplicates	$\wedge$	h
XV	Field Blanks	SW	EB=1,2 (no apportated samples)

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: men.

1	EB05042010-RZE	11	802~	21		31	
2	EB05042010-RZC	12		22		32	
3	EB05042010-RZCMS	13		23		33	
4	EB05042010-RZCMSD	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26	ļ	36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:		
		_

LDC #: 2364204 SDG #: 5000002

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Specific Element Reference

Page:_ Reviewer:	1 of	_
2nd reviewer:		_

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

		Target Analyte List (TAL)
ample ID	Matrix	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
_		Al, Sb,(As) Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr,(Co,Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
1011		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
6,34		Al, Sb, (As) Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, (PB) Mg, (Mn), Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN',
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sh, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Ph, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN',
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN',
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN <sup>-</sup> ,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al. Sb. As. Ba. Be. Cd. Ca. Cr. Co. Cu. Fe. Pb. Mg. Mn. Hg. Ni, K, Se. Ag. Na, Ti, V, Zn. Mo, B, Si, CN.
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN',
<u>.</u>		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN',
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
		Analysis Method
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
ICP-MS		Al, Sb(As) Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb) Mg, Mn) Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN',
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

LDC #: 23542B4

SDG #: See Cover

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW 864 Method 6010B/6020/7000) Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: NA Associated Samples: All

Reason code: bl

Page: \( \text{of } \)
Reviewer: \( \text{CA} \) 2nd Reviewer:

<u> </u>	
[	
l	
	1
	1
	لـــــا
	L
	C
	1.0
-	8/1.0
-	0.18/1.0
-	0.18/1.0
-	0.18/1.0
on 1	0.18/1.0
tion 1	0.18/1.0
Action 1 Limit	0.18/1.0
Action 1 Limit	0.18/1.0
	0.0176 0.18/1.0
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0176
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
m Maximum Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum Maximum Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> PB <sup>a</sup> ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum Maximum Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> PB <sup>a</sup> ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum Maximum Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> PB <sup>a</sup> ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176
Maximum Maximum Maximum PB <sup>a</sup> PB <sup>a</sup> ICB/CCB <sup>a</sup> (ug/L)	0.0149 0.0176

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC #: 23542B4

SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET** 

Field Blanks

Reviewer: CC Page: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW846 6010B/7000)

Were field blanks identified in this SI

Were target analytes detected in the

Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Field Blank: (be)

100x Biank units: ug/L Associated sample units: mg/Kg Sampling date: 5/4/10 Soil factor applied 100 Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other:

EB

Associated Samples: CON Sample Identification Action Level Blank ID 0.18 0.20 Analyte ပိ 8

LDC #: 23542B4

SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET** 

Field Blanks

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW846 6010B/7000)

You was field blanks identified in this SDG? Y N/A

Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L Associated sample units: mg/Kg Sampling date: 5/4/10 Soil factor applied 100 Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other:

Associated Samples: DMC

Field Blank: (be)

Sample Identification Action Level Blank ID Analyte Ĕ

### Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. **Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

May 25, 2010

LDC Report Date:

July 14, 2010

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Manganese

Validation Level:

Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3955-6

Sample Identification

SA57-8BPC SA57-9BPC\*\*

SA57-8BPCMS

SA57-8BPCMSD

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

### Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5%.

### III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

### IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No manganese was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No manganese was found in this blank.

### V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

### VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

### XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

### XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3955-6	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	Α

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

### XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Manganese - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3955-6

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3955-6	SA57-8BPC SA57-9BPC**	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Manganese - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3955-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Manganese - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3955-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

### **Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

LDC #: 23542C4 Stage 2B/4 SDG #: 280-3955-6 Laboratory: Test America

	Date:_	175-16
	Page:_1	_of\_
	Reviewer:_	رو
2nd	Reviewer:_	<u></u>

METHOD: Mn (EPA SW 846 Method 6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
l. '	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/25/10
11.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	ms/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	$\mathcal{N}$	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LES
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
Χ.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Notuhized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	NO	FB= FB-04072010-RZC

A = Acceptable Note:

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

(7560-2780-2) D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: \*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

	<u> </u>				
1	SA57-8BPC	11 885	21	31	
2	SA57-9BPC**	12	22	32	
3	SA57-8BPCMS	13	23	33	
4	SA57-8BPCMSD	14	24	34	
5		15	25	35	
6		16	26	36	
7		17	27	37	
8		18	28	38	
9		19	29	39	
10		20	30	40	

Notes:	

LDC#\_23542C9 SDG#\_Secover\_

### **VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: of 2
Reviewer: 2
2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/7000/6020)

Method:Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/7000/6020)							
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments			
I. Technical holding times							
All technical holding times were met.							
Cooler temperature criteria was met.							
II. ICP/MS Tune							
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?							
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution ≤5%?							
III. Calibration							
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?							
Were the proper number of standards used?							
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury) QC limits?		<u> </u>					
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	/	Ĺ					
IV. Blanks							
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?							
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.							
V. ICP Interference Check Sample							
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?							
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?		<u> </u>					
VI. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates							
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	/						
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	/						
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq$ 20% for waters and $\leq$ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were $\leq$ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq$ 5X the RL.	/						
VII. Laboratory control samples	· · · · · ·	<del></del>	_				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	1		ļ				
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	1-	1_	-				
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	/	1					

LDC #: 23542C4 SDG #: 500 COVERT

### VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: \_\_of \_\_ Reviewer: \_\_v< 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_v

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments					
VIII. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC									
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?									
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)									
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			_						
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?									
IX. ICP Serial Dilution			r						
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the MDL (ICP)/>100X the MDL(ICP/MS)?		-							
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?	/		ļ						
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		/							
X. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 6020/EPA 200.8)									
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% (6020)/60-125% (200.8) of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?									
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	/	<u> </u>							
XI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	·	·							
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<u> </u>		-						
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?		<u> </u>							
XII. Sample Result Verification									
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample ditutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?									
XIII. Overall assessment of data									
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.		<u></u>	<u></u>						
XIV. Field duplicates									
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/	1						
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			<u> </u>	1					
XV. Field blanks									
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/	1	4_						
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		1/							

SDG#: 25542CY

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: \( \text{ of } \)
Reviewer: \( \text{GRZ} \)
2nd Reviewer: \( \text{LRZ} \)

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found × 100 True

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

					Recalculated	Reported	
Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	%R	%R	Acceptable (Y/N)
	ICP (Initial calibration)						
	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
	ICP (Continuing calibration)						
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)						
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
TCV TCV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	Mr	0'04 8.01	Q'OL	701	701	)-
CV COSSEN	ICP/MS (Continuing calibation)	)	50.1	80,0	QO 1	100	)

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results. 200

4 2242EZ # 201 SDG #SER COLOR

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Level IV Recalculation Worksheet**

Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

%R = Found × 100 True

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).

True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

RPD = <u>IS-DL</u> x 100 (S+D)/2

Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

%D = |-SDR| × 100

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L) SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

-							
					Recalculated	Reported	
Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found 1 S / 1 (sm)   ES	True / D / SDR (units)	%R/RPD/%D	%R/RPD/%D	Acceptable (Y/N)
1CS PPS	ICP interference check	E	7/8/101	100 mg/L	101	101	)-
527	Laboratory control sample		0.91	0.02	95	95	
6	Matrix spike		(ssr-sr) -3	18.6	191-	291-	
24	Duplicate		879	794	10	10	
	ICP serial dilution		01,0	216	72.0	6,34	7

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: VSMUY SDG #: Secore

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page: \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ 2nd reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ /

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please : Y N N Y N N Y N N	see qua <del>I/A</del> I/A I/A	Have results been reported and	l calculated correctly? range of the instruments a	able questions are identified as "N/A".  and within the linear range of the ICP?
Detecte following		te results for	Mu	were recalculated and verified using the
Concentre	ation =	(RD)(FV)(Dil) (In. Vol.)(%S)	Recalculation:	
RD FV In. Vol. Dil %S	= = =	Raw data concentration Final volume (ml) Initial volume (ml) or weight (G) Dilution factor Decimal percent solids	(O,Q)	L)(5)(1.474mg/L) = 766mg/tg 25)(1,04g)

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concontration (MS/KS/)	Calculated Concentration ( My   K-K )	Acceptable (Y/N)
2	Mr	770	770	7
	·			
			<u></u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			:	
		24.		

### Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Data Validation Reports LDC #23542

Perchlorate



# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

May 4, 2010

**LDC Report Date:** 

July 14, 2010

Matrix:

Water

**Parameters:** 

Perchlorate

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3197-2

Sample Identification

EB05042010-RZE

### Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

### The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

#### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. Calibration

#### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

#### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

#### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample EB05042010-RZE was identified as an equipment blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

### IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3197-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

# **VIII. Overall Assessment**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

# IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3197-2	EB05042010-RZE	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Perchlorate - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3197-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 23542B6	Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 7-13-12
SDG #: 280-3197-2	Stage 2B	Page: \ of \ Reviewer: \ 2nd Reviewer: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Laboratory: Test America	-	Reviewer: <u> </u>
	_	2nd Reviewer:
METHOD: (Analyte) Perch		
The samples listed below wer validation findings worksheets	e reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation	findings are noted in attached
	The state of the s	

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 5/4/10
lla.	Initial calibration	A	
llb.	Calibration verification	A	
111.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	Cliene specified
V	Duplicates	N	1
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A,	
IX.	Field duplicates	$\sim$	
X	Field blanks	$\perp NQ$	EB= 1 (no associated samples)

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Wath

	00000						
1	EB05042010-RZE	11	PBW	21		31	
2		12		22	and the second s	32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:		 

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** 

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** 

April 30, 2010

**LDC Report Date:** 

July 14, 2010

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Perchlorate

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-2

**Sample Identification** 

EB-04302010-RZB

#### Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

#### The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

#### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. Calibration

#### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

#### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

#### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample EB-04302010-RZB was identified as an equipment blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

### IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	А

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

# IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-2	EB-04302010-RZB	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada Perchlorate - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

# Tronox Northgate Henderson VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET Stage 2B

LDC #: 23542A6 VALIDATION COMPLETENE
SDG #: 280-3100-2 Stage 2B
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 1-13-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer: 1

METHOD: (Analyte)	Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

L	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30110
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
llb.	Calibration verification	A	
111.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	Chentspecified
	Duplicates		
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCSID
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
L_x_	Field blanks	NO	EB-1 (no associated samples)

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

user

1	EB-04302010-RZB	11	PBW	21	31	
2		12		22	32	
3		13		23	33	
4		14		24	34	
5		15		25	35	
6		16		26	36	
7		17		27	37	
8		18		28	38	
9		19		29	39	
10		20		30	40	

Notes:		