LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.
1100 Quail Street Ste. 102
Newport Beach, CA 92660
ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

June 30, 2010

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada,
Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are revised data validation reports for the fractions listed below. Please replace the previously submitted reports with the enclosed revised reports.

LDC Project # 23308:

SDG #	Fraction
280-2500-2	Perchlorate
280-2699-5, 280-2995-1	Semivolatiles
280-2995-2	Arsenic

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Northgate Environmental Management, Inc.
1100 Quail Street Ste. 102
Newport Beach, CA 92660
ATTN: Ms. Cindy Arnold

June 22, 2010

SUBJECT: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada,
Data Validation

Dear Ms. Arnold,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on June 3, 2010. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 23308:

SDG #	Fraction
280-2500-2, 280-2500-8, 280-2699-5 280-2771-5, 280-2836-4, 280-2836-6 280-2836-8, 280-2836-9, 280-2879-6 280-2879-7, 280-2879-8, 280-2960-3 280-2960-5, 280-2960-6, 280-2960-7 280-2995-1, 280-2995-2, 280-2995-5 280-2995-6, 280-3059-3, 280-3059-4 280-3059-6, 280-3059-8, 280-3100-1 280-3100-4, 280-3100-6	Semivolatiles, Chlorinated Pesticides Metals, Perchlorate

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B/4 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation, BRC 2009
- Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson Nevada, June 2009
- NDEP Guidance, May 2006
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

V:\LOGIN\TronoxNG\23308COV.wpd

Attachment 1

Stage 2B/4

LDC #23308 (Tronox LLC-Northgate, Henderson NV / Tronox PCS)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	SVOA (8270C)		Pest. (8081A)		As (6020)		Co (6020)		Pb (6020)		Mn (6020)		Mg (6020)		CLO_4 (314.0)																					
Matrix:	Water/Soil			W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
A	280-2500-2	06/03/10	06/24/10	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	17																				
A	280-2500-2	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3																				
B	280-2500-8	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
B	280-2500-8	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
C	280-2699-5	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
D	280-2771-5	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
E	280-2836-4	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
F	280-2836-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
G	280-2836-8	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
H	280-2836-9	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
H	280-2836-9	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
I	280-2879-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
J	280-2879-7	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	2																				
K	280-2879-8	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1																				
L	280-2960-3	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
M	280-2960-5	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
N	280-2960-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
N	280-2960-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
O	280-2960-7	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
P	280-2995-1	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	7	-	-	0	13	-	-	-	-	0	5	-	-	0	2																				
P	280-2995-1	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0																				
Q	280-2995-2	06/03/10	06/24/10	1	0	-	-	1	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
R	280-2995-5	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
R	280-2995-5	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
S	280-2995-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	-	-	-	-	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
T	280-3059-3	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
U	280-3059-4	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
V	280-3059-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
W	280-3059-8	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
X	280-3100-1	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	6	-	-	0	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	3																				
Y	280-3100-4	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
Z	280-3100-6	06/03/10	06/24/10	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																				
Total	T/LR			2	40	1	1	3	28	2	0	2	0	2	5	2	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116

Shaded cells indicate Stage 4 validation (all other cells are Stage 2B validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

23308ST.wpd

EDD CHECKLIST

Reviewer: JE
2nd Reviewer: BC

LDC #: 23308
SDG #: 280-2500-2, 280-2500-8, 280-2699-5, 280-2771-5
280-2836-4, 280-2836-6, 280-2836-8, 280-2836-9
280-2879-6, 280-2879-7, 280-2879-8, 280-2960-3
280-2960-5, 280-2960-6, 280-2960-7, 280-2995-1
280-2995-2, 280-2995-5, 280-2995-6, 280-3059-3
280-3059-4, 280-3059-6, 280-3059-8, 280-3100-1
280-3100-4, 280-3100-6

Tronox Northgate Henderson Worksheet

EDD Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Completeness				
Is there an EDD for the associated Tronox validation report?	X			
II. EDD Qualifier Population				
Were all qualifiers from the validation report populated into the EDD?	X			
III. EDD Lab Anomalies				
Were EDD anomalies identified?		X		
If yes, were they corrected or documented for the client?			X	See EDD_discrepancy_ form_LDC23308_062210.doc
IV. EDD Delivery				
Was the final EDD sent to the client?	X			

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23308

Semivolatiles

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 16, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 21, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-2

Sample Identification

EB-04152010-1-RZD

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB-04152010-1-RZD was identified as an equipment blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-2	EB-04152010-1-RZD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308A2a
SDG #: 280-2500-2
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: CT

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/16/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD r²
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 1

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

water

1	EB-04152010-1RZD	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-11838/1-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 21, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 29, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2699-5

Sample Identification

SSAK7-01-9BPC
SSAK7-01-10BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

*V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12911/1-A	4/28/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	62.7 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2699-5

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAK7-01-9BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	73 ug/Kg	73U ug/Kg
SSAK7-01-10BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	110 ug/Kg	110U ug/Kg

*Corrected sample ID in table above.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2699-5

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2699-5	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-5

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2699-5	SSAK7-01-9BPC SSAK7-01-10BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

*Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-5

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2699-5	SSAK7-01-9BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	73U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2699-5	SSAK7-01-10BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	110U ug/Kg	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2699-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308C2a
SDG #: 280-2699-5
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/21/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%RSD ≤ 20%
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010_RED (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK7-01-9BPC	11	MB 280-12911/1-A	21		31	
2	SSAK7-01-10BPC	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 73308 C2A
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 4/28/10 **Blank analysis date:** 4/30/10
Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: All (bl)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	MB 280-12911/1-A		1	2						
EEE	62.7		73/41	110/4						

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

5x Phthalates
2x all others

LDC #: 23308 C29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: OVG
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?
Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-040710-R2D									
EEE	2.2		(> 5x FB)							
CRQL										

Blank units: **Associated sample units:**
Sampling date:
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 23, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2836-4

Sample Identification

SSAJ2-01-1BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-12911/1-A	4/28/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	62.7 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2836-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAJ2-01-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	89 ug/Kg	89U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2836-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2836-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-4

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2836-4	SSAJ2-01-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-4

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2836-4	SSAJ2-01-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	89U ug/Kg	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-4

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-4

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308E2a
SDG #: 280-2836-4
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JW
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/23/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	20 RSD NV
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAJ2-01-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-12911/1-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23308 E29
SDG #: Fu Canyon

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Reviewer: JW
2nd Reviewer: AR

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 4/28/10 **Blank analysis date:** 4/30/10
Conc. units: ng/kg Associated Samples: All (bl)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	MB 280-1	2911-A	1							
EEE	62.7		89/4							

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

5x Phthalates
2x all others

LDC #: 23308E29
SDG #: Sa Cmw

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/Kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-040720100-R2D									
EEE	2.2			(> 5x FB)						
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 23, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2836-6

Sample Identification

SSAI3-06-3BPC
SSAI3-06-4BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2836-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2836-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-6

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2836-6	SSAI3-06-3BPC SSAI3-06-4BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308F2a
SDG #: 280-2836-6
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: VG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/23/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	20 RSD rr
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil

1	SSAI3-06-3BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAI3-06-4BPC	12		22		32	
3	MB 280-13178/1-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23308 #2a
SDG #: Cal Conv

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: NV6
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-04072010-RZD									
EEE	2.2		(> 5x FB)							
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 23, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2836-8

Sample Identification

SSAI3-05-8BPC
SSAI3-05-10BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2836-8

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2836-8	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-8

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2836-8	SSAI3-05-8BPC SSAI3-05-10BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308G2a
SDG #: 280-2836-8
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/23/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%D RSD 15%
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAI3-05-8BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAI3-05-10BPC	12		22		32	
3	MB 280-13949/21-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23308 G2a
SDG #: Sa Cove

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-040720 10-RZD									
EEE	2.2			(ND or	> 5x FB)					
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

FBLKASC2tronox.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 26, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2879-6

Sample Identification

SSAJ2-02-3BPC
SSAJ2-02-4BPC
SSAJ2-02-5BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2879-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
SSAJ2-02-3BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Due to lack of resolution between these compounds in the samples, the laboratory performed the quantitation using the total peak area.	Target compounds must be properly resolved and quantitated as individual compounds.	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2879-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-6

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2879-6	SSAJ2-02-3BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (q)
280-2879-6	SSAJ2-02-3BPC SSAJ2-02-4BPC SSAJ2-02-5BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308I2a
SDG #: 280-2879-6
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/26/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD r²
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-040720 10-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAJ2-02-3BPC	11	MB 280-13949/21-A	21		31	
2	SSAJ2-02-4BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAJ2-02-5BPC	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 I 29
SDG #: Sac Conv

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: CA

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All (ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-040710.RZD									
EEE	2.X									
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC #: 23708 I2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: DVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N (N/A) Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?

Y N (N/A) Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		1	GGG, HHH unresolved		J/UJ/P (g)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

COMQUA.2S

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 27, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2960-3

Sample Identification

SSAR7-02-1BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.7 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2960-3

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2960-3	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-3

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2960-3	SSAR7-02-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-3

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-3

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308L2a
SDG #: 280-2960-3
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/27/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD r²
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB04062010-R2B (280-2131-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: SOIL

1	SSAR7-02-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-13357/1-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 L2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: VG
2nd Reviewer: G

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/06/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB040620 10-R2B									
FEE	2.7			(75X FB)						
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 27, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2960-5

Sample Identification

SSAK8-05-1BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2960-5

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2960-5	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-5

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2960-5	SSAK8-05-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308M2a
SDG #: 280-2960-5
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/27/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%6 RSD 1x
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RED (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK8-05-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-13949/21-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 M2a
SDG #: Ea Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 9/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) ~~Field Blank~~ / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All (ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-090720 10-RZD									
EEE	2,Y									
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 27, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2960-6

Sample Identification

SSAK8-04-4BPC
SSAK8-04-5BPC**
SSAK8-04-5BPC_FD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/07/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2960-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2960-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAK8-04-5BPC** and SSAK8-04-5BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-6

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2960-6	SSAK8-04-4BPC SSAK8-04-5BPC** SSAK8-04-5BPC_FD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B/4

LDC #: 23308N2a
SDG #: 280-2960-6
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/15/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JV
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/27/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 2, 3
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
** Stage 4 Soil

+ 1	SSAK8-04-4BPC	− 11	MB 280-13949/21-A	21		31	
− 2	SSAK8-04-5BPC ** D	12		22		32	
− 3	SSAK8-04-5BPC_FD D	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 27308 N2A
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	✓			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	✓			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) $\geq$ 0.05?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) $\geq$ 0.05?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	✓			
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.		✓		
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?		✓		
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			✓	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			

LDC #: 73308 N 2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: JVe
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	✓			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	✓			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	✓			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	✓			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	✓			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	✓			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			✓	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?			✓	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		✓		
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.		✓		
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	✓			

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 N29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/Kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: All (ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-040720 10-R2D									
EEE	2,2									
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC #: 23308 N2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: AT

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of Compound
C_x = Concentration of compound,
S= Standard deviation of the RRFs,

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Internal Standard)		Reported RRF (50 std)	Recalculated RRF (50 std)	Reported Average RRF (Initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL	5/4/2010	1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	0.6700	0.6700	0.6718	0.6718	4.8	4.84
	MSS Y		Naphthalene	(IS2)	1.0419	1.0419	0.9990	0.9990	8.0	8.04
			Fluorene	(IS3)	1.3468	1.3468	1.3058	1.3058	8.0	8.02
			Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	0.1996	0.1996	0.1947	0.1946	2.8	2.82
			Chrysene	(IS5)	1.0651	1.0651	1.0509	1.0509	7.9	7.92
			Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	1.1462	1.1462	1.1042	1.1042	3.3	3.28

nc IS/Cpd	Area cpd	Area IS
40/50	290884	347342
40/50	1775212	1363095
40/50	1313767	780352
40/50	335135	1343097
40/50	1866391	1401828
40/50	1809781	1263104

Conc	1,4-Dioxane	Naphthalene	Fluorene	Hexachlorob	Chrysene	Benzo(a)py
4.00		1.1191	1.4337		1.1603	1.0624
10.00	0.7200	1.0315	1.4006	0.1914	1.1208	1.0548
20.00	0.7128	1.0652	1.3863	0.1995	1.1246	1.1138
50.00	**0.6700**	**1.0419**	**1.3468**	**0.1996**	**1.0651**	**1.1462**
80.00	0.6540	0.9915	1.2886	0.2021	1.0538	1.1452
120.00	0.6579	0.9413	1.2601	0.1897	0.9907	1.1311
160.00	0.6321	0.9206	1.1894	0.1905	0.9596	1.1027
200.00	0.6558	0.8811	1.1406	0.1897	0.9324	1.0775
X =	0.6718	0.9990	1.3058	0.1946	1.0509	1.1042
S =	0.0325	0.0803	0.1047	0.0055	0.0832	0.0362

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC # 33308N2q
SDG # See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
RRF = (Ax)(Cis)/(Ais)(Cx)

Where:
ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
Ax = Area of compound
Ais = Area of associated internal standard
Cx = Concentration of compound
Cis = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference IS)	Average RRF (Initial RRF)	Reported (CC RRF)	Recalculated (CC RRF)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	Y2041	05/05/10	1,4-Dioxane (IS1)	0.6718	0.6326	0.6326	5.8	5.8
			Naphthalene (IS2)	0.9990	0.9901	0.9901	0.9	0.9
			Fluorene (IS3)	1.3058	1.2805	1.2805	1.9	1.9
			Hexachlorobenzene (IS4)	0.1947	0.1995	0.1995	2.5	2.5
			Chrysene (IS5)	1.0509	1.0427	1.0427	0.8	0.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (IS6)	1.1042	1.1500	1.1500	4.1	4.1

Compound (Reference IS)	Concentration (IS/Cpd)	Area Cpd	Area IS
1,4-Dioxane (IS1)	40/80	529970	418891
Naphthalene (IS2)	40/80	3249540	1640967
Fluorene (IS3)	40/80	2525517	986110
Hexachlorobenzene (IS4)	40/80	667483	1672491
Chrysene (IS5)	40/80	3658447	1754242
Benzo(a)pyrene (IS6)	40/80	3746932	1629142

LDC #: 73308 N29
SDG #: Sre Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #7

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	100	75.7	76	76	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	77.3	77	77	↓
Terphenyl-d14	↓	88.8	89	89	
Phenol-d5	150	120.975	81	81	
2-Fluorophenol	↓	117.6	78	78	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	118.5	79	79	↓
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 23308 N 2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: CY

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SC/SA Where: SSC = Spike concentration; SA = Spike added

RPD = I LCSC - LCSDC I * 2/(LCSC + LCSDC) LCSC = Laboratory control sample concentration LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS 280-13949/22-A

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spike Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol										
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine										
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol										
Acenaphthene	2530	NA	1770	NA	70	70				
Pentachlorophenol										
Pyrene	2530	↓	1870	↓	74	74				

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308 N2A
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Reviewer: MV
2nd reviewer: V

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured

A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard

I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).

V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)

V_t = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)

Df = Dilution Factor.

%S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.

2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. _____, ND

Conc. = $\frac{(\quad)(\quad)(\quad)(\quad)(\quad)}{(\quad)(\quad)(\quad)(\quad)(\quad)}$

=

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 29, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-1

Sample Identification

RSAQ3-1BPC
RSAQ3-2BPC
SA169-1BPC
SA169-2BPC
SA110-1BPC
SA110-2BPC
SSAO6-04-3BPC**
SSAO6-04-1BPC
RSAQ3-2BPCMS
RSAQ3-2BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 10 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB04282010-RZB (from SDG 280-2995-2) was identified as an equipment blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB04282010-RZB	4/06/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	RSAQ3-1BPC RSAQ3-2BPC SA169-1BPC SA169-2BPC SA110-1BPC SA110-2BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) and FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) were identified as field blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04062010-RZB	4/06/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.7 ug/L	RSAQ3-1BPC RSAQ3-2BPC SA169-1BPC SA169-2BPC SA110-1BPC SA110-2BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

*VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
SSAO6-04-1BPC	Nitrobenzene-d5 Terphenyl-d14	40 (50-120) 44 (55-120)	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

*Removed Phenol-d5 and 2-Fluorophenol information since no reported compounds are associated to these surrogate.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
RSAQ3-1BPC RSAQ3-2BPC SA169-1BPC SA169-2BPC SA110-1BPC SA110-2BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Due to lack of resolution between these compounds in the samples, the laboratory performed the quantitation using the total peak area.	Target compounds must be properly resolved and quantitated as individual compounds.	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-1	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-1	SSAO6-04-1BPC	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Surrogate spikes (%R) (s)
280-2995-1	RSAQ3-1BPC RSAQ3-2BPC SA169-1BPC SA169-2BPC SA110-1BPC SA110-2BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (q)
280-2995-1	RSAQ3-1BPC RSAQ3-2BPC SA169-1BPC SA169-2BPC SA110-1BPC SA110-2BPC SSAO6-04-3BPC** SSAO6-04-1BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B /4

LDC #: 23308P2a
SDG #: 280-2995-1
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/15/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: CV

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD ≤ 15%
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	NA	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	NA	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB04062010-RZB (280-2131-2) ↓ = *FB-04072010-RZC (280-2280-2) EB = EB04282010-RZB (280-2995-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
*ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
** Stage 4 Soil

1	RSAQ3-1BPC	11	MB 280-13357/1-A	21		31	
2	RSAQ3-2BPC	12		22		32	
3	SA169-1BPC	13		23		33	
4	SA169-2BPC	14		24		34	
5	SA110-1BPC	15		25		35	
6	SA110-2BPC	16		26		36	
7	SSAO6-04-3BPC **	17		27		37	
8	SSAO6-04-1BPC	18		28		38	
9	RSAQ3-2BPCMS	19		29		39	
10	RSAQ3-2BPCMSD	20		30		40	

LDC #: 27308 P3a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: Q

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	✓			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	✓			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of ≥ 0.990?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) > 0.05?		✓		
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?		✓		
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?		✓		
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	✓			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?		✓		
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			

LDC #: 23308 P24
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: SW
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
X. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
XI. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	✓			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	✓			
XII. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	✓			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	✓			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	✓			
XIII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	✓			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XIV. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			✓	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?			✓	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		✓		
XV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	✓			

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 P29
SDG #: Sw Conv

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JV6
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

(Y) N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/06/10 ; 4/28/10
Field blank type: (circle one) (Field Blank) / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: 1-6

Compound	Blank ID (4/06)	(4/28)	Sample Identification							
	FB	EB								
EEE	2.7	2.2	(either	ND or	> 5X	FB/EB)				
FB = FB 04062010-RZB										
EB = EB04282010-RZB										
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC #: 28308 P29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Reviewer: NVG
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y (N) N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
Y (N) N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
Y N (N/A) If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		8 (5x)	PHL	38 (52-120)	J-/UJ/P (all TCL) (S)
			2FP	37 (53-120)	
			NBZ	40 (50-120)	
			TPH	44 (55-120)	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	
				( )	

* QC limits are advisory	QC Limits (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)
S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5	23-120	35-114
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	30-115	43-116
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14	18-137	33-141
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5	24-113	10-94

	QC Limits (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)
S5 (2FP)= 2-Fluorophenol	25-121	21-100
S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	19-122	10-123
S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4	20-130*	33-110*
S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	20-130*	16-110*

LDC #: 23308 #2a
SDG #: Ca Cove

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Reviewer: DK
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?

Y N N/A Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	GGG, HHH unresolved		J/UJ/P (q)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 33308P2A
SDG #: Sediment

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: NG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of Compound
C_x = Concentration of compound,
S= Standard deviation of the RRFs,

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Internal Standard)		Reported RRF (50 std)	Recalculated RRF (50 std)	Reported Average RRF (Initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL	4/20/2010	1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	0.6731	0.6731	0.6818	0.6818	5.4	5.44
	MSS D		Naphthalene	(IS2)	1.1079	1.1079	1.1204	1.1204	4.7	4.70
			Fluorene	(IS3)	1.3779	1.3779	1.3629	1.3629	8.9	8.89
			Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	0.2590	0.2590	0.2705	0.2705	14.0	13.97
			Chrysene	(IS5)	1.0611	1.0611	1.0324	1.0324	4.3	4.35
			Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	1.1960	1.1960	1.1835	1.1835	13.5	13.49

nc IS/Cpd	Area cpd	Area IS
40/50	220464	262046
40/50	1381644	997667
40/50	1155733	671030
40/50	394826	1219394
40/50	2008107	1513952
40/50	1958223	1309806

Conc	1,4-Dioxane	Naphthalene	Fluorene	Hexachlorob	Chrysene	Benzo(a)py
4.00	0.6984	1.0908	1.2935		1.0330	0.9394
10.00	0.7499	1.0730	1.1667	0.2303	0.9982	1.0100
20.00	0.6512	1.0585	1.2453	0.2289	1.0104	1.0839
50.00	**0.6731**	**1.1079**	**1.3779**	**0.2590**	**1.0611**	**1.1960**
80.00	0.6228	1.1000	1.3843	0.2562	1.0602	1.2099
120.00	0.6766	1.1473	1.4242	0.2854	1.0752	1.3098
160.00	0.6887	1.1741	1.4888	0.3029	1.0741	1.3626
200.00	0.6937	1.2114	1.5224	0.3306	0.9470	1.3565
X =	0.6818	1.1204	1.3629	0.2705	1.0324	1.1835
S =	0.0371	0.0527	0.1212	0.0378	0.0449	0.1597

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC # 53308 p29
SDG # See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Reviewer: JVL
2nd Reviewer: CL

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
RRF = (Ax)(Cis)/(Ais)(Cx)

Where:
ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
Ax = Area of compound
Ais = Area of associated internal standard
Cx = Concentration of compound
Cis = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference IS)		Average RRF (Initial RRF)	Reported (CC RRF)	Recalculated (CC RRF)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	D4531	05/01/10	1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	0.6818	0.6135	0.6135	10.0	10.0
			Naphthalene	(IS2)	1.1204	1.1479	1.1479	2.5	2.5
			Fluorene	(IS3)	1.3629	1.4115	1.4115	3.6	3.6
			Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	0.2705	0.2804	0.2804	3.7	3.7
			Chrysene	(IS5)	1.0324	1.0668	1.0668	3.3	3.3
			Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	1.1835	1.2509	1.2509	5.7	5.7

Compound (Reference IS)		Concentration (IS/Cpd)	Area Cpd	Area IS
1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	40/80	384024	312973
Naphthalene	(IS2)	40/80	2646759	1152826
Fluorene	(IS3)	40/80	2305108	816564
Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	40/80	809868	1444254
Chrysene	(IS5)	40/80	3940883	1847115
Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	40/80	3862774	1543947

LDC #: 23308 P2a
SDG #: Sre Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Reviewer: JVL
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 7 (5x)

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	100	80	80	80	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl		80.5	81	81	
Terphenyl-d14		89.5	90	90	
Phenol-d5	150	119	79	79	
2-Fluorophenol		107.5	72	72	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol		132	88	88	
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 23308 p2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Reviewer: JV6
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration; SA = Spike added; SC = Sample concentation

RPD = | MSC - MSC | * 2/(MSC + MSDC)

MSC = Matrix spike concentration; MSDC = Matrix spike duplicate concentration

MS/MSD samples: 9/10

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD	------	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol											
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine											
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol											
Acenaphthene	2630	2650	0	1780	2050	68	68	77	77	14	14
Pentachlorophenol											
Pyrene	2630	2650	60	2100	2480	77	77	92	92	17	17

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308 P2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: CF

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SC/SA Where: SSC = Spike concentration; SA = Spike added

RPD = I LCSC - LCSDC I * 2/(LCSC + LCSDC) LCSC = Laboraotry control sample concentration LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS 280-13357/2-A

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spike Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol										
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine										
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol										
Acenaphthene	2560	NA	2140	NA	84	84				
Pentachlorophenol		↓		↓						
Pyrene	2560	↓	2530	↓	99	99				

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 73308 Prg
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Reviewer: JVG
2nd reviewer: V

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$

A_x	=	Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
A_{is}	=	Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
I_s	=	Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
V_o	=	Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
V_i	=	Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
V_t	=	Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
Df	=	Dilution Factor.
%S	=	Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
2.0	=	Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. _____, ND

Conc. = ( )( )( )( )( ) / ( )( )( )( )( )

=

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-2

Sample Identification

EB04282010-RZB

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-13927/1-A	5/4/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.88 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2995-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB04282010-RZB	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	2.2U ug/L

Sample EB04282010-RZB was identified as an equipment blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB04282010-RZB	4/28/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-2

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-2	EB04282010-RZB	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-2

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2995-2	EB04282010-RZB	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2U ug/L	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308Q2a
SDG #: 280-2995-2
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD rr
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 1

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	EB042810-RZB	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-139271/1-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23308 Q 29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/04/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/11/10
Conc. units: ug/L Associated Samples: All (bl)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	MB 280-13927/1-A	1								
EEE	1.88		2.2/U							

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

5x Phthalates
2x all others

LDC #: 33308 Q2G
SDG #: Ca Cw

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** NA

Sampling date: 4/28/10

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: EB Associated Samples: None

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	1									
EEE	2.2									
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____

Sampling date: _____

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-5

Sample Identification

SSAN6-07-1BPC**
SSAN6-07-2BPC
SSAN6-07-1BPCMS
SSAN6-07-1BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-14738/9-A	5/10/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	72.7 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-2995-5

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAN6-07-1BPC**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	98 ug/Kg	98U ug/Kg
SSAN6-07-2BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	120 ug/Kg	120U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-5	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-5

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-5	SSAN6-07-1BPC** SSAN6-07-2BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-5

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2995-5	SSAN6-07-1BPC**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	98U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-2995-5	SSAN6-07-2BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	120U ug/Kg	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B /4

LDC #: 23308R2a
SDG #: 280-2995-5
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/15/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: ONG
2nd Reviewer: 9

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25 %
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	~~A~~ A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	~~A~~ A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	~~A~~ A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-2280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
** Stage 4 Soil

1	SSAN6-07-1BPC **	11	MB 280-14738/9-A	21		31	
2	SSAN6-07-2BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAN6-07-1BPCMS	13		23		33	
4	SSAN6-07-1BPCMSD	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 23308 R2c
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: JV
2nd Reviewer: Q

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	✓			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	✓			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) $>$ 0.05?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) $\geq$ 0.05?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	✓	.		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	✓			
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	✓			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			

LDC #: 33308 R2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: JM
2nd Reviewer: 9

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	✓			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	✓			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	✓			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	✓			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	✓			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	✓			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			✓	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?			✓	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		✓		
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 23308 R2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Reviewer: JV6
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)
Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/10/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/12/10
Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: All (bl)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	MB 280-14738/9-A	1	2							
EEE	72.7		98/4	120/4						

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

5x Phthalates
2x all others

LDC #: 23308 R2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: NV
2nd Reviewer: CA

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of Compound
C_x = Concentration of compound,
S= Standard deviation of the RRFs,

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Internal Standard)		Reported RRF (50 std)	Recalculated RRF (50 std)	Reported Average RRF (Initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL	5/8/2010	1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	0.5851	0.5851	0.5791	0.5791	6.8	6.82
	MSS D		Naphthalene	(IS2)	1.0818	1.0818	1.0917	1.0917	2.8	2.83
			Fluorene	(IS3)	1.3573	1.3573	1.3205	1.3205	6.7	6.66
			Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	0.2608	0.2608	0.2633	0.2633	9.5	9.48
			Chrysene	(IS5)	1.0472	1.0472	1.0309	1.0309	3.1	3.14
			Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	1.0983	1.0983	1.0834	1.0834	13.3	13.28

nc IS/Cpd	Area cpd	Area IS
40/50	223629	305776
40/50	1535127	1135207
40/50	1281626	755377
40/50	450249	1381076
40/50	2196814	1678262
40/50	2123114	1546473

Conc	1,4-Dioxane	Naphthalene	Fluorene	Hexachlorob	Chrysene	Benzo(a)py
4.00	0.5215	1.1002	1.1947		1.0331	0.8317
10.00	0.6382	1.0477	1.2120	0.2263	1.0128	0.9476
20.00	0.6300	1.0478	1.2639	0.2397	1.0223	1.0101
50.00	**0.5851**	**1.0818**	**1.3573**	**0.2608**	**1.0472**	**1.0983**
80.00	0.5842	1.0953	1.3253	0.2600	1.0539	1.1259
120.00	0.5666	1.1092	1.3832	0.2754	1.0748	1.1887
160.00	0.5529	1.1267	1.3955	0.2815	1.0367	1.2251
200.00	0.5544	1.1249	1.4319	0.2996	0.9663	1.2400
X =	0.5791	1.0917	1.3205	0.2633	1.0309	1.0834
S =	0.0395	0.0309	0.0879	0.0250	0.0324	0.1439

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC # 23308 R2a
SDG # See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Reviewer: JV
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
RRF = (Ax)(Cis)/(Ais)(Cx)

Where:
ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
Ax = Area of compound
Ais = Area of associated internal standard
Cx = Concentration of compound
Cis = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference IS)		Average RRF (Initial RRF)	Reported (CC RRF)	Recalculated (CC RRF)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	D4891	05/12/10	1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	0.5791	0.5249	0.5249	9.4	9.4
			Naphthalene	(IS2)	1.0917	1.0945	1.0945	0.3	0.3
			Fluorene	(IS3)	1.3205	1.3456	1.3456	1.9	1.9
			Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	0.2633	0.2713	0.2713	3.0	3.1
			Chrysene	(IS5)	1.0309	1.0161	1.0161	1.4	1.4
			Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	1.0834	1.1127	1.1127	2.7	2.7

Compound (Reference IS)		Concentration (IS/Cpd)	Area Cpd	Area IS
1,4-Dioxane	(IS1)	40/80	383501	365302
Naphthalene	(IS2)	40/80	2883187	1317169
Fluorene	(IS3)	40/80	2383317	885592
Hexachlorobenzene	(IS4)	40/80	850720	1567635
Chrysene	(IS5)	40/80	4037721	1986787
Benzo(a)pyrene	(IS6)	40/80	4197272	1886084

LDC #: 23708R2a
SDG #: Sre Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd reviewer: N

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	100	72.6	73	73	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl		77.3	77	77	
Terphenyl-d14	↓	99.7	99	99	
Phenol-d5	150	121.3	81	81	
2-Fluorophenol		119.4	80	80	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	121.7	81	81	↓
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 23308 R2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: 4

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration; SA = Spike added; SC = Sample concentation

RPD = I MSC - MSC I * 2/(MSC + MSDC) MSC = Matrix spike concentration; MSDC = Matrix spike duplicate concentration

MS/MSD samples: 3/4

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD	------	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol											
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine											
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol											
Acenaphthene	2860	2860	0	2290	2220	80	80	78	78	3	3
Pentachlorophenol			↓								
Pyrene	2860	2860	↓	2490	2460	87	87	86	86	1	1

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 73308 R29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Reviewer: JV
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SC/SA Where: SSC = Spike concentration; SA = Spike added

RPD = | LCSC - LCSDC | * 2/(LCSC + LCSDC) LCSC = Laboraotry control sample concentration LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCS/LCSD samples: VCS 280-147738/10-A

Compound	Spike Added (mg/Kg)		Spike Concentration (mg/Kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol										
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine										
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol										
Acenaphthene	2570	NA	1990	NA	77	77				
Pentachlorophenol										
Pyrene	2570		2260		88	88				

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 73308 R2A
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Reviewer: JVG
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_t)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured

A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard

I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).

V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)

V_t = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)

Df = Dilution Factor.

%S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.

2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. #1 , SS

Conc. = $\frac{(192455)(40)(1.0\,ml)(1000)(\quad)}{(1395254)(0.2633)(31.50\,g)(0.921)(\quad)}$

= 722.3

≈ 720 ng/kg

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 29, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3059-3

Sample Identification

SSAO5-05-2BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
SSAO5-05-2BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Due to lack of resolution between these compounds in the samples, the laboratory performed the quantitation using the total peak area.	Target compounds must be properly resolved and quantitated as individual compounds.	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3059-3	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-3

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3059-3	SSAO5-05-2BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (q)
280-3059-3	EB04282010-RZB	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-3

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-3

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308T2a
SDG #: 280-3059-3
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVL
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/29/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	% RSD ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV < 25 %
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client SMOC
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-040720 10-RZC (280-2 280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAO5-05-2BPC	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-14337/1-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 27308 T29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Reviewer: JVC
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N (N/A) Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?

Y N (N/A) Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		1	GGG, HHH unresolved		J/UJ/P (g)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 29, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 22, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3059-4

Sample Identification

SSAQ4-04-1BPC
SSAO4-05-1BPC
SSAO4-05-1BPC_FD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.7 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3059-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
SSAQ4-04-1BPC SSAO4-05-1BPC SSAO4-05-1BPC_FD	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Due to lack of resolution between these compounds in the samples, the laboratory performed the quantitation using the total peak area.	Target compounds must be properly resolved and quantitated as individual compounds.	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3059-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAO4-05-1BPC and SSAO4-05-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAO4-05-1BPC	SSAO4-05-1BPC_FD				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	32	38	-	6 (≤340)	-	-
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	22	21	-	1 (≤340)	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	46	64	-	18 (≤340)	-	-
Pyrene	13	15	-	2 (≤340)	-	-

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-4

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3059-4	SSAQ4-04-1BPC SSAO4-05-1BPC SSAO4-05-1BPC_FD	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (q)
280-3059-4	SSAQ4-04-1BPC SSAO4-05-1BPC SSAO4-05-1BPC_FD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-4

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-4

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308U2a
SDG #: 280-3059-4
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JM
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/29/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%RSD ≤ 25%
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 2, 3
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB0406 2010 - RZB (280-2131-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAQ4-04-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAQ4-05-1BPC D	12		22		32	
3	SSAQ4-05-1BPC_FD D	13		23		33	
4	MB 280-13949/21A	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes: * = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308U2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: JVS
2nd Reviewer: CL

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/Kg
Sampling date: 4/06/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All (ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB040620lp-RZB									
EEE	2.7									
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC #: 23308 U29
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVC
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?

Y N N/A Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		1, 2, 3	GGG, HHH unresolved		J/UJ/P (g)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC#: 23308U2a
SDG#:See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: MC
2nd Reviewer: Y

METHOD: GC/MS PAH (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound Name	Conc (ug/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50%)	Diff	Diff Limits	Quals (Parent Only)
	2	3				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	32	38		6	≤340	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	22	21		1	≤340	
Hexachlorobenzene	46	64		18	≤340	
Pyrene	13	15		2	≤340	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\23308U2a.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 29, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3059-6

Sample Identification

SSAO4-03-3BPC
SSAO4-03-3BPCMS
SSAO4-03-3BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3059-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-6

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3059-6	SSAO4-03-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308V2a
SDG #: 280-3059-6
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JM
2nd Reviewer: CV

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/29/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%RSD NV
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	#
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-22802-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAO4-03-3BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAO4-03-3BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SSAO4-03-3BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	MB 280-14337/1-A	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 29, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3059-8

Sample Identification

SSAQ3-01-6BPC
SSAQ3-01-6BPCMS
SSAQ3-01-6BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.7 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3059-8

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
SSAQ3-01-6BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Due to lack of resolution between these compounds in the samples, the laboratory performed the quantitation using the total peak area.	Target compounds must be properly resolved and quantitated as individual compounds.	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3059-8	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-8

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3059-8	SSAQ3-01-6BPC	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (q)
280-3059-8	SSAQ3-01-6BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3059-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308W2a
SDG #: 280-3059-8
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/29/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%RSD r
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB040620 10-RZB (280-21311-r)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	SSAQ3-01-6BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAQ3-01-6BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SSAQ3-01-6BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	MB 280-14919/4-A	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 W29
SDG #: Sul Conv

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/Kg
Sampling date: 4/06/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All (ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	PB040620 10-RZB									
EEE	2.7									
CRQL										

Blank units: **Associated sample units:**
Sampling date:
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC #: 23308 W 29
SDG #: Sw Conv

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Reviewer: JVC
2nd Reviewer: CY

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?

Y N N/A Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		1	GGG, HHH unresolved		J/NJ/P (g)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-1

Sample Identification

SSAQ5-01-9BPC
SSAQ5-01-7BPC
SSAQ5-01-5BPC
SSAQ5-01-3BPC
SSAQ5-01-1BPC
SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD
SSAQ5-01-5BPCMS
SSAQ5-01-5BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.7 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3100-1

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
SSAQ5-01-1BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Due to lack of resolution between these compounds in the samples, the laboratory performed the quantitation using the total peak area.	Target compounds must be properly resolved and quantitated as individual compounds.	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-1	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAQ5-01-1BPC and SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAQ5-01-1BPC	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD				
Benzo(a)anthracene	22	340U	-	318 (≤340)	-	-
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	51	27	-	24 (≤360)	-	-
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	20	340U	-	320 (≤340)	-	-
Chrysene	32	340U	-	308 (≤340)	-	-

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAQ5-01-1BPC	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD				
Hexachlorobenzene	3300	2200	40 (≤50)	-	-	-
Octachlorostyrene	660	460	-	200 (≤360)	-	-
Pyrene	25	18	-	7 (≤360)	-	-

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-1	SSAQ5-01-1BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Project Quantitation Limit (q)
280-3100-1	SSAQ5-01-9BPC SSAQ5-01-7BPC SSAQ5-01-5BPC SSAQ5-01-3BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308X2a
SDG #: 280-3100-1
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	2 RSD rr
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 5, 6
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB040620 10-RZB (280-2131-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAQ5-01-9BPC	11	MB 280-13952/1-A	21		31	
2	SSAQ5-01-7BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAQ5-01-5BPC	13		23		33	
4	SSAQ5-01-3BPC	14		24		34	
5	SSAQ5-01-1BPC D	15		25		35	
6	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD D	16		26		36	
7	SSAQ5-01-5BPCMS	17		27		37	
8	SSAQ5-01-5BPCMSD	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 X2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: (1)

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

(Y) N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/Kg
Sampling date: 4/06/10
Field blank type: (circle one) (Field Blank) / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: All (ND)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB040620D-RZB									
EEE	2.7									
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC #: 23308 X2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N (N/A) Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?

Y N (N/A) Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		5, 6	GGG, HHH peaks unresolved		J/UJ/P (g)

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC#: 23308X2a
SDG#:See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JW
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS PAH (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound Name	Conc (ug/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50%)	Diff	Diff Limits	Quals (Parent Only)
	5	6				
Benzo(a)anthracene	22	340U		318	≤340	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	51	27		24	≤360	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	20	340U		320	≤340	
Chrysene	32	340U		308	≤340	
Hexachlorobenzene	3300	2200	40			
Octachlorostyrene	660	460		200	≤360	
Pyrene	25	18		7	≤360	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\23308X2a.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-4

Sample Identification

SSAK7-05-1BPC
SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-14276/1-A	5/6/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	60.1 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-3100-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAK7-05-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	94 ug/Kg	94U ug/Kg
SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	86 ug/Kg	86U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3100-4

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-4	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAK7-05-1BPC and SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAK7-05-1BPC	SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD				
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	94	86	-	8 (≤350)	-	-
Dimethyl phthalate	40	350U	-	310 (≤350)	-	-

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-4

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-4	SSAK7-05-1BPC SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-4

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-3100-4	SSAK7-05-1BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	94U ug/Kg	A	bl
280-3100-4	SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	86U ug/Kg	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-4

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308Y2a
SDG #: 280-3100-4
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVC
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	? RSD r
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 1, 7
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK7-05-1BPC D	11		21		31	
2	SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD D	12		22		32	
3	MB 280-14276/1-A	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 / 24
SDG #: Lee Creek

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: CK

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

(6 l)

Blank extraction date: 5/06/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/13/10
Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	MB 280-142706/1-A	1	2							
EEE	60.1		94/U	86/U						

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

5x Phthalates
2x all others

LDC #: 23308 Y29
SDG #: Sa Cora

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: VB
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

(Y) N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/kg
Sampling date: 4/07/10
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	FB-04072010-RZD									
EEE	2.7		(> 5X	FB)						
CRQL										

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____
Sampling date: _____
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
CRQL										

5x Phthalates
2x All others

LDC#: 23308Y2a
SDG#:See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS PAH (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound Name	Conc (ug/Kg)		RPD (≤50%)	Diff	Diff Limits	Quals (Parent Only)
	1	2				
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	94	86		8	≤350	
Dimethyl phthalate	40	350U		310	≤350	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\23308Y2a.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-6

Sample Identification

SSAK6-03-3BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within method and validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs) and 25.0% for all other compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
MB280-14475/1-A	5/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	62.7 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG 280-3100-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
SSAK6-03-3BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	83 ug/Kg	83U ug/Kg

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No semivolatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB-04072010-RZD	4/7/10	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3100-6

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-6	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-6

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-6	SSAK6-03-3BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-6

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-3100-6	SSAK6-03-3BPC	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	83U ug/Kg	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308Z2a
SDG #: 280-3100-6
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JV6
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%RSD ≤ 20
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 25%
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	Client Spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil

1	SSAK6-03-3BPC	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-14475/1-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL

LDC #: 23308 Z2a
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: NV6
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/07/10 **Blank analysis date:** 5/12/10
Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: All (6 L)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								
	MB 280-14475/1-A	1								
EtE	62.7		83/4							

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification								

5x Phthalates
2x all others

LDC #: 23308229
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: MC
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** ug/Kg

Sampling date: 4/07/10

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification							
	FB-04072010-RZD								
EEE	2.2		(>5x FB)						
CRQL									

Blank units: _____ **Associated sample units:** _____

Sampling date: _____

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification							
CRQL									

5x Phthalates
2x All others

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23308

Chlorinated Pesticides

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 15, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 15, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chlorinated Pesticides

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-2

Sample Identification

EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single compounds were performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE was identified as a field blank. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Column	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	Col. 1 Col. 2	Decachlorobiphenyl Decachlorobiphenyl	55 (68-122) 57 (68-122)	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the LCS percent recovery (%R) was not within QC limits for one compound, the LCSD percent recovery (%R) was within QC limits and no data were qualified.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-2	EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Surrogate spikes (%R) (s)
280-2500-2	EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308A3a
SDG #: 280-2500-2
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/14/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	r ✓
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 20%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	LCS/D
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 1

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	11		21		31	
2	MB 280-11897/2-A	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:_____

LDC #: 23308 A3a
SDG #: Sa Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Spikes

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JV6
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y (N) N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples, standards and blanks?

Y (N) N/A Did all surrogate percent recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Date	Sample ID	Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		1	Col. 1	B	55 (68-127)	J- / UJ / P (S)
			↓ 2	↓	57 (↓)	↓
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	

Letter Designation	Surrogate Compound	Recovery QC Limits (Soil)	Recovery QC Limits (Water)	Comments
A	Tetrachoro-m-xylene			
B	Decachlorobiphenyl			

LDC #: 23308 A3a
SDG #: See Cover

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: Q

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)
Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y (N) N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level ~~IV/D~~ Only
Y N (N/A) Was a LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LCS 280-18897/5-A	U	33 (63-118)	( )	95 (29)	All	No qual (LCSD in)
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 22, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 15, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Chlorinated Pesticides

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2771-5

Sample Identification

SSAM2-01-4BPC
SSAM2-01-4BPCMS
SSAM2-01-4BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Total Days From Sample Collection Until Extraction	Required Holding Time (in Days) From Sample Collection Until Extraction	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2771-5	All TCL compounds	19	14	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single compounds were performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) was identified as a field blank. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in this blank.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. Surrogate recoveries (%R) were not within QC limits for sample SSAM2-01-4BPC. Since the sample was diluted out, no data were qualified.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within the QC limits. Since the samples were diluted out, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2771-5	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2771-5

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2771-5	SSAM2-01-4BPC	All TCL compounds	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Technical holding times (h)
280-2771-5	SSAM2-01-4BPC	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2771-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Chlorinated Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2771-5

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308D3a
SDG #: 280-2771-5
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/15/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: VV
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: 4/22/10
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	%RSD ≤ 20%
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	ccv/ccv ≤ 20%
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04132010-REG2-RZE (280-2440-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAM2-01-4BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAM2-01-4BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SSAM2-01-4BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	MB 280-14906/2-A	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 23308 D3a
SDG #: La Cruz

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Technical Holding Times

Reviewer: JVC
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding times.
(Y) N N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

METHOD : GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Sample ID	Matrix	Preserved	Sampling Date	Extraction date	Analysis date	Total # of Days	Qualifier
All	S	N	4/22/10	5/11/10	5/13/10	19	J-/UJ/P (h)

TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME CRITERIA

Water: Extracted within 7 days, analyzed within 40 days.
Soil: Extracted within 14 days, analyzed within 40 days.

LDC #: 23308 D3q
SDG #: 3q Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Spikes

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: _____

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples, standards and blanks?

Y (N) N/A Did all surrogate percent recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Date	Sample ID	Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		1 (100x)	Cal. 1	A	1720 (59-115)	No qual
				B	0 (63-124)	J
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	
					( )	

Letter Designation	Surrogate Compound	Recovery QC Limits (Soil)	Recovery QC Limits (Water)	Comments
A	Tetrachoro-m-xylene			
B	Decachlorobiphenyl			

LDC #: 23308 D3a
SDG #: Sa Cover

Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: —

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
(Y) N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
Y (N) N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		2/3	Results	not	calculated)	( )	1	No qual
		(100X)	due	to	dilution ( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		
				( )	( )	( )		

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23308

Metals

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 15 through April 16, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 21, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Metals

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-2

Sample Identification

EB-04152010-1-RZD
EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE
EB-04152010-1-RZDMS
EB-04152010-1-RZDMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 4 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic, Cobalt, Lead, Magnesium, and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Cobalt Manganese	0.0113 ug/L 0.441 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2500-2

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-04152010-1-RZD	Manganese	0.84 ug/L	1.0U ug/L
EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	Cobalt	0.16 ug/L	1.0U ug/L

Samples EB-04152010-1-RZD and EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE were identified as equipment blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB-04152010-1-RZD	4/16/10	Manganese	0.84 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG
EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	4/15/10	Lead Cobalt Manganese Magnesium	0.18 ug/L 0.16 ug/L 9.4 ug/L 50 ug/L	No associated samples in this SDG

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-2	EB-04152010-1-RZD EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
280-2500-2	EB-04152010-1-RZD	Manganese	1.0U ug/L	A	bl
280-2500-2	EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	Cobalt	1.0U ug/L	A	bl

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308A4
SDG #: 280-2500-2
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6/15/10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15-16/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	SW	EB = 1, 2 (no associated samples)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	EB-04152010-1RZD	11	PBW	21		31	
2	EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE	12		22		32	
3	EB-04152010-1RZDMS	13		23		33	
4	EB-04152010-1RZDMSD	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23308A4
SDG #: SEOCO02

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd reviewer: Q

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1,2		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, **Co**, Cu, Fe, **Pb**, **Mg**, **Mn**, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
QC:3,4		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, **Co**, Cu, Fe, **Pb**, **Mg**, **Mn**, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, **Co**, Cu, Fe, **Pb**, **Mg**, **Mn**, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻,

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

ELEMENTS.4

LDC #: 23308A4
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Reason: b1

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied: NA
Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L Associated Samples: All

Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification 1	2									
Al															Al
Sb															Sb
As															As
Ba															Ba
Be															Be
Cd															Cd
Ca															Ca
Cr															Cr
Co		0.0113				0.16/1.0									Co
Cu															Cu
Fe															Fe
Pb															Pb
Mg															Mg
Mn		0.441			0.84/1.0										Mn
Hg															Hg
Ni															Ni
K															K
Se															Se
Ag															Ag
Na															Na
Tl															Tl
V															V
Zn															Zn
B															B
Mo															Mo
Sr															Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the dentifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".
Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC #: 23308A4
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW846 6010B/7000)

(Y) N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Field Blank: (be)

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** mg/Kg
Sampling date: 4/16/10 Soil factor applied 100x
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: (EB) Associated Samples: No associated samples

Analyte	Blank ID	Sample Identification										
	1	Action Level										
Mn	0.84											

LDC #: 23308A4
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW846 6010B/7000)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Field Blank: (be)

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** mg/Kg
Sampling date: 4/15/10 Soil factor applied 100x
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: (EB) Associated Samples: No associated samples

Analyte	Blank ID	Sample Identification											
	2	Action Level											
Pb	0.18												
Co	0.16												
Mn	9.4	9.4											
Mg	50	50											

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 15, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Arsenic

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-8

Sample Identification

SA165-3BPC
SA131-6BPC
SA131-8BPC**

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2500-2) was identified as an equipment blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

Sample FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2460-2) was identified as a field blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution was not performed for this SDG.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-8	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-8

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2500-8	SA165-3BPC SA131-6BPC SA131-8BPC**	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B /4

LDC #: 23308B4
SDG #: 280-2500-8
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: gz
2nd Reviewer: h

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	N	Client specified
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	↓
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not preformed
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for 2B
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	ND	EB= EB-04152010-RIG2-RZE (280-2500-2), FB= FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (280-2460-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil **level 4

1	SA165-3BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SA131-6BPC	12		22		32	
3	SA131-8BPC **	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23308B4
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	✓			
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	✓			
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.			✓	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.			✓	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			

LDC #: 23308B4
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			✓	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		✓		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?			✓	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.			✓	
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW-846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall Assessment of Data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field Duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XIII. Field Blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 23308B4
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated %R	Reported %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
	ICP (Initial calibration)						
	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
	ICP (Continuing calibration)						
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)						
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	As	38.4	40.0	96	96	Y
CCV (03-16)	ICP/MS (Continuing calibation)	↓	48.8	50.0	98	98	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 28508B34
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$ Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$ Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units) mg/kg	True / D / SDR (units) mg/kg	Recalculated %R / RPD / %D	Reported %R / RPD / %D	Acceptable (Y/N)
ICSAB	ICP interference check	As	100 ug/L	100 ug/L	100	100	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample	As	18.5	20	93	92	↓
N	Matrix spike		(SSR-SR)				
N	Duplicate						
N	ICP serial dilution						

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308B4
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for As were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $\frac{(RD)(FV)(Dil)}{(In.\ Vol.)(\%S)}$

RD = Raw data concentration
FV = Final volume (ml)
In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
Dil = Dilution factor
%S = Decimal percent solids

Recalculation:

$$\frac{(13.75\ ug/L/1000)(100mL)(5)}{(0.896)(1.17g)} = 6.6\ mg/kg$$

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
3	As	6.6	6.6	Y

RECALC.4S2

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 23, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Arsenic

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2836-9

Sample Identification

SSAJ2-01-7BPC
SSAJ2-01-9BPC**

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2836-9	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-9

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2836-9	SSAJ2-01-7BPC SSAJ2-01-9BPC**	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-9

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2836-9

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B /4

LDC #: 23308H4
SDG #: 280-2836-9
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: V

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/23/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	N	Client specified
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	↓
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for 2B
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	ND	FB= FB-04072010 - RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil **Level4

1	SSAJ2-01-7BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAJ2-01-9BPC **	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23308#4
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer:

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	✓			
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	✓			
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.			✓	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.			✓	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			

LDC #: 23308H4
SDG #: see cover

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			✓	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		✓		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?			✓	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.			✓	
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 23308H4
SDG #: Secover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer: VA

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated %R	Reported %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
	ICP (Initial calibration)						
	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
	ICP (Continuing calibration)						
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)						
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	As	41.0	40.0	103	102	Y
CCV(03:37)	ICP/MS (Continuing calibation)	↓	51.0	50.0	102	102	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308H4
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: GR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$ Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$ Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD / %D	Reported %R / RPD / %D	Acceptable (Y/N)
ICSAB	ICP interference check	As	99.9 ug/L	100 ug/L	100	100	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample	↓	19.0 mg/kg	20.0 mg/kg	95	95	↓
N	Matrix spike		(SSR-SR)				
N	Duplicate						
N	ICP serial dilution						

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308H4
SDG #: seeaver

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Reviewer: CR
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for As were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $\frac{(RD)(FV)(Dil)}{(In.\ Vol.)(\%S)}$

RD = Raw data concentration
FV = Final volume (ml)
In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
Dil = Dilution factor
%S = Decimal percent solids

Recalculation:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{65.8\ ug/L}{1000}\right)(5)(100mL)}{(0.928)(1.12g)} = 32\ mg/kg$$

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
2	As	32	32	Y

RECALC.4S2

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 27, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 21, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Arsenic

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2960-7

Sample Identification

SSAK8-04-4BPC

Introduction

This data review covers one soil sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) was identified as a field blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution was not performed for this SDG.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2960-7	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-7

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2960-7	SSAK8-04-4BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-7

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2960-7

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 23308O4
SDG #: 280-2960-7
Laboratory: Test America

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/27/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	N	Client specified
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	↓
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not preformed
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	ND	FB= FB-04072010-RZD (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK8-04-4BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2		12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Metals

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-1

Sample Identification

SSAN6-07-1BPC
SSAN6-07-5BPC
RSAQ3-1BPC
RSAQ3-2BPC
SA56-1BPC
SA56-2BPC
SA56-2BPC_FD
SA48-1BPC**
SA48-1BPC_FD
SA48-2BPC
SA09-1BPC
SA09-2BPC
SA188-1BPC
SA188-2BPC
RSAN6-1BPC
RSAN6-2BPC
SSAN6-07-1BPCMS
SSAN6-07-1BPCMSD
RSAQ3-2BPCMS
RSAQ3-2BPCMSD

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review

Introduction

This data review covers 20 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Arsenic and Manganese.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No metal contaminants were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Manganese	0.829 ug/L	SA56-1BPC SA56-2BPC SA56-2BPC_FD SA188-1BPC SA188-2BPC

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample EB-04282010-RZB (from SDG 280-2995-2) was identified as an equipment blank. No metal contaminants were found in this blank.

Samples FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) and FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-1) were identified as field blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SA56-2BPC and SA56-2BPC_FD and samples SA48-1BPC** and SA48-1BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No metal contaminants were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA56-2BPC	SA56-2BPC_FD				
Arsenic	3.4	3.3	3 (≤50)	-	-	-
Manganese	560	530	6 (≤50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA48-1BPC**	SA48-1BPC_FD				
Arsenic	3.3	3.4	3 (≤50)	-	-	-

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-1	SSAN6-07-1BPC SSAN6-07-5BPC RSAQ3-1BPC RSAQ3-2BPC SA56-1BPC SA56-2BPC SA56-2BPC_FD SA48-1BPC** SA48-1BPC_FD SA48-2BPC SA09-1BPC SA09-2BPC SA188-1BPC SA188-2BPC RSAN6-1BPC RSAN6-2BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Metals - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B /4

LDC #: 23308P4
SDG #: 280-2995-1
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: V

METHOD: As & Mn (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	SW	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	A	Not reviewed for 2B
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(6,7), (8,9)
XV	Field Blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-RZC, FB04062010-RZB (280-2280-2) (280-2131-1) EB = FB04281010-RZB (280-2995-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

** Level 4

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAN6-07-1BPC	11	SA09-1BPC	21	PBS	31	
2	SSAN6-07-5BPC	12	SA09-2BPC	22		32	
3	RSAQ3-1BPC	13	SA188-1BPC	23		33	
4	RSAQ3-2BPC	14	SA188-2BPC	24		34	
5	SA56-1BPC	15	RSAN6-1BPC	25		35	
6	SA56-2BPC	16	RSAN6-2BPC	26		36	
7	SA56-2BPC_FD	17	SSAN6-07-1BPCMS	27		37	
8	SA48-1BPC **	18	SSAN6-07-1BPCMSD	28		38	
9	SA48-1BPC_FD	19	RSAQ3-2BPCMS	29		39	
10	SA48-2BPC	20	RSAQ3-2BPCMSD	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 23308B4
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all isotopes in the tuning solution mass resolution within 0.1 amu?	✓			
Were %RSD of isotopes in the tuning solution < 5%?	✓			
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	✓			
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			

LDC #: 23308Q4
SDG #: seecaver

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: ER
2nd Reviewer: V

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)			✓	
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?	✓			
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		✓		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	✓			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall Assessment of Data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field Duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field Blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 23308P4
SDG #: SEOCAN02

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-4,8-12,15,16		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
5-7		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, **Mn**, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
13,14		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, **Mn**, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
QC: 17,18		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
↓ 19,20		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___

Analysis Method

ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, **As**, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, **Mn**, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN⁻, ___ ___

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

ELEMENTS.4

LDC #: 23305B4

SDG #: 280295-1

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CR

2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied: ~~NA~~ 100X

Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/kg Associated Samples: 5-7, 13, 14

Analyte	Maximum PB[a] (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB[a] (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB[a] (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification: No Qual (all > RL)										
Al															Al
Sb															Sb
As															As
Ba															Ba
Be															Be
Cd															Cd
Ca															Ca
Cr															Cr
Co															Co
Cu															Cu
Fe															Fe
Pb															Pb
Mg															Mg
Mn			0.829												Mn
Hg															Hg
Ni															Ni
K															K
Se															Se
Ag															Ag
Na															Na
Tl															Tl
V															V
Zn															Zn
B															B
Mo															Mo
Sr															Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the dentifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC#: 23308P4
SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6020/7000)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg) 6	Concentration (mg/Kg) 7	(≤50) RPD	(mg/Kg) Difference	(mg/Kg) Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
Arsenic	3.4	3.3	3			
Manganese	560	530	6			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23308P4.wpd

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg) 8	Concentration (mg/Kg) 9	(≤50) RPD	(mg/Kg) Difference	(mg/Kg) Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
Arsenic	3.3	3.4	3			

LDC #: 23308P4
SDG #: secover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: GR
2nd Reviewer: M

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated %R	Reported %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
	ICP (Initial calibration)						
	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
	ICP (Continuing calibration)						
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)						
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	As	38.4	40.0		96	Y
CCV (03:03)	ICP/MS (Continuing calibation)	↓	49.8	50.0		100	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308P4
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$ Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$ Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units) mg/kg	True / D / SDR (units) mg/kg	Recalculated %R / RPD / %D	Reported %R / RPD / %D	Acceptable (Y/N)
ICSAB	ICP interference check	As	100 ug/L	100 ug/L	100	100	Y
LCS	Laboratory control sample		19.2	20	96	96	
17	Matrix spike		(SSR-SR) 19.6	21.7	90	90	
17/18	Duplicate		23.4	23.8	2	2	
1	ICP serial dilution	↓	3.8	4.13	8.7	8.9	↓

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.4SW

LDC #: 23706P4
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for As were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $\frac{(RD)(FV)(Dil)}{(In.\ Vol.)(\%S)}$

RD = Raw data concentration
FV = Final volume (ml)
In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
Dil = Dilution factor
%S = Decimal percent solids

Recalculation:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{6.35\ ug/L}{1000}\right)(5)(100\ mL)}{(0.942)(1.02\ g)} = 3.3\ mg/kg$$

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
6	As	3.3	3.3	T

RECALC.4S2

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 23, 2010

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Arsenic

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-2

***Sample Identification**

EB04282010-RZB
EB04282010-RZBMS
EB04282010-RZBMSD

*Corrected all sample IDs from EB04281010 to EB04282010

Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample EB04282010-RZB was identified as a field blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-2	EB04282010-RZB	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Equipment Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 23308Q4
SDG #: 280-2995-2
Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: LW

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	ND	EB=1 (no associated samples)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water

1	EB042810-RZB	11	RB2W	21		31	
2	EB042810-RZBMS	12		22		32	
3	EB042810-RZBMSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Arsenic

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-6

Sample Identification

SSAN6-07-7BPC
SSAN6-07-9BPC
SSAN6-07-7BPCMS
SSAN6-07-7BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No arsenic was found in this blank.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-6	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-6

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-6	SSAN6-07-7BPC SSAN6-07-9BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-6

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308S4
SDG #: 280-2995-6
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	N	
XV	Field Blanks	ND	FB=FB040720 10-RZC (280-22802)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAN6-07-7BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SSAN6-07-9BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAN6-07-7BPCMS	13		23		33	
4	SSAN6-07-7BPCMSD	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 16, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Arsenic

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-1

Sample Identification

SSAK7-05-5BPC
SSAK7-05-1BPC
SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD
SSAQ5-01-5BPC
SSAQ5-01-1BPC
SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD
SSAK7-05-5BPCMS
SSAK7-05-5BPCMSD

Introduction

This data review covers 8 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6020 for Arsenic.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section IV.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. ICPMS Tune

The mass calibration was within 0.1 AMU and the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) was less than or equal to 5% .

III. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

IV. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No arsenic was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples FB0406010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-1) and FB-04072010-RZD (from SDG 280-2216-2) were identified as field blanks. No arsenic was found in these blanks.

V. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

VI. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

XI. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAK7-05-1BPC and SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD and samples SSAQ5-01-1BPC and SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD were identified as field duplicates. No arsenic was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAK7-05-1BPC	SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD				
Arsenic	3.0	3.3	10 (≤50)	-	-	-

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAQ5-01-1BPC	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD				
Arsenic	14	24	53 (≤50)	-	J (all detects)	A

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-1	SSAK7-05-5BPC SSAK7-05-1BPC SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD SSAQ5-01-5BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (PQL) (sp)
280-3100-1	SSAQ5-01-1BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	Arsenic	J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (RPD) (fd)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Arsenic - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308X4
SDG #: 280-3100-1
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: L

METHOD: As (EPA SW 846 Method 6020)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
II.	ICP/MS Tune	A	
III.	Calibration	A	
IV.	Blanks	A	
V.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
VI.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/D
VII.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS
IX.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
X.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
XI.	ICP Serial Dilution	A	
XII.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XIII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIV.	Field Duplicates	SW	(2,3), (5,6)
XV	Field Blanks	ND	FB= FB040610-RZB, FB-040710-RZD (280-2131-1) (280-2216-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAK7-05-5BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SSAK7-05-1BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAK7-05-1BPC_FD	13		23		33	
4	SSAQ5-01-5BPC	14		24		34	
5	SSAQ5-01-1BPC	15		25		35	
6	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	16		26		36	
7	SSAK7-05-5BPCMS	17		27		37	
8	SSAK7-05-5BPCMSD	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC#: 23308X4
SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: V

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6020/7000)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg) 2	Concentration (mg/Kg) 3	(≤50) RPD	(mg/Kg) Difference	(mg/Kg) Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
Arsenic	3.0	3.3	10			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23308X4.wpd

Compound	Concentration (mg/Kg) 5	Concentration (mg/Kg) 6	(≤50) RPD	(mg/Kg) Difference	(mg/Kg) Limits	Qualifications (Parent Only)
Arsenic	14	24	53			Jdet/A (fd)

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Data Validation Reports
LDC #23308

Perchlorate

LDC

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 15, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 23, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Perchlorate

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2500-2

***Sample Identification**

SA129-4BPC
SA129-6BPC
SA129-8BPC
SA129-9BPC**
SSAM5-02-2BPC
SSAM5-02-4BPC
SSAM5-02-6BPC**
SSAM5-02-8BPC
SSAM5-02-10BPC
SSAM5-02-6BPC_FD
SSAM4-02-2BPC**
SSAM4-02-4BPC
SSAM4-02-6BPC
SSAM4-02-8BPC
SSAM4-02-10BPC
SSAN4-01-2BPC
SSAN4-01-4BPC
SSAN4-01-6BPC
SSAN4-01-8BPC
SSAN4-01-10BPC
SSAM4-02-2BPCMS
SSAM4-02-2BPCMSD
SSAM4-02-2BPCDUP

**Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 review
*Corrected first SSAN4-01-8BPC ID to SSAN4-01-6BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 22 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Stage 4 review. A Stage 2B review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Samples FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (from SDG 280-2400-2) and FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) were identified as field blanks. No perchlorate were found in these blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2500-2	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAM5-02-6BPC** and SSAM5-02-6BPC_FD were identified as field duplicates. No perchlorate was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAM5-02-6BPC**	SSAM5-02-6BPC_FD				
Perchlorate	440	520	17 (≤50)	-	-	-

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

*Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
*280-2500-2	SA129-4BPC SA129-6BPC SA129-8BPC SA129-9BPC** SSAM5-02-2BPC SSAM5-02-4BPC SSAM5-02-6BPC** SSAM5-02-8BPC SSAM5-02-10BPC SSAM5-02-6BPC_FD SSAM4-02-2BPC** SSAM4-02-4BPC SSAM4-02-6BPC SSAM4-02-8BPC SSAM4-02-10BPC SSAN4-01-2BPC SSAN4-01-4BPC SSAN4-01-8BPC SSAN4-01-6BPC SSAN4-01-10BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2500-2

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 23308A6
SDG #: 280-2500-2
Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B/4

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/15/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D
V	Duplicates	A	DUP
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	AN	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(7,10)
X	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04132010-RIG2-RZE (280-2400-2), FB-04072010-RZC (280-2280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: 39/1 ** Level 4

1	SA129-4BPC	11	SSAM4-02-2BPC **	21	SSAM4-02-2BPCMS	31	
2	SA129-6BPC	12	SSAM4-02-4BPC	22	SSAM4-02-2BPCMSD	32	
3	SA129-8BPC	13	SSAM4-02-6BPC	23	↓ DUP	33	
4	SA129-9BPC **	14	SSAM4-02-8BPC	24		34	
5	SSAM5-02-2BPC	15	SSAM4-02-10BPC	25		35	
6	SSAM5-02-4BPC	16	SSAN4-01-2BPC	26		36	
7	SSAM5-02-6BPC **	17	SSAN4-01-4BPC	27		37	
8	SSAM5-02-8BPC	18	SSAN4-01-6BPC	28		38	
9	SSAM5-02-10BPC	19	SSAN4-01-8BPC	29		39	
10	SSAM5-02-6BPC_FD	20	SSAN4-01-10BPC	30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 23308A6
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See Cover)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
[illegible]				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
[illegible]				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)			✓	
[illegible]				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
[illegible]				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the CRDL.	✓			
[illegible]				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
[illegible]				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓	✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?				

LDC #: 23308A6
SDG #: See cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: ER
2nd Reviewer: W

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall Assessment of Data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field Duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
X. Field Blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC#: 23308A6
SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

Inorganics, Method: See Cover

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (≤50)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	7	10				
Perchlorate	440	520	17			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23308A6.wpd

LDC #: 23308A6
SDG #: see cover

Validatin Findings Worksheet
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: W

Method: Inorganics, Method 314.0

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of ClO4 was recalculated.Calibration date: 4/21/10

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found X 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of analysis	Analyte	Standard	Conc. (ug/l)	Area	Recalculated r or r^2	Reported r or r^2	Acceptable (Y/N)
Initial calibration	ClO4	s1	1	0.0025	*0.998765*	0.998771	Y
		s2	2.5	0.00841			
		s3	5	0.01661			
		s4	10	0.03291			
		s5	20	0.06345			
		s6	40	0.14097			
Calibration verification		ICV	20	Found, ug/L 18.890	94	—	
Calibration verification		CCV	30	28.260	94	—	
Calibration verification		CCV	10	10.540	105	—	

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308B6
SDG #: seecover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: LC

METHOD: Inorganics, Method Seecover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

%R = Found / True x 100 Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

RPD = |S-D| / (S+D)/2 x 100 Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units) mg/kg	True / D (units) mg/kg	Recalculated %R / RPD	Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
LCS	Laboratory control sample	Cl04	0.107	0.0998	107	107	Y
22	Matrix spike sample	↓	(SSR-SR) ~~0.640~~ 640	536	119	119	↓
23	Duplicate sample	↓	630	623	1	2	↓

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 23308A6
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CP
2nd reviewer: V

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for ClO4 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $\frac{(\text{Area} - \text{offset}) \text{ Prep Factor (DF)}}{\text{Slope} \quad \%\text{ Solid}}$

Recalculation: $\frac{\left(\frac{0.06901 + 0.0008}{0.0034}\right)/1000 \,(1000)(10)}{(0.896)} = 230 \text{ mg/kg}$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
	4	ClO4	230	230	Y

Note:

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 26, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 15, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Perchlorate

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2879-7

Sample Identification

SSAR6-04-7BPC
SSAR6-04-9BPC

Introduction

This data review covers 2 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Perchlorate	92 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2879-7

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2879-7	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-7

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2879-7	SSAR6-04-7BPC SSAR6-04-9BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-7

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-7

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308J6
SDG #: 280-2879-7
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: V

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/26/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	~~N~~ A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	Client specified
V	Duplicates	N	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB04062010-RZB (280-2131-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil

1	SSAR6-04-7BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SSAR6-04-9BPC	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 23308 (~~23204A6~~)
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See Cover

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** mg/Kg Reason Code: bf

Sampling date: 4/6/10 ~~Soil~~ factor applied 10x

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All

Analyte	Blank ID	Action Limit	Sample Identification							
	FB04062010-RZB (SDG#: 280-2131-~~28~~ 2)		No Quals (7 10x)							
ClO4	92	9.2								

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 26, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 15, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Perchlorate

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2879-8

Sample Identification

SSAR6-04-10BPC
SSAR6-04-10BPCMS
SSAR6-04-10BPCMSD
SSAR6-04-10BPCDUP

Introduction

This data review covers 4 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Perchlorate	92 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-2879-8

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2879-8	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-8

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2879-8	SSAR6-04-10BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2879-8

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308K6
SDG #: 280-2879-8
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/26/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D
V	Duplicates	A	Dup
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	SW	FB = FB04062010-RZB (280-2131-2)

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAR6-04-10BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SSAR6-04-10BPCMS	12		22		32	
3	SSAR6-04-10BPCMSD	13		23		33	
4	SSAR6-04-10BPCDUP	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: ~~23204~~23308A6
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See Cover

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** mg/Kg Reason Code: bf

Sampling date: 4/6/10 ~~Soil factor~~ applied 10x

Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: E Associated Samples: All

Analyte	Blank ID	Action Limit	Sample Identification							
	FB04062010-RZB (SDG#: 280-2131-~~28~~2)		No Quals							
ClO4	92	9.2								

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 28, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 15, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Perchlorate

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-2995-1

Sample Identification

SSAN6-07-1BPC
SSAN6-07-5BPC
SSAN6-07-1BPCMS
SSAN6-07-1BPCMSD
SSAN6-07-1BPCDUP

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB-04072010-RZC (from SDG 280-2280-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-2995-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-2995-1	SSAN6-07-1BPC SSAN6-07-5BPC	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-2995-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308P6
SDG #: 280-2995-1
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/D
V	Duplicates	A	Dup
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB-04072010-RZC (280-22280-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAN6-07-1BPC	11		21		31	
2	SSAN6-07-5BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAN6-07-1BPCMS	13		23		33	
4	SSAN6-07-1BPCMSD	14		24		34	
5	SSAN6-07-1BPCDUP	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada

Collection Date: April 30, 2010

LDC Report Date: June 15, 2010

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Perchlorate

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 280-3100-1

Sample Identification

SSAQ5-01-5BPC
SSAQ5-01-1BPC
SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 3 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.

JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).

X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.

J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.

J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.

J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No perchlorate was found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

Sample FB04062010-RZB (from SDG 280-2131-2) was identified as a field blank. No perchlorate was found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB04062010-RZB	4/6/10	Perchlorate	92 ug/L	All samples in SDG 280-3100-1

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike analyses were not performed for this SDG.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification and Project Quantitation Limit

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Stage 4 review was performed.

All analytes reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG 280-3100-1	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Stage 2B criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SSAQ5-01-1BPC and SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD were identified as field duplicates. No perchlorate was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SSAQ5-01-1BPC	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD				
Perchlorate	210	190	10 (≤50)	-	-	-

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
280-3100-1	SSAQ5-01-5BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	All analytes reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Sample result verification (sp)

Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox LLC Facility, PCS, Henderson, Nevada
Perchlorate - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 280-3100-1

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson
VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Stage 2B

LDC #: 23308X6
SDG #: 280-3100-1
Laboratory: Test America

Date: 6-15-10
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: QR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/30/10
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	Client specified
V	Duplicates	N	↓
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(2,3)
X	Field blanks	SW	FB= FB04062010-RZB (280-2131-2)

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SSAQ5-01-5BPC	11	PBS	21		31	
2	SSAQ5-01-1BPC	12		22		32	
3	SSAQ5-01-1BPC-FD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 23308X6
SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See Cover

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: ug/L **Associated sample units:** mg/Kg Reason Code: bf
Sampling date: 4/6/10 Soil factor applied 10x
Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: Associated Samples: All

Analyte	Blank ID	Action Limit	Sample Identification							
	FB04062010-RZB (SDG#: 280-2131-~~75~~ 2)		No Qualifiers							
ClO4	92	9.2								

LDC#: 23308X6
SDG#: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: CR
2nd Reviewer:

Inorganics, Method: See Cover

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (≤50)	Difference	Limits	Qualification (Parent only)
	2	3				
Perchlorate	210	190	10			

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\23308X6.wpd