

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
Data Validation Reports  
LDC #21991**

TPH as Extractables

**LDC**

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** August 4, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0904290

### Sample Identification

FB080409-GW

## Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB080409-GW was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG R0904290	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0904290**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0904290	FB080409-GW	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0904290**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0904290**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21991A8

SDG #: R0904290

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Stage 2B

Date: 11/25/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/04/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 10
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = 1

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water

1	FB080409-GW	11		21		31	
2	93292-MB	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 8, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905115

### Sample Identification

EB090809-SO1  
SA54-10B  
SA54-20B  
SA54-31B  
SA50-12B  
SA50009-12B  
SA50-25B  
SA50-36B  
SA170-20B  
SA170-31B  
SA170-0.5B  
SA170-10B  
SA135-0.5B  
SA135-10B  
SA135009-10B  
SA135-25B  
SA135-37B  
SA54-31BMS  
SA54-31BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 18 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB090809-SO1 was identified as an equipment blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

Samples FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) and FB080309-SO (from SDG R0904279) were identified as field blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905115	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SA50-12B and SA50009-12B and samples SA135-10B and SA135009-10B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA135-10B	SA135009-10B				
Diesel range organics	38000	42000U	-	4000 ( $\leq 42000$ )	-	-
Oil range organics	77000	42000U	-	35000 ( $\leq 42000$ )	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905115**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905115	EB090809-SO1 SA54-10B SA54-20B SA54-31B SA50-12B SA50009-12B SA50-25B SA50-36B SA170-20B SA170-31B SA170-0.5B SA170-10B SA135-0.5B SA135-10B SA135009-10B SA135-25B SA135-37B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905115**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Equipment Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG R0905115**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905115**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991B8

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: R0905115

Stage 2B

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Date: 11/20/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: SVL

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/08/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	UCS/D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	*D <sub>1</sub> = 5, 6      D <sub>2</sub> = 14, 15
X.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 1      FB = FB072909-SO (from R0904226) ↓ = FB080309-SO (from R0904279)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

\*ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water + Soil

1	EB090809-SO1	W	11	SA170-0.5B	S	21	95622-MB	31
2	SA54-10B		12	SA170-10B		22	95663-MB	32
3	SA54-20B		13	SA135-0.5B		23		33
4	SA54-31B		14	SA135-10B	D <sub>1</sub>	24		34
5	SA50-12B	D <sub>1</sub>	15	SA135009-10B	D <sub>2</sub>	25		35
6	SA50009-12B	D <sub>1</sub>	16	SA135-25B		26		36
7	SA50-25B		17	SA135-37B		27		37
8	SA50-36B		18	SA54-31BMS		28		38
9	SA170-20B		19	SA54-31BMSD		29		39
10	SA170-31B		20			30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 21991B8  
 SDG #: by Gary

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
 Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: SM  
 2nd reviewer: D

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Y  N  N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Y  N  N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration ( ug/kg )		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	14	15		
DR6	38000	42000 U	4000 ( ≤ 42000 D )	-
OR6	77000	↓	35000	-

Compound	Concentration ( )		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 3, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905072

### Sample Identification

SA53-10B  
SA53-25B  
SA53-32B  
SA106-12B  
SA106-20B  
SA106-35B  
RSAU7-0.5B  
RSAU7009-0.5B  
RSAU7-10B  
RSAU7-25B  
RSAU7-40B  
RSAU7-54B  
SA204-0.5B  
SA204-10B  
SA204009-10B  
SA204-30B  
SA204-45B  
EB090309-SO2  
SA53-10BMS  
SA53-10BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 19 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB090309-SO2 was identified as an equipment blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB090309-SO2	9/3/09	Diesel range organics	120 ug/L	RSAU7-0.5B RSAU7009-0.5B RSAU7-10B RSAU7-25B RSAU7-40B RSAU7-54B SA204-0.5B SA204-10B SA204009-10B SA204-30B SA204-45B

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) and FB080309-SO (from SDG R0904279) were identified as field blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks.

#### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

##### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

##### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905072	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## IX. Field Duplicates

Samples RSAU7-0.5B and RSAU7009-0.5B and samples SA204-10B and SA204009-10B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA204-10B	SA204009-10B				
Diesel range organics	40000	40000	-	0 ( $\leq 42000$ )	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905072**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905072	SA53-10B SA53-25B SA53-32B SA106-12B SA106-20B SA106-35B RSAU7-0.5B RSAU7009-0.5B RSAU7-10B RSAU7-25B RSAU7-40B RSAU7-54B SA204-0.5B SA204-10B SA204009-10B SA204-30B SA204-45B EB090309-SO2	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905072**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Equipment Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG R0905072**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905072**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991C8

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 11/30/09

SDG #: R0905072

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Reviewer: JVC

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/03/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	*D <sub>1</sub> = 7.8      D <sub>2</sub> = 14.15
X.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 18      FB = FB072909-SO (from R0904229) ↓ = FB080309-SO (from R0904277)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

\*ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil + Water

1	SA53-10B	S	11	RSAU7-40B	S	21	95518-MB	31	
2	SA53-25B		12	RSAU7-54B		22	95415- ↓	32	
3	SA53-32B		13	SA204-0.5B		23		33	
4	SA106-12B		14	SA204-10B	D <sub>r</sub>	24		34	
5	SA106-20B		15	SA204009-10B	D <sub>r</sub>	25		35	
6	SA106-35B		16	SA204-30B		26		36	
7	RSAU7-0.5B	D <sub>1</sub>	17	SA204-45B		27		37	
8	RSAU7009-0.5B	D <sub>1</sub>	18	EB090309-SO2	W	28		38	
9	RSAU7-10B		19	SA53-10BMS	S	29		39	
10	RSAU7-25B		20	SA53-10BMSD		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_







## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 10, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905177

### Sample Identification

EB091009-SO1  
EB091009-SO2  
SA102-10B  
SA102-30B  
SA109-10B  
SA109-25B  
SA109-34B  
SA125-25B  
SA125-39B  
SA125009-39B  
SA125-0.5B  
SA125-10B  
SA126-0.5B  
SA126-10B  
SA126-18B  
SA126-25B  
SA126-40B  
SA126-40BMS  
SA126-40BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples and 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB091009-SO1 and EB091009-SO2 were identified as equipment blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks.

Sample FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905177	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples SA125-39B and SA125009-39B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905177**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905177	EB091009-SO1 EB091009-SO2 SA102-10B SA102-30B SA109-10B SA109-25B SA109-34B SA125-25B SA125-39B SA125009-39B SA125-0.5B SA125-10B SA126-0.5B SA126-10B SA126-18B SA126-25B SA126-40B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905177**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Equipment Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG R0905177**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905177**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



**Tronox Northgate Henderson**

LDC #: 21991D8

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: R0905177

Stage 2B

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Date: 11/30/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/10/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	COV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 1D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	b = 9, 10
X.	Field blanks	ND	EB = 1, 2      FB = FB072909-S0 (from R19092)

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: water + soil

1	EB091009-SO1	w	11	SA125-0.5B	S	21	95911-NB	31
2	EB091009-SO2	↓	12	SA125-10B		22	95875-↓	32
3	SA102-10B	S	13	SA126-0.5B		23		33
4	SA102-30B		14	SA126-10B		24		34
5	SA109-10B		15	SA126-18B		25		35
6	SA109-25B		16	SA126-25B		26		36
7	SA109-34B		17	SA126-40B		27		37
8	SA125-25B		18	SA126-40BMS		28		38
9	SA125-39B	D	19	SA126-40BMSD	↓	29		39
10	SA125009-39B	b	20		↓	30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 9, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 4

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905138

### Sample Identification

SA187-10B	RSAQ5-41BMS
SA187-25B	RSAQ5-41BMSD
SA187-39B	
SA45-10B	
SA45-25B	
SA45-36B	
SA186-10B	
SA186-25B	
SA186-37B	
SA188-10B	
SA188-25B	
SA188-37B	
RSAQ5-0.5B	
RSAQ5-10B	
RSAQ5-25B	
RSAQ5-41B	
SA122-0.5B	
SA122-10B	
SA122-20B	
SA122-31B	

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 22 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **V. Target Compound Identification**

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All project quantitation limits were within validation criteria.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG R0905138	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

### **VII. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable.

### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905138**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
R0905138	SA187-10B SA187-25B SA187-39B SA45-10B SA45-25B SA45-36B SA186-10B SA186-25B SA186-37B SA188-10B SA188-25B SA188-37B RSAQ5-0.5B RSAQ5-10B RSAQ5-25B RSAQ5-41B SA122-0.5B SA122-10B SA122-20B SA122-31B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905138**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905138**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991E8

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 11/20/09

SDG #: R0905138

Stage 4

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Reviewer: SVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/09/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS D
V.	Target compound identification	A	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	A	
VII.	System Performance	A	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB072909 - SO (from R0904226)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil

1	SA187-10B	11	SA188-25B	21	RSAQ5-41BMS	31	95742 - MB
2	SA187-25B	12	SA188-37B	22	RSAQ5-41BMSD	32	
3	SA187-39B	13	RSAQ5-0.5B	23		33	
4	SA45-10B	14	RSAQ5-10B	24		34	
5	SA45-25B	15	RSAQ5-25B	25		35	
6	SA45-36B	16	RSAQ5-41B	26		36	
7	SA186-10B	17	SA122-0.5B	27		37	
8	SA186-25B	18	SA122-10B	28		38	
9	SA186-37B	19	SA122-20B	29		39	
10	SA188-10B	20	SA122-31B	30		40	

Notes: (All ND)



DC #: 2491 E8  
 DG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 1 of 2  
 Reviewer: JVL  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Technical holding times</b>				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>II. Initial calibration</b>				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>IV. Continuing calibration</b>				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20%.0 or percent recoveries 80-120%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>V. Blanks</b>				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VI. Surrogate spikes</b>				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VIII. Laboratory control samples</b>				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control</b>				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

DC #: 21991 E8  
 DG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 2 of 2  
 Reviewer: JV  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>X. Target compound identification</b>				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
<b>XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs</b>				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
<b>XII. System performance</b>				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIII. Overall assessment of data</b>				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIV. Field duplicates</b>				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
<b>XV. Field blanks</b>				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.		/		

LDC #: 21991E8  
 SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JYC  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD =  $100 \cdot (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (100% std)	CF (100% std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	1CAL	9/18/09	DRD	0.903 e6	902613	0.044 e6	0.944 e6	4.16	4.16		
2											
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 2991E8  
 SDG #: Sec Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: NG  
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: GC \_\_\_\_\_ HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 \cdot (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$  Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
 CF = continuing calibration CF  
 A = Area of compound  
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	CCV 9B	9/22/04	DRD	942.272	942.897	0.1	942.897	0.1
2	CCV 10	9/23/04			820.794	13.1	820.794	13.1
3	CCV 11	9/23/04			947.383	0.3	947.383	0.3
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Results Verification**

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: SF = Surrogate Found  
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

% Recovery:  $SF/SS * 100$

Sample ID: #

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
0-TPH	ZB-5	100	81.56	82	82	0

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification**

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery =  $100 * ((SSC - SC) / SA)$  Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration  
 SA = Spike added  
 MS = Matrix spike  
 MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

RPD =  $(((SSCMS - SSCMSD) * 2) / (SSCMS + SSCMSD)) * 100$

MS/MSD samples: 21 / 22

Compound	Spike Added (MS/MSD)		Sample Conc. (MS/MSD)	Spike Sample Concentration (MS/MSD)		Matrix spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)	273000	273000	0	195000	219000	71	71	80	80	12	12
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 219115  
 SDG #: See cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification**

Page: of 1  
 Reviewer: JK  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery =  $100 \times \frac{(SSC-SC)/SA}{RPD} = \frac{LCS - LCSD}{LCS + LCSD} \times 100$       Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration      SC = Concentration  
 SA = Spike added      LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery      LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: 4574 > LCS / LCSD

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS		LCSD		LCS		LCSD		RPD		
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	
Gasoline (8015)															
Diesel (8015)	257 000	257 000	215 000	218 000	85	86	87	87					1	1	
Benzene (8021B)															
Methane (RSK-175)															
2,4-D (8151)															
Dinoseb (8151)															
Naphthalene (8310)															
Anthracene (8310)															
HMX (8330)															
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)															

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.





## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 10 through September 16, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 4

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905192

### Sample Identification

SA102-10BSPLP2  
SA102-10BSPLP3  
SA102-30BSPLP2  
SA102-30BSPLP3  
SA30-9BSPLP2  
SA30-9BSPLP3  
SA128-10BSPLP2  
SA128-10BSPLP3  
SA128-29BSPLP2  
SA128-29BSPLP3

Samples in this SDG underwent SPLP extraction

## Introduction

This data review covers 10 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## V. Target Compound Identification

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

## VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All project quantitation limits were within validation criteria.

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905192	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

## VII. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

## VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905192**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
R0905192	SA102-10BSPLP2 SA102-10BSPLP3 SA102-30BSPLP2 SA102-30BSPLP3 SA30-9BSPLP2 SA30-9BSPLP3 SA128-10BSPLP2 SA128-10BSPLP3 SA128-29BSPLP2 SA128-29BSPLP3	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905192**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905192**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991F8  
 SDG #: R0905192  
 Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 4

Date: 11/30/09  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JVG  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/10/09 - 9/16/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CW/1CW ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 1D
V.	Target compound identification	A	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	A	
VII.	System Performance	A	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet  
 ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank  
 D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA102-10BSPLP2	11	1	96632 - MB	21		31
2	SA102-10BSPLP3	12	2	96548 -	22		32
3	SA102-30BSPLP2	13	3	97240 -	23		33
4	SA102-30BSPLP3	14	4	97010 -	24		34
5	SA30-9BSPLP2	15	2	SPLP - Blk 1	25		35
6	SA30-9BSPLP3	16	1	SPLP - Blk 2	26		36
7	SA128-10BSPLP2	17	4	SPLP - Blk 3	27		37
8	SA128-10BSPLP3	18	3	SPLK - Blk 4	28		38
9	SA128-29BSPLP2	19			29		39
10	SA128-29BSPLP3	20			30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

DC #: 21991FY  
 DG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2  
 Reviewer: JVG  
 2nd Reviewer: JVG

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Technical holding times</b>				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>II. Initial calibration</b>				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>IV. Continuing calibration</b>				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20% or percent recoveries 80-120%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>V. Blanks</b>				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VI. Surrogate spikes</b>				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>VIII. Laboratory control samples</b>				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control</b>				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	



DC #: 21991F8  
DG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2  
Reviewer: JL  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>X. Target compound identification</b>				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
<b>XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs</b>				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
<b>XII. System performance</b>				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIII. Overall assessment of data</b>				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
<b>XIV. Field duplicates</b>				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
<b>XV. Field blanks</b>				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

LDC #: 21441 F8  
 SDG #: See Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
 Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: DJG  
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD =  $100 \cdot (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (100% std)	CF (100% std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	1CAL	9/18	DRD	0.903 e6	0.903 e6	0.944 e6	0.944 e6	4.16	4.16		
2	1CAL	10/06/09	DRD	0.922 e6	0.922 e6	1.022 e6	1.022 e6	7.47	7.47		
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 2991 F8  
 SDG #: Sec Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 2  
 Reviewer: JY  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC  HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 \cdot (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$  Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
 CF = continuing calibration CF  
 A = Area of compound  
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF (cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	CCV 22	9/28/09	DRD	896.320 r3	5.1	896.320	5.1	
2	CCV 23	9/24/09		947.252	0.3	947.252	0.3	
3	CCV 27	9/30/09		904.664	4.2	904.664	4.2	
4	CCV 29	10/01/09		969.792	2.7	969.792	2.7	

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 21991 F8  
 SDG #: Sec Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 2 of 2  
 Reviewer: JVC  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC  HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 \cdot (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$  Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
 CF = continuing calibration CF  
 A = Area of compound  
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	CV1	10/06/09	DRD	1022456	946247	7.5	946247	7.5
2								
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Surrogate Results Verification

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100  
 Where: SF = Surrogate Found  
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 1

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
0-TPH	ZB-5	100	89.75	90	90	0

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

LDC #: >1991 P8  
 SDG #: See Cover

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification**

Page:    of     
 Reviewer:     
 2nd Reviewer:   

METHOD:    GC    HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery =  $100 \times \frac{SSC-SA}{LCS + LCS-D}$       Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration      SC = Concentration  
 RPD =  $100 \times \frac{LCS-D}{LCS}$       SA = Spike added  
 LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery      LCS-D = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples:   9 6578 LCS/D  

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)										
Diesel (8015)	50	50	342	411	78	78	82	82	5	5
Benzene (8021B)										
Methane (RSK-175)										
2,4-D (8151)										
Dinoseb (8151)										
Naphthalene (8310)										
Anthracene (8310)										
HMX (8330)										
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)										

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 11, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905198

### Sample Identification

RSAQ6-0.5B  
RSAQ6-10B  
RSAQ6-25B  
RSAQ6-38B  
RSAQ6009-38B  
SA41-12B  
SA41-25B  
SA41-38B  
SA40-10B  
SA40-25B  
SA40-41B  
SA114-10B  
SA114-30B  
SA40-41BMS  
SA40-41BMSD



## **Introduction**

This data review covers 15 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905198	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples RSAQ6-38B and RSAQ6009-38B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905198**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905198	RSAQ6-0.5B RSAQ6-10B RSAQ6-25B RSAQ6-38B RSAQ6009-38B SA41-12B SA41-25B SA41-38B SA40-10B SA40-25B SA40-41B SA114-10B SA114-30B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905198**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905198**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991G8

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 12/01/09

SDG #: R0905198

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Reviewer: SVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/1/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV/NV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 4, 5
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB072909-50 (from R0904226)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	RSAQ6-0.5B	11	SA40-41B	21	96120-MB	31	
2	RSAQ6-10B	12	SA114-10B	22		32	
3	RSAQ6-25B	13	SA114-30B	23		33	
4	RSAQ6-38B D <sub>1</sub>	14	SA40-41BMS	24		34	
5	RSAQ6009-38B D <sub>1</sub>	15	SA40-41BMSD	25		35	
6	SA41-12B	16		26		36	
7	SA41-25B	17		27		37	
8	SA41-38B	18		28		38	
9	SA40-10B	19		29		39	
10	SA40-25B	20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 14, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905218

### Sample Identification

EB091409-SO1  
SA42-10B  
SA42009-10B  
SA42-25B  
SA42-38B  
SA43-10B  
SA43-25B  
SA43-43B  
SA44-10B  
SA44-25B  
SA44-42B  
RSAR6-37B  
RSAR6-25B  
RSAR6-0.5B  
RSAR6-9B  
RSAO8-43B  
RSAO8-11.5B  
RSAO8-21.5B  
RSAR6-37BMS  
RSAR6-37BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 19 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.



The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample EB091409-SO1 was identified as an equipment blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB091409-SO1	9/14/09	Diesel range organics	130 ug/L	SA42-10B SA42009-10B SA42-25B SA42-38B SA43-10B SA43-25B SA43-43B SA44-10B SA44-25B SA44-42B RSAR6-37B RSAR6-25B RSAR6-0.5B RSAR6-9B

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) and FB082809-SO (from SDG R0904894) were identified as field blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Field Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
FB082809-SO	8/28/09	Diesel range organics	110 ug/L	RSA08-43B RSA08-11.5B RSA08-21.5B

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

#### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

##### a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

##### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905218	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SA42-10B and SA42009-10B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA42-10B	SA42009-10B				
Diesel range organics	2200000	4100000	60 ( $\leq 50$ )	-	J (all detects)	A

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905218**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905218	EB091409-SO1 SA42-10B SA42009-10B SA42-25B SA42-38B SA43-10B SA43-25B SA43-43B SA44-10B SA44-25B SA44-42B RSAR6-37B RSAR6-25B RSAR6-0.5B RSAR6-9B RSAO8-43B RSAO8-11.5B RSAO8-21.5B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)
R0905218	SA42-10B SA42009-10B	Diesel range organics	J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (RPD) (fd)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905218**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Equipment Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG R0905218**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905218**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

### Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991H8

## VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: R0905218

Stage 2B

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Date: 12/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CVL

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>9/14/09</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	<u>CV/IV ≤ 20%</u>
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS 1/2</u>
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>D = 2, 3</u>
X.	Field blanks	SW	<u>EB = 1</u> <u>FB = FB072909-50 (from R0904226)</u> <u>↓ = FB082809-50 (from R0904894)</u>

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

\*ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water + Soil

1	EB091409-SO1	W	11	SA44-42B	S	21	96290-MB	31
2	SA42-10B	D	12	RSAR6-37B		22	96120-	32
3	SA42009-10B	D	13	RSAR6-25B		23	96218-	33
4	SA42-25B		14	RSAR6-0.5B		24		34
5	SA42-38B		15	RSAR6-9B		25		35
6	SA43-10B		16	RSAO8-43B		26		36
7	SA43-25B		17	RSAO8-11.5B		27		37
8	SA43-43B		18	RSAO8-21.5B		28		38
9	SA44-10B		19	RSAR6-37BMS		29		39
10	SA44-25B		20	RSAR6-37BMSD		30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_







## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 15 through September 16, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905260

### Sample Identification

EB091509-SO1	SA153-25BMSD
SA136-0.5B	
SA136-10B	
SA136-25B	
SA136-40B	
SA30-5B	
SA30-9B	
SA30-25B	
SA30-38B	
SA153-10B	
SA153-25B	
SA153-38B	
SA172-10B	
SA172-25B	
SA172-40B	
EB091609-SO1	
SA128-0.5B	
SA128-10B	
SA128-29B	
SA153-25BMS	

## Introduction

This data review covers 19 soil samples and 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB091509-SO1 and EB091609-SO1 were identified as equipment blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB091509-SO1	9/15/09	Diesel range organics	95 ug/L	SA136-05B SA136-10B SA136-25B SA136-40B SA30-5B SA30-9B SA30-25B SA30-38B SA153-10B SA153-25B SA153-38B SA172-10B SA172-25B SA172-40B

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Sample FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

#### **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

##### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

##### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG R0905260	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905260**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905260	EB091509-SO1 SA136-0.5B SA136-10B SA136-25B SA136-40B SA30-5B SA30-9B SA30-25B SA30-38B SA153-10B SA153-25B SA153-38B SA172-10B SA172-25B SA172-40B EB091609-SO1 SA128-0.5B SA128-10B SA128-29B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905260**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Equipment Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG R0905260**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905260**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 2199118

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 12/01/09

SDG #: R0905260

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Reviewer: JVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/15 - 16/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 1/5
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 1, 16 * * FB = FB072909-50 (from R09042)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

\*ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Water + Soil

1	EB091509-SO1	W	11	SA153-25B	S	21	SA153-25BMSD	S	31	96290-NB
2	SA136-0.5B	S	12	SA153-38B		22			32	96218-
3	SA136-10B		13	SA172-10B		23			33	96443-
4	SA136-25B		14	SA172-25B		24			34	
5	SA136-40B		15	SA172-40B	✓	25			35	
6	SA30-5B		16	EB091609-SO1	W	26			36	
7	SA30-9B		17	SA128-0.5B	S	27			37	
8	SA30-25B		18	SA128-10B		28			38	
9	SA30-38B		19	SA128-29B		29			39	
10	SA153-10B	✓	20	SA153-25BMS	✓	30			40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_





## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 17, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905331

### Sample Identification

SA165-0.5B  
SA165-10B  
SA165-28B  
SA151-0.5B  
SA151-10B  
SA151-25B  
SA151-39B  
SA151009-39B  
SA51-10B  
SA51009-10B  
SA51-25B  
SA51-36B  
SA165-10BMS  
SA165-10BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 14 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905331	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SA151-39B and SA151009-39B and samples SA51-10B and SA51009-10B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905331**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905331	SA165-0.5B SA165-10B SA165-28B SA151-0.5B SA151-10B SA151-25B SA151-39B SA151009-39B SA51-10B SA51009-10B SA51-25B SA51-36B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905331**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905331**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21991J8

SDG #: R0905331

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Stage 2B

Date: 12/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: SVK

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/17/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CCV/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 1D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D <sub>1</sub> = 7.8      D <sub>2</sub> = 9.10
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB 072909-50 (from R0904226)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate  
R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank  
FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA165-0.5B	11	SA51-25B	21	97150-MB	31	
2	SA165-10B	12	SA51-36B	22	96443-L	32	
3	SA165-28B	13	SA165-10BMS	23		33	
4	SA151-0.5B	14	SA165-10BMSD	24		34	
5	SA151-10B	15		25		35	
6	SA151-25B	16		26		36	
7	SA151-39B      D <sub>1</sub>	17		27		37	
8	SA151009-39B      D <sub>1</sub>	18		28		38	
9	SA51-10B      D <sub>2</sub>	19		29		39	
10	SA51009-10B      D <sub>2</sub>	20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 18, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905348

### Sample Identification

SA117-0.5B  
SA117-9B  
SA117-25B  
SA117-41B  
SA117-9BMS  
SA117-9BMSD

## Introduction

This data review covers 6 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Sample FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) was identified as a field blank. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in this blank.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905348	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

**VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905348**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905348	SA117-0.5B SA117-9B SA117-25B SA117-41B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905348**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905348**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

LDC #: 21991K8

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: R0905348

Stage 2B

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Date: 12/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVL

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/18/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CV/ICV < 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 4
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB072909-50 (from R0904226)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Soil

1	SA117-0.5B	11	96745-MB	21		31
2	SA117-9B	12		22		32
3	SA117-25B	13		23		33
4	SA117-41B	14		24		34
5	SA117-9BMS	15		25		35
6	SA117-9BMSD	16		26		36
7		17		27		37
8		18		28		38
9		19		29		39
10		20		30		40

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 21, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 1, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905387

### Sample Identification

SA32-0.5B  
SA32-9B  
SA32-25B  
SA32009-25B  
SA32-37B  
SA66-0.5B  
SA66009-0.5B  
SA66-10B  
SA66-28B  
SA129-10B  
SA129-29B  
RSAT4-0.5B  
RSAT4-10B  
RSAT4-25B  
RSAT4-40B  
RSAT4-53B



## **Introduction**

This data review covers 16 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. Calibration**

### **a. Initial Calibration**

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### **b. Calibration Verification**

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## **III. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226) and FB080309-SO (from SDG R0904279) were identified as field blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks.

## **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VI. Project Quantitation Limit

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

Sample	Finding	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG R0905387	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples SA32-25B and SA32009-25B and samples SA66-0.5B and SA66009-0.5B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flags	A or P
	SA66-0.5B	SA66009-0.5B				
Diesel range organics	490000	470000	4 ( $\leq 50$ )	-	-	-
Oil range organics	84000	95000	-	11000 ( $\leq 44000$ )	-	-

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905387**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905387	SA32-0.5B SA32-9B SA32-25B SA32009-25B SA32-37B SA66-0.5B SA66009-0.5B SA66-10B SA66-28B SA129-10B SA129-29B RSAT4-0.5B RSAT4-10B RSAT4-25B RSAT4-40B RSAT4-53B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905387**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905387**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21991L8

SDG #: R0905387

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Stage 2B

Date: 11/25/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/21/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	COV/1W ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client spec
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS 10
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	*D <sub>1</sub> = 3, 4      D <sub>2</sub> = 6, 7
X.	Field blanks	ND	FB = FB072909-50 (from R0904226) J = FB080309-50 (from R0904279)

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

\*ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil

1	SA32-0.5B	11	SA129-29B	21	96745-MB	31	
2	SA32-9B	12	RSAT4-0.5B	22		32	
3	SA32-25B D <sub>1</sub>	13	RSAT4-10B	23		33	
4	SA32009-25B D <sub>1</sub>	14	RSAT4-25B	24		34	
5	SA32-37B	15	RSAT4-10B	25		35	
6	SA66-0.5B D <sub>2</sub>	16	RSAT4-53B	26		36	
7	SA66009-0.5B D <sub>2</sub>	17		27		37	
8	SA66-10B	18		28		38	
9	SA66-28B	19		29		39	
10	SA129-10B	20		30		40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 21991 L8  
 SDG #: Sec Cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: JYG  
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ )		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification <u>Parent only</u> / All Samples
	6	7		
DRO	490 000	470 000	4 ( $\leq 50\%$ RPD)	-
ORO	84000	95 000	11000 ( $\leq 11000$ D)	-

Compound	Concentration ( )		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation,  
Henderson, Nevada

**Collection Date:** September 24 through September 25, 2009

**LDC Report Date:** December 5, 2009

**Matrix:** Soil/Water

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** R0905464

### Sample Identification

SA205-0.5B	SA208-0.5B
SA205-10B	SA208-7B
SA205-25B	SA101-0.5BMS
SA205-41B	SA101-0.5BMSD
SA84-0.5B	
SA84-10B	
SA84009-10B	
SA84-25B	
SA84-43B	
EB092509-SO1A2	
EB092509-SO2A4	
SA101-0.5B	
SA101-10B	
SA101-25B	
SA101-42B	
SA121-0.5B	
SA121009-0.5B	
SA121-10B	
SA121-25B	
SA121-44B	



## Introduction

This data review covers 22 soil samples and 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) 40, Data Review/Validation (BRC 2009), the Quality Assurance Project Plan Tronox LLC Facility, Henderson, Nevada (June 2009), NDEP guidance (May 2006), and a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (June 2008) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- B The analytical result may be a false positive totally attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JB The analytical result may be biased high and partially attributable to blank contamination. This qualifier is applicable to radiochemistry analysis only.
- JK The analytical result is an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC).
- X The analytical result is not used for reporting because a more accurate and precise result is reported in its place.
- J-TDS The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) correctness check performed in accordance with the Standard Method 1030E.
- J-CAB The analytical result is estimated based on failure of the cation-anion balance correctness check performed in accordance with Standard Method 1030E.
- J-TDS & CAB The analytical result is unreliable based on the failure of the cation-anion balance and TDS correctness check performed in accordance with standard Method 1030E.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for all compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

### b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 20.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

## III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

Samples EB092509-SO1A2 and EB092509-SO2A4 were identified as equipment blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
EB092509-SO1A2	9/25/09	Diesel range organics	94 ug/L	SA208-0.5B SA208-7B
EB092509-SO2A4	9/25/09	Diesel range organics	120 ug/L	SA101-0.5B SA101-10B SA101-25B SA101-42B SA121-0.5B SA121009-0.5B SA121-10B SA121-25B SA121-44B

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the equipment blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

Samples FB072909-SO (from SDG R0904226), FB080309-SO (from SDG R0904279), and FB080309-SORE (from SDG R0904279) were identified as field blanks. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in these blanks.

#### **IV. Accuracy and Precision Data**

##### **a. Surrogate Recovery**

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

##### **b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

##### **c. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **V. Target Compound Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VI. Project Quantitation Limit**

All compounds reported below the PQL were qualified as follows:

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>
All samples in SDG R0905464	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VII. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **VIII. Overall Assessment of Data**

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples SA84-10B and SA84009-10B and samples SA121-0.5B and SA121009-0.5B were identified as field duplicates. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractables were detected in any of the samples.

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
 R0905464**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
R0905464	SA205-0.5B SA205-10B SA205-25B SA205-41B SA84-0.5B SA84-10B SA84009-10B SA84-25B SA84-43B EB092509-SO1A2 EB092509-SO2A4 SA101-0.5B SA101-10B SA101-25B SA101-42B SA121-0.5B SA121009-0.5B SA121-10B SA121-25B SA121-44B SA208-0.5B SA208-7B	All compounds reported below the PQL.	J (all detects)	A	Project Quantitation Limit (sp)

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905464**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Equipment Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG R0905464**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Tronox LLC Facility, 2009 Phase B Investigation, Henderson, Nevada  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG R0905464**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Tronox Northgate Henderson

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 21991N8

SDG #: R0905464

Laboratory: Columbia Analytical Services

Stage 2B

Date: 12/01/09

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVG

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/24-25/09
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	CW/ICV ≤ 20%
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LES/D
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D <sub>1</sub> = 6.7 D <sub>2</sub> = 16.7
X.	Field blanks	SW	*FB = FB072909-50 (from R0904226) EB = 10, FB080309-50 (from R0904274) FB080309-50RE

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

\*ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

Soil + Water

1	SA205-0.5B	S	11	EB092509-SO2A4	W	21	SA208-0.5B	S	31	97150-MB
2	SA205-10B		12	SA101-0.5B	S	22	SA208-7B		32	97237-
3	SA205-25B		13	SA101-10B		23	SA101-0.5BMS		33	97335-
4	SA205-41B		14	SA101-25B		24	SA101-0.5BMSD		34	
5	SA84-0.5B		15	SA101-42B		25			35	
6	SA84-10B	D <sub>1</sub>	16	SA121-0.5B	D <sub>2</sub>	26			36	
7	SA84009-10B	D <sub>1</sub>	17	SA121009-0.5B	D <sub>2</sub>	27			37	
8	SA84-25B		18	SA121-10B		28			38	
9	SA84-43B		19	SA121-25B		29			39	
10	EB092509-SO1A2	W	20	SA121-44B		30			40	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

