

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

August 6, 2008

ERM 2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95833 ATTN: Ms. Maria Barajas-Albalawi

SUBJECT: BRC Tronox Parcel F, Data Validation

Dear Ms. Barajas-Albalawi

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on July 14, 2008. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 19099:

SDG # Fraction

 F8F050256, Volatiles, Semivolatiles, Chlorinated Pesticides, Polychlorinated
F8F110173 Biphenyls, Metals, Wet Chemistry, Gasoline Range Organics, Diesel Range Organics, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; Update IV, February 2007

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

			1	T	T	Ī		<u> </u>	r	<u> </u>	1	1	T	Т	ſ	T	1	T	T	T	1	T	1	T	T	1	<u> </u>	1	r		T			i i		1
			S																																118	
		ļ	≥						ļ												ľ														0	
			S														<u> </u>																		0	
			≥																																0	pdw.
			S																																0	1S66
			3																					1											0	1906
		£₿0	S	<i>с</i>	ß																									t	1	\uparrow			8	
	·.	907 166/	3	0	0										1				1												1	1	\square		0	
		, 0	s	<i>с</i>	5														\mathbf{T}		1				\vdash				<u> </u>	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{f}	╞	┢─		8	
		300 300	3	0	0							\uparrow	\square						\mathbf{T}										<u> </u>	┢		╀─	┢		-	
		~ ~ d [*]	S	<i>с</i>	5									\square					┢	<u>†</u>	┢										$\left \right $	\square	\square			
		2 2 Q	3	0	0														┢		┢			┢						\vdash	\vdash		+		_	
		de de	s	6	5														-					┝					-	\vdash						
	Ē	hlori hlori luori	2		-	\square								┝					\vdash	-		-		\vdash					┢	-	-		-			
	Sel	te C C	6	-	-							-		\vdash			-		-											<u> </u>		-	┢		_	
	ar	omi	<u> </u>		~								-	┝		-	-													-		-				
	X F	<u>8</u> 8 9 9	>				_																								\vdash				-	DUPs
	ouc	oxin 3290	/ 8	(r) 	4,		_				<u> </u>								_											ļ	_	╞				and
	Tre	ē	>	0	0									<u> </u>		-													ļ		<u> </u>				_	MSD,
	SC	AHs 3310)	s '	3	5								<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>						<u> </u>													8	WS/I
	BI	<u>ч</u> %)	3	0	0								<u> </u>																	ļ		<u> </u>			ᅴ	clude
t a	to	015)	S	3	5																														8	not in
Ĕ	len	<u> </u>	≥	0	0		_																												0	op s
Attac	ran	RO 115)	S	3	5																														8	count
	acı	(8 0	≥	0	0																														0	mple
	N-S	tals 846)	S	e	5																													\square	8	se sai
	ı.	Mei (SW	N	0	0																														0	The
	9 (E	Bs 82)	S	3	5																														8	ation)
	60	PC (801	3	0	0																							_							0	valida
	#19	1A) 1A)	S	9	S																														Ē	e ≣
	U S	Pe: (808	3	0	0																														0	e Lev
		₹ŷ	s	e	5																														8	lls an
		SVC 827(×	0	0																														_	ler ce
		√ û	s	e	9									-												\neg									6	all oth
		8260 8260	2	_	-																						_								_	tion (;
				8	8		\dashv	\neg								_					-						_	_							_	'alida
		DAT(3)		3/04/	3/04/																															_ ≥ ⊮
				ŏ ø	ŏ m	\rightarrow	+	-		_													_			_	_								-	Leve
_		DATE REC'D		07/14/08	07/14/08																															indicate
es-C			ŀ	_	_		\neg		\neg	┥		\neg				\neg		_					-			\dashv	\neg								$-\ $	d cells
35 Pag	02	#5C	er/Soil	50256	10173																														ЧЧ	Shader
5,8(80/;	S	Wai	F8F(F8F																														F	
		2	Matrix:	4	В	-+			+						_			_							-	-			_				_	\rightarrow	tal	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: BRC Tronox Parcel F

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: August 6, 2008

Matrix: Soil/Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-20'DL TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD TB-1 6/10/08

Introduction

This data review covers 6 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8260B for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for each individual compound and less than or equal to 30.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

In the case where %RSD was greater than 15.0%, the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compound. All coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 30.0% (%RSD) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all volatile target compounds and system performance check compounds (SPCCs) were within method and validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	RRF (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
6/12/08	Ethanol	0.00148 (≥0.05)	All soil samples in SDG F8F110173	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 25.0% (%D) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
6/19/08	lodomethane	67.71684	TB-1 6/10/08 F8F200000-125	J+ (all detects)	A

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
5/28/08	lodomethane	31.67513	TB-1 6/10/08 F8F200000-125	J+ (all detects)	А
5/28/08	2-Hexanone	25.04476	TB-1 6/10/08 F8F200000-125	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
5/23/08	Dichloromethane	29.90220	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD F8F120000-446	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within method and validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analysis Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
F8F120000-446	6/12/08	Tetrachloroethene	1.5 ug/Kg	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	Tetrachloroethene	1.6 ug/Kg	5.3B ug/Kg
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	Tetrachloroethene	2.4 ug/Kg	6.4B ug/Kg
TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	Tetrachloroethene	1.7 ug/Kg	5.4U ug/Kg
TSB-FR-02-02-10'	Tetrachloroethene	1.2 ug/Kg	5.7U ug/Kg
TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Tetrachloroethene	1.2 ug/Kg	5.4U ug/Kg

Sample TB-1 6/10/08 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Trip Blank ID	Sampling Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
TB-1 6/10/08	6/10/08	Chloroform	0.084 ug/L	All soil samples in SDG F8F110173

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the field blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated field blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
F8F200000-125	Bromofluorobenzene	117 (79-115)	All TCL compounds	J+ (all detects)	Р

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the percent recoveries for some compounds and relative percent difference (RPD) for one compound in the LCS/LCSD were not within QC limits, the MS/MSD and LCS percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits and no data were qualified.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Internal Standards	Area (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	181868 (187131-748522)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzne 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-5-Trimethylbenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 2-Chlorotoluene Bromobenzene Isopropylbenzene n-Butylbenzene n-Propylbenzene p-Cymene sec-Butylbenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene Nonanal Bromoform	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Sample	Internal Standards	Area (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	168365 (187131-748522)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 2-Chlorotoluene Bromobenzene Isopropylbenzene n-Butylbenzene n-Propylbenzene p-Cymene sec-Butylbenzene tert-Butylbenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene Nonanal Bromoform	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	Chloroform	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects)	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concentra	tion (ug/Kg)	000	D://			
Compound	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	RPD (Limits)	(Limits)	Flag	A or P	
Tetrachloroethene	1.2	1.2	-	0 (≤5.7)	-	~	

BRC Tronox Parcel F Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-20'DL TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Ethanol	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Initial calibration (RRF)
F8F110173	TB-1 6/10/08	lodomethane	J+ (all detects)	A	Continuing calibration (%D)
F8F110173	TB-1 6/10/08	lodomethane	J+ (all detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D)
F8F110173	TB-1 6/10/08	2-Hexanone	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D)
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Dichloromethane	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D)
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzne 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-5-Trimethylbenzene 1,3-5-Trimethylbenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 2-Chlorotoluene Bromobenzene Isopropylbenzene n-Butylbenzene n-Propylbenzene p-Cymene sec-Butylbenzene tert-Butylbenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene Nonanal Bromoform	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Internal standards (area)

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110173	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzne 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 2-Chlorotoluene Bromobenzene Isopropylbenzene n-Butylbenzene n-Propylbenzene p-Cymene sec-Butylbenzene tert-Butylbenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene Nonanal Bromoform	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ	Internal standards (area)
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	Chloroform	J (all detects)	A	Compound quantitation and CRQLs

BRC Tronox Parcel F Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	Tetrachloroethene	5.3B ug/Kg	A
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	Tetrachloroethene	6.4B ug/Kg	A
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	Tetrachloroethene	5.4U ug/Kg	А
F8F110173	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	Tetrachloroethene	5.7U ug/Kg	A
F8F110173	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Tetrachloroethene	5.4U ug/Kg	A

BRC Tronox Parcel F Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:19099B1	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 7/23/08
SDG #:	Level III	Page: <u>/</u> of <u>/</u>
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer:
		2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Technical holding times	Δ	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	, ,
111.	Initial calibration	4	% PSD (2 20.990
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	SW	101 = 25
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	Ą	TSB-GJ-08-10' Rinsate-2
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	s W	LasID
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
Х.	Internal standards	sW	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D= 5+6
XVII.	Field blanks	NSW	TB=7

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

valida	ted Samples:	w	alis				
11	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	₁₁ /	F8F120000-446	4. 21	8164446	31	
2 /	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12 2	F8F180000-29/	22	817029/	32	
32	ሥ • ^ ነ ፡ TSB-FJ-06-02-20'DL	13 3	F8F200000-125	23	8172125	33	
4 1	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	14 4	F8F200000-36/	24	817236/	34	
5*l	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	15		25		35	
6 ⁴	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	16		26		36	
73	Y- NO DA DA TB-1 6/10/08 W	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

A. Chloromethane*	U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	00. 2,2-Dichloropropane	III. n-Butylbenzene	CCCC.1-Chlorohexane
B. Bromomethane	V. Benzene	PP. Bromochloromethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	DDDD. Isopropyi alcohol
C. Vinyl chorlde**	W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EEEE. Acetonitrile
D. Chloroethane	X. Bromoform*	RR. Dibromomethane	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	FFF. Acrolein
E. Methylene chloride	Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	MMM. Naphthalene	GGGG. Acrylonitrile
F. Acetone	Z. 2-Hexanone	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane
G. Carbon disulfide	AA. Tetrachloroethene	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	000. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	IIII. Isobutyl alcohol
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene**	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane*	VV. Isopropylbenzene	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane*	CC. Toluene**	WW. Bromobenzene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	KKKK. Propionitrile
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	DD. Chlorobenzene*	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	RRR. m,p-Xylenes	LLLL. Ethyl ether
K. Chloroform**	EE. Ethylbenzene**	YY. n-Propylbenzene	SSS. o-Xylene	MMMM. Benzyl chloride
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	FF. Styrene	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	NNN.
M. 2-Butanone	GG. Xylenes, total	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	0000.
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	HH. Vinyl acetate	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene	Pppp.
O. Carbon tetrachloride	II. 2-Chioroethylvinyl ether	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	WWW. Ethanol	<u>a</u> aa.
P. Bromodichloromethane	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	XXX. Di-Isopropyl ether	RRR.
Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane**	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	YYY. tert-Butanoł	SSSS.
R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	222. tert-Butyl alcohol	THT
S. Trichloroethene	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chioropropane	GGG. p-isopropyitoluene	AAAA. Ethyi tert-butyi ether	uuuu.
T. Dibromochloromethane	NN. Methyl ethyl ketone	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	BBBB. tert-Amyl methyl ether	VVV.

* = System performance check compounds (SPCC) for RRF ; ** = Calibration check compounds (CCC) for %RSD.

COMPNDL. 1sb.wpd

LDC #: 1404410 Le cones SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Initial Calibration**

Page: / of / Q 8 2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis? K-N N/A

Were percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's? Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, what was the acceptance criteria used for evaluation? Did the initial calibration meet the acceptance criteria? Y N N/A Y/N N/A

V NNN X

	(_		 _		 	 	 	 	 	 	·····	 	
	Qualifications		2 / 2 ×												
	Associated Samples	All Colls +	F8 F12 0000 - 446	162-0000212 82											
RSD and ≥0.05 RRF ?	Finding RRF (Limit: >0.05)	0.00148													
tion criteria of ≤30 %	Finding %RSD (Limit: <30.0%)														
RFs within the valida	Compound	ッシン													
<u>Nere all %RSDs and R</u>	Standard ID	ICA LX-BRC													
X N/N N/A	# Date	 412 08	-		 			 							

INICAL.1SB

19099A) SDG #: LDC #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Continuing Calibration**

Page: / of / Reviewer:

1

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B) Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

~	Qualifications	J+/Adet	J-143 12				1-14J /A			
itrument? a for all CCC's and SPCC's '	Associated Samples	F8F200000-126	7				F& F170000-44	1.2,4-46		
12 hours for each ins) within method criteri ≥0.05 RRF ?	Finding RRF (Limit: <u>></u> 0.05)									
l at least once every sponse factors (RRF) teria of ∠25 %D and	Finding %D (Limit: <25.0%)	31.67513	oltho.se			5	29.90220			
ation standard analyzed ss (%D) and relative res within the validation cri	Compound	Todomethane	4			archlero mth	J L L			
/as a continuing calibr. /ere percent difference /ere all %D and RRFs	Standard ID	L1CV9881					XICV 2288			
N N/A N N/A N N/A N N/A	# Date	5 28 08			 	-	3069-	-		
ϤϠ≻		-+	1							<u>_</u>

ABUT

+

FY F2 00000 - 15

12-11-BY

Icdo ma thank

LEAL0317

61,9108

1

CONCAL.1SB

1 OTV VIOL	Au cours
	spg #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET <u>Blanks</u>

2nd Reviewer: Reviewer: Page:

METHOD! GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "NA".

Was a method blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration? Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG? AN NA Y N N/A

Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the qualifications below. 20 à و Blank analysis date: \mathcal{H} かる Conc. units; Y N N/A

Associated Samples: $l_{i} 2_{i} 4 - PC$

Compound	Blank (D				Ø	ample Identifice	tion.			
	P8 F120000			1.						į
	- 4%6	/	ત	4	Ś	J				
Methydene chippie A A	5.1	AE.5/ 21.1	2.4/1.40	1.7 Ic du	1.2 K-14	12 6 14				
Acetone			71.8			ht.c/~.1		1 - 1		
						,				
									-	
-										
CROL										
							-			

Blank analysis date:

Cone. unite:

Associated Samples:

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identitionia	
Methylene chloride			
Acetone			
			T
			Γ
CBOI			
			ſ
			=

Ail results were qualified using the criteria stated below except those circled.

Note: Common contaminants such as Methylene chloride, Acetone, 2-Butanone, Carbon disulfide and TICs that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were

BLANKS2.1SB

SDG #: eu coner			Field B	lanks			Reviewer: 2
							2nd Reviewer:
METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EP. <u>Y N/N/A</u> Were field bl <u>Y N N/A</u> Were target	A SW 846 Me lanks identifie compounds c	athod 8260B) d in this SDG' detected in the	? • fielg, blanks?				(
Blank units: <u>~//</u> Asso Field blank type. (circle one	ciated samp) Field Blank	le units: 4	P Ltr P Blank / Other: TB	Associated	Samples: $H/$	2/108/	(NO + > 5x)
Compound	Blank ID	Blank ID		U)	sample Identification		
	7						
Meth ylene chlog ide							
Accione							
Chioroform	0.084						
CRAL							
Blank units:Assc Field blank type: (circle one	ociated samp) Field Blank	ele units: / Rinsate / Tri	p Blank / Other:	Associated	Samples:		
Compound	Blank ID	Blank ID			Sample Identification		
Methylene chloride							
Acetone							
Chloroform							
CROL							

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT: Common contaminants such as Methylene chloride, Acetone, 2-Butanone and Carbon disulfide that were detected in samples within ten times the associated field blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the field blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the field blank concentration were also

FBLKASC2.1SB

(2060pl Ş SDG #: LDC #:_

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Spikes

Page: / of / 2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:_

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>Y (N/N/A</u> Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?

If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R out of outside of criteria? N/A

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%Recovery (Limits)	Qualifications
		F8F200000-175	BFB	11-62) 2/1	5 Jt/Pdut
				~	(
)	
)	
)	(
				~	(
				~	
				~	
				,	
				~	
)	
)	(
)	
)	(
)	
)	
					(
)	
				}	(
				~	
SMC1 (SMC2 (E	TOL) = Toluene BFB) = Bromofl	Horobenzene 74-121	<u>QC Lir</u> 88 86-	<u>mits (Water)</u> -110 -115	

SUR.1SB

80-120 86-118

74-121 80-120 80-120

SMC1 (TOL) = Toluene-d8 SMC2 (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene SMC3 (DCE) = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 SMC4 (DFM) = Dibromofluoromethane

(globolo) LDC #: SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

o V Page: Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD : GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Meâse see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>X_N_N/A</u> Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water. A/NCN

Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix? Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

3

Qualifications						no guest													RPD (Wales)	< 14%	∧ 4. 5			
Associated Samples						bord													QC Limits (Water)	61-145%	71-120%	76-127%	S. 52 - 51	(5-130%)
RPD (Limits)		39(20)))	(22 (25)	()	((()	()	()	()	()		()	()	()	RPD (Soil)	≤ 22%	< 24%	~ 21%	6 +	
MSD %R (Limits)			()	()	(()	()	()	()	()	(()	()	()	(()	(()	s (Soil)	2%				
MS %R (Limits)	124 (19-423)	7	() /	()	()	() 7	()	· ·	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()		21-55				
Compound	$\langle 7 \rangle$	Idon that				Todonethan													punodu					
di dsw/sw						Kinsab-2	U/SW												C	1,1-Dichloroethene	Trichloroethene	Benzene	Toluene	Chiorobenzene
# Date	14 - 44C)																	ŗ	S.	۲.	CC.	DC.

MSD.1SB

ruco ri

VALIDA II UN FINUINGO WURADEEI Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

rage: ______

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

A/N/ N A/A >

Was a LCS required? Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?

		?																							
	Qualifications	MO OUAL Les	TUNO OU	d/card																					
	Associated Samples	21-00000 K=18-1	7																						
	RPD (Limits)	1/2 (20)	· ()	((()	()	()	(()	(((()	()		()	(()	()	()		(()
	LCSD %R (Limits)	()	(0h1-sh) 181		(()	(()	()	()	((()	(()	()	()	((()		(()		(
	LCS %R (Limits)	293 (42-140)	166 (45-14D)	()	((()	()	()	(()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	(
	Compound	У	Icophy thank																						
	LCS/LCSD ID	OKm - X/2/18																							
	Date																								
ĺ	*																								

LCSLCSD.1SB

LDC #: 19099 B/ 3 SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Internal Standards

d V Page: 2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Please β equalifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>V N/A</u> Were all internal standard area counts within -50 to +100% of the associated calibration standard? <u>V N/A</u> Were the retention times of the internal standards within +/- 30 seconds of the retention times of the associated calibration standard?

[]		1		Т					1					·		
Qualifications	1/uJ/A		1/ms/P													•
RT (Limits)	$(\tau\tau)$		2													
Area (Limits)	181868 (187 131-7485		168365 (1												(ED7) - Elissohonnon	
Internal Standard	4DCB		V V													atiuoropenzene
Sample ID	5		e													lethane (rrb) = ren
# Date			- - 													(BCM) = Bromochlorom

INTST.1SB

Test America ERM/BRC

Volatile Internal Standards

Fluorobenzene	Chlorobenzene-d5	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
1,1,1-Trichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane 1,2-Dichloropropene 1,2-Dichloropropane 2,2-Dichloropropane 2,2-Dichloropropane Acetone Benzene Bromochloromethane Bromodichloromethane Bromodichloromethane Bromomethane Carbon tetrachloride Chloroform Chloroform Chloroethane cis-1,2-Dichloroethene cis-1,3-Dichloropropene Dibromomethane Dichlorodifluoromethane Methylene chloride Methyl-tert-butyl ether 2-Butanone Trichloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloropropene Trichloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloropropene Trichloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloropropene Trichloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloropropene Trichloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloropropene Trichlorofluoromethane Vinyl chloride Carbare Biski Lfide	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,3-Dichloropropane 1-Chlorohexane Bromoform- Chlorobenzene Dibromochloromethane Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Styrene Tetrachloroethene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane To Lyene trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 2-Nitropropane 4-Mcthyl-2-pentancne 2-Hexanone Dimethyl disulfide Kylenes (total)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane - 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene- 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene- 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene- 1,2-Dichlorobenzene - 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane - 1,3-Dichlorobenzene - 1,4-Dichlorobenzene - 2-Chlorotoluene - Bromobenzene - Hexachlorobutatione - Isopropylbenzene - Nathyl isobutyl ketone n-Butylbenzene - n-Propylbenzene - Naphthalena p-Isopropyltoluene, P-Cymene- sec-Butylbenzene - tert-Butylbenzene - 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene Nonanal Bromform -
Iodomethane Acetonitrile Viny; Acetate 1,1,2-Trichloror1,1,2-Trifluo Ethanol 3,3-Dimethylpentane 2,3- 22-	roethane	

2,2-2,4-

2,2,3 - Trimethylbutane

- 3 Ethylpentane
- 2 Metnyi hexane 3 1

3 -

Heptane

1,2-Dichloroethene (total)

	Z
6	Ł
090	2
0	7
:#	#
Ö	D D C

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Compound Quantitation and CRQLs**

ð Page: _ Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: C/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Please fee qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>Y N N/A</u> Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound? <u>Y N N/A</u> Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	دن مهرا S ample I D	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		K	exceeded cal Range	2	J/A dut
			0		
Comr	nents: See	sample calculation verification wor	ksheet for recalculations		

COMQUA.1SB

LDC #: an SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page:_	0f
Reviewer:	ñ
2nd reviewer:	0
	Y

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Y N N/A Y N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Concentrat	ion (ng/kg	Difference
Compound	5	6	RPD
AA	1.2	1.2	$O \leq S.7$

	Concentration ()	
Compound		RPD

	Concentration ()	
Compound		RPD

	Concentration ()	
Compound		RPD

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	BRC Tronox Parcel F
--------------------	---------------------

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: July 24, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for each individual compound and less than or equal to 30.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

In the case where %RSD was greater than 15.0%, the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compound. All coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 30.0% (%RSD) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all semivolatile target compounds and system performance check compounds (SPCCs) were greater than or equal to 0.05 as required with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	RRF (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
6/18/08	Phthalic acid n-(Hydroxymethyl)phthalimide	0.01422 (≥0.05) 0.04408 (≥0.05)	All samples in SDG F8F110173	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 25.0% (%D) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within method and validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	RRF (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
6/18/08	Phthalic acid n-(Hydroxymethyl)phthalimide	0.01330 (≥0.05) 0.04331 (≥0.05)	All samples in SDG F8F110173	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects)	А
				UJ (all non-detects)	

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Although the LCS percent recovery (%R) was not within QC limits for one compound, the MS/MSD percent recovery (%R) was within QC limits and no data were qualified.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Phthalic acid n-(Hydroxymethyl)phthalimide	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Initial calibration (RRF)
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Phthalic acid n-(Hydroxymethyl)phthalimide	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (RRF)

BRC Tronox Parcel F Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Semivolatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>19099B2</u> SDG #: F8F110173		Date: 7/2 3/08
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer:
METHOD: CC/MS Somivolati	log (EDA SIM 946 Mathed 9270C)	

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Technical holding times		Sampling dates: 6/10/08
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
111.	Initial calibration	SW	% psD, 12 20.990
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	S₩	101 = 25
V.	Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SU	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
Х.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	1	
XVI.	Field duplicates	ND	p=4+5
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

· 2

Validated Samples: SOIL

1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	F81=160000-439	21	8168439	31	
+ 2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

L

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoiuene	TT. Pentachiorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**	
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(12.3-cd)pvrene	
C. 2-Chiorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene		-
D. 1.3-Dichlorobenzene	S Nandthaland				
	o. Napinulaiene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Anitine	
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	77 Durano		
				OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine	
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid	
l. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol	
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pvridine	
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	00 4-Nitroaniline			
			UUU. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine	
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenoł	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	ТТТ.	
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	nnu	
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyi-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene		
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorohansano			
			ННН. Benzo(k)fluoranthene		

Notes:* = System performance check compound (SPCC) for RRF; ** = Calibration check compound (CCC) for %RSD.

`

LDC #: <u>19099B3a</u> SDG #: <u>F8F110173</u> Laboratory: <u>Test America</u>		Date: 7/23/08 Page: of / Reviewer: 77
METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pes	ticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)	2nd Reviewer:

e e ser a come

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

<u> </u>	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Technical holding times		Sampling dates: 61008
11.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	4	
- 111.	Initial calibration	Δ	
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	1 CV = 15
<u>v.</u>	Blanks		
VI.	Surrogate spikes	\triangle	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	TSB-G1-08-10
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	Δ	
XIV.	Field duplicates	NP	D = 4 + 5
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note:

12

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

	SOIL						
1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	F8F160000-164	21	8168164	31	6/14
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

286606 LDC #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Initial Calibration**



SDG #: dev cond METHOD GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". X/N N/A

Did the laboratory conduct an acceptable 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?

Were percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's? X N N/A Y N N/A

Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, what was the acceptance criteria used for evaluation?

	[1	T	T	—	—	T	T	1	 —	$\overline{\mathbf{T}}$	T	T	T	T	1	T	Т	1	T		T	1	T	T	—	$\overline{}$	—
	Qualifications	-1/4,1 /A		7																								
	Associated Samples	AlltB/K	7																									•
ind ≥0.05 RRF ?	Finding RRF (Limit: <u>≥</u> 0.05)	22410.0	Sappo .0																					•				
sriteria of ≤30 %RSD a	Finding %RSD (Limit: <u><</u> 30.0%)		hend)																									
s within the validation c	Compound	Phthalic Acid	N-(HydroxymeH	ph thali mide																								
ere all %RSDs and RRF	Standard ID	JICAL SPEC																										
N/A W	Date	6/18/06																										
₹)*																											

INICAL 2S

1909962

2nd Reviewer:

LDC # 170170 SDG # <u>100</u> Eove METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270) Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". Y N N/A Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instru

		vers a continuing calibrati Nere percent differences Nere all %D and RRFs wi	ion standard analyzed at I (%D) and relative respon /ithin the validation criteria	least once every 12 hc ise factors (RRF) withii i of ≲25 %D and >0.05	ours of sample analysis fo n method criteria for all C 5 RRF ?	or each instrument? CC's and SPCC's ?		
₽ ₩	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: <25.0%)	Finding RRF (Limit: >0.05)	Accordated Camples		
	C/18/0D	JCAL5197	Ph thalic Acid		0.01330	All + B/L		
			N(Nudoxy methy		0.0433/		ret ant	
			phthallmid				<i>K</i> /	
			1					
		-						
								T
				-				
								Γ
								1
								1
T								1
								Τ
								Т

CONCAL.2S
SDG #: <u>ere cond</u>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

, T Page: 🦯

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>V N N/A</u> Was a LCS required? <u>V N N/A</u> Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

and the second																										
	Qualifications	No que nol																								
	Associated Samples	A11 + B1K																								
)	()	()	()	()	((((())	())	()	(()	()	~ ~	-	()	()		
LCSD &B /1 (mite)			()	((()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	(()	()	())	()	()	()	()
LCS %R (1 Imite)		12 154-701	((^ _	(•	(((())	(-	-	(()	()	()	()	((()	^	^	()
Compound		HH																								
LCS/LCSD ID	201-00110	C07-1028010																								
Date																										
*		Ι																								

LCSLCSD.2S

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site	Name:	BRC Tronox Pa	arcel F
--------------	-------	---------------	---------

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: August 6, 2008

Matrix:

Parameters: Chlorinated Pesticides

Soil

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8081A for Chlorinated Pesticides.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of single and multicomponent compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column and confirmation column as required by this method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds.

The individual 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdowns (%BD) were less than or equal to 15.0%.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Chlorinated Pesticides - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Chlorinated Pesticides - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F

Chlorinated Pesticides - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: <u>19099B3a</u> SDG #: <u>F8F110173</u> Laboratory:<u>Test America</u>

Level III

	Date:	8/6	108
	Page:_	<u>/</u> of_	<u>Z</u> .
	Reviewer:		Z
2nd	Reviewer:	<u> </u>	
		- /	

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
. I.	Technical holding times	4	Sampling dates: 610 08
١١.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	Δ	
111.	Initial calibration	Δ	
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	100 415
V	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	Λ	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	А	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 4+5
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

	SOLL						
1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	F8F160000-164	21	8168164	31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
$\overline{4}$	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site	Name:	BRC Tronox Parcel F

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: July 24, 2008

Matrix:

Parameters: Polychlorinated Biphenyls

Soil

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8082 for Polychlorinated Biphenyls.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of multicomponent compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated biphenyl contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Florisil cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

b. GPC Calibration

GPC cleanup was not required and therefore not performed in this SDG.

XI. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No polychlorinated biphenyls were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Polychlorinated Biphenyls - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Polychlorinated Biphenyls - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Polychlorinated Biphenyls - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>19099B3b</u> SDG #: F8F110173	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date:_7/2.3/88 Page:
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer: 7
, <u> </u>	-	2nd Reviewer:
METHOD: GC Polychlorinated	Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)	/

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	Δ	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
١١.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	4	
111.	Initial calibration	Δ	
IV.	Continuing calibration/ICV	A	c = 15
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	А	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	TSB-GJ-08-10'
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	ND	D=4+5
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

	SOIL						
1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	F8F160000-162	21	8/68/62	31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	BRC Tronox Parcel F
Collection Date:	June 10, 2008

.

LDC Report Date: July 24, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Metals

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'FD TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 7 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Methods 6010B, 6020, and 7000 for Metals. The metals analyzed were Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Lithium, Magnesium, Manganese, Molybdenum, Mercury, Nickel, Niobium, Palladium, Phosphorus, Platinum, Potassium, Selenium, Silicon, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Sulfur, Thallium, Tin, Titanium, Tungsten, Uranium, Vanadium, Zinc, and Zirconium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Iron	12.1 mg/Kg	All samples in SDG F8F110173
ICB/CCB	Antimony Thallium Tungsten Vanadium	1.3 ug/L 1.1 ug/L 1.4 ug/L 2.7 ug/L	All samples in SDG F8F110173

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	Tungsten	0.56 mg/Kg	1.1U mg/Kg
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	Thallium	0.57 mg/Kg	0.64U mg/Kg
TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Tungsten	0.60 mg/Kg	1.1U mg/Kg

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS/MSD (All samples in SDG F8F110173)	Antimony Barium Copper Magnesium Niobium Phosphorus Tungsten Zinc	50.0 (75-125) 61.1 (75-125) 73.2 (75-125) 43.4 (75-125) 38.8 (75-125) 43.6 (75-125) 71.5 (75-125) -	50.0 (75-125) 61.0 (75-125) - 39.3 (75-125) 63.8 (75-125) 63.8 (75-125) 71.0 (75-125) 74.8 (75-125)		J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution analysis was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Diluted Sample	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
TSB-FJ-06-02-10'L	Calcium Phosphorus Titanium	13.8 (≤10) 15.6 (≤10) 19.2 (≤10)	All samples in SDG F8F110173	J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects)	A

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No metals were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concentration (mg/Kg)			D.44		
Analyte	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	(Limits)	(Limits)	Flag	A or P
Aluminum	8620	8050	7 (≤50)	-	-	-
Arsenic	4.1	4.3	-	0.2 (≤2.3)	-	-
Barium	126	140	11 (≤50)	-	-	-
Beryllium	0.49	0.55	-	0.06 (≤0.23)	-	-
Cadmium	0.10	0.068	-	0.032 (≤0.11)	-	-
Calcium	60100	22200	92 (≤50)	-	J (all detects)	А
Chromium	11.0	10.0	-	1 (≤2.3)	-	-
Cobalt	6.9	7.3	6 (≤50)	-	-	-
Copper	15.0	14.6	3 (≤50)	· _	-	-
lron	11000	12500	13 (≤50)	-	-	-
Lead	7.2	7.5	4 (≤50)	-	-	-

	Concentration (mg/Kg)			Difference		
Analyte	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	(Limits)	(Limits)	Flag	A or P
Magnesium	18900	12500	41 (≤50)	-	.)	-
Manganese	301	290	4 (≤50)	-	J (all detects)	A
Molybdenum	0.39	0.31	-	0.08 (≤1.1)	-	-
Nickel	13.7	15.0	9 (≤50)	-	-	-
Palladium	0.64	0.41	-	0.23 (≤0.23)	-	-
Phosphorus	1200	1160	3 (≤50)	-	-	-
Potassium	1640	1540	6 (≤50)	-	-	-
Silicon	612	465	27 (≤50)	-	-	-
Silver	0.13	0.12	-	0.01 (≤0.46)	-	-
Sodium	860	911	6 (≤50)	-	-	-
Strontium	309	204	41 (≤50)	-	-	-
Tin	0.41	0.43	-	0.02 (≤0.46)	-	-
Titanium	556	530	5 (≤50)	-	-	-
Tungsten	0.57U	0.60	<u>a</u>	0.03 (≤1.1)	-	-
Uranium	2.1	1.5	33 (≤50)		-	-
Vanadium	30.0	38.5	25 (≤50)	-	-	-
Zinc	26.2	30.0	14 (≤50)	-	-	-
Zirconium	23.9	21.1	-	2.8 (≤22.8)	-	-
Lithium	16.7U	22.8	-	6.1 (≤114)	-	-
Sulfur	913	509	-	404 (≤1140)	-	-

	Concentration (ug/Kg)			Difference		
Analyte	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	(Limits)	(Limits)	Flag	A or P
Mercury	14.6	12.3U	-	2.3 (≤38.0)	-	-

.

v

BRC Tronox Parcel F Metals - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Antimony Barium Copper Magnesium Niobium Phosphorus Tungsten Zinc	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates (%R)
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Calcium Phosphorus Titanium	J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects)	A	ICP serial dilution (%D)
F8F110173	TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Calcium	J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (RPD)

BRC Tronox Parcel F Metals - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	Tungsten	1.1U mg/Kg	A
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	Thallium	0.64U mg/Kg	А
F8F110173	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Tungsten	1.1U mg/Kg	A

BRC Tronox Parcel F Metals - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:19099B4	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 7/2-108
SDG #:	Level III	Page: 1 of 1
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer:
		2nd Reviewer: (

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6020/6010B/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
11.	Calibration	A	
- 111.	Blanks	ŚW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	4	
V .	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	ZMSTMSD
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	N	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	Les
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	Ň	Not versience J
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not Whichigan
Χ.	ICP Serial Dilution	SW	U
XI.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	4W	(45)
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

Validated Samples: __________ TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MSD PB

D = Duplicate

TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

Notes:_

LDC #: 19099 B.J. SDG #: <u>See cover</u>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Specific Element Reference

Page:_	of/_
Reviewer:	MU
2nd reviewer:	4
	_/

ł

٦

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-5	50i)	Al. Sb. As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
a. 6.7	40:1	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si,
	•	
1-5	501	Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr, 2
	1	Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
mb. 7	50.7	Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Li, S, Zr,
		Analysis Method
ICP		(i.s)
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, S).
ICP-MS		Nb, Pd, P, Pt, Sn, Sr, Ti, W, U, Zr,
GEAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN,
O	1.1.	- bu CV/A A if portormed

Comments: (Mercury by CVAA if performed) Nb: Niobium, Pd: Palladium, P: Phosphorus, Pt: Platinum, S: Sulfur, W: Tungsten, U: Uranium, Zr: Zirconium

; #: 19099B4	3 #: See Cover	THOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/700	vilo Concentration unite unlace otherwise noted: ma/Ka
LDC #	SDG #:	METHO	Composition

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET <u>PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES</u> Soil preneration factor applied:

l of /	Ş	þ
Page:_	Reviewer:	2nd Reviewer:

Sample Sample	D: Irace w Concentrati	etals (EPA : on units, ur	ovv 840 iviet iless otherw	ise noted:	ouzurruuu) mg/Kg	Associa	sparauun iauu ated Samples	or applied. All						
								S	ample Identif	ication				
Analyte	Maximum PBª (ma/Ka)	Maximum PB ^a (10/1.)	Maximum ICB/CCB ^a (uq/l)	Blank Action I imit	-	2	ъ.							
Sb			1.3											
e L	12.1			121										
H			1.1	0.22		0.57 / 0.64								
Ν			1.4		0.56 / 1.1		0.60 / 1.1							
>			2.7											
	_													
	i													
<u></u>														
												-		
												:		
Samples qualified	with analyte as not detect	concentratior ed, "U". A analyte col	is within five ti	imes the ass	ociated ICB, C	CB or PB conc	centration are lis	ted above with the id	entifications fro	om the Valida	tion Complete	ness Workshe	et. These sam	ple results were

19099B.wpd

19099 B4	
LDC #:	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: of 2nd Reviewer:___ Reviewer:___

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>V N N/A</u> Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? <u>V N N/A</u> Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) < 20% for water samples and <35% for soil samples?

<u>Y N N/A</u> We LEVEL IV ONLY: <u>Y N KI/P</u> We

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

- FF								_	<u> </u>	r	-	1	T	1	T	 	1	r	T	T		-	נה	1
والانتقاب والمستخدم والمستخدم والمستجمع ومعارين والمنابع والمتعامل والمنابعة والمعادية والمعالية والمعالية	Qualifications	J-/uJ/A-	-																					
and the second secon	Associated Samples	41																						
	RPD (Limits)																							
	MSD %Recovery	er es	6/0		34,8	39.3	63.8	1100	74.8														t X	
	MS %Recovery	o`as	61~1	13.2	43,4	38-8	43.6	<u> </u>															> Car > C	
	Analyte	5b	Ba	(w	M f.	NED	4		7n							-							5, 5, 7	
	Matrb	205																					ie, Mu	-
	DI OSW/SW	617	-															-					iments: A. F.	
┠	*							\vdash											-		-1	\neg	Eo	

MSD.4S2

1201924	cure and
LDC #:	SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **ICP Serial Dilution**

oť 2nd Reviewer: Page: Reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/6020/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". \overrightarrow{V} N/A If analyte concentrations were > 50X the MDL (ICP) ,or >100X the MDL (ICP/MS), was a serial \overrightarrow{V} N/A Were ICP serial dilution percent differences (%D) \leq 10%? \overrightarrow{V} N/A Is there evidence of negative interference? If ves, professional iudgement will be used to quality

If analyte concentrations were > 50X the MDL (ICP) ,or >100X the MDL (ICP/MS), was a serial dilution analyzed? Were ICP serial dilution percent differences (%D) ≤10%? Is there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.

Celler O ÷ - potoli oli

È	N N/H	vvere recalculated resul	ilts acceptable.		Recalculation Wor	ksheet for recalculations.		5
#	Date	Diluted Sample ID	Matrix	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications	
			101	Co	13.8	(H	T+/A	
				Р	15.6			1
				Ťì	19.2	\mathcal{T}	\mathcal{L}	1
								T
								T ==
								T
								1
								r
								ir
								T
								.
								1
								-
								T
								T
								T
								¥.
								i
								1
								r
								r
								r
								-
Con	nments:	N1. V 2 1.0x	Jam					1

LDC#:<u>19099B4</u> SDG#:<u>See Cover</u>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: Reviewer:	of <u>}</u>
2nd Reviewer:	

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/6020/7000)

<u>YN NA</u> YN NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Concentratio	on (mg/kg)	(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications
Compound	4	5	RPD	Difference	Limits	(Parent Only)
Aluminum	8620	8050	. 7			
Arsenic	4.1	4.3		0.2	(≤2.3)	
Barium	126	140	11			
Beryllium	0.49	0.55		0.06	(≤0.23)	
Cadmium	0.10	0.068		0.032	(≤0.11)	
Calcium	60100	22200	92			J det / A
Chromium	11.0	10.0		1	(≤2.3)	
Cobalt	6.9	7.3	6			
Copper	15.0	14.6	3			
Iron	11000	12500	13			
Lead	7.2	7.5	4			
Magnesium	18900	12500	41			
Manganese	301	290	4			
Molybdenum	0.39	0.31		0.08	(≤1.1)	
Nickel	13.7	15.0	9			
Palladium	0.64	0.41		0.23	(≤0.23)	
Phosphorus	1200	1160	3			
Potassium	1640	1540	6			
Silicon	612	465	27			

LDC#:<u>19099A4</u> SDG#:<u>See Cover</u>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: <u>}</u>of<u>}</u> Reviewer: <u>/</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u>/</u>

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/6020/7000)

YN NA

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Concentratio	on (mg/kg)	(≤50)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	Qualifications
Compound	4	5	RPD	Difference	Limits	(Parent Only)
Silver	0.13	0.12		0.01	(≤0.46)	
Sodium	860	911	6			
Strontium	309	204	41			
Tin	0.41	0.43		0.02	(≤0.46)	
Titanium	556	530	5			
Tungsten	0.57U	0.60		0.03	(≤1.1)	
Uranium	2.1	1.5	33			
Vanadium	30.0	38.5	25			
Zinc	26.2	30.0	14			
Zirconium	23.9	21.1		2.8	(≤22.8)	
Lithium	16.7U	22.8		6.1	(≤114)	
Sulfur	913	509		404	(≤1140)	
Mercury (ug/Kg)	14.6	12.3U		2.3	(≤38.0)	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\19099B4.wpd

LDC Report# 19099B6

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: July 24, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS TSB-FJ-06-02-10'DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 7 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 300.0 for Bromide, Bromine, Chlorate, Chloride, Chorine, Fluoride, Nitrate as Nitrogen, Nitrite as Nitrogen, Orthophosphate as Phosphorus, and Sulfate and EPA SW 846 Method 9071B for Oil & Grease.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
МВ	Orthophosphate as P	1.1 mg/L	All samples in SDG F8F110173
CCB1	Orthophosphate as P	0.284 mg/L	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'
CCB2	Orthophosphate as P	0.237 mg/L	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks as required by the QAPP. No sample data was qualified.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concentra	ation (mg/Kg)				
Analyte	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Chlorate	1.2	0.57	-	0.63 (≤5.7)	-	-
Chloride	22.6	11.0	69 (≤50)	-	J (all detects)	А
Chlorine	45.3	22.0	69 (≤50)	-	J (all detects)	А
Fluoride	3.0	1.8	-	1.2 (≤1.1)	J (all detects)	A
Nitrate as N	1.5	0.65	-	0.85 (≤0.21)	J (all detects)	A
Sulfate	305	175	54 (≤50)	-	J (all detects)	A

BRC Tronox Parcel F Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110177	TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Chloride Chlorine Sulfate	J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (RPD)
F8F110177	TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Fluoride Nitrate as N	J (all detects) J (all detects)	A	Field duplicates (Difference)

BRC Tronox Parcel F Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Wet Chemistry - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC#:<u>19099B6</u> SDG#:<u>See Cover</u>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: _____of____ Reviewer: ______ 2nd Reviewer:_____

Inorganics, Method: <u>See Cover</u>

NNAWere field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?PNNAWere target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Concentration (mg/Kg)					Qualification
Analyte	4	5	RPD (≤50)	Difference	Limits	(Parent only)
Chlorate	1.2	0.57		0.63	(≤5.7)	
Chloride	22.6	11.0	69			J det / A
Chlorine	45.3	22.0	69			J det / A
Fluoride	3.0	1.8		1.2	(≤1.1)	J det / A
Nitrate as N	1.5	0.65		0.85	(≤0.21)	J det / A
Sulfate	305	175	54			J det / A

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\19099B6.wpd
LDC #: <u>19099B6</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 7/27/5
SDG #:	_ Level III	Page:of
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer:
	1/ 1	/ 2nd Reviewer:

ı

METHOD: (Analyte) Bromide, Bromine, Chlorate, Chloride, Chorine, Fluoride, Nitrate, Nitrite, Orthophosphate-P, Sulfate (EPA Method 300.0), O & G (EPA SW846 Method 9071B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area						Comment	s
١.	Technical holding times			A	Sampling of	dates: 6/10/0	8	
lla.	Initial calibration	Initial calibration						
IIb.	Calibration verification		A					
111.	Blanks	Blanks						
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates		A	2 M	spup			
V	Duplicates			R		/• (
VI.	Laboratory control samples			A	Ly			
VII.	Sample result verification			N				
VIII	Overall assessment of data			A		0		
IX.	Field duplicates			SW	(4	(5)		
Lx	Field blanks			N				
Note: Valida	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicabl SW = See worksheet ted Samples:	le	ND = No R = Rins FB = Fie	o compounds sate eld blank	s detected	D = Duplic TB = Trip EB = Equi	cate blank ipment blank	
1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11			21		31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12			22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13			23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14			24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15			25		35	
6	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS	16			26		36	
7	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'DUP	17			27		37	
8	MB	18			28		38	
9		19			29		39	
10		20		·	30		40	

Notes:_____

Ē



I

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Parameter
1-5	501	Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO3 NO2 SO4 O-PO4 Chlorate CIO4 Q+Q/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
m 6.9	15.02	Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine Cl Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine Cl Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine Cl Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
	•	Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate CIO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH
		Br Bromine CI Chlorine F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ O-PO ₄ Chlorate ClO ₄ O+G/TPH

Comments:_

257 LDC #: (9099 136 SDG #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET <u>Blanks</u>

Page: ____of__ Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

bee cour METHOD: Inorganics, Method

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>K N N/A</u> Were all samples associated with a given method blank? <u>K N N/A</u> Were any inorganic contaminants detected above the reporting limit in the method blanks? If yes, please see qualifications below. ç HR, , ,

	Analyte	Blank ID	Maximum	Blank		San	nple identificati	lon		
<u> - Francisco</u>		ЯH	ICB/CCB	Action Limit						
	8- 404-0	(~)								
k	9-404-0		psc.a							
م چ	9-44-P		6.237							
<u>.</u>	-									
<u>.</u>									-	
<u>Únaskun</u>										

All contaminants within five times the methoc blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

BLANKS.0

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: July 24, 2008

Matrix:

Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics

Soil

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 7 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Gasoline Range Organics.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for compounds were less than 20.0% .

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No gasoline range organic contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No gasoline range organics were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>19099B7</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 7/23/08
SDG #: F8F110173	Level III	Page: <u>/_</u> of
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer: 77
•	_	2nd Reviewer:

2nd	Reviewer:
-----	-----------

METHOD: GC Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	Δ	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
lla.	Initial calibration	4	
llb.	Calibration verification/ICV	Δ	ICN E 15
III.	Blanks		
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	icos 10
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	\triangle	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D=4+5
Х.	Field blanks	N	

ND = No compounds detected A = Acceptable ND N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate Note: D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank

Validated Samples: SO/L

T	TSB-FJ-06-02-10	11	F8F130000-267	21	8165267	31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MS	16		26		36	
7	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'MSD	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	BRC Tronox Parcel F
--------------------	---------------------

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: July 24, 2008

Matrix:

Parameters: Diesel Range Organics

Soil

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015B for Diesel Range Organics.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for compounds were less than 20.0% .

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No diesel range organic contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No diesel range organics were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Diesel Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Diesel Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Diesel Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 19099B8	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 7/23/08
SDG #: F8F110173	_ Level III	Page: / of /
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer: <u>75</u>
•		2nd Reviewer:
METHOD: GC Diesel Range C	Organics (EPA SW846 Method 8015B)	/

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
lla.	Initial calibration	Δ	
llb.	Calibration verification/ICV	A	$ CV \neq 15$
.	Blanks	Δ	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	Α	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	TSB-GJ-08-10, TSB-CJ-09-0'
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	105
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	Δ	
IX.	Field duplicates	NØ	D = 4+5
Х.	Field blanks	N	

ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate ate TB = Trip blank Note: A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank SW = See worksheet

SOIL Validated Samples:

11	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	P8F18000-312	2 ₁ /	8170312	31	
22	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12	F81=130000-29/	22 2	8165291	32	
<u>3</u> 7	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4 2	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24	······································	34	
52	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC Report# 19099B9

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	BRC Tronox Parcel F
Collection Date:	June 10, 2008
LDC Report Date:	July 24, 2008
Matrix:	Soil
Parameters:	Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons
Validation Level:	EPA Level III
Laboratory:	TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8310 for Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Date	Detector	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
6/4/08	Not specified	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	16.6	All samples in SDG F8F110173	J+ (all detects)	A

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

V. Target Compound Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	J+ (all detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ICV %D)

BRC Tronox Parcel F Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>19099B9</u>		Date: 7 /23/08
Laboratory: Tost America		
Laboratory. Test America	_	Reviewer: 77
		2nd Reviewer:
METHOD: GC Polynuclear Arc	omatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8310)	Ţ

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Technical holding times	Δ	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
ila.	Initial calibration	A	
llb.	Calibration verification/ICV	SW	ICV = 15
111.	Blanks	Δ	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	75B-GJ-08-10'
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	NP	$\mathcal{P} = 4 \cdot 5$
Х.	Field blanks	N	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: SOIL

1	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	F8F160000-158	21	8/68158	31	
2	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12		22		32	
3	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	13		23		33	
4	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

GC HPLC _METHOD:

l

218				ene																			
802	V Renzene		CC. Toluene	EE. Ethyl Benz	SSS. O-Xylene	RRR. MP-Xylen	GG. Total Xvler																
8141(con't)	V. Fensulfothion		W. Bolstar	X. EPN	Y. Azinphos-methyl	Z. Coumaphos	AA. Parathion	BB. Trichloronate	CC. Trichlorinate	DD. Trifluralin	EE. Def	FF. Prowl	GG. Ethion	HH. Tetrachlorvinphos	ll. Sulprofos								
8141	A. Dichlorvos	B Montackas	D. MEVINDIOS	C. Demeton-O	D. Demeton-S	E. Ethoprop	F. Naled	G. Sulfotep	H. Phorate	I. Dimethoate	J. Diazinon	K. Disulfoton	L. Parathion-methyl	M. Ronnel	N. Malathion	O. Chlorpyrlfos	P. Fenthion	Q. Parathion-ethyl	R. Trichloronate	S. Merphos	T. Stirofos	U. Tokuthion	
8151	A. 2,4-D	B. 2.4-DB		G. 2,4,5-I	D. 2,4,5-TP	E. Dinoseb	F. Dichlorprop	G. Dicamba	H. Dalapon	I. MCPP	J. MCPA	K. Pentachlorophenol	L 2,4,5-TP (silvex)	M. Silvex									
8330	A. HMX	B. RDX	C 13 & Trinitrohomono		D. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene	E. Tetryi	F. Nitrobenzene	G. 2.4.6-Trinitrotoluene	H. 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	I. 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	J. 2,4-Dinitrotolune	K. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	L. 2-Nitrotoluene	M. 3-Nitrotoluene	N. 4-Nitrotoluene	0.	ď.	a					
8310	A. Acenaphthene	B. Acenaphthylene	C. Anthracene		D. Benzo(a)anthracene	E. Benzo(a)pyrene	F. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	G. Benzo(g,h,l)perylene	H. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1. Chrysene	J. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	K. Fluoranthene	L. Fluorene	M. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	N. Naphthalene	O. Phenanthrene	P. Pyrene	ö	ž	S.			

cmpd_list.wpd

LDC # 1909983 Ay cours SDG #:

LGC HPLC

METHOD:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Continuing Calibration**

Page: ______of Reviewer:

2nd Reviewer:

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? __%D or __RPD <u>X_N, N/A</u> Were continuing calibration standards analyzed at the required frequencies?

V N N/A

Did the continuing calibration standards meet the %D / RPD validation criteria of <15.0%?

evel IN Only Y N N/A

Were the retention times for all calibrated compounds within their respective acceptance windows?

Qualifications	1+/A dut	7															
Associated Samples	A11 + B1K																
RT (limit)	((((()	((((()	((()
%D / RPD (Limit ≤ 15.0)	16.6																
Compound	H J																
Detector/ Column	not specific	/ /															
Standard ID	\$ \$ 10V768																
# Date	6/4/08	, ,								 							
44	+																

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	BRC Tronox Parcel F
--------------------	---------------------

Collection Date: June 10, 2008

LDC Report Date: July 23, 2008

Matrix: Soil

Parameters: Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): F8F110173

Sample Identification

TSB-FJ-06-02-10' TSB-FJ-06-02-20' TSB-FJ-06-02-30' TSB-FR-02-02-10' TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 soil samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8290 for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans.

This review follows USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans Data Review (September 2005) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J Data are qualified as estimated; it is not possible to assess the direction of the potential bias. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- R Data are qualified as rejected. There is a significant potential for the reporting of false negatives or false positives.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. HRGC/HRMS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at the required daily frequency.

Retention time windows were established for all homologues. The chromatographic resolution between 2,3,7,8-TCDD and peaks representing any other unlabeled TCDD isomer was less than or equal to 25%.

III. Initial Calibration

A five point initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

IV. Routine Calibration (Continuing)

Routine calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the routine calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the routine calibration RRF were less than or equal to 20.0% for unlabeled compounds and less than or equal to 30.0% for labeled compounds.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in the method blanks.

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. The percent recoveries (%R) were within the QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID	Compound	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
8170493LCS	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD OCDD	137 (71-129) 154 (74-144)	TSB-FJ-06-02-20' 8170493MB	J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects)	P

VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard recoveries were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Internal Standards	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	¹³ C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD ¹³ C-OCDD ¹³ C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	27 (40-135) 15 (40-135) 22 (40-135)	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD OCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ
TSB-FR-02-02-10'	 ¹³C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD ¹³C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD ¹³C-0CDD ¹³C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF ¹³C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 	30 (40-135) 20 (40-135) 13 (40-135) 28 (40-135) 18 (40-135)	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD OCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ
8171606MB	¹³ C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	38 (40-135)	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ

X. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of the report if data has been qualified.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FR-02-02-10' and TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No polychlorinated dioxins/dibenzofurans were detected in any of the samples.

BRC Tronox Parcel F Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD OCDD	J+ (all detects) J+ (all detects)	Р	Laboratory control samples (%R)
F8F110173	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD OCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ	Internal standards (%R)
F8F110173	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD OCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ	Internal standards (%R)

BRC Tronox Parcel F Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel F Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG F8F110173

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 19099B21	_ VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: <u>7/19/o</u> g
SDG #: F8F110173	Level III	Page: <u>t</u> of <u>t</u>
Laboratory: Test America		Reviewer: n
		2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Technical holding times	4	Sampling dates: 6/10/08
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Å	
111.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Routine calibration/ICV	A	
V.	Blanks	Д	
VI.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client succipied
VII.	Laboratory control samples	SN	LCS
VIII.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
IX.	Internal standards	SW	
Χ.	Target compound identifications	N	
XI.	Compound quantitation and CRQLs	N	
XII.	System performance	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	ND	D= 4+5
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

					the second s		
12	TSB-FJ-06-02-10'	11	8170493MB	21		31	
2 1	TSB-FJ-06-02-20'	12 2	8171606MB	22		32	
32	TSB-FJ-06-02-30'	ک 13	8184461MB	23		33	
4 3	TSB-FR-02-02-10'	14		24		34	
5 7	TSB-FR-02-02-10'-FD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

A. 2,3,7,8-TCDD	F. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	K. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	P. 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	U. Total HpCDD
B. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	G. OCDD	L. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	a. ocdf	V. Total TCDF
C. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	H. 2,3,7,8-TCDF	M. 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	R. Total TCDD	W. Total PeCDF
D. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	I. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	N. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	S. Total PeCDD	X. Total HxCDF
E. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	J. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	O. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	T. Total HxCDD	Y. Total HpCDF

Notes:

49999	SFILOIDZ
#	#
РС	SDG

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

) of Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer: Page:

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". Y N N/A Y N/A

						Γ				Ī	T	T	T					I		T		T			1	T		T	Ī		T
	Qualificatione	dualityaduulis	Jara P	, T																											
imits?	Associated Samples		2 XI 70473 ME																												
TPU) within the QC	RPD (Limits)	· · · · ·)	())	()	(()				~)	()	· ~				-)	()	()				-	
	LCSD %R (Limits)				()	()	()	()		()	(.)		<u> </u>			~)	()				- ·	 ()	`))	()			-)
	LCS %R (Limits)	(621-12) 281	ובת וארותון				()	`	()	())	(· · · ·			-	((*)	()	()				^	•	()	`			(
	Compound	4	U																												
	Lab ID/Reference	8170492405													-																
	Date																		-												_
	*										Ι						Γ								╈	+		_		┢	

LCS90.21

24	0172
90991	11-18-1
#:	:#:
g	SDG

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Internal Standards

\of / 2 Page:

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290) Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". $\frac{Y(N)N/A}{Y(N)N}$ Are all internal standard recoveries were within the 40-135% criteria? Was the S/N ratio all internal standard peaks > 10?

	×7-					
#	Date	Lab ID/Reference	Internal Standard		% Recovery (Limit: 40-135%)	Qualifications
		٨	1	27	(<u>SEI-et</u>)	3/47 / [EX)
				Ŀ		- (G. R)
			Э	2)	
)	
					(
		F.	44	ĥ)	
			#	'n	· ·	.* * *
				2	~ ~	
			L	28)	(K-N X)
			હ	18	()	
					(
		8171606 MB	tt	38	(^)	
					()	
	*				()	
					()	
)	
					(
)	
					()	
					()	
		Internal Standards	Check Standard Used		Recovery Standards	Check Standard Used
۲	¹³ C-2,3,7,8-TC	:DF			¹³ C-1 2 3 4-TCDD	
ß	¹³ C-2,3,7,8-TC	DD			¹³ C-1 2 3 7 8 Q-HVCDD	
Ö	¹³ C-1,2,3,7,8-F	2eCDF		Ξ		
ò	¹³ C-1,2,3,7,8-F	ecDD		ż		
ш	1 ¹³ C-1,2,3, 4 /7,8	-HxCDF		Ö		
<u>ц</u> (HXCDD		4		
י ב	¹³ C-1,2,3,4,6,7	A-HpCDF		ơ		
Ľ -		,8-HpCUD		œ		