

### LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

**ERM** 

January 9, 2008

2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95833 ATTN: Ms. Maria Barajas-Albalawi

SUBJECT: BRC Tronox Parcel C/D, Data Validation

Dear Ms. Barajas-Albalawi

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on December 24, 2007. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

### **LDC Project # 18016:**

### SDG#

### **Fraction**

TRNC/D-1RD, TRNC/D-2RD, TRNC/D-4RD

Radium-226 & Radium-228, Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review. October 2004
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Solla Cure for Erlinda T. Rauto

Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

$\neg$			T			1	T	T	T	<del></del>	_	_	T	7	_		_	_	_		_	, ·	<u> </u>	T			_	_	7	_	_	_		wil
			S	<u> </u>		lacksquare		<u> </u>	L								<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L				Ш		176
	l		≥							ļ		l																						
			S		Г			T			<u> </u>					<b>-</b>					$\vdash$				<del>                                     </del>		$\vdash$	H			<del>                                     </del>	Н	$\dashv$	ᆌ
			⊢	_		⊢	┝	-	<u> </u>	┢	-	-	-	-		_		_	_		-		┡	_	<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_	$\vdash \vdash$		긔
			≥							L																								0
			S																															0
			3					┢			┢						$\vdash$		<del>                                     </del>		_											$\vdash$	_	
			$\vdash$			-	⊢	├	┢	-		┝	┝	-	_	_	-	$\vdash$				$\vdash$		<del>                                     </del>			_		<u> </u>			$\vdash \vdash$	$\dashv$	$-\parallel$
			S			<u> </u>								L														L,				Ш	$\Box$	0
			≥																															0
			S																															0
			├—		<del> </del>	╁┈	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	-		-		-	<u> </u>			-	-	-					-				_	-	<b> </b>		Н	-	-1
			≥			⊢	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								_	_									_	_				Ш		의
			တ																															0
			≥																															0
	_		S					┢																			H	<b>-</b>				$\vdash$	十	
	9		H		<del> </del>			-	<del> </del>		├─	$\vdash$	┝					$\vdash$	$\vdash$								$\vdash$	$\vdash$			├	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	
	ည		≥			-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	_					L														_	의
	ဗ္ဗ		S																															0
	ar		≥											_																			T	0
	Sacramento / BRC Tronox Parcel C/D)		s			Г		Г						$\vdash$				<u> </u>				П											寸	
	2		├		┢		-		$\vdash$	$\vdash$					$\vdash$			<u> </u>				Н		<del> </del>									$\dashv$	
	Į į		≥		L				<u> </u>				<u> </u>											<u> </u>								_	_	
	F		S																															0
	ည		≥																														I	0
_	面		s																														寸	
Ę	0		-			<b>-</b>							_	<u> </u>					Н			Н							-			$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
me	Ť		*			_	_	_	_													Ш							Ш			_	4	의
Attachment 1	ne		S																															0
ΑĦ	<u> </u>		≥																														- 1	
	ac		S																														T	
	s-		*																													$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$
	Σ	<b></b>					)			Н									-							-	-						$\dashv$	
	LDC #18016 (ERI	Ra-228 (5005)	S	11		6	16			Ш																							_	43
	) (E		>	-	0	0	0																											-
	7	Ra-226 (5005)	S	11	7	9	16																									T		43
	<u>8</u>	ta-2	*	1	0		0	П												-					$\neg$							+	十	1
	#					99000000000	_	Н		-				_						_		$\vdash$	_		$\dashv$							$\dashv$	$\dashv$	
	ည	lso. U (5067)	S	7	7	6	16	Ш																								$\perp$	_	43
			≥	-	0	0	0																											-
		. <u>E</u> (:	S	11	7	6	16																										T	43
		lso. Thorium (5087)	>	-	0	0	0														-		$\dashv$										+	-11
			$\dashv$					Н										—	$\dashv$	_				_	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	_	_				$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\exists$
		(3) DATE DUE		160	16/0	16/0	16/0											ı															ı	
				12/24/0701/16/08	12/24/07p1/16/08	12/24/07p1/16/08	12/24/07p1/16/08																											
		E 0.		6	4/07	4/07	4/07												ı															
		DATE REC'D		2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2								ı																		- 1	
											T											$\neg$		一	寸					_		十	+	$\dashv$
ള														ı					i										İ					
es-(		**		릙	윘	żRi	4 <del>R</del>					l												ı		- 1	ı					- 1		
Pag		\$DQ#	S	윙	윙	8	8				I			l																- 1				T/LR
3,546 Pages-CD	80/20	<i>o</i>	Water/Soil	TRNC/D-1RD	TRNC/D-2RD	TRNC/D-2RD	TRNC/D-4RD					l																						-
3,5	8		š				-																											
			ĕ	$\dashv$	_	_	_	4	_	$\dashv$	_	_	_	_	$\dashv$		$\dashv$		$\dashv$	_	$\bot$	$\downarrow$	_	_		$\perp$	_		$\perp$		$\Box$	$\perp$	$\perp$	_
		ГРС	Matrix:	∢	m	ш	ပ		_	_																								Total
					_			_					21111	_												(								الص

18016ST.wpd

### BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Data Validation Reports LDC# 18016

Radium-226 & Radium-228

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** 

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D

**Collection Date:** 

November 9, 2007

LDC Report Date:

January 7, 2008

Matrix:

Soil/Water

Parameters:

Radium-226 & Radium-228

Validation Level:

EPA Level III

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-1RD

### Sample Identification

TSB-CR-07-0'

TSB-CR-07-10'

TSB-CJ-08-0'

TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD

TSB-CJ-08-10'

TSB-CJ-04-0'

TSB-CJ-04-10'

TSB-CJ-07-0'

TSB-CJ-07-10'

TSB-CJ-03-0'

TSB-CJ-03-10'

RINSATE 1

TSB-CR-07-0'DUP

### Introduction

This data review covers 12 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 901.1/RICH Method RC5017 for soil samples for Radium-226 and Radium-228, EPA Method 903.1/RICH Method RC5005 for water samples for Radium-226, and EPA Method 904.0/RICH Method RC5005 for water samples for Radium-228.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each detector and each radionuclide.

Self absorption factors were determined for each sample when applicable.

### b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within laboratory control limits.

#### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

Sample "RINSATE 1" was identified as a rinsate. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

### a. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

### b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### c. Chemical Recovery

All chemical recoveries were within validation criteria.

### V. Minimum Detectable Activity

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

### VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **VIII. Field Duplicates**

Samples TSB-CJ-08-0' and TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No radium-226 or radium-228 was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Activity	y (pCi/g)			
Isotope	TSB-CJ-08-0'	TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Radium-226	0.990	0.929	7 (≤50)	-	-
Radium-228	1.62	1.60	1 (≤50)	-	

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D
Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

SDG Labo	#:18016A29 #:_TRNC/D-1RD ratory:_Test America Sail: Radi	_ VA	LIDATIO	N COMF	PLET Level	ENESS III Epa M	WORKSH	EET RICH-RC-501	Date: 1-7-08 Page:toft Reviewer:MG  72nd Reviewer:					
MET	Nater > HOD: Radium 226 (EPA	Metho	d 903.1/Met	hod RICH	-RC50	05) Radi	um 228 (EPA	Method 904.0	)/Method RICH-RC5005)					
	samples listed below we ation findings worksheet		ewed for ea	ch of the f	ollowir	ng validat	ion areas. Va	lidation findin	gs are noted in attached					
	Validatio	n Area			Comments									
1.	Technical holding times		,	Α	Sampling dates: 11 - 9 - 07									
ila.		Α												
Ilb.	Calibration verification			A										
III.	Blanks			Α										
IVa	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike	) Duplica	ates	À	D	υp								
IVb	. Laboratory control sample	s		A	L	Ĉ <b>S</b>								
IVc	. Chemical recovery	·		Α	h	later on	ly							
V.	Sample result verification			N										
VI.	Minimum dectectable activ	ity (MD	A)	A										
VII.	Overall assessment of dat	а		A										
VIII	. Field duplicates			SW	D	= 3 + L	1							
_XIV	Field blanks		nin .	ND	<u> </u>	1=12								
Note: Valida	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicab SW = See worksheet ted Samples:	ele	R = Rin	o compound sate eld blank	is detec	ted	D = Duplicate TB = Trip blant EB = Equipme							
1	TSB-CR-07-0'	11	TSB-CJ-03-1	O'	5	21		31						
2	TSB-CR-07-10'	122	RINSATE 1			22		32						
3	TSB-CJ-08-0'		TSB-CR-07-0	DUP		23		33						
4	TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD	14 /				24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	34						
5	TSB-CJ-08-10'	152				25		35						
6	TSB-CJ-04-0'	16				26		36						
7	TSB-CJ-04-10'	17				27		37						
8	TSB-CJ-07-0'	18				28		38						
9	TSB-CJ-07-10'	19				29		39						
10	TSB-CJ-03-0'	, 20				30		40						
Note	s:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
14016	J													

LDC #: 18016A29 SDG #: TRNC/D-1RD

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page:	<u>/</u> _of/
Reviewer:	MG
2nd raviower.	

CDG #	riela Daplic	,ales	2nd reviewer:
METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: See	over	)	•
	entified in this SDG?	pairs?	
	Activity (	PCi/q)	
Isotopes	3	4	RPD
Ra-226	0.999	0.929	7 (±50)
Ra-278	1.62	1.60	1 ( )
	<u> </u>		
	Activity (	· )	
Isotopes			RPD
·			
	7		
	Activity (	)	
Isotopes			RPD
	·		
Isotopes	Activity (	)	RPD
Isotopes			ULD
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D

**Collection Date:** 

November 12, 2007

LDC Report Date:

January 7, 2008

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Radium-226 & Radium-228

Validation Level:

EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-2RD

### Sample Identification

TSB-CJ-02-0'\*\*

TSB-CJ-02-10'\*\*

TSB-CJ-01-0'\*\*

TSB-CJ-01-10'\*\*

TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD

TSB-CR-02-0'\*\*

TSB-CR-02-10'\*\*

TSB-CR-01-0'\*\*

TSB-CR-01-10'\*\*

TSB-CR-03-0'

TSB-CR-03-10'

TSB-CJ-05-0'\*\*

TSB-CJ-05-10'

TSB-CJ-06-0'

TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD

TSB-CJ-06-10'

TSB-CR-01-0'DUP

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

### Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 901.1/RICH Method RC5017 for Radium-226 and Radium-228.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each detector and each radionuclide.

Self absorption factors were determined for each sample when applicable.

### b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within laboratory control limits.

#### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

### a. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

### b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### c. Chemical Recovery

All chemical recoveries were within validation criteria.

### V. Minimum Detectable Activity

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

### VI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

### VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-CJ-01-0'\*\* and TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD and samples TSB-CJ-06-0' and TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No radium-226 or radium-228 was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Activity	/ (pCi/g)			
Isotope	TSB-CJ-01-0'**	TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Radium-226	1.05	1.17	11 (≤50)	-	-
Radium-228	1.83	1.87	2 (≤50)	-	-

	Activit	y (pCi/g)			
Isotope	TSB-CJ-06-0'	TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Radium-226	0.894	0.980	9 (≤50)	-	-
Radium-228	1.76	1.52	15 (≤50)	-	-

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D
Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

SDG	#: 18016B29 #: TRNC/D-2RD ratory: Test America		<del></del>	Le	PLETENESS W evel III/IV		Date: <u>1-7-08</u> Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Reviewer: <u>M(</u> 2nd Reviewer:						
MET!	Radium - 226,	Rad	: um -228 d003 1/Mat	(EPA)	meth 901.1/R10	H-RC-5017)	94:0/Method RICH-RC5005)						
	,				,	•	·						
	samples listed below wer ation findings worksheets		ewed for ead	ch of the f	ollowing validation	areas. Validation fin	dings are noted in attached						
	Validation	Aros			Comments								
1.	Technical holding times	Alea		Α	Sampling dates:	11-12-07							
IIa.	Initial calibration			A									
lib.	Calibration verification			A									
III.	Blanks			A									
IVa.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike)	Duplic	ates	A	DUP								
IVb.	Laboratory control samples			Α	LCS								
IVc.	Chemical recovery			N									
V.	Sample result verification			Α	Not reviewed for Lev	el III validation.							
VI.	Minimum dectectable activi	ty (MD	A)	Α									
VII.	Overall assessment of data	1		Α									
VIII	Field duplicates			SW	D=3+5	D=14+15							
XIV	Field blanks			7	1	7							
Note: Valida	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicabl SW = See worksheet ted Samples: ** Indicates sam		R = Rin FB = Fi	eld blank	T E	= Duplicate B = Trip blank B = Equipment blank							
1	TSB-CJ-02-0'**	11	TSB-CR-03-1	0'	21	31							
2	TSB-CJ-02-10'**	12	TSB-CJ-05-0		22	32							
3	TSB-CJ-01-0'**	13	TSB-CJ-05-1		23	33							
4	TSB-CJ-01-10'**	14	TSB-CJ-06-0		24	34							
5	TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD	15	TSB-CJ-06-0		25	35							
6	TSB-CR-02-0'**	16	TSB-CJ-06-1		26	36							
7	TSB-CR-02-10'**	17	TSB-CR-01-0		27	37							
8	TSB-CR-01-0'**	18	PBS		28	38							
9	TSB-CR-01-10'**	19			29	39							
10	TSB-CR-03-0'	20			30	40							
Notes	3:												

### VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: V

Method:Radiochemistry(EPA Method 901.1/ RICH)-Rc-5017

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
l: Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments and detectors calibration as required?	V	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Were NIST traceable standards used for all calibrations?	./		<u> </u>	
Was the check source identified by activity and radionuclide?	V			
Were check sources including background counts analyzed at the requiried frequency and within laboratory control limits?	1			
III. Blanks				T.
Were blank analyses performed as required?	/			
Were any activities detected in the blanks greater than the minimum detectable activity (MDA)? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		/		
IV. Matrix spikes and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP (Soil) Water.		<b>V</b>		
Were the MS percent recoveries (%R) within the QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	1		/	
Was a duplicate sample anaylzed at the required frequency of 5% in this SDG?	<b>√</b>			
Were all duplicate sample duplicate error rations (DER) <u>≤1.429</u> . Э.∑8	. 🗸			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?	<u> </u>			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 75-125%	$\checkmark$			
VI Sample Chemical/Carrier Recovery				
Was a tracer/carrier added to each sample?		/		
Were tracer/carrier recoveries within the QC limits?			$\square$	
VII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			$\checkmark$	
VIII. Sample Result Verification			,	
Were activities adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
Were the Minimum Detectable Activities (MDA) < RL?	V			

LDC #: 18016 B 29 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

### VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2 Reviewer: MG 2nd Reviewer: V

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
X. Field: duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			1
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XI: Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			1	

LDC #: 18016B29 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC-5017)

MN N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

YN N/A Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Activity (	pcila,	
Isotopes	3	5	RPD
Ra-226	1.05	1.17	11 (±50)
Ra-228	1.83	1.87	a ( ) )

	Activity (	Activity ( PC; /G)			
Isotopes	14	15	RPD		
Ra-226	0.894	0.980	9 (≤50)		
Ra-228	1.76	1.52	15 (↓)		
			·		

	Activity ( )		
Isotopes			RPD
		·	
	·		

	Activity ( )		
Isotopes			RPD
		***************************************	

TRNC/D-3RD LDC #: 18016 B39

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

ō Page: 2nd Reviewer:

*;* :

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC-5017

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample, a matrix spike and a matrix spike duplicate sample were recaluculated using the following formula: %R = Found x 100

Found = activity of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. Where,

True = activity of each analyte in the source.

A matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

Where,

S = Original sample activity D = Duplicate sample activity RPD =  $\frac{1S-D_1}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ 

Sample ID         Type of Analysis         Analyte         Found/S (units)         True/D (units)         %R or RPD         %R or RPD           LC S         Leboratory control sample         C S - 137         8 · O 2         PCi/g T 9         7 · 98         PG/g T0 1         10 1           Matrix spike sample         Duplicate RPD         R A - 23C         PCi/g T 9         PCi/g T 9         PCi/g T 9         PCi/g T 1         PCR 2         PCR 2           17         Chemical recovery         R A - 23C         PCi/g T 9         PCi/g T 9         PCi/g T 1         PCT 1         PCT 1						Recalculated	Reported	
Laboratory control sample $C_{S-137} = 8.02 \left( \text{Pci}_{q} \right) 7.98 \left( \text{Pci}_{q} \right) 1.01$ Matrix spike sample $Duplicate \text{ RPD}$ $R_{A-236} = \frac{0.755}{2.0.067} \left( \frac{\text{Pci}_{q}}{\text{Pci}_{q}} \right) \frac{0.936}{2.0.080} \left( \frac{\text{Pci}_{q}}{\text{Pci}_{q}} \right) \frac{1.7}{1.7}$ Chemical recovery	Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Analyte	Found/S (units)	True/D (units)	%R or RPD	%R or RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
Matrix spike sample  Duplicate RPD  Cs-137  8.02  (Pci.fg)  7.98  (PGf)  101  RER2  Chemical recovery  Chemical recovery		Laboratory control sample						(1.(.)
Matrix spike sample  Duplicate RPD $R \alpha - 23C \qquad 0.755 \qquad (pC; l_{\rm d}) \qquad 0.93C \qquad (pC; l_{\rm d}) \qquad 1.7$ Chemical recovery	7CS		Cs-137	8.02 (Pcifg)	7.98 (PG/4)	101	101	>
Duplicate RPD  RA-236 0.755 (PC;/4) 0.936 (PC;/4) 1.7  Chemical recovery		Matrix spike sample						-
Duplicate RPD ReR2 RA-236 0.755 ( $pC; I_d$ ) 0.936 ( $pC; I_d$ ) 1.7 Chemical recovery				ı			į	l
Chemical recovery $R_{\alpha-236} = \frac{0.755}{\pm 0.069} = \frac{\rho C; / a}{(10)} = \frac{0.936}{1.7} = \frac{\rho C; / a}{1.7} = \frac{1.7}{1.0090} = \frac$		Duplicate RPD				RERZ	RERZ	
			Ra-236	0.755 (PC:/4)	0.936 (PC;/2)	1.7	1.7	>-
	***************************************	Chemical recovery						
	ł				1		1	1

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC	#:_	<u>18016 B29</u>
SDG	#.	TRNC/2-2RX

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page:	_1ofl
Reviewer:	MG
nd reviewer:	10

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC-5017)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(V) N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?

Y) N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Calculated Concentration	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	1	Ra-226	1.03	1.03	Y
		Ra-278	1.69	1.68	
	·	1 40		ı	
		the control of		!	, .
			•		
		ı		·	
					·
				·	

Note:			
	1.00.000	 ······································	

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D

**Collection Date:** 

November 14, 2007

LDC Report Date:

January 7, 2008

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Radium-226 & Radium-228

Validation Level:

EPA Level III

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-4RD

### Sample Identification

TSB-FR-01-0'

TSB-FR-01-10'

TSB-FJ-07-0'

TSB-FJ-07-10'

TSB-FJ-06-0'

TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD

TSB-FJ-06-10'

TSB-FJ-05-0'

TSB-FJ-05-10'

TSB-DR-01-0'

TSB-DR-01-10'

TSB-DR-02-0'

TSB-DR-02-10'

TSB-DR-02-0'-FD

JB-NW DITCH01-0'

JB-NW DITCH01-10'

TSB-FR-01-0'DUP

### Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 901.1/RICH Method RC5017 for Radium-226 and Radium-228.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each detector and each radionuclide.

Self absorption factors were determined for each sample when applicable.

### b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within laboratory control limits.

#### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

### a. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

### b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### c. Chemical Recovery

All chemical recoveries were within validation criteria.

### V. Minimum Detectable Activity

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

### VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FJ-06-0' and TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD and samples TSB-DR-02-0' and TSB-DR-02-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No radium-226 or radium-228 was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Activity	/ (pCi/g)			
Isotope	TSB-FJ-06-0'	TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Radium-226	0.946	0,950	0 (≤50)	-	-
Radium-228	1.82	1.73	5 (≤50)	-	•

	Activity	Activity (pCi/g)			
Isotope	TSB-DR-02-0'	TSB-DR-02-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Radium-226	1.10	0.979	12 (≤50)	-	-
Radium-228	1.84	1.77	4 (≤50)	•	-

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D
Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

)G#	18016C29 : TRNC/D-4RD tory: Test America	<del></del>	LIDATIOI _	l	Level II	II				Date: <u>I - 7 -</u> Page: <u>I of</u> Reviewer: <u>M</u> G
	•	etho	<del>d 903.1/Met</del>	hod RICH	-RC500	5 <del>) Radiu</del>	ı <del>m 228 (</del>	EPA Metl	<del>10d 904</del>	1.0/Method RICH-RC500
	mples listed below were ion findings worksheets.	revie	ewed for ead	ch of the fo	ollowing	validati	on area	ıs. Validat	ion find	lings are noted in attache
	Validation	Area			<u> </u>			Com	ments	
I.	Technical holding times			A	Sampling	g dates:	11	-14-0	7	
la.	Initial calibration			Α						
lb.	Calibration verification			A						
il.	Blanks			A			<u>.</u>			
√a.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) [	Duplica	ates	A	DU	P				
√b.	Laboratory control samples			A	LC	S				
Vc.	Chemical recovery			N						
V.	Sample result verification			N						
VI.	Minimum dectectable activit	y (MD)	A)	Α						
√II.	Overall assessment of data		**********	A						
<b>∕</b> III.	Field duplicates			SW	D=	5+6	Ţ	)=12+	-14	
αV	Field blanks			7						
te: lidate	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet d Samples:		R = Rin	o compound sate eld blank	ls detected	d		olicate ip blank quipment bla	ank	
1	all soil		<b></b>					<del>.</del>		
<u> </u>	rsb-fr-01-0'	11	TSB-DR-01-1	0'	21				31	
_ -	TSB-FR-01-10'	12	TSB-DR-02-0	)'	22	:			32	
	TSB-FJ-07-0'	13	TSB-DR-02-1	0'	23	<u> </u>			33	
-	TSB-FJ-07-10'	14	TSB-DR-02-0	)'-FD	24	<u> </u>			34	
<u> </u>	TSB-FJ-06-0'	15	JB-NW DITC	H01-0'	25	3			35	
<u> </u>	TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD	16	JB-NW DITC	H01-10'	26	5			36	
<u> </u>	TSB-FJ-06-10'	17	TSB-FR-01-0	DUP	27	·			37	
_ -	TSB-FJ-05-0'	18	PBS		28	B			38	
_ .	TSB-FJ-05-10'	19			29	)			39	
,  .	TSB-DR-01-0'	20			30	, I			40	

LDC #: 18016C29 SDG #: TRNC/D-4RD

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: M G
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC-5417)

N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

YN N/A Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Activity (		
isotopes	5	6	RPD
Ra-226	0.946	0.950	0 (±50)
Ra-228	1.82	1.73	5 (1)
			٠.

	Activity ( PCi/q )		
Isotopes	12	14	RPD
. Ra - 226	1.10	0.979	12 (450)
Ra-228	1.84	1.77	4 ( 1)

	Activity ( )		
Isotopes			RPD
		·	

	Activity (		
Isotopes	·		RPD .
	·		

### BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Data Validation Reports LDC# 18016

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

**BRC Tronox Parcel C/D** 

**Collection Date:** 

November 9, 2007

LDC Report Date:

January 8, 2008

Matrix:

Soil/Water

Parameters:

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium

Validation Level:

EPA Level III

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-1RD

### Sample Identification

TSB-CR-07-0'

TSB-CR-07-10'

TSB-CJ-08-0'

TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD

TSB-CJ-08-10'

TSB-CJ-04-0'

TSB-CJ-04-10'

TSB-CJ-07-0'

TSB-CJ-07-10'

TSB-CJ-03-0'

TSB-CJ-03-10'

RINSATE 1

TSB-CR-07-10'DUP

TSB-CJ-08-0'DUP

RINSATE 1DUP

### Introduction

This data review covers 13 soil samples and 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per Method RICH-RC5067 for Isotopic Uranium and Method RICH-RC5087 for Isotopic Thorium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each radionuclide of interest.

### b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within control limits.

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

Sample "RINSATE 1" was identified as a rinsate. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium contaminants were found in this blank.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

### a. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

### b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID	Isotope	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
LCS	U-235/236	135 (75-125)	All water samples in SDG TRNC/D-1RD	J+ (all detects)	Р

### c. Tracer Recovery

All tracer recoveries were within validation criteria.

### V. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

### VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **VIII. Field Duplicates**

Samples TSB-CJ-08-0' and TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Activity (pCi/g)					
Isotope	TSB-CJ-08-0'	TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Thorium-228	1.68	1.68	0 (≤50)	-	•	-
Thorium-230	1.84	1.47	22 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-232	1.39	1.93	33 (≤50)	-	-	-
Uranium-233/234	0.395	0.173	-	0.22 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-
Uranium-238	0.329	0.507	-	0.18 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-

# BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

SDG	Sample	Isotope	Flag	A or P	Reason
TRNC/D-1RD	RINSATE 1	U-235/236	J+ (all detects)	Р	Laboratory control samples (%R)

### **BRC Tronox Parcel C/D**

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

SDG :	#: 18016A59 #: TRNC/D-1RD atory: Test America		VA	LIDATIOI  -			TENESS el III	WORKS	SHEET	Date: 1-7-0:  Page: 1 of 1  Reviewer: MG  2nd Reviewer:
METH	HOD: Isotopic Uranium	qw.k (EP		/lethod 908/	'Method R	ICH-	RC5067),	Isotopic Th	ıorium (Me	thod RICH-RC-5087)
The s		ere r								findings are noted in attached
	Validatio	n A	rea						Commer	nts
1.	Technical holding times				Α	Sam	pling dates:	11-4	7-07	
ila.	Initial calibration				Α					
IIb.	Calibration verification				A					
111.	Blanks				Α					
i∨a.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike	e) Du	plica	ites	Α	D	UP			
IVa.	Laboratory control sample				Sw	L	c5			
V.	Tracer Recovery				Α					
VI.					Α					
VII.					N					
VIII.					A					
IX.	Field duplicates				SW		= 3+4			
х_	Field blanks				ND	F	R= 12			
Note: /alidate	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applical SW = See worksheet ed Samples:	ble		R = Rins	o compounds sate eld blank	s dete	ected	D = Duplica TB = Trip to EB = Equip		
1	TSB-CR-07-0'	S <sub>1</sub>	1	TSB-CJ-03-10	D'	5	21		3	1
	TSB-CR-07-10'	1 1	2ス	RINSATE 1		W	22		33	2
	TSB-CJ-08-0'	1 1	3	TSB-CR-07-1	O'DUP(Th)	\$	23		3:	3
	TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD	1	4	TSB-CJ-08-0'	DUP (U)	1	24		34	4
	TSB-CJ-08-10'	1	<sub>5</sub> 2	RINSATE 1D	UP (U,Th)	W	25		3	5
	TSB-CJ-04-0'			PB5			26		36	6
	TSB-CJ-04-10'	1	<sub>7</sub> J				27		3	7
	TSB-CJ-07-0'	1	8				28		38	В
	TSB-CJ-07-10'	1	9				29		39	9
	TSB-CJ-03-0'	2	0				30		4(	0
lotes:	ATT ATT									

SDG #: TRNC/D-IRD LDC #: (8016 A59

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

Page: / of Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RicH-RG- 5067/ RICH-RC) 50gプ

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

| V | N/A | Was a laboratory control sample (LCS) analyzed at the required frequency in this SDG?
| Y (N ) N/A | Were all LCS percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125%?

LEVEL IV ONLY:

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations. Y N N/A

Qualifications	J+ de15/P												
Associated Samples	all water												
	135 (75-125)												
Isotope	U-235/236										,		
Matrix	water												
TCS ID	705												
*		1											

Comments:

Version 1.0 (3/2/2000)

LDC #: 18016 A59 SDG #: TRNC/D-1RD

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page:	of
Reviewer:_	MG
2nd reviewer:	1

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: PICH-RC- 5067/RICH-)RC- 5087

N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

<u>MN N/A</u> Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Activity (	Pcila,	
Isotopes	3	4	RPD
Tn-228	1.68	1.68	0 (450)
Tu-230	1.84	1.47	22 (   )
Tu-232	1.39	1.93	33 ( )
			·

	Activity (	pci/g)	by difference
Isotopes	3	4	RPD
U- 233/234	0.395	0.173	0.22 pci/g ( < 0.6 pci/g)
U - 238	0.329	0.507	0.18 1 ( 1 )

	Activity (	
Isotopes		RPD

	Activity ( )	· ·
Isotopes		RPD

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** 

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D

**Collection Date:** 

November 12, 2007

LDC Report Date:

January 8, 2008

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium

Validation Level:

EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-2RD

### Sample Identification

TSB-CJ-02-0'\*\*

TSB-CJ-02-10'\*\*

TSB-CJ-01-0'\*\*

TSB-CJ-01-10'\*\*

TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD

TSB-CR-02-0'\*\*

TSB-CR-02-10'\*\*

TSB-CR-01-0'\*\*

TSB-CR-01-10'\*\*

TSB-CR-03-0'

TSB-CR-03-10'

TSB-CJ-05-0'\*\*

TSB-CJ-05-10'

TSB-CJ-06-0'

TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD

TSB-CJ-06-10'

TSB-CR-01-0'DUP

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

### Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per Method RICH-RC5067 for Isotopic Uranium and Method RICH-RC5087 for Isotopic Thorium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

#### II. Calibration

### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each radionuclide of interest.

### b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within control limits.

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

# a. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

### b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### c. Tracer Recovery

All tracer recoveries were within validation criteria

### V. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

# VI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

### VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### **VIII. Field Duplicates**

Samples TSB-CJ-01-0'\*\* and TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD and samples TSB-CJ-06-0' and TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Activi	ty (pCi/g)				
Isotope	TSB-CJ-01-0'**	TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Thorium-228	1.47	1.63	10 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-230	1.33	1.55	15 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-232	1.58	1.28	21 (≤50)	-	-	-
Uranium-233/234	0.529	0.533	-	0.00 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-
Uranium-235/236	0.0223	0.0164U	-	0.01 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-
Uranium-238	0.383	0.451	-	0.07 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-

	Activi	ty (pCi/g)				
Isotope	TSB-CJ-06-0'	TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Thorium-228	1.86	1.49	22 (≤50)	-	•	•
Thorium-230	0.868	0.802	8 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-232	1.57	1.46	7 (≤50)	-	-	-

	Activi	ty (pCi/g)					
Isotope	TSB-CJ-06-0'	TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P	
Uranium-233/234	0.369	0.292		0.08 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-	
Uranium-238	0.251	0.186	-	0.06 pCi/g (≤0.6)	•	-	

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

	: 18016B59 : TRNC/D-2RD atory: Test America	V <i>P</i>	LIDA 1101  	PLETENES: evel III/IV	Date:						
		٥	mA								
IETH	OD: Isotopic Uranium	(EPA-I	Vethod 908/	Method R	ICH-RC5067)	,Isotopic Tho	rium (Method F	RICH-RC-5087)			
he sa	amples listed below we	ere revi	ewed for ea	ch of the f	ollowing valida	ation areas. V	alidation finding	ns are noted in attache			
	ion findings workshee		cwca for ca		onowing rande	allori arcac. V		yo aro notou in attaon			
	1			<u> </u>							
	Validatio	n Area					Comments				
l.	Technical holding times			Α	Sampling dates	: 11-12	- 07				
lla.	Initial calibration			A							
llb.	Calibration verification			_A_							
III.	Blanks			A							
IVa.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike	e) Duplic	ates	Α	DUP						
IVa.	Laboratory control sample	es		Α	LCS						
V.	Tracer Recovery		Α								
VI.	Minimum Detectable Acti	vity (MD/	A)	A							
VII.	Sample result verification			A	Not reviewed for	or Level III valida	tion.				
VIII.	Overall assessment of da			A							
		ita		5W	D= 3+5	D=14	+15				
IX.	Field duplicates Field blanks			7							
ote:	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applica SW = See worksheet ad Samples: ** Indicates sa		R = Rin FB = Fi	eld blank		D = Duplicate TB = Trip bla EB = Equipm	nk				
	all 501	1									
	TSB-CJ-02-0'**	11	TSB-CR-03-1	0'	21		31				
	TSB-CJ-02-10'**	12	TSB-CJ-05-0		22		32				
3 -	TSB-CJ-01-0'**	13	TSB-CJ-05-1	0'	23		33				
-	TSB-CJ-01-10'**	14	TSB-CJ-06-0		24		34				
5 -	TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD	15	TSB-CJ-06-0	-FD	25		35				
-	TSB-CR-02-0'**	16	TSB-CJ-06-1	0'	26		36				
, -	TSB-CR-02-10'**	17	TSB-CR-01-0	DUP (Th,	27		37				
3 -	TSB-CR-01-0'**	18	PBS		28		38				
	TSB-CR-01-10'**	19			29		39				
		1	1		1 1		40				

LDC #: 18016 B59 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

### VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: 46
2nd Reviewer: 1

Method: Radiochemistry (EPA Method RICH-RC-5067/ RICH-RC-5087

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments and detectors calibration as required?	1			
Were NIST traceable standards used for all calibrations?	- /			
Was the check source identified by activity and radionuclide?	//			
Were check sources including background counts analyzed at the requiried frequency and within laboratory control limits?	/			
III. Blanks	1 7			
Were blank analyses performed as required?	<b>/</b>			*
Were any activities detected in the blanks greater than the minimum detectable activity (MDA)? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		/		
IV. Matrix spikes and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP(Soil) Water.	,	/		
Were the MS percent recoveries (%R) within the QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	,		/	
Was a duplicate sample anaylzed at the required frequency of 5% in this SDG?	<b>V</b>			
Were all duplicate sample duplicate error rations (DER) <u>≤1.42?.</u> ± 2.58				
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?	V			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 75-125%				
VI. Sample Chemical/Carrier Recovery				
Was a tracer/carrier added to each sample?				
Were tracer/carrier recoveries within the QC limits?				
VII; Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control		<sub>/</sub> I	- 1	
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			<u> </u>	
VIII. Sample Result Verification	71		<del>- 1</del>	
Were activities adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<b>/</b>			
Were the Minimum Detectable Activities (MDA) < RL?	/			

- 1800 C

LDC #: 18016359 SDG #: TRNC/D-JRD

# **VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST**

Page: 2 of 2
Reviewer: 46
2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
X. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			ŀ
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XI.: Field: blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			/	

LDC #: <u>18016 B</u>59 SDG #: <u>TRNYD</u> - JRD

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page:_	1 of 1
Reviewer:_	MI
2nd reviewer:_	

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH-RC-)5087

<u>⊗</u>N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

WN N/A Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Activity (	pci/g ,	
Isotopes	3	5	RPD
Tn-228	1.47	1.63	10 (=50)
Tn-230	1.33	1.55	15 ( ),
Th-232	1.58	1.28	21 ( 1/)

Isotopes     3       U-233/234     0.529       U-235/236     0.0223	0.533	by different property (£0.	
	0.533	0.00 pci/a (=0.	/ oci/
U-235/236 0.0223			6 pc/g
	0.0164 U	1 ()	
0-238 0.393	0.451	0.07 \ (	4

	Activity (	pci/a)	
Isotopes	14	15	RPD
Th-228	1.86	1.49	<i>22</i> (≤50)
Tu-230	0.868	0.802	8 ( )
Th-232	1.57	1.46	7 (1)
·			

	Activity (	PCi/q )	by difference
Isotopes	14	15	by difference
U-233/234	0.369	0.292	0.08 PCi/4 ( £ 0.6 PCi/4)
U- 238	0.251	0.186	0.06 1 ( 1 )
			·

Varsinn 1 0 (3/2/2000)

TRAC/D-JRD 18016 BS9 LDC #:

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Level IV Recalculation Worksheet**

Page:\_\_ 2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH-RC-5067 / RICH-RC-5987

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample, a matrix spike and a matrix spike duplicate sample were recaluculated using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 True

Found = activity of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. Where,

True = activity of each analyte in the source.

A matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

 $RPD = \frac{1}{1}S - \frac{1}{1}D \times 100$ 

S = Original sample activity Where,

C CIGILIAN SALIPIE ACLIVILY	D = Duplicate sample activity	
•		
	(S+D)/2	

					Recalculated	Reported	
Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Analyte	Found/S (units)	True/D (units)	%R or RPD	%R or RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
	Laboratory control sample						
527		0-938	0.884 (PC/4)	884 (PG/g) 0.901 (PG/g)	8	86	<i>&gt;</i>
	Matrix spike sample			7			
			1	1	l	1	ı
	Duplicate RPD				RERZ	RERJ	
7		N-333/334	U-233/234 0.368 (pc:/2) 0.377 (pc:/3)	0.377 (pc./)	0	0.0	>
	Chemical recovery			:			
		T4-334	63	1.29 (dpm) 750.10 (dpm)	70	h8	<b>&gt;</b>

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 18016 B59 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page:	ot	
Reviewer:	MG	
2nd reviewer:		-

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH-RC-5067/RICH-RC)-5087

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Have results been reported and calculated correctly? (Y) N N/A

(Y) N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?

Analyte results for 出1, Th- 228 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation: Activity =  $\frac{\left(177/199.783\right) - \left(1/998.95\right)}{(2.22)(0.25595)(1.03g)(.8416)} \times 1.0184 = 1.830$ (cpm - bckgrd cpm) (2.22)(E)(Vol)(CF) E = Efficiency

Vol = Volume CF = %R, Self-absorbance, abundance, ect.

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Calculated Concentration ( * C / q )	Acceptable (Y/N)
1		Tu-228	1.83	1.83	· Y
		Tu-230	. 1.10	1.10	
	·	Th- 232	1.63	1.63	
		U-"233/234	0.640	0.641	
		U- 235/236	0.0219	0.0219	
		U-238	0,460	0.460	J
		,			
			•		
		1			
					,

Note:			 	 <del> </del>
	*		 	 

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D

**Collection Date:** 

November 14, 2007

LDC Report Date:

January 8, 2008

Matrix:

Soil

Parameters:

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium

Validation Level:

EPA Level III

Laboratory:

TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-4RD

### Sample Identification

TSB-FR-01-0'

TSB-FR-01-10'

TSB-FJ-07-0'

TSB-FJ-07-10'

TSB-FJ-06-0'

TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD

TSB-FJ-06-10'

TSB-FJ-05-0'

TSB-FJ-05-10'

TSB-DR-01-0'

TSB-DR-01-10'

TSB-DR-02-0'

TSB-DR-02-10'

TSB-DR-02-0'-FD

JB-NW DITCH01-0'

JB-NW DITCH01-10'

TSB-FR-01-10'DUP

TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP

### Introduction

This data review covers 18 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per Method RICH-RC5067 for Isotopic Uranium and Method RICH-RC5087 for Isotopic Thorium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

### I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

### II. Calibration

#### a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each radionuclide of interest.

# b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within control limits.

### III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

### a. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

### b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### c. Tracer Recovery

All tracer recoveries were within validation criteria.

# V. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

## VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

### VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

### VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FJ-06-0' and TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD and samples TSB-DR-02-0' and TSB-DR-02-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Activi	ity (pCi/g)				
Isotope	TSB-FJ-06-0'	TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Thorium-228	1.33	1.81	31 (≤50)	-	•	-
Thorium-230	0.904	1.07	17 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-232	1.33	1.39	4 (≤50)	-	-	-
Uranium-233/234	0.455	0.360	-	0.10 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-
Uranium-238	0.247	0.210	-	0.04 pCi/g (≤0.6)	•	-

	Activ	ity (pCi/g)				
Isotope	TSB-DR-02-0'	TSB-DR-02-0'-FD	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
Thorium-228	1.65	1.47	12 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-230	1.06	1.11	5 (≤50)	-	-	-
Thorium-232	1.57	1.33	17 (≤50)	-	-	
Uranium-233/234	0.584	0.355	-	0.23 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-
Uranium-238	0.374	0.287	-	0.09 pCi/g (≤0.6)	-	-

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

	#: 18016C59 #: TRNC/D-4RD ratory: Test America	V#	ALIDATIO 		PLETENESS W Level III		Date: 1-7-	
		9m A				•	2nd Reviewer:	
MET	HOD: Isotopic Uraniu	m ( <del>EPA</del>	Method 908	Method R	RICH-RC5067),Isoto	opic Thorium (Method RI	CH-RC-5087)	
	samples listed below vation findings workshe		ewed for ea	ch of the f	following validation	areas. Validation findings	s are noted in attached	
rana	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			T				
	<u>Validat</u>	ion Area			Comments			
l.	Technical holding times	3		A	Sampling dates:	11-14-07		
lia.	Initial calibration			Α				
IIb.	Calibration verification			Α				
111.	Blanks			Α				
IVa	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Sp	ike) Duplic	ates	Α	DUP			
lVa	Laboratory control sam	ples		Α	LCS			
V.	Tracer Recovery			A				
VI.	Minimum Detectable A	Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)						
VII.				N		•		
VIII	Overall assessment of							
IX.	Field duplicates			SW	D=5+6	D=12+14		
x	Field blanks			7				
Note:	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applic SW = See worksheet	cable	R = Rin	o compound sate eld blank	TB	= Duplicate = Trip blank = Equipment blank		
	ted Samples:							
1	TSB-FR-01-0'	11	TSB-DR-01-1	0'	21	31		
2	TSB-FR-01-10'	12	TSB-DR-02-0	,	22	32		
3	TSB-FJ-07-0'	13	TSB-DR-02-1	0'	23	33		
4	TSB-FJ-07-10'	14	TSB-DR-02-0'-FD		24	34		
5	TSB-FJ-06-0'	15	JB-NW DITCH01-0'		25	35		
6	TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD	16	JB-NW DITCH01-10'		26	36		
7	TSB-FJ-06-10'	17	TSB-FR-01-1		27	37		
8	TSB-FJ-05-0'	18	TSB-FJ-07-0'	11/	28	38		
9	TSB-FJ-05-10'	19	PBS		29	39		
10	TSB-DR-01-0'	20	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		30	40		
		1				1 - 1		

LDC #: 18016C59 SDG #: TRNC/D-4RD

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: 1

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH - RC- 5067/ RICH - RC-5087

N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

	Activity (	ocily,	
Isotopes	5	6	RPD
Th-228	1.33	1.81	31 (≤50)
Th-230	0.904	1.07	17 (1)
Tn-232	1.33	1.39	4 (1)

	Activity (	pci/g)	by difference	
Isotopes	5	6	by difference	
U- 233/234	0.455	0.360	0.10 pci/g (= 0.6 pci/g	
U-238	0.247	0.210	0.04 ] ( )	

	Activity (	pci/g)		
Isotopes	12	14	RPD	
Th-228	1.65	1.47	12 (€50)	
Th-230	1.06	1.11	5 ( )	
Tn-232	1.57	1.33	17 ( )	
	·			

	Activity (	pci/g)	by difference
Isotopes	12	14	RPD
U-233/234	0.584	0.355	0.23 PCi/ (£ 0.6 PCi/g)
U-238	0.374	0.287	0.09 1 ( 1 )
	·		
			·