

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

January 9, 2008

ERM 2525 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95833 ATTN: Ms. Maria Barajas-Albalawi

SUBJECT: BRC Tronox Parcel C/D, Data Validation

Dear Ms. Barajas-Albalawi

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on December 24, 2007. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 18016:

<u>SDG #</u>

Fraction

TRNC/D-1RD, TRNC/D-2RD,
TRNC/D-4RDRadium-226 & Radium-228, Isotopic Uranium &
Isotopic Thorium

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Salla ane for Erlinda T. Rauto

Erlinda T. Rauto Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

| accordination of the control BRC Tronox Parcel C/D) cl accordination of the control | | 3,546 Pages-CD | | | | | | | | | | | | ₹ | Ittaci | Jmer | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ٦ |
|---|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------|------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|---|----------|---|-----|-----|----------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---|---|------------|-----------|----------|
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | ŀ | 80/20 | | | | | | Ö | #18 | 1016 | 3 (E | RM | °, | acre | ame | ∌nto |) / E | 3RC | T | ů. | X | Par | cel | C/D | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interconsine Interconsinte Interconsinter | <u> </u> | SDG# | DATE REC'D | (3) DATE DUE | The (5 | so. 3rium 087) | (2(F | so. U 067) | Ra (50 | -226 105) | Ra-ź (500 | 128 15) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transco-striction 222447777146666 1 | ĬŢ | Water/Soil | | | N | S | ≥ | S | N | s | 3 | s | 3 | s S | v s | ≥ | S | 3 | s | ∣≥ | S | ≥ | s | ₹ | S | 3 | 0 | s N | 3 | s > | ≥ | s | ≥ | S | 3 | S |
| Trencolosion 1 <t< td=""><td>_</td><td>TRNC/D-1RD</td><td>12/24/07</td><td>01/16/08</td><td>4</td><td>11</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>11</td><td>۲</td><td>11</td><td>\vdash</td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td>╞</td><td>\vdash</td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></t<> | _ | TRNC/D-1RD | 12/24/07 | 01/16/08 | 4 | 11 | | 1 | - | 11 | ۲ | 11 | \vdash | | | | | | | | | | | | | ╞ | \vdash | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Trancolation Instructioned Instructioned </td <td></td> <td>TRNC/D-2RD</td> <td>12/24/07</td> <td>01/16/08</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ļ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\vdash</td> <td>-</td> <td>T</td> | | TRNC/D-2RD | 12/24/07 | 01/16/08 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | - | | - | | | | | | | | \vdash | - | T |
| Trancolation includence (is (is) (is (is) | | TRNC/D-2RD | 12/24/07 | 01/16/08 | 3 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | | - | | | | | ļ | | | | | | | ╢── | \vdash | | | | | | | | \vdash | I |
| | | TRNC/D-4RD | 12/24/07 | 01/16/08 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ┢── | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | \square | <u> </u> | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | $\left \right $ | ╞ | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | - | \square | - | | ļ | | | \square | ┢ | T |
| | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | \square | | ┝ | ┡ | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | 1 | \uparrow | | | | | ╞ | | | | | \square | T |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | | | | | | | | | | | | \square | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | | | | + | - | - | | ļ | | | \uparrow | | T |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | \uparrow | \vdash | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | - | - | | _ | | | | ┢ | ┢ | Г |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | _ | | L | | | | | | | \vdash | ┢ | | - | | | | | \square | | Γ |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | | | | | | L | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | | | ┢ | | - | | | | | | | | \uparrow | + | T |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | - | | - | | | | | | | | | | | ┢ | | - | | | | | | \uparrow | \vdash | r en |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | | | | | ļ | | | | | | | <u> </u> | - | ┝ | ┣ | | | <u> </u> | | L | | | | | 1 | ┢ | \vdash | | | ļ | <u> </u> | | | - | \square | T |
| $ \begin{array}{ $ | | | | | | | L | | | | | | \vdash | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ╞ | <u> </u> | | | | | | T |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \vdash | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | L | | | | | | | | F | | | \vdash | | | | | | | | | Γ |
| $ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Image: Contract of the contract | _ | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | | | Γ |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Γ |
| 1 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | ┢ | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | ļ | | | | | r |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ľ | | 1 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | \vdash | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | | 1 |
| Image: 1 minimum minimu | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| T | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| TLR 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | \vdash | | | | | ļ | | | | | \vdash | I |
| 1 T/LR 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 0< | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | \vdash | | | | _ | ļ | | | | | | Γ |
| Image: 1 triangle from the state of the | | | | | | | | | | | | - | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | 1 |
| I T/LR 1 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | ╞ | ┝ | | | ┞ | | L | | | | | | ┢ | ┢ | | | | | | | | | \uparrow | ┢ | T |
| I T/LR 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 1 43 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Н | | | | Ц | | | | | | | | \vdash | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | Î. |
| | _ | T/LR | | | _ | 43 | - | 43 | - | 43 | - | 43 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Data Validation Reports LDC# 18016

Radium-226 & Radium-228



Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

| Project/Site | Name: | BRC Tronox Parcel C | /D |
|--------------|-------|---------------------|----|
|--------------|-------|---------------------|----|

Collection Date: November 9, 2007

LDC Report Date: January 7, 2008

Matrix: Soil/Water

Parameters: Radium-226 & Radium-228

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: TestAmerica, Inc.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-1RD

Sample Identification

TSB-CR-07-0' TSB-CJ-08-0' TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD TSB-CJ-08-10' TSB-CJ-04-0' TSB-CJ-04-10' TSB-CJ-04-10' TSB-CJ-07-0' TSB-CJ-07-10' TSB-CJ-03-10' RINSATE 1 TSB-CR-07-0'DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 12 soil samples and one water sample listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 901.1/RICH Method RC5017 for soil samples for Radium-226 and Radium-228, EPA Method 903.1/RICH Method RC5005 for water samples for Radium-226, and EPA Method 904.0/RICH Method RC5005 for water samples for Radium-228.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each detector and each radionuclide.

Self absorption factors were determined for each sample when applicable.

b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within laboratory control limits.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

Sample "RINSATE 1" was identified as a rinsate. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

c. Chemical Recovery

All chemical recoveries were within validation criteria.

V. Minimum Detectable Activity

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-CJ-08-0' and TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No radium-226 or radium-228 was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

savava tar da con

| | Activit | y (pCi/g) | | | |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-CJ-08-0' | TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Radium-226 | 0.990 | 0.929 | 7 (≤50) | - | • |
| Radium-228 | 1.62 | 1.60 | 1 (≤50) | - | - |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

HAR HEARING REPORTED TO THE REPORT OF A CONTRACT OF A C

| LDC #: <u>18016A29</u> | VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET | Date: <u>1~7-0</u> 8 |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------|
| SDG #: TRNC/D-1RD | Level III | Page:of |
| Laboratory: Test America | | Reviewer: MG |
| & Sail: Radiu | m-276, Radium - 228 (EPA Meth 901.1/RILH-RC-5017)2nc | l Reviewer: |

9n K

Mater S METHOD: Radium 226 (EPA Method 903.1/Method RICH-RC5005) Radium 228 (EPA Method 904.0/Method RICH-RC5005)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

| | Validation Area | | Comments |
|-------|--|----|-------------------------|
| ١. | Technical holding times | A | Sampling dates: 11-9-07 |
| IIa. | Initial calibration | A | |
| IIb. | Calibration verification | A | |
| 111. | Blanks | A | |
| IVa. | Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates | À | DUP |
| IVb. | Laboratory control samples | A | LCS |
| IVc. | Chemical recovery | A | Water only |
| V. | Sample result verification | N | |
| VI. | Minimum dectectable activity (MDA) | A | |
| VII. | Overall assessment of data | A | |
| VIII. | Field duplicates | SW | D=3+4 |
| XIV | Field blanks | ND | R= 12 |

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

| 1 | TSB-CR-07-0' S | 11 | TSB-CJ-03-10' 5 | 21 | 31 |
|----|-----------------|-----|-------------------|----|--------|
| 2 | TSB-CR-07-10' | 122 | RINSATE 1 | 22 | 32 |
| 3 | TSB-CJ-08-0' | 13 | TSB-CR-07-0'DUP S | 23 | 33 |
| 4 | TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD | 14 | PBS | 24 | 34 |
| 5 | TSB-CJ-08-10' | 152 | PBW | 25 | 35 |
| 6 | TSB-CJ-04-0' | 16 | | 26 | 36 |
| 7 | TSB-CJ-04-10' | 17 | | 27 | 37 |
| 8 | TSB-CJ-07-0' | 18 | | 28 | 38 |
| 9 | TSB-CJ-07-10' | 19 | | 29 | 39 |
| 10 | TSB-CJ-03-0' | 20 | | 30 | 40 |

Notes:

LDC #: 18016A29 SDG #: TRNC/D-1RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET <u>Field Duplicates</u>

| Page: | <u>_/_</u> of/ |
|----------------|----------------|
| Reviewer: | MG |
| 2nd reviewer:_ | 1~ |

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: See cover)

| | Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? |
|--------|---|
| ON N/A | Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs? |

| | Activity (| PCi/q) | |
|----------|------------|--------|------------------|
| Isotopes | 3 | 4 | RPD |
| Ra-226 | 0.999 | 0.929 | 7 (±50) |
| Ra-228 | 1.62 | 1.60 | (\downarrow) |
| | | | |
| | | • | |
| | | | |

| | Activity () | |
|----------|-------------|-----|
| Isotopes | | RPD |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Activity (|) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|----------|------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Isotopes | | | RPD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | - | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (| <u>)</u> | · |
|----------|------------|----------|-----|
| Isotopes | | | RPD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | |

LDC Report# 18016B29

AREASTACING RECEIPTION OF AN AND AN

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

| Project/Site Name: | BRC Tronox Parcel C/D |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Collection Date: | November 12, 2007 |
| LDC Report Date: | January 7, 2008 |
| Matrix: | Soil |
| Parameters: | Radium-226 & Radium-228 |
| Validation Level: | EPA Level III & IV |
| Laboratory: | TestAmerica, Inc. |
| | |

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-2RD

Sample Identification

TSB-CJ-02-0'** TSB-CJ-02-10'** TSB-CJ-01-0'** TSB-CJ-01-10'** TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD TSB-CR-02-0'** TSB-CR-02-10'** TSB-CR-01-0'** TSB-CR-01-10'** TSB-CR-03-0' TSB-CR-03-10' TSB-CJ-05-0'** TSB-CJ-05-10' TSB-CJ-06-0' TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD TSB-CJ-06-10' TSB-CR-01-0'DUP

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 901.1/RICH Method RC5017 for Radium-226 and Radium-228.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each detector and each radionuclide.

Self absorption factors were determined for each sample when applicable.

b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within laboratory control limits.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

c. Chemical Recovery

All chemical recoveries were within validation criteria.

V. Minimum Detectable Activity

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

VI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-CJ-01-0'** and TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD and samples TSB-CJ-06-0' and TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No radium-226 or radium-228 was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| | Activity | / (pCi/g) | | | |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-CJ-01-0'** | TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Radium-226 | 1.05 | 1.17 | 11 (≤50) | - | - |
| Radium-228 | 1.83 | 1.87 | 2 (≤50) | - | - |

| | Activit | y (pCi/g) | | | |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|
| lsotope | TSB-CJ-06-0' | TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Radium-226 | 0.894 | 0.980 | 9 (≤50) | - | - |
| Radium-228 | 1.76 | 1.52 | 15 (≤50) | - | - |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

| LDC | #: | 1 | 80' | 16 | B 2 | 9 |
|-----|----|---|-----|----|------------|---|
| | | | | | | |

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III/IV

Date: <u>1-7-0</u>8 Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Reviewer: <u>M(</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u></u>

SDG #: <u>TRNC/D-2RD</u> Laboratory: <u>Test America</u>

914 Radium - 226, Radium - 228 (EPA Meth 901.1/RICH-RC-5017)

METHOD: Radium 226 (EPA Method 903.1/Method RICH-RC5005) Radium 228 (EPA Method 904.0/Method RICH-RC5005)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

| | Validation Area | | Comments |
|--------|--|----|--|
| Ι. | Technical holding times | A | Sampling dates: 11-12-07 |
| IIa. | Initial calibration | A | |
| lib. | Calibration verification | A | |
| - 111. | Blanks | A | |
| IVa. | Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates | A | DUP |
| IVb. | Laboratory control samples | A | LCS |
| IVc. | Chemical recovery | N | |
| V. | Sample result verification | A | Not reviewed for Level III validation. |
| VI. | Minimum dectectable activity (MDA) | A | |
| VII. | Overall assessment of data | A | |
| VIII. | Field duplicates | SW | D = 3 + 5 $D = 14 + 15$ |
| | Field blanks | 2 | |

Note: A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

| 1 | TSB-CJ-02-0'** | 11 | TSB-CR-03-10' | 21 | 31 |
|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|----|
| 2 | TSB-CJ-02-10'** | 12 | TSB-CJ-05-0'** | 22 | 32 |
| 3 | TSB-CJ-01-0'** | 13 | TSB-CJ-05-10' | 23 | 33 |
| 4 | TSB-CJ-01-10'** | 14 | TSB-CJ-06-0' | 24 | 34 |
| 5 | TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD | 15 | TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD | 25 | 35 |
| 6 | TSB-CR-02-0'** | 16 | TSB-CJ-06-10' | 26 | 36 |
| 7 | TSB-CR-02-10'** | 17 | TSB-CR-01-0'DUP | 27 | 37 |
| 8 | TSB-CR-01-0'** | 18 | PBS | 28 | 38 |
| 9 | TSB-CR-01-10'** | 19 | | 29 | 39 |
| 10 | TSB-CR-03-0' | 20 | | 30 | 40 |

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: <u>1</u> of <u>2</u> Reviewer: <u>MG</u> 2nd Reviewer:

| Method:Radiochemistry(EPA Method 991.1/ RICH)-Rc - 5017 | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|----|-------------------|--|
| Validation Area | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments | |
| 1. Technical holding times | | | | | |
| All technical holding times were met. | | | | | |
| II. Celibration | | | | | |
| Were all instruments and detectors calibration as required? | V | | | | |
| Were NIST traceable standards used for all calibrations? | ./ | | | | |
| Was the check source identified by activity and radionuclide? | 1 | | | | |
| Were check sources including background counts analyzed at the requiried frequency and within laboratory control limits? | \ | | | | |
| III. Blanks | 1 | | | I | |
| Were blank analyses performed as required? | / | | | - | |
| Were any activities detected in the blanks greater than the minimum detectable activity (MDA)? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet. | | | | | |
| IV. Matrix spikes and Duplicates | | | | | |
| Were a matrix spike (MS) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP(Soil) Water. | | / | | | |
| Were the MS percent recoveries (%R) within the QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. | 1 | | / | | |
| Was a duplicate sample anaylzed at the required frequency of 5% in this SDG? | <i>✓</i> | | | | |
| Were all duplicate sample duplicate error rations (DER) $\leq 1.42?$. 2.58 | . 🗸 | | | · | |
| V. Laboratory control samples | | | | | |
| Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch? | \checkmark | | | | |
| Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 75-125% | \checkmark | | | | |
| VI. Sample Chemical/Carrier Recovery | | | | | |
| Was a tracer/carrier added to each sample? | | \checkmark | | | |
| Were tracer/carrier recoveries within the QC limits? | | | | | |
| VIL Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control | | | | | |
| Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed? | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits? | | | | | |
| VIII, Sample Result Verification | | | | | |
| Were activities adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation? | \checkmark | | | | |
| Were the Minimum Detectable Activities (MDA) < RL? | V | | | | |

18016329 LDC #: 18016329 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2 Reviewer: MG 2nd Reviewer:

| Validation Area | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|--|--------------|----|--------------|-------------------|
| IX. Overall assessment of data | | | | |
| Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable. | | | | |
| X. Field duplicates | | | | |
| Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG. | | | | I |
| Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates. | \checkmark | | | |
| XI. Field blanks | | | | |
| Field blanks were identified in this SDG. | | / | | |
| Terget analytes were detected in the field blanks. | | | \checkmark | |

LDC #: 18016 B29 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

1.5 2.5 2.5

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: ____of ____ Reviewer: ______G___ 2nd reviewer: ______

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC- 5017)

| <u>() N/A</u> | Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? |
|------------------|---|
| <u>(Y) N N/A</u> | Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs? |

| | Activity (| pcilg, | |
|----------|------------|--------|----------|
| lsotopes | 3 | 5 | RPD |
| Ra-226 | 1.05 | 1.17 | 11 (±50) |
| Ra-228 | 1.83 | 1.87 | a () |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (| pcila, | |
|----------|------------|--------|----------|
| Isotopes | . 14 | 15 | RPD |
| Ra-226 | 0.894 | 0.980 | 9 (= 50) |
| Ra-228 | 1.76 | 1.52 | 15 (↓) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (|) | |
|----------|------------|---|-----|
| Isotopes | | | RPD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | · · |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (|) | |
|----------|------------|---|-----|
| Isotopes | | | RPD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 10016 839 | TRNC/D-3RD |
|-----------|------------|
| DC #: | JG #: |
| В | SDG |

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

ō Page:___ 2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:

p.

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: <u>901.1/R1CH-RC-5017</u>

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample, a matrix spike and a matrix spike duplicate sample were recaluculated using the following formula:

Found = activity of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. True = activity of each analyte in the source. Where, %R = Found x 100 True

A matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

| S = Original sample activity | D = Duplicate sample activity |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Where, | |
| RPD = <u>IS-D1</u> × 100 | (S+D)/2 |

| | | | | | Recalculated | Reported | |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| Sample ID | Type of Analysis | Analyte | Found/S (units) | True/D (units) | %R or RPD | %R or BPD | Acceptable |
| | Laboratory control sample | | | | | | (11) |
| rc S | | Cs-137 | 8.02 (pcify) | 7.98 (PG/ | 101 | 101 | > |
| | Matrix spike sample | | | , , , | | | - |
| 1 | |) | 1 | | | | |
| | Duplicate RPD | | | | RER2 | RERJ | |
| | | 8a-236 | 0.755 (pc:/a) | 0.936 (PC:/2) | ۲.1 | 1.7 | > |
| | Chemical recovery | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | l | | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET LDC #: 18016 1329 Page: SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD **Sample Calculation Verification** Reviewer: 2nd reviewer: METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC- 5017) Please see gualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". Have results been reported and calculated correctly? (() N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments? (Y) N N/AAnalyte results for $\underline{\#1}$, $Ra - \partial \partial g$ and verified using the following equation: reported with a positive detect were recalculated **Recalculation:** Activity = . $\frac{(cpm - bckgrd cpm)}{(2.22)(E)(Vol)(CF)} \qquad (931/1000)$ E = Efficiency Vol = Volume CF = %R, Self-absorbance, abundance, ect. $(931/1000) = 1.680 \quad PCi/g$ (cpm - bckgrd cpm)__ Reported Calculated Concentration Concentration Acceptable (PCi/g) Analyte (pcik) (Y/N) # Sample ID 1.03 1.03 Ra-226 Y Ra-228 1.69 1.68 .11 ... I 1. . . 4

Note:

LDC Report# 18016C29

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

| Project/Site Name: | BRC Tronox Parcel C/D |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Collection Date: | November 14, 2007 |
| LDC Report Date: | January 7, 2008 |
| Matrix: | Soil |
| Parameters: | Radium-226 & Radium-228 |
| Validation Level: | EPA Level III |
| Laboratory: | TestAmerica, Inc. |
| | |

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-4RD

Sample Identification

TSB-FR-01-0' TSB-FR-01-10' TSB-FJ-07-0' TSB-FJ-07-10' TSB-FJ-06-0' TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD TSB-FJ-06-10' TSB-FJ-05-0' TSB-FJ-05-10' TSB-DR-01-0' TSB-DR-01-10' TSB-DR-02-0' TSB-DR-02-10' TSB-DR-02-0'-FD JB-NW DITCH01-0' JB-NW DITCH01-10' TSB-FR-01-0'DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per EPA Method 901.1/RICH Method RC5017 for Radium-226 and Radium-228.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

ŝ

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each detector and each radionuclide.

Self absorption factors were determined for each sample when applicable.

b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within laboratory control limits.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

c. Chemical Recovery

All chemical recoveries were within validation criteria.

V. Minimum Detectable Activity

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FJ-06-0' and TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD and samples TSB-DR-02-0' and TSB-DR-02-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No radium-226 or radium-228 was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| | Activity | / (pCi/g) | | | |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-FJ-06-0' | TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Radium-226 | 0.946 | 0.950 | 0 (≤50) | - | - |
| Radium-228 | 1.82 | 1.73 | 5 (≤50) | - | - |

| | Activity (pCi/g) | | | | - |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-DR-02-0' | TSB-DR-02-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Radium-226 | 1.10 | 0.979 | 12 (≤50) | - | - |
| Radium-228 | 1.84 | 1.77 | 4 (≤50) | - | - |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Radium-226 & Radium-228 - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

| LDC #:_ | 18016C29 |
|---------|------------|
| SDG #: | TRNC/D-4RD |

 $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: <u>1-7-08</u> Page: <u>1 of 1</u> Reviewer: <u>MG</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u>1</u>

4 10 10 10 10 10

1.00

Laboratory: Test America

Level III

_aboratory._rest America

an se hele for le 1976 de la seu presentar en la construction de la construction de la construction de la const

9nA Radium - 226, Radium - 228 (EPA Meth 901.1 / RICH-RC-5017)^{2nd Reviewer: _____} METHOD: Radium 226 (EPA Method 903.1/Method RICH-RC5005) Radium 228 (EPA Method 904.0/Method RICH-RC5005)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

| | Validation Area | | Comments |
|-------|--|----|--------------------------|
| ١. | Technical holding times | A | Sampling dates: 11-14-07 |
| lla. | Initial calibration | А | |
| Ilb. | Calibration verification | A | |
| 111. | Blanks | A | |
| IVa. | Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates | A | DUP |
| IVb. | Laboratory control samples | A | LCS |
| IVc. | Chemical recovery | N | |
| V. | Sample result verification | N | |
| VI. | Minimum dectectable activity (MDA) | A | |
| VII. | Overall assessment of data | A | |
| VIII. | Field duplicates | SW | D=5+6, D=12+14 |
| | Field blanks | N | |

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

| vanue | all soil | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------|----|------------------|----|----|--|
| 1 | TSB-FR-01-0' | 11 | TSB-DR-01-10' | 21 | 31 | |
| 2 | TSB-FR-01-10' | 12 | TSB-DR-02-0' | 22 | 32 | |
| 3 | TSB-FJ-07-0' | 13 | TSB-DR-02-10' | 23 | 33 | |
| 4 | TSB-FJ-07-10' | 14 | TSB-DR-02-0'-FD | 24 | 34 | |
| 5 | TSB-FJ-06-0' | 15 | JB-NW DITCH01-0' | 25 | 35 | |
| 6 | TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD | 16 | JB-NW DITCH01-10 | 26 | 36 | |
| 7 | TSB-FJ-06-10' | 17 | TSB-FR-01-0'DUP | 27 | 37 | |
| 8 | TSB-FJ-05-0' | 18 | PBS | 28 | 38 | |
| 9 | TSB-FJ-05-10' | 19 | | 29 | 39 | |
| 10 | TSB-DR-01-0' | 20 | | 30 | 40 | |

Notes:

LDC #: 18016039 SDG #: TRNC/D-4RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates



METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: 901.1 / RICH-RC-5417)

and the second second second

| ØN. | N/A |
|-------|-----|
| (V) N | N/A |

er a den sond et en sørenden og er

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

| | Activity (PCi/g) | | |
|----------|--------------------|-------|----------|
| lsotopes | 5 | 6 | RPD |
| Ra-226 | 0.946 | 0.950 | 0 (± 50) |
| Ra - 228 | 1.82 | 1.73 | 5 (1) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (| PCi/g) | |
|----------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Isotopes | 12 | 14 | RPD |
| Ra- 226 | 1.10 | 0.979 | 12 (= 50) |
| Ra-228 | 1.84 | 1.77 | 4 (1) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (|) | |
|----------|------------|---|-----|
| Isotopes | | | RPD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| · · · | Activity (|) | |
|----------|------------|---|-----|
| Isotopes | · · · | | RPD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Data Validation Reports LDC# 18016

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium



LDC Report# 18016A59

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

| Project/Site Name: | BRC Tronox Parcel C/D |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Collection Date: | November 9, 2007 |
| LDC Report Date: | January 8, 2008 |
| Matrix: | Soil/Water |
| Parameters: | Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium |
| Validation Level: | EPA Level III |
| Laboratory: | TestAmerica, Inc. |
| | |

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-1RD

Sample Identification

TSB-CR-07-0' TSB-CJ-08-0' TSB-CJ-08-0' TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD TSB-CJ-08-10' TSB-CJ-04-0' TSB-CJ-04-10' TSB-CJ-07-0' TSB-CJ-07-10' TSB-CJ-03-0' TSB-CJ-03-10' RINSATE 1 TSB-CR-07-10'DUP TSB-CJ-08-0'DUP RINSATE 1DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 13 soil samples and 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per Method RICH-RC5067 for Isotopic Uranium and Method RICH-RC5087 for Isotopic Thorium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each radionuclide of interest.

b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within control limits.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

Sample "RINSATE 1" was identified as a rinsate. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium contaminants were found in this blank.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID | Isotope | %R (Limits) | Associated Samples | Flag | A or P |
|--------|-----------|--------------|--|------------------|--------|
| LCS | U-235/236 | 135 (75-125) | All water samples in SDG TRNC/D-1RD | J+ (all detects) | Р |

c. Tracer Recovery

All tracer recoveries were within validation criteria.

V. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-CJ-08-0' and TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| | Activ | ity (pCi/g) | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-CJ-08-0' | TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Difference (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Thorium-228 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 0 (≤50) | - | * | - |
| Thorium-230 | 1.84 | 1.47 | 22 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-232 | 1.39 | 1.93 | 33 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Uranium-233/234 | 0.395 | 0.173 | - | 0.22 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |
| Uranium-238 | 0.329 | 0.507 | - | 0.18 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

| SDG | Sample | Isotope | Flag | A or P | Reason |
|------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| TRNC/D-1RD | RINSATE 1 | U-235/236 | J+ (all detects) | Ρ | Laboratory control samples (%R) |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG TRNC/D-1RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III

LDC #: 18016A59 SDG #: TRNC/D-1RD Laboratory: Test America

| Date: | 1-7-08 |
|---------------|--------|
| Page:_ | of |
| Reviewer: | MG |
| 2nd Reviewer: | \sim |

如用

METHOD: Isotopic Uranium (EPA-Method 908/Method RICH-RC5067), Isotopic Thorium (Method RICH-RC-5087)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

| | Validation Area | | Comments |
|-------|--|----|-------------------------|
| 1. | Technical holding times | A | Sampling dates: 11-9-07 |
| IIa. | Initial calibration | A | |
| IIb. | Calibration verification | A | |
| 111. | Blanks | A | |
| iVa. | Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates | A | DUP |
| iVa. | Laboratory control samples | Sw | 105 |
| V. | Tracer Recovery | A | |
| VI. | Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) | A | |
| VII. | Sample result verification | N | |
| VIII. | Overall assessment of data | A | |
| IX. | Field duplicates | SW | D=3+4 |
| × | Field blanks | ND | R=12 |

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

| 1 | TSB-CR-07-0' 5 | 11 | TSB-CJ-03-10' S | 21 | 31 | |
|----|-----------------|-----|------------------------|----|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | TSB-CR-07-10' | 122 | RINSATE1 ₩ | 22 | 32 | |
| 3 | TSB-CJ-08-0' | 13 | TSB-CR-07-10'DUP(Th) S | 23 | 33 | |
| 4 | TSB-CJ-08-0'-FD | 14 | TSB-CJ-08-0'DUP | 24 | 34 | |
| 5 | TSB-CJ-08-10' | 152 | RINSATE 1DUP | 25 | 35 | |
| 6 | TSB-CJ-04-0' | 16 | PBS | 26 | 36 | |
| 7 | TSB-CJ-04-10' | 172 | PBW | 27 | 37 | |
| 8 | TSB-CJ-07-0' | 18 | | 28 | 38 | |
| 9 | TSB-CJ-07-10' | 19 | | 29 | 39 | |
| 10 | TSB-CJ-03-0' | 20 | | 30 | 40 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Notes:

| 19016 A59 | TRNC/D-IRD |
|-----------|------------|
| #: | .: # |
| Б | SDG |

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

5 ž Page: / Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RicH-Re- 5067/ RICH-RC) 5087

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>Y N/A</u> Was a laboratory control sample (LCS) analyzed at the required frequency in this SDG? <u>Y (N)N/A</u> Were all LCS percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125%? LEVEL IV ONLY:

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations. Y N N/A

| | Qualifications | J+ dets/P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|-------|--|
| | Associated Samples | all water | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | %R (limits) | 135 (75-125) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Isotope | U-235/236 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Matrix | water | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LCS ID | LCS | | | | | | | | | | | | | ents: | |
| | * | _ | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | Comm | |

Version 1.0 (3/2/2000)

LDC #: 18016 A59 SDG #: TRNC/D-IRD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates



METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH-RC- 5067/RICH-)RC- 5087

account to the set

| (Y)N | N/A |
|-------|-----|
| (Y) N | N/A |

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

| | Activity (| pcily, | |
|----------|------------|--------|----------|
| lsotopes | 3 | 4 | RPD |
| Th-228 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 0 (= 50) |
| Tu-230 | 1.84 | 1.47 | 22 (]) |
| T4-232 | 1.39 | 1.93 | 33 (1) |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| · · · · · | Activity (| pc:/g) | he difference |
|-----------|------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Isotopes | 3 | 4 | RPD |
| U-233/234 | 0.395 | 0.173 | 0.22 pci/g (= 0.6 pci/g) |
| U - 238 | 0.329 | 0.507 | 0.18 ↓ (↓) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity () | |
|----------|--------------|-----|
| lsotopes | | RPD |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Activity () | |
|----------|--------------|-----|
| Isotopes | | RPD |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

LDC Report# 18016B59

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

| Project/Site Name: | BRC Tronox Parcel C/D |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Collection Date: | November 12, 2007 |
| LDC Report Date: | January 8, 2008 |
| Matrix: | Soil |
| Parameters: | Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium |
| Validation Level: | EPA Level III & IV |
| Laboratory: | TestAmerica, Inc. |
| | |

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-2RD

Sample Identification

TSB-CJ-02-0'** TSB-CJ-02-10'** TSB-CJ-01-0'** TSB-CJ-01-10'** TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD TSB-CR-02-0'** TSB-CR-02-10'** TSB-CR-01-0'** TSB-CR-01-10'** TSB-CR-03-0' TSB-CR-03-10' TSB-CJ-05-0'** TSB-CJ-05-10' TSB-CJ-06-0' TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD TSB-CJ-06-10' TSB-CR-01-0'DUP

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 17 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per Method RICH-RC5067 for Isotopic Uranium and Method RICH-RC5087 for Isotopic Thorium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each radionuclide of interest.

b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within control limits.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

c. Tracer Recovery

All tracer recoveries were within validation criteria.

V. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

VI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-CJ-01-0'** and TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD and samples TSB-CJ-06-0' and TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| | Activi | ity (pCi/g) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-CJ-01-0'** | TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Difference (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Thorium-228 | 1.47 | 1.63 | 10 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-230 | 1.33 | 1.55 | 15 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-232 | 1.58 | 1.28 | 21 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Uranium-233/234 | 0.529 | 0.533 | - | 0.00 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |
| Uranium-235/236 | 0.0223 | 0.0164U | - | 0.01 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | _ |
| Uranium-238 | 0.383 | 0.451 | - | 0.07 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | • |

| | Activi | ty (pCi/g) | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | Isotope TSB-CJ-06-0' TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD | | RPD (Limits) | Difference (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Thorium-228 | 1.86 | 1.49 | 22 (≤50) | - | • | - |
| Thorium-230 | 0.868 | 0.802 | 8 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-232 | 1.57 | 1.46 | 7 (≤50) | - | - | - |

| | Activi | ty (pCi/g) | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-CJ-06-0' | TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Difference (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Uranium-233/234 | 0.369 | 0.292 | - | 0.08 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |
| Uranium-238 | 0.251 | 0.186 | - | 0.06 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |

And exclusion of the methods

menu con el el accionencia a c

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG TRNC/D-2RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III/IV

Date: <u>1-7-08</u> Page: <u>1 of 1</u> Reviewer: <u>MG</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u></u>

mA

METHOD: Isotopic Uranium (EPA-Method-908/Method RICH-RC5067), Isotopic Thorium (Method RICH-RC-5087)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

| | Validation Area | | Comments |
|-------|--|----|--|
| Ι. | Technical holding times | A | Sampling dates: 11-12-07 |
| lla. | Initial calibration | A | |
| llb. | Calibration verification | A | |
| Ш. | Blanks | A | |
| iVa. | Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates | A | DUP |
| IVa. | Laboratory control samples | A | LCS |
| V. | Tracer Recovery | A | |
| VI. | Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) | A | |
| VII. | Sample result verification | A | Not reviewed for Level III validation. |
| VIII. | Overall assessment of data | A | |
| IX. | Field duplicates | 5W | D= 3+5 D= 14+15 |
| L x | Field blanks | 2 | |

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

| 1 | TSB-CJ-02-0'** | 11 | TSB-CR-03-10' | 21 | 31 |
|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|----|
| 2 | TSB-CJ-02-10'** | 12 | TSB-CJ-05-0'** | 22 | 32 |
| 3 | TSB-CJ-01-0'** | 13 | TSB-CJ-05-10' | 23 | 33 |
| 4 | TSB-CJ-01-10'** | 14 | TSB-CJ-06-0' | 24 | 34 |
| 5 | TSB-CJ-01-0'-FD | 15 | TSB-CJ-06-0'-FD | 25 | 35 |
| 6 | TSB-CR-02-0'** | 16 | TSB-CJ-06-10' | 26 | 36 |
| 7 | TSB-CR-02-10'** | 17 | TSB-CR-01-0'DUP | 27 | 37 |
| 8 | TSB-CR-01-0'** | 18 | PBS | 28 | 38 |
| 9 | TSB-CR-01-10'** | 19 | | 29 | 39 |
| 10 | TSB-CR-03-0' | 20 | | 30 | 40 |

Notes:_

Note:

LDC #: 18016B59 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD Laboratory: Test America

Lovor III

| Validation Area | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| I. Technical holding times | | | | |
| All technical holding times were met. | \checkmark | | | |
| II. Calibration | | | | |
| Were all instruments and detectors calibration as required? | <u>`</u> | | | |
| Were NIST traceable standards used for all calibrations? | • ✓ | | | |
| Was the check source identified by activity and radionuclide? | 1 | | | ۱ |
| Were check sources including background counts analyzed at the requiried frequency and within laboratory control limits? | <i>.</i> | | | |
| III. Blanks | | | | |
| Were blank analyses performed as required? | \checkmark | | | |
| Were any activities detected in the blanks greater than the minimum detectable activity (MDA)? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet. | | / | | |
| IV. Matrix spikes and Duplicates | | | <u> </u> | |
| Were a matrix spike (MS) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP Soil) Water. | | ~ | | |
| Were the MS percent recoveries (%R) within the QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. | 1 | | ~ | |
| Was a duplicate sample anaylzed at the required frequency of 5% in this SDG? | \checkmark | | | |
| Were all duplicate sample duplicate error rations (DER) <u><1.42?.</u> ≠ ∂.58 | \checkmark | | | |
| V. Laboratory control samples | | | | |
| Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch? | \checkmark | | | |
| Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 75-125% | \checkmark | | | · · · · |
| VI. Sample Chemical/Carrier Recovery | | | | |
| Was a tracer/carrier added to each sample? | \checkmark | | | |
| Were tracer/carrier recoveries within the QC limits? | | | | |
| VII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control | | <u> </u> | | |
| Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed? | | \checkmark | | |
| Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits? | | | \checkmark | |
| VIII. Sample Result Verification | , | | | |
| Were activities adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation? | | | | |
| Were the Minimum Detectable Activities (MDA) < RL? | \checkmark | | | |

Method: Radiochemistry (EPA Method RICH-RC-5067/ RICH-RC-5087

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

LDC #: 18016359 SDG #: 7RNC/D- JRD

| Validation Area | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|--|-----|----|--------------|-------------------|
| IX. Overall assessment of data | | | | |
| Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable. | / | | | |
| X. Field duplicates | | | | |
| Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG. | / | | | ł |
| Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates. | ~ | | | |
| XI: Field blanks | | | | |
| Field blanks were identified in this SDG. | | / | | |
| Target analytes were detected in the field blanks. | | | \checkmark | |

1.1

LDC #: 18016 B 59 SDG #: TRNG - 2 RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates



METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH-RC-5067/RICH-RC-)5087

| ΰN | N/A |
|------|-----|
| (V)N | N/A |

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

| | Activity (PCi/g) | | |
|----------|----------------------|------|-----------|
| Isotopes | 3 | 5 | RPD |
| Th-228 | 1.47 | 1.63 | 10 (= 50) |
| Th-230 | 1.33 | 1.55 | 15 (Ì) |
| Th-232 | 1.58 | 1.28 | 21 () |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| · · · · | Activity | (pci/g) | by di | by difference | |
|-----------|----------|----------|------------|---------------|--|
| Isotopes | 3 | 5 | RI | 2 D - | |
| U-233/234 | 0.529 | 0.533 | 0.00 pci/g | (= 0.6 pci/g) | |
| U-235/236 | 0.0223 | 0.0164 U | 0.01 | () | |
| U-238 | 0.383 | 0.451 | 0.07 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Activity (PCi/g) | | |
|----------|--------------------|-------|----------|
| Isotopes | 14 | 15 | RPD |
| Th-228 | 1.86 | 1.49 | 22 (±50) |
| Th-230 | 0.868 | 0.802 | 8 () |
| Th-232 | 1.57 | 1.46 | 7 () |
| | | | · |
| | | | |

| | Activity (PCi/q) | | - hy difference |
|-----------|----------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Isotopes | 14 | 0 15 | RPD |
| U-233/234 | 0.369 | Ø.292 | 0.08 PCi/4 (±0.6 PCi/4) |
| U-238 | 0.251 | 0.186 | 0.06 1 (1) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

LDC #: 18016 B59 SDG #: TRNC/D - 2RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: 16 2nd Reviewer: 1

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICM-RC-5067/RICM-RC-5087

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample, a matrix spike and a matrix spike duplicate sample were recaluculated using the following formula:

Found = activity of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. True = activity of each analyte in the source. Where, %R = <u>Found</u> x 100 True

A matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

| S = Original sample activity | D = Duplicate sample activit |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Where, | |
| RPD = <u>IS-D1</u> × 100 | (S+D)/2 |

| | - | | | | Recalculated | Reported | |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Sample ID | Type of Analysis | Analyte | Found/S (units) | True/D (units) | %R or RPD | %R or RPD | Acceptable (Y/N) |
| | Laboratory control sample | | | | | | |
| LCS | | N- 338 | 0.884 (PC:/) | 0.901 (Rily) | 8 8 | 86 | \succ |
| | Matrix spike sample | - | > | 7 | | | |
| 1 | | | I | 1 | l | 1 | l |
| | Duplicate RPD | | | | RERD | RERJ | |
| 1 | | N-933/934 | 0.368 (pc:/f) ± 0.045 (12) f) | 0.377 (pc:/) ± 0.047 (pc:/) | 0. | 0.1 | \succ |
| | Chemical recovery | | | | | | |
| | | <u>н</u> е-и <u>т</u> | (631.29 (dpm) | 750.10 (dpm) | 64 | 84 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of gualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 18016 B59 SDG #: TRNC/D-2RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

| Page: | of(|
|----------------|---------------|
| Reviewer: | MG |
| 2nd reviewer:_ | \rightarrow |

METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH-RC-5067/RICH-RC)-5087

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". $\underline{(O \ N \ N/A)}$ Have results been reported and calculated correctly? $\underline{(O \ N \ N/A)}$ Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?

| Analyte results for $\underline{\#1}$, and verified using the followi | Tn - 228 ng equation: | 1 | reported with a p | ositive detect were recalculated |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Activity = | , t. 1 | Recalculation: | | |

(cpm - bckgrd cpm) (2.22)(E)(Vol)(CF)

 $\frac{\left(\frac{177}{199.783}-\frac{1}{998.95}\right)}{(2.22)(0.25595)(1.03g)(.8416)} \times 1.0184 = 1.830$ PC:/g

E = Efficiency Vol = Volume

CF = %R, Self-absorbance, abundance, ect.

| # | Sample ID | Analyte | Reported Concentration (۹ ^۲ /۹) | Calculated Concentration (^{p C ,} /g) | Acceptable (Y/N) |
|---|-----------|------------|---|--|---------------------|
| 1 | | Th- 228 | 1.83 | 1.83 | · Y |
| | | - Th-230 | . 1.10 | 1.10 | |
| | | TH-232 | 1.63 | 1.63 | |
| | | U- 233/234 | 0.640 | 0.641 | |
| | | U-235/236 | 0.0219 | 0.0219 | |
| | | U-238 | 0,460 | 0.460 | J |
| | | | | | |
| | · . | | • | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | • |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | · · · | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Note:

Version 1.0 (3/2/2000)

ŧ

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

| Project/Site Name: | BRC Tronox Parcel C/D |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Collection Date: | November 14, 2007 |
| LDC Report Date: | January 8, 2008 |
| Matrix: | Soil |
| Parameters: | Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium |
| Validation Level: | EPA Level III |
| Laboratory: | TestAmerica, Inc. |
| | |

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): TRNC/D-4RD

Sample Identification

TSB-FR-01-0' TSB-FR-01-10' TSB-FJ-07-0' TSB-FJ-07-10' TSB-FJ-06-0' TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD TSB-FJ-06-10' TSB-FJ-05-0' TSB-FJ-05-10' TSB-DR-01-0' TSB-DR-01-10' TSB-DR-02-0' TSB-DR-02-10' TSB-DR-02-0'-FD JB-NW DITCH01-0' JB-NW DITCH01-10' TSB-FR-01-10'DUP TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 18 soil samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per Method RICH-RC5067 for Isotopic Uranium and Method RICH-RC5087 for Isotopic Thorium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section VIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- J+ Data are qualified as estimated, with a high bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- J- Data are qualified as estimated, with a low bias likely to occur. False positives or false negatives are unlikely to have been reported.
- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

Detector efficiency was determined for each radionuclide of interest.

b. Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification and background determination were performed at the required frequencies. Results were within control limits.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Blank results contained less than the minimum detectable activity (MDA).

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

b. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

c. Tracer Recovery

All tracer recoveries were within validation criteria.

V. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

All minimum detectable activities met required detection limits.

VI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

VIII. Field Duplicates

Samples TSB-FJ-06-0' and TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD and samples TSB-DR-02-0' and TSB-DR-02-0'-FD were identified as field duplicates. No isotopic uranium or isotopic thorium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| | Activ | ity (pCi/g) | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-FJ-06-0' | TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Difference (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Thorium-228 | 1.33 | 1.81 | 31 (≤50) | - | | - |
| Thorium-230 | 0.904 | 1.07 | 17 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-232 | 1.33 | 1.39 | 4 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Uranium-233/234 | 0.455 | 0.360 | - | 0.10 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |
| Uranium-238 | 0.247 | 0.210 | - | 0.04 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |

| | Activ | ity (pCi/g) | _ | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Isotope | TSB-DR-02-0' | TSB-DR-02-0'-FD | RPD (Limits) | Difference (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
| Thorium-228 | 1.65 | 1.47 | 12 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-230 | 1.06 | 1.11 | 5 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Thorium-232 | 1.57 | 1.33 | 17 (≤50) | - | - | - |
| Uranium-233/234 | 0.584 | 0.355 | - | 0.23 pCi/g (≤0.6) | • | - |
| Uranium-238 | 0.374 | 0.287 | - | 0.09 pCi/g (≤0.6) | - | - |

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D

Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

BRC Tronox Parcel C/D Isotopic Uranium & Isotopic Thorium - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG TRNC/D-4RD

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

| LDC #: | 18016C59 | VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET |
|--------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| SDG #: | TRNC/D-4RD | Level III |

SDG #: TRNC/D-4RD Laboratory: Test America

Date: 1-7-08 Page: ___of ___ Reviewer: MG 2nd Reviewer:

9mA

METHOD: Isotopic Uranium (EPA Method 906/Method RICH-RC5067), Isotopic Thorium (Method RICH-RC-5087)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

| | Validation Area | | Comments |
|-------|--|----|--------------------------|
| Ι. | Technical holding times | A | Sampling dates: 11-14-07 |
| lla. | Initial calibration | A | |
| IIb. | Calibration verification | A | |
| 111. | Blanks | A | |
| IVa. | Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates | A | DUP |
| IVa. | Laboratory control samples | A | LCS |
| V. | Tracer Recovery | A | |
| VI. | Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) | A | |
| VII. | Sample result verification | N | |
| VIII. | Overall assessment of data | A | |
| IX. | Field duplicates | SW | D=5+6, D=12+14 |
| x | Field blanks | N | |

Note: A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

| TSB-FR-01-0' | 11 | TSB-DR-01-10' | 21 | | 31 | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| TSB-FR-01-10' | 12 | TSB-DR-02-0' | 22 | | 32 | |
| TSB-FJ-07-0' | 13 | TSB-DR-02-10' | 23 | | 33 | |
| TSB-FJ-07-10' | 14 | TSB-DR-02-0'-FD | 24 | | 34 | |
| TSB-FJ-06-0' | 15 | JB-NW DITCH01-0' | 25 | | 35 | |
| TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD | 16 | JB-NW DITCH01-10' | 26 | | 36 | |
| TSB-FJ-06-10' | 17 | TSB-FR-01-10'DUP | 27 | | 37 | |
| TSB-FJ-05-0' | 18 | (U) TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP | 28 | | 38 | |
| TSB-FJ-05-10' | 19 | PBS | 29 | | 39 | |
| TSB-DR-01-0' | 20 | | 30 | | 40 | |
| | TSB-FR-01-0' TSB-FR-01-10' TSB-FJ-07-0' TSB-FJ-07-10' TSB-FJ-06-0' TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD TSB-FJ-06-10' TSB-FJ-05-10' TSB-FJ-05-10' TSB-FJ-05-10' TSB-FJ-05-10' | TSB-FR-01-0' 11 TSB-FR-01-10' 12 TSB-FJ-07-0' 13 TSB-FJ-07-10' 14 TSB-FJ-06-0' 15 TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD 16 TSB-FJ-06-10' 17 TSB-FJ-05-10' 18 TSB-FJ-05-10' 19 TSB-DR-01-0' 20 | TSB-FR-01-0' 11 TSB-DR-01-10' TSB-FR-01-10' 12 TSB-DR-02-0' TSB-FJ-07-0' 13 TSB-DR-02-10' TSB-FJ-07-10' 14 TSB-DR-02-0'-FD TSB-FJ-06-0' 15 JB-NW DITCH01-0' TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD 16 JB-NW DITCH01-10' TSB-FJ-06-10' 17 TSB-FR-01-10'DUP (T h) TSB-FJ-05-0' 18 TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP (U) TSB-FJ-05-10' 19 PTBS TSB-DR-01-0' 20 10 | TSB-FR-01-0' 11 TSB-DR-01-10' 21 TSB-FR-01-10' 12 TSB-DR-02-0' 22 TSB-FJ-07-0' 13 TSB-DR-02-10' 23 TSB-FJ-07-10' 14 TSB-DR-02-0'-FD 24 TSB-FJ-06-0' 15 JB-NW DITCH01-0' 25 TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD 16 JB-NW DITCH01-10' 26 TSB-FJ-06-10' 17 TSB-FR-01-10'DUP (T h) 27 TSB-FJ-05-0' 18 TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP (U) 28 TSB-FJ-05-10' 19 PBS 29 TSB-DR-01-0' 20 30 | TSB-FR-01-0' 11 TSB-DR-01-10' 21 TSB-FR-01-10' 12 TSB-DR-02-0' 22 TSB-FJ-07-0' 13 TSB-DR-02-10' 23 TSB-FJ-07-10' 14 TSB-DR-02-0'-FD 24 TSB-FJ-06-0' 15 JB-NW DITCH01-0' 25 TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD 16 JB-NW DITCH01-10' 26 TSB-FJ-06-10' 17 TSB-FR-01-10'DUP (T h) 27 TSB-FJ-05-0' 18 TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP (U) 28 TSB-FJ-05-10' 19 PBS 29 TSB-DR-01-0' 20 30 30 | TSB-FR-01-0' 11 TSB-DR-01-10' 21 31 TSB-FR-01-10' 12 TSB-DR-02-0' 22 32 TSB-FJ-07-0' 13 TSB-DR-02-10' 23 33 TSB-FJ-07-10' 14 TSB-DR-02-0'-FD 24 34 TSB-FJ-06-0' 15 JB-NW DITCH01-0' 25 35 TSB-FJ-06-0'-FD 16 JB-NW DITCH01-10' 26 36 TSB-FJ-06-10' 17 TSB-FR-01-10'DUP (T h) 27 37 TSB-FJ-05-0' 18 TSB-FJ-07-0'DUP (U) 28 38 TSB-FJ-05-10' 19 PBS 29 39 TSB-DR-01-0' 20 30 40 |

Notes:

LDC #: 18016659 SDG #: TRNC/D-4RD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates



METHOD: Radiochemistry (Method: RICH - RC- 5067/ RICH - RC-5087



Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG? Were target isotopes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

| | Activity (| scily) | |
|----------|------------|--------|----------|
| Isotopes | 5 | 6 | RPD |
| Th-223 | 1.33 | 1.81 | 31 (=50) |
| Th-230 | 0.904 | 1.07 | 17 (1) |
| Th-232 | 1.33 | 1.39 | 4 () |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Activity (| pcila, | by difference | | |
|-----------|------------|--------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Isotopes | 5 | 6 | RPD | | |
| U-233/234 | 0.455 | 0.360 | 0.10 pci/2 (= 0.6 pci/2) | | |
| U-238 | 0.247 | 0.210 | 0.04 1 (1) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Activity (| pci/g) | |
|----------|------------|--------|----------|
| Isotopes | 12 | 14 | RPD |
| Th-228 | 1.65 | 1.47 | 12 (250) |
| Tu-230 | 1.06 | 1.11 | 5 () |
| Th-232 | 1.57 | 1.33 | 17 () |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| · · · | Activity (PC; /g) | | by difference | | |
|-----------|---------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Isotopes | 12 | 14 | RPD | | |
| U-233/234 | 0.584 | 0.355 | 0.23 pci/g (= 0.6 pci/g) | | |
| U-238 | 0.374 | 0.287 | 0.09 / () | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |