MEMORANDUM TO FILE

TO: KMCC File

FROM: Brian Rakvica

DATE: December 10, 2003

CC: Jim Najima, Todd Croft, Jennifer Carr, Jeff Johnson

Jon Palm, Darrell Rasner, Nadir Sous, Tamara Pelham

RE: KMCC Quarterly Perchlorate Meeting

1. Agenda distributed.

- 2. Introduction of parties. Attendance list copied and provided to all.
- 3. Several figures were distributed by NDEP, USEPA and KMCC.
- 4. Update on Systems
 - a. Plant-site collection continues and is discharged to GW-11.
 - b. Capture continues at the Athens Road well field (ARW) and Seep.
 - c. Seep concentration is currently ~30 ppm.
 - d. Current total discharge is 1,060 gpm (not including flows to GW-11).
 - e. FBR construction continues.
 - i. Engineering is ~100% complete
 - ii. Procurement is ~100% complete
 - iii. Construction was ~75% as of 11/30/03
 - f. Noted that the new FBR will have a higher influent concentration than any such system on-line. This is why a two-stage system is designed.
 - g. FBR should destroy all nitrate, chlorate and perchlorate to ND (20 ppb).
 - h. Construction schedule
 - i. Fill reactors with sand
 - ii. Inoculate system with biological media next week. Add lake water, nitrate and ethanol to condition the sand. Condition from 12/19/03-1/5/04. No discharge from reactors.
 - iii. Early January Accept remaining units. Begin batch treatment mode to grow biomass. This water is slated to be discharged if effluent quality is acceptable.
 - iv. Late January/Early February Initiate continuous operation starting at 200 gpm and increasing.
 - v. End of February expect to be at full flow rate and meeting current NPDES. Working effluent concentration down towards ND.
 - vi. March April 23, 2004 demonstration mode.
- 5. Discussion of NPDES permit.
 - a. The original temporary NPDES permit was put in place at 847 gpm.
 - b. New temporary permit was issued at 1,100 gpm.
 - c. Application has been submitted for a new permanent NPDES permit.

- i. Will be handled as a major modification.
- ii. Modification to be for an increase in flow rate only.
- iii. 30- day public comment period.
- iv. Depending on public comment, hope to have in place by early February.
- v. Noted that flow rate is still in discussion with KMCC, NDEP and USEPA.
- vi. Limits on flow arte include: phosphorous load, 1,000 gpm equipment and 1,000 gpm pipeline.
- d. Noted that the new discharge concentration for perchlorate will be decided once the system is up and fully operational.
- 6. Discussion of detection limits and discharge limits.
 - a. NDEP goal is 4-18 ppb.
 - b. Discussed Texas Tech method for low detection limits in a high saline environment.
 - c. Discussed possibility of using alternate methods during the 2004 year to verify their applicability.
 - d. SNWA noted that they can provide some method information to KMCC.
 - e. KMCC will discuss alternate methods with their laboratory.
 - f. KMCC noted that they might have to perform two analyses. One would be the approved method and the other would be the lower detection limit method.
 - g. USEPA discussed the procedure for approval of alternate methods.
 - h. Discussed interferences with p-CBS. KMCC noted that they had found no such interferences to date.
 - i. Noted that if the effluent concentration was to be reduced in the future it would be a minor modification.
- 7. Discussion of capture.
 - a. This discussion is in response to the 11/19/03 NDEP letter.
 - b. KMCC's response will be documented in their January 2004 quarterly report.
 - c. A new cross section at the ARW was presented. This cross section showed that the area between ART-4 and ART-5 is dry and there is now a "Muddy Creek Island". Noted that ART-5 is on the verge of going dry as well.
 - d. Presented a new Net Drawdown map with 2' contours. Noted that a 1-2" drawdown is affected over a 2000' wide section of Athens Road.
 - e. Reviewed the Hackenberry model. KMCC stated that the Hackenberry model was based on limited data (what was currently available). KMCC has refined this model to represent a larger data set. KMCC states that this indicates that nearly 100% capture is being achieved at the ARW. The comparison for mass flow and groundwater flow will be presented in the January 2004 report.
 - f. KMCC noted that there may be the possibility to install a well east of ART-7. KMCC will investigate concentrations in that area.
 - g. Schedule to be submitted to NDEP to comply with requested schedule.

- h. NDEP noted the importance of getting and documenting at least 90% capture at the ARW.
- i. Discussed well loss. KMCC has been trying to clean these wells and has been using the backup buddy wells.
- 8. Discussed Seep Area shut off criteria.
 - a. Noted that outer wells that are not good producers could be shut off if capture could be increased elsewhere.
 - b. NDEP and USEPA stressed that no increase should be seen in mass load to the LV Wash.
 - c. KMCC noted that Seep Area water will always be used at least to dilute the high TDS water that is coming from the plant site.
 - d. KMCC will draft a formal proposal and respond to NDEP.
- 9. Discussed apparent leveling off at Northshore Road.
 - a. KMCC presented a graph of the data versus the 90% removal curve from Hackenberry. KMCC had removed the log-log scale from the graph. The data appeared to be tracking reasonably well.
- 10. Discussion of MWD model and other California issues.
 - a. Noted that the MWD model assumes the 90% removal efficiency at the ARW.
 - b. Noted that the California public health goal and MCL schedule appears to be delayed.

11. Other

- a. Personnel from the Central Arizona Project and DWR will be visiting this week for site tours related to the perchlorate project.
- b. Nevada DWR personnel may want to visit in March 2004.
- c. USEPA distributed a mass loading graph and noted that the next EPA report will be issued in mid-January.
- d. Noted that Ed Krisch will retire in April.