

Steve Sisolak, Governor Bradley Crowell, Director Greg Lovato, Administrator

January 3, 2020

Jay A. Steinberg Nevada Environmental Response Trust 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 690 Chicago, IL 60601

Re: Tronox LLC (TRX) Facility Nevada Environmental Response Trust (Trust) Property NDEP Facility ID #H-000539 Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Response to: Data Validation Summary Report, Remedial Investigation Sampling Phase 2, March 2018 through March 2019

Dated: November 13, 2019

Dear Mr. Steinberg,

The NDEP has received and reviewed the Trust's above-identified Deliverable and provides comments in Attachment A. A revised Deliverable should be submitted **by 03/02/2020** based on the comments found in Attachment A. The Trust should additionally provide an annotated response-to-comments letter as part of the revised Deliverable.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions at wdong@ndep.nv.gov or 702-486-2850 x252.

Sincerely,

Dong Weig

Weiquan Dong, P.E. Bureau of Industrial Site Cleanup NDEP-Las Vegas City Office

WD:cp

EC:

Jeffrey Kinder, Deputy Administrator NDEP Frederick Perdomo, Deputy Administrator NDEP James Dotchin, NDEP BISC Las Vegas Carlton Parker, NDEP BISC Las Vegas Allan Delorme, Ramboll Environ Alison Fong, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Andrew Barnes, Geosyntec Andrew Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Anna Springsteen, Neptune & Company Inc. Betty Kuo Brinton, MWDH2O Brenda Pohlmann, City of Henderson Brian Loffman, lepetomane Brian Waggle, Hargis + Associates Carol Nagai, MWDH2O Carrie Hunt, Olin Corporation Chris Ritchie, Ramboll Environ Chuck Elmendorf, Stauffer Management Company, LLC Dan Pastor, P.E. TetraTech Dave Share, Olin Dave Johnson, LVVWD David Parker, Central Arizona Water Conservation District Derek Amidon, Tetratech Ebrahim Juma, Clean Water Team Ed Modiano, de maximis, inc. Eric Fordham, Geopentech Gary Carter, Endeavour George Crouse, Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Greg Kodweis, SNWA Harry Van Den Berg, AECOM Jay Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Jeff Gibson, Endeavour Jill Teraoka, MWDH2O Joanne Otani Joe Kelly, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA Joe Leedy, Clean Water Team John Edgcomb, Edgcomb Law Group John Pekala, Ramboll Environ John Solvie, Calrk County Water Quasslity Kelly McIntosh, GEI Consultants Kirk Stowers, Broadbent & Associates Kirsten Lockhart, Neptune & Company Inc. Kim Kuwabara, Ramboll Environ Kurt Fehling, The Fehling Group Kyle.Hansen, Tetratech Lee Farris, BRC Marcia Scully, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Maria Lopez, Water District of Southern California Mark Duffy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Mark Paris, Landwell Michael J. Bogle, Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP Michael Long, Hargis + Mickey Chaudhuri, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Nicholas Pogoncheff, PES Environmental, Inc. Orestes Morfin, CAP Paul Black, Neptune and Company, Inc. Paul Hackenberry, Hackenberry Associates, LLC Patti Meeks, Neptune & Company Inc. Peggy Roefer, CRC Ranajit Sahu, BRC Richard Pfarrer, TIMET Rick Kellogg, BRC R9LandSubmit@EPA.gov Steve Clough, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Steven Anderson, LVVWD Tanya O'Neill, Foley & Lardner L Todd Tietjen, SNWA

Attachment A

DVSR Review:

- Section 1.0, methods list: There are two discrepancies between the methods list in this section and the EDD: 1) the EDD also has Orthophosphate (total)(as PO4) listed as an analyte in Method 300.0; and 2) in the EDD, the method for ferrous iron is SM 3500 and not SM 3500-FE D.
- Section 1.0, TO-15 and TO-15 VOL results: As TO-15 and TO-15 VOL report the results of the same sample/analysis in different units. Although it is noted that at least one other NERT DVSR/EDD has reported both sets of results, it seems that only one set should be reported and loaded to the BMI database so there are no identical results in the database. Is there a reason to keep one set as opposed to the other?
- 3. <u>Section 1.0, precision and accuracy, page 3</u>: The text defines an SRM as "sample reference material." Please correct this to "standard reference material."
- 4. <u>Section 14.0, metals sample count:</u> The text notes there are 44 water samples analyzed by 200.8 for arsenic; however, the EDD had 53 samples. Please confirm the number of samples analyzed by 200.8.
- 5. <u>Section 14.1.7, field duplicate qualifications</u>: The qualifications noted were in 4 field duplicate pairs instead of 3, as noted in the text. Please confirm and correct as necessary.
- 6. <u>Section 15.0, sample counts:</u> Please check the following discrepancies in the analyte/sample counts:
 - a. The text reports 95 soil samples analyzed by 300.0, but the EDD has 90.
 - b. The text reports 173 soil samples analyzed by 314.0, but the EDD has 174.
 - c. The text reports 59 soil samples analyzed by SM4500-NH3, but the EDD has 74.
- 7. <u>Holding time qualifications:</u> There are 21 results for Method 8260B that were qualified by the laboratory as having exceeded the holding time. None of these results were qualified in validation. Please confirm these results do not require qualification.
- 8. <u>Results above the calibration</u>: The result for PCB 209 in sample RISB-02-1.0-20180924 that was qualified by the laboratory as having been reported above the calibration. This result is not qualified. Please confirm this result does not require qualification.

EDD Review

- 1. In the samples table, sample M-39R-20190109 is null for litho. Please provide the litho information if available.
- 2. Numerous records in the results table have a method_detection_limit greater than the sample_quantitation_limit. Please check the entries in these two fields.