

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Steve Sisolak, Governor Bradley Crowell, Director Greg Lovato, Administrator

April 29, 2019

Jay A. Steinberg Nevada Environmental Response Trust 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 690 Chicago, IL 60601

Re: Tronox LLC (TRX) Facility Nevada Environmental Response Trust (Trust) Property NDEP Facility ID #H-000539 Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Response to: Data Validation Summary Report for Phase 2 Remedial Investigation for February through June 2017 Data and Associated EDD

Dated: April 2, 2019

Dear Mr. Steinberg,

The NDEP has received and reviewed the Trust's above-identified Deliverable and provides comments in Attachment A. A revised Deliverable should be submitted **by 06/28/2019** based on the comments found in Attachment A. The Trust should additionally provide an annotated response-to-comments letter as part of the revised Deliverable.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions at wdong@ndep.nv.gov or 702-486-2850 x252.

Sincerely,

Rong Weigg

Weiquan Dong, P.E. Bureau of Industrial Site Cleanup NDEP-Las Vegas City Office

WD:cp

EC:

Jeffrey Kinder, Deputy Administrator NDEP Frederick Perdomo, Deputy Administrator NDEP James Dotchin, NDEP BISC Las Vegas Carlton Parker, NDEP BISC Las Vegas Allan Delorme, Ramboll Environ Alison Fong, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Andrew Barnes, Geosyntec Andrew Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Anna Springsteen, Neptune & Company Inc. Betty Kuo Brinton, MWDH2O

Brenda Pohlmann, City of Henderson Brian Loffman, lepetomane Brian Waggle, Hargis + Associates Carol Nagai, MWDH2O Carrie Hunt, Olin Corporation Chris Ritchie, Ramboll Environ Chuck Elmendorf, Stauffer Management Company, LLC Dan Pastor, P.E. TetraTech Dave Share, Olin Dave Johnson, LVVWD David Parker, Central Arizona Water Conservation District Derek Amidon, Tetratech Ebrahim Juma, Clean Water Team Ed Modiano, de maximis, inc. Eric Fordham, Geopentech Gary Carter, Endeavour George Crouse, Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Greg Kodweis, SNWA Harry Van Den Berg, AECOM Jay Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Jeff Gibson, Endeavour Jill Teraoka, MWDH2O Joanne Otani Joe Kelly, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA Joe Leedy, Clean Water Team John Edgcomb, Edgcomb Law Group John Pekala, Ramboll Environ Kelly McIntosh, GEI Consultants Kirk Stowers, Broadbent & Associates Kirsten Lockhart, Neptune & Company Inc. Kim Kuwabara, Ramboll Environ Kurt Fehling, The Fehling Group Kyle Gadley, Geosyntec Kyle.Hansen, Tetratech Lee Farris, BRC Marcia Scully, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Maria Lopez, Water District of Southern California Mark Duffy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Mark Paris, Landwell Michael J. Bogle, Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP Michael Long, Hargis + Mickey Chaudhuri, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Nicholas Pogoncheff, PES Environmental, Inc. Orestes Morfin, CAP Paul Black, Neptune and Company, Inc. Paul Hackenberry, Hackenberry Associates, LLC Patti Meeks, Neptune & Company Inc. Peggy Roefer, CRC Ranajit Sahu, BRC **Richard Pfarrer, TIMET Rick Kellogg, BRC** R9LandSubmit@EPA.gov Steve Clough, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Steven Anderson, LVVWD Tanya O'Neill, Foley & Lardner L Todd Tietjen, SNWA

Attachment A

DVSR Review:

- 1. <u>Introduction, analyte reporting basis</u>: The list of wet chemistry analytes indicates that Nitrite as Nitrogen is an analyte; however, the EDD lists this analyte as nitrite. Please update the EDD to include the reporting basis for nitrite.
- 2. <u>Section 2.0, equipment blanks and trip blanks</u>: The text states there are "fifty-one equipment blanks and trip blanks; however, there are more than 51 samples appended with ED, FB, TB or EBTB. Please assess the report and EDD and determine which is correct.
- 3. <u>Section 8.2.1, broken lid and broken container</u>: Samples RIDB-14-30.0-20170223 was received with a broken lid and sample RIBD-8-30.0-2017-0222-FD was received broken. How was it determined there was no cross-contamination?
- 4. <u>Section 14.4, rejected results:</u> While the metals had a completeness of greater than 90%, 57% of the niobium results were rejected. How does this affect data quality?
- 5. <u>Radionuclide EDD:</u> In the EDD, radionuclides have the method_detection_limit and sample_quantitation_limit populated with the minimum_detectable_concentration. Neither of these fields need be populated as they are not applicable to radionuclides. Additionally, the practical_quantitation_limit is also populated with the minimum_detectable_concentration. If populated, this field is most similar to the RL reported by the laboratory. Please revise the radionuclide portion of the EDD such that:
 - a) method_detection_limit and sample_quantitation_limit fields are null (as these limits are not applicable to radionuclide analyses)
 - b) practical_quanitation_limit may be populated with the "RL" reported by the lab

EDD Review

- 1. The records in the results table that have a final_validation_qualifier of "DNR" have a final_validation_reason_codes of "orr". All final_validation_reason_codes should be defined in the validation_reason table, so "orr" should be added to this table.
- 2. There are multiple records in the results table where the method_detection_limit is greater than the sample_quantitation_limit. Review these records to verify that these limits are correct.
- 3. The filtered_flag field has been updated to "TOTAL" and "DISSOLVED" in the revised EDD Guidance. This update should be reflected in all future EDDs.