

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Brian Sandoval, Governor Leo M. Drozdoff, P.E., Director Colleen Cripps, Ph.D., Administrator

May 19, 2015

Jay A. Steinberg Nevada Environmental Response Trust 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1550 Chicago, IL 60601

Re: Tronox LLC (TRX) Facility Nevada Environmental Response Trust (Trust) Property NDEP Facility ID #H-000539 Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Response to: Data Validation Summary Report, July through December 2014, Annual Remedial Performance Sampling, Nevada Environmental Response Trust (NERT), Henderson, Nevada

Dated: April 10, 2015

Dear Mr. Steinberg,

The NDEP has received and reviewed the Trust's above-identified Deliverable and provides comments in Attachment A. A revised Deliverable should be submitted **by 06/19/2015** based on the comments found in Attachment A. The Trust should additionally provide an annotated response-to-comments letter as part of the revised Deliverable.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions at wdong@ndep.nv.gov or 702-486-2850 x252.

Sincerely,

Weiquan Dong, P.E. Special Projects Branch Bureau of Corrective Actions NDEP-Las Vegas City Office

WD:jd

EC: Greg Lovato, NDEP, Dpty Admin., Carson City James Dotchin, NDEP, BCA LV Adam Baas, Edgcomb Law Group Allan Delorme, ENVIRON

Alison Fong, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Andrew Barnes, Geosyntec Andrew Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Betty Kuo, MWDH2O Brenda Pohlmann, City of Henderson Brian Waggle, Hargis + Associates Catherine Sties, MWDH2O Charles K. Hauser, Esq., Southern Nevada Water Authority Chris Ritchie, Environ Co. Chuck Elmendorf, Stauffer Management Company, LLC Dave Share, Olin David Johnson, Central Arizona Water Conservation District Derek Amidon, Tetratech Ebrahim Juma, Clean Water Team Ed Modiano, de maximis, inc. Eric Fordham, Geopentech Frank Johns, Tetratech George Crouse, Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Dave Share, Olin Co Jasmine Mehta, AG Office Jay Steinberg, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Jeff Gibson, AMPAC Jill Teraoka, MWDH2O Joanne Otani Joe Kelly, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA Joe Leedy, Clean Water Team John Pekala, Environcorp Katherine Baylor, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Kevin Fisher, LV Valley Water District Kevin Lombardozzi, Valhi Kirk Stowers, Broadbent & Associates Kurt Fehling, The Fehling Group Kyle Gadley, Geosyntec Lee Farris, BRC Marcia Scully, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Maria Lopez, Water District of Southern California Mark Paris, Landwell Matt Pocernich, Neptune & Company Inc Michael J. Bogle, Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP Michael Long, Hargis + Associates Mickey Chaudhuri, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Nicholas Pogoncheff, PES Environmental, Inc. Paul Black, Neptune and Company, Inc. Paul Hackenberry, Hackenberry Associates, LLC Peggy Roefer, Southern Nevada Water Authority Ranajit Sahu, BRC Rebecca Shircliff, Neptune and Company, Inc. **Richard Pfarrer, TIMET** Rick Kellogg, BRC Ron Zegers, Southern Nevada Water Authority Scott Bryan, Central Arizona Project Steve Clough, Nevada Environmental Response Trust Susan Crowley, Crowley Envirn. Tanya O'Neill, Foley & Lardner LLP

Attachment A

DVSR Comments

- Section 1.0, page 3, Qualifier Hierarchy. It appears that the qualifier hierarchy has been truncated from previous DVSRs and the following statement was removed from this DVSR. "UJ = U plus J or J-" and "The UJ flag is used when a non-detected (U) flag is added to a biased (J-) or non-biased flag (J)." This hierarchy should be used with non-detects that may be affected by non-biased or potentially low biased QC issues. Previous comments may have created confusion, but please add this back into the DVSR and confirm this is the hierarchy used for data validation. Note that a UJ is not used with there is a potential positive bias (J+) since a positive bias would theoretically result in a detect.
- 2. Section 1.0, page 6, Sensitivity. Define MDL, SQL, and PQL per NDEP guidance (4/13/09), including details as to how they are determined.
- 3. Section 1.0, QC Checks. Please add a table that lists the QC checks performed for each validation stage.
- 4. Section 3.1.2, Surrogates. Note that this suggestion is in the DVSR, but is not discussed in Attachment B.
- 5. Section 3.1.7, Not Reportable Data. Please discuss in the DVSR how the data considered "not reportable" were qualified (i.e., qualifier/code used). Also, discuss in the DVSR what criteria or guidelines were used to determine which data would be reported and which were not.
- 6. General, Results Between the SQL and PQL. The EDD includes samples that are qualified due to results between the SQL and PQL. Please describe this in the DVSR and include a table.
- 7. Table III. There are no results listed for EB-1 for chromium and FB-1 for chromium, hexavalent in this table. Please revise as necessary.

EDD Comments

Location M-94 was the only location_id that did not have a sub_area provided. The EDD guidance requires a sub_area for all locations. Please provide the sub_area for M-94 if it is available.