



STATE OF NEVADA  
Department of Conservation & Natural Resources  
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Jim Gibbons, Governor

Allen Biaggi, Director

Leo M. Drozdoff, P.E., Administrator

November 30, 2010

Matt Paque  
Tronox LLC  
PO BOX 268859  
Oklahoma City, OK 73134

Re: **Tronox LLC (TRX)**  
**NDEP Facility ID #H-000539**  
Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Response to:  
*Technical Memorandum: Hydrogeologic Groundwater Model Inputs, Tronox LLC,  
Henderson, Nevada*  
Dated: October 29, 2010

Dear Mr. Paque,

The NDEP has received and reviewed TRX's above-identified Deliverable and provides comments in Attachment A. These comments should be addressed in the Capture Zone Evaluation Report, which is scheduled to be submitted **by December 3, 2010**. TRX should additionally provide an annotated response-to-comments letter as part of the Capture Zone Deliverable.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions at [sharbour@ndep.nv.gov](mailto:sharbour@ndep.nv.gov) or 775-687-9332.

Sincerely,

Shannon Harbour, P.E.  
Staff Engineer III  
Bureau of Corrective Actions  
Special Projects Branch  
NDEP-Carson City Office  
Fax: 775-687-8335

SH:sh

EC: Jim Najima, Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP  
Greg Lovato, Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP  
William Knight, Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP  
Brenda Pohlmann, City of Henderson  
Stephen Tyahla, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9  
Jay A. Steinberg, Tronox Henderson Trust  
Allan Delorme, ENVIRON



Mark Travers, ENVIRON  
Mike Skromyda, Tronox LLC  
Michael J. Foster, Tronox LLC  
Keith Bailey, Environmental Answers LLC  
Susan Crowley, Tronox LLC (Contractor)  
Deni Chambers, Northgate Environmental  
Brian Rakvica, McGinley and Associates  
Joe McGinley, McGinley & Associates  
Barry Conaty, Holland & Hart LLP  
Ranajit Sahu, BRC  
Rick Kellogg, BRC  
Lee Farris, BRC  
Mark Paris, Landwell  
Craig Wilkinson, TIMET  
Kirk Stowers, Broadbent & Associates  
Victoria Tyson, Tyson Contracting  
George Crouse, Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.  
Nick Pogoncheff, PES Environmental  
Lee Erickson, Stauffer Management Company  
Michael Bellotti, Olin Corporation  
Curt Richards, Olin Corporation  
Paul Sundberg, Montrose Chemical Corporation  
Joe Kelly, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA  
Jeff Gibson, AMPAC  
Larry Cummings, AMPAC  
Ebrahim Juma , Clean Water Team  
Joe Leedy, Clean Water Team  
Kathryn Hoffmann, Clean Water Team  
Paul Hackenberry, Hackenberry Associates, LLC  
Brian Giroux, McGinley and Associates

CC: Susan Crowley, C/O Tronox LLC, PO Box 55, Henderson, NV 89009  
Lee Farris, BRC, 875 W. Warm Springs Road, Henderson, NV 89011  
Lee Erickson, Stauffer Management Company

## Attachment A

1. General comment, the *Hydrogeologic Modeling Work Plan* (dated April 29, 2010) included a task to evaluate density driven groundwater flow effects. Please include the results of this evaluation.
2. Section 2.2, page 3, the stated QA process describes identifying records that have ground surface discrepancies as compared to digital elevation model as well as top-of-casing discrepancies as compared to ground surface elevations. Please include a table summarizing the results of identified data errors and resolutions.
3. Section 2.2, page 4, NDEP provides the following comments:
  - a. An ordinary kriging routine is described as used to generate an initial UMCf/Qal contact surface map and “anomalously high or low values” were picked for comparison against well logs to fix errors. Please include a summary of identified errors and resolutions.
  - b. Advanced routines are described as used to generate a final UMCf/Qal contact surface map. Please include a discussion on what impacts these methods have on the uncertainty of the final model product.
  - c. Differences are described between the final UMCf/Qal contact surface map and that produced by BRC; please describe any differences that are anticipated to propagate substantively different model results.
4. Section 2.3, page 5, please provide a reference for the geophysical survey data.
5. Section 2.5, page 7, the range of hydraulic conductivity for alluvium and Muddy Creek is stated to be bounded by the arithmetic and harmonic mean of the data. Please explain how the data range will be implemented in the model (e.g., stochastic inputs, calibration endpoints, etc.).
6. Section 2.7.1, page 10, please note that AMPAC has recently replaced their injection wells with an injection trench capable of higher rates. The replacement was constructed following the steady state model time period. Additionally, AMPAC is in the process of switching to an ex-situ remediation system with surface discharge, thereby eliminating their current injection well field. These considerations should be noted for any future predictive modeling.
7. Section 2.8, page 10, please note that TIMET is in process of construction of a groundwater barrier wall; this consideration should be noted for any future predictive modeling.
8. Section 3.1, page 11, please discuss how dispersion will be accounted for in capture zone evaluation.
9. Table 1, NDEP provides the following comments:
  - a. TRX should note that hydraulic conductivity values used by McGinley & Associates for Athens Road Modeling (2007) have been superseded by revised values (Ed Krish, personal communication with McGinley and Associates).
  - b. Please provide a date for the COH Birding Preserve data sent by Brenda Pohlmann. NDEP notes that the reported value is an order-of-magnitude less than that used by DBS&A.
  - c. TRX should include discussion on how well HEC-RAS water surface elevation data compare to grid cell elevations and whether there are implications for evapotranspiration extinction implementation.
10. Figure 10, NDEP notes that paleochannels are being simulated using a minimum of 2 grid cells in width (400 feet). Please discuss all factors used to support this geometry.